

Options for Handling Local Mobile Measures in the SIP

Option #1:

Include local mobile measures in the voluntary bundle, but reserve credit for use in backfilling any underperforming measures.

Pros

- Matches the way such measures are currently handled in the SIP. Provides additional assurance that the voluntary bundle commitments will be met.

Cons

- Will not be available to assist the MPO to demonstrate conformity.

Option #2:

Include local mobile measures in the voluntary bundle, and apply credit for those measures that have already been completed. Those credits would be reflected in the mobile budget. For those that are not yet completed, reserve credit for use in backfilling underperforming measures.

Pros

- Provides additional assurance that the voluntary bundle commitments will be met.

Cons

- Will not be available to assist the MPO demonstrate conformity.
- Credits must be tracked annually both for the bundle commitment and conformity.

Option #3:

Include local mobile measures in weight of evidence only.

Pros

- Reductions may be available to assist the MPO demonstrate conformity. [need to verify]
- Enhances the SIP attainment demonstration.

Cons

- Credit is not readily available for use in backfilling underperforming SIP bundle measures.

Option #4:

Do not include local mobile measures in the SIP.

Pros

- Reductions may be available to assist the MPO demonstrate conformity.

Cons

- Does not enhance the SIP attainment demonstration.
- Credit is not readily available for use in backfilling underperforming SIP bundle measures.