

**METROPOLITAN WASHINGTON COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
National Capital Region Emergency Preparedness Council**

Date: Wednesday, November 10, 2010

**Time: 2:00 p.m. – Arrival/Networking
2:30 p.m. – Convene Meeting
4:30 p.m. – Adjourn Meeting**

**Location: Training Center, Lobby Level
777 North Capitol Street NE
Washington, DC 20002**

Meeting Notes

1. Call to Order and Announcements

Chair Principi was not present at the beginning of the EPC meeting. Dave Robertson called the meeting to order, welcomed everyone, and opened the discussion on National Preparedness Month before asking Ms. Fitzgerald for a report.

- National Preparedness Month Outcomes – Ms.Fitzgerald reported that National Preparedness Month activities were executed as briefed at the last meeting. It was also reported that the Office of National Capital Region Coordination made a number of presentations during National Preparedness Month.
- Chair Principi arrived and the September 8, 2010 Meeting Minutes were approved.
- Proposed EPC Quarterly meeting schedule for 2011 was approved.

2. Regional Incident Communications and Coordination System (RICCS) Annual Report

Description from Agenda:

As part of its COG responsibilities, the CAOs Committee provides oversight for the Regional Incident Communication and Coordination System (RICCS), which was conceived as a new regional communications tool following the terrorist attacks of September 2001. RICCS went "live" in July 2002 with its first message and has now generated more than 6,000 messages to its users. COG staff will brief the EPC on the RICCS annual report and recommendations for improvement to RICCS policies, procedures and usage that were recently approved by the CAOs Committee.

Summary of Discussion:

John Snarr, Principal Planner, COG Staff briefed the committee on the annual RICCS report for FY2010. He noted that in July 2002 COG members and the other stakeholders developed a Regional Emergency Coordination Plan (RECP) in response to the events taking place on

September 11, 2001. The plan facilitated coordination and communication. Text messages are initiated and, if necessary, may be followed by conference calls among key stakeholder groups to address emergency situations. Since July 2002, over 6,000 text messages have been sent. The system currently has more than 1,500 active users in approximately 50 groups. The members include:

- COG 21 local government members
- The State of Maryland
- The Commonwealth of Virginia
- The District of Columbia
- The federal government
- Public agencies
- Private sector and volunteer organizations
- Schools and universities

COG is responsible for operation of RICCS based on the protocol that has been in place since 2002. Jurisdictions with 24/7 emergency operation centers serve as the primary Host Centers and that includes monitoring what is going on and sending out messages to appropriate audiences. The primary Host Center is the District of Columbia Homeland Security Emergency Management Agency. They have a MOU for backup capacity with other organizations such as the Commonwealth of Virginia Department of Emergency Management, the State of Maryland Emergency Management Agency, and Fairfax County and Montgomery County. Currently only DC HSEMA and the Virginia Department of Emergency Management are active as Host Centers.

RICCS runs on servers with backups located in Virginia and Colorado using Roam Secure software. There are 50 conference call numbers on reserve for simultaneous RICCS calls at all times through Premier Conferencing. Users can access the system through a web-based interface. Initial funding comes from a Congressional earmark to the region for homeland security planning. Subsequent funding has come from UASI grant funds for software licensing and other associated costs.

RICCS is a closed system and membership is approved by COG. RICCS has been used since July 2002 to deliver approximately 6200 messages that includes the announcement of numerous conference calls. The handout provided shows message traffic from 2002-2010 averaging 600 alerts per year. Figure 1 in the handout shows the message frequency and demonstrates the daily use of the system to include peak usage during major events. A breakdown of the message categories reveals that Metro rail and other transportation issues equal 38% of message traffic; testing and exercises totaled 22%, and the remainder is as follows:

- Weather Watch or Warning 5%
- Metro 10%
- DOT Traffic Alerts 42%
- Transportation Incident 28%
- Other 12% includes snow information, building evacuations, radio cache use, fire/hazmat, law enforcement incident, power/energy problems, EOC activation, demonstrations, flyovers, amber alerts, water supply, suspicious packages and large events.

The NCR Ops Center was created in June 2007 to allow federal, state and local watch desks to monitor message traffic instead of simply individuals in those organizations.

COG staff receives feedback on the system from several channels that include e-mails from the RICCS website, replies to specific RICCS messages found in the RSAN software log, exercises and training, and comments from COG committees, staff and other people. COG received 111 e-mail messages requesting assistance with RICCS in 2010. Most of these requests were to ask people to join and others requesting technical assistance. COG holds quarterly training sessions on RICCS at COG that are open to all users. The sessions are advertised on system-wide quarterly testing messages. COG staff also conducts onsite training for larger agencies when requested. The feedback (emails) identified the following problems for COG staff to address:

- Individuals needed to be removed from the system due to job changes
- Messages were not sent to all the appropriate groups
- Unnecessary messages to certain groups
- Technical issues with delivery of messages
- Difficulty getting timely approval for membership
- Too many people in groups
- Issues documented in exercise after action reports

Maintaining the system involved continuous work and the areas requiring special attention scheduled for FY2011 are:

- The addition of third redundant server for increased reliability
- A shortening of group names to create shorter test messages
- Work with Maryland State Highway and the regional Metropolitan Area Transportation Operations Coordination Program (MATOC) effort to add more accurate transportation information to the system
- A recommitment of the backup RICC Host Centers that signed the original MOU to be trained and to exercise their functions
- A thorough review of each group's membership with committees, staff and local partners
- Make sure that the group's relevant sub-groups are structured correctly
- Increased emphasis on system testing and training at the committee level

In the last year, both RICCS servers have been replaced with new more reliable units; the Virginia server has been relocated to a new secure Virginia location; delivery of all Northern Virginia transportation incident messages has been automated to the NCE Operations Center Group; EOC Contact Book updated in response to request from RICCS members; and a large scale review of group membership was completed. Further, staff monitored message delivery and redirected messages to the appropriate groups when necessary. A third server will be added in the coming year.

3. Briefing on Metro Emergency Response Vehicle (MERV)

Description from Agenda:

24 Metro Emergency Response Vehicles (MERV) have been procured by Arlington County Fire Department under a 2007 Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) Grant specifically for Metro, and vehicles are available to first responders across the National Capital Region. The MERV is a battery-powered vehicle that is deployed on Metro running rails during major service disruptions. The MERV is used to transport first responders and equipment to an incident scene

and transport injured passengers away from the scene quickly. The MERV can transport four people at a time and can travel at speeds up to ten miles per hour.

Summary of Discussion:

Battalion Chief James Daugherty, Arlington County Fire Department briefed the committee on the Metro Emergency Response Vehicle (MERV). He began by giving the history on how they discovered the MERV. After the Tokyo Syrian attack, the London Brigade sent a team to Tokyo to identify their best practices. They discovered that Japan had a motorized cart in their subway system. They brought that idea back and implemented it in London and, as a result, MERV's were in place when the London 7/7 bombing happened and they used them very successfully in reaching the victims. Months later local Fire Chiefs developed an initiative to send a group of local Firefighters and WMATA representatives to London to identify lessons learned and best practices. During their visit they discovered the motorized rescue trolley which would benefit the metro system here. They asked several questions and had a ride on it and returned to identify that this was something need in the area.

UASI awarded a \$4.25M grant which included four programs:

- Training programs
- Emergency medical equipment
- Regional metro exercise
- The MERV carts

The training program had three parts that included lesson plans for all WMATA personnel and first responders. They all learned the same lesson so they all would speak the same language when they are on the scene. A disc with all the information has been delivered to all NCR agencies.

As part of the initiative, emergency medical equipment has been placed in all underground metro stations. The equipment is in the cabinets at the end of the platform which include stretchers, bandages, light sticks and there is room for more equipment as the need arises.

The regional Metro exercise included all jurisdictions in the region. The scenario was an active shooter in a station, a bus barn, an IED in a tunnel, a workshop for emergency managers and a seminar for the senior leaders.

The region purchased 26 of the MERV carts and 24 of them are placed in stations throughout the NCR. The District received 12, Maryland five and Virginia four. The remaining two are for training. Each MERV cart is priced at \$23K, runs at 10mph, has a range of 25 miles, weighs a little under 400lbs and can transport 6 firefighters or 4 patients on back boards quickly and efficiently. The MERV carts can be taken apart into 17 pieces and can fit into the back of a SUV or pickup to be transported off site.

Battalion Chief Daugherty played a short video for the committee that demonstrated how fast the carts can be placed into service and how they work. The carts include 2 wheel mechanisms that are attached together, 4 batteries and diamond plate flooring. The MERVs in the NCR are enhanced from the London Rescue Trolleys. They have extra motors and batteries for them to go extra fast and to be more efficient.

4. NCR Homeland Security Strategic Plan Implementation Update

Description from Agenda:

The Emergency Preparedness Council approved the Strategic Plan as recommended by the Senior Policy Group and Chief Administrative Officers on September 8, 2010. The approved document has been provided to members of the EPC, SPG, CAOs, R-ESFs, RPWGs and others. Dissemination activities include a media release, briefing to the U.S. Senate Homeland Security Committee staff and other community and media outreach. Mr. Robertson and Ms. Coyner will provide update on Strategic Plan implementation and outreach.

Summary of Discussion:

Dave Robertson, Executive Director, COG, briefed the committee on the NCR Homeland Security Strategic Plan Implementation. He noted that the EPC is the custodian and keeper of the Strategic Plan, that the EPC adopted the updated Strategic Plan at its September meeting, and that the Strategic Plan is available on the COG website. He reported that the Congressional Homeland Security Staff has been briefed on the Strategic Plan and a briefing to the House of Representative committee staff is anticipated in the coming year. In addition, work sessions have been held for the State Administrative Agent, Senior Policy Group and the CAOs. Other outreach activities are anticipated in the coming year.

Mr. Robertson noted that now the current focus is on completing Investment Plans that include the goals and objectives, the previous investments in specific areas and how the region can leverage those investments, and the gaps and items that need to be addressed. It was noted that Chief Schwartz and others will be reviewing these Investment Plans to insure that they are focused on the high priority needs that will guide UASI allocations going forward.

Mr. Robertson provided the time table for implementation of the Strategic Plan and noted that the time table is available on the COG website. He further noted that it is hopeful that the Strategic Plan will infuse the work of local officials and other stakeholders. Sample Strategic Plan articles have been developed that can be used for community leader newsletters and community meeting. The two messages to take away from this discussion are as follows:

- The Strategic Plan does infuse and shape the decisions and the recommendations made by city and county managers, first responders such as Chief Schwartz and state officials that guide and shape the UASI process.
- The Strategic Plan may not be the hot news but it is important to the National Capital Region.

It was noted that Jeanne Saddler, Merni Fitzgerald and the PIOs are available to assist committee members in placing the Strategic Plan in a more contextual framework for the work that goes on in their communities.

Kelly Coyner, Chief of Staff, Senior Policy Group who led the consultants and other teams that worked on the Strategic Plan provided additional comments on its implementation. Ms. Coyner stated that the timeline provided should be familiar to the EPC because it focuses on the timeline for the UASI grant process and the implementation of the Strategic Plan will be consistent. She recommended that the EPC continue to highlight things that nonprofits and the private sector have as best practices and that the EPC continue outreach activities to nonprofits and the private sector in implementing the Strategic Plan. Further, she noted that Dave Robertson is the contact person for such outreach activities. Ms. Coyner recommended that

milestones and oversight responsibilities be established for the implementation for the goals and objectives in the Strategic Plan. She recommended that the first in-process review on the implementation of the Strategic Plan be at the May EPC meeting because R-ESFs and RPWGs will be focusing on the UASI projects by September.

5. UASI Funding Updates

Description from Agenda:

The EPC has requested that it receive updates at each meeting on all current and prior year Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) grants. Mr. Fitzsimmons will provide an update on current and projected expenditures associated with FY07 (closeout), FY08 and FY09 UASI grants. He will update the EPC on the award of FY10 UASI grants to R-ESFs, RPWGs and others. Further, Mr. Fitzsimmons will provide an estimated time line for the FY11 UASI process.

Summary of Discussion:

Mr. Fitzsimmons, Chief DC HSEMA Grants Management Office provided the following estimate and time line for the FY11 UASI process and provided a power point presentation to committee members. The FY08 period of performance expires on August 31, 2011. The FY08 award was \$59.8M and currently the grant is 39% expended. Sub-grantees that were issued extensions were given until May 31, 2011 to complete the work. The spending status of FY08 UASI grants is 34% completed, 56% allocated to projects, 5% invoiced and 5% on order.

The period of performance for FY09 is August 01, 2009 through July 31, 2012. The FY09 award was \$58,006,500. The grant is currently 7% expended. Sub-grantees received a period of performance through September 30, 2011. The spending status for FY09 UASI grant is 5% completed, 2% invoiced, 77% allocated to projects and 16% on order. The allocation for

FY2010 is \$59,393,477. The SAA received the FY2010 grant award on September 17, 2010 and all sub-grants have been issued. Sub-grantees are currently being asked to enter their spend-plans into NCRGMS.

Mr. Fitzsimmons briefed the committee on the outlook for the FY2011 UASI grant. Currently, Congress has not passed the Appropriations Bill for FY2011 as a result; the FY2011 Homeland Security Grants are behind schedule. If the Appropriations Bill is passed on or around December 15th, the UASI grant application would be due to DHS/FEMA on or around April 15, 2011. The SAA is developing a draft timeline for actions relating to the FY2011 UASI grant application and will present a draft to the SPG/CAO next week for review and comment.

6. Report on Human Services and Mass Care Activities

Description from Agenda:

Over the past 6 years the National Capital Region has made significant investment in building mass care and human service capacity. Ms. Mathes, CEO of the American Red Cross in the National Capital Region and Chair of RESF-6/11, will brief the EPC on Red Cross and other partner activities to build robust mass care and human service capabilities. Ms. Mathes will also highlight some of the successes and challenges RESF-6/11 members and other partners experience day- to-day serving our communities.

Summary of Discussion:

Linda Mathes, Chief Executive Officer (CEO) American Red Cross and Chair of RES-F 6/11 briefed the committee on the Human Services and Mass Care Activities. She noted that the partners are public, private, and nonprofit. They are all focused on strengthening mass care which is human care in communities throughout the region. The mission of the groups is to provide relief to the victims of disaster and help people to prevent, prepare for and respond to emergencies. The Red Cross does its work under a Congressional Charter that carries out the fundamental principles of the International Red Cross. The Red Cross delivers on this mission every day in communities throughout the world.

On January 3, 2009, the Red Cross in the area consolidated five chapters and five separate units into one operating unit. They cover Alexandria, Arlington, Fairfax, Prince William, Loudoun, Prince George's, Montgomery counties and the District of Columbia. Ms. Mathes provided a handout defining the Red Cross region. The Red Cross operates with 5000 volunteers, a core paid staff of 89 at 12 community locations and an additional 25 staff members operating out their National Institute of Health Office (NIH). The handout highlighted the 11 main locations where the Red Cross operates and the 12th one is the operation at NIH. Ms. Mathes noted that the core services provided by the Red Cross are as follow:

- Armed Forces
 - Service members and families briefings before deployment,
 - Emergency communication and support at area military hospitals, including all arriving flights of sick, injured and wounded warriors at Andrews AFB
- Disaster Relief and Preparedness
 - Locally: response 2-3 times per day and nationally: floods, fires, hurricanes and others
 - Regional disaster coordination center
 - Ready when times comes and ready rating (there is an on line free preparedness program that is an easy measureable way to increase preparedness). They have 40 companies who are members and the Pentagon Force Protection agency and the Joint Force Task force Military District of Washington. These companies also dedicate ½ days for training and mass care and commit to give one day for a drill and exercise.
 - Special Events – Inaugural, July 4th and NSSE Support
 - International Support – Haiti
 - Restoring family links
- Education and Training
 - Disaster Training and Preparedness Classes
 - First Aid/CPR
- Community Services
 - Youth Services
 - Red Cross Clubs
 - Club Red
- Blood Services – partnership with Greater Chesapeake and Potomac Blood Region to recruit more people to donate blood. They handle the testing and distribution of people donating blood.

Collaborating with their partners, the Red Cross responds to more than 75,000 disasters each year throughout the United States. Their relief efforts focus on fulfilling 5 key activities:

- Sheltering
- Feeding
- Disaster assessment
- Liaison
- Community outreach

All Red Cross disaster assistance is free, made possible by voluntary donations of time and money from the American people.

7. Winter Storm Forecast, WMATA Preparations, Snow Call and Proposed Parking Policies

Description from Agenda:

Winter snow storms can pose significant challenges to emergency response activities in the National Capital Region, as well as test emergency planning and response assumptions. National Weather Service staff will update the EPC on the weather forecast for the 2010-2011 winter weather seasons. In addition, WMATA staff will also highlight recent actions taken to keep Metro rail and bus service in operation during winter storms. The availability of Metro rail and bus service is often a significant factor in deciding if local governments are open for regular business during winter storms. Mr. Griffin will review NCR Snow Call procedures and IRE/snow forum recommendations concerning parking discussed by the CAOs Committee.

Summary of Discussion:

Mr. Tony Griffin, CAO Fairfax County, Mr. Chris Strong, National Weather Service and Mr. Peter LaPorte, WMATA, briefed the committee on weather and storm forecasts, WMATA preparations, the Snow Call and proposed parking policies. Chris Strong mentioned that the 56" of snow in February 2010 was a record; this is unprecedented and should not be expected. It was colder than normal last winter; precipitation was above average with all the snow in the region. We had record snow and it was a little bit wetter and colder than normal.

January is our coldest month and the snow season is usually December through March. Annual snow varies across the NCR from Southeast to the Northwest. The forecast office for the Baltimore-Washington area as well as the Climate Prediction Center of the National Weather Service develop the seasonal forecast. Going into winter this year, the weather pattern is the opposite of what we had last season. The forecast for the NCR is for 12" or less of snow this winter. The issue this year will be icing and precipitation events. Websites for the National Weather Service are weather.gov/Washington and mobile.weather.gov for individuals with PDAs.

Peter LaPorte briefed the EPC on WMATA Winter Weather Responses and Preparations. The presentation showed the challenges this year will be clearing snow and ice from the 3rd rail in yards and on main line tracks as well as passable roads for busses. WMATA has revised their snow plans based on lessons learned from last winter, authorized new emergency operations plans, and purchased snow removal supplies.

Metro rail enhancements include the following:

- Accelerate the movement of track maintenance support equipment
- Modified ice scrapers on rail equipment to help keep tracks clear
- Prioritize the Yellow Line Bridge to ensure vital regional connection
- Up to 20 trains have been equipped with de-icing equipment
- Heater-tape has been added to the third rail to keep it warm and prevent ice build up

The Bus and Access enhancements are:

- Worked with local jurisdictions to prioritize clearance of snow emergency routes to maintain bus service
- Leasing four bobcats and equipped six additional trucks with plows to clear bus garages.

8. Briefing on Results of 2010 EPC SLS and Updated RECP

Description from Agenda:

The EPC approved an annual Senior Leaders Seminar exercise as part of its 2010 work program. The SLS was held on November 8, 2010. Mr. Hajek will provide a briefing to the EPC on the scenario and preliminary outcomes. Mr. Brown will brief the EPC on the demonstrated effectiveness of the updated Regional Emergency Coordination Plan (RECP) in its first use in a scenario based event. Individuals who participated in the SLS event will be invited to provide additional comments.

Summary of Discussion:

Jack Brown, EM Planning Committee provided a handout and briefed the EPC on the 2010 EPC SLS and Updated RECP. The RECP revisions included minor edits to the base plan and major revisions to the R-ESF annexes and a process was developed for updating document and maintaining current version electronically.

Mr. Brown noted that the EPC SLS goal was to demonstrate that the region is prepared to respond to all hazards and able to effectively coordinate to minimize the impacts of a disaster and that the objectives were to increase senior leaders' knowledge and awareness of:

- The complexities and unique challenges of regional interagency and intergovernmental coordination and collaboration in the NCR.
- The value and importance of effective planning to the region and the nation.
- Inform senior leaders about the revised Regional Emergency Coordination Plan (RECP) through a discussion.
- Examine the coordination and development of public messaging during an event
- Enhance private sector coordination during a regional event
- Inform senior leaders on the capabilities of bomb squads in the NCR.

Mr. Brown reported that there were 107 participants at the 2010 seminar and that they represented 58 different organizations. The topics discussed were the Regional Emergency Coordination Plan, First hour checklist, RICCS, Fusion Centers and information sharing, Bomb Squad capabilities, coordination of public messaging and federal agency coordination.

The overarching themes were as follows:

- Effective communication among CAOs
- Situational awareness maintained
- Effective implementation of mutual aid agreements for infrastructure support
- R-ESFs have a tendency to become insular regarding individual jurisdictional issues
- It is unclear whether the correct clearances and access to classified/sensitive information are in place to share sensitive information among officials in the region
- Regional communication mechanisms vary across disciplines based on event

A hand out was distributed that provided the issues from the following groups: 1) Operations Support, 2) Community Support, 3) Infrastructure Support and 4) Emergency Services.

The Operations Support Group issues were as follows: 1) continuity and speed of services will be impacted during an event; 2) up-staffing of resources needed to protect people and infrastructure will depend on cost, potential declaration, mutual aid agreements and Emergency Management Assistance Compact (EMAC); 3) access to intelligence information varies across disciplines; 4) and there may be unintentional messaging.

The issues of the Community Services Group are as follows: 1) they need a directive to activate; 2) they will never self deploy; 3) community service capabilities are not well-known; 4) an influx of calls and in-kind donations will slow the ability to respond; 5) local governments should be forward leaning, determining locations for shelters and placing Community Service Groups on standby in case of need to activate; 6) local governments and agencies should communicate the types of personnel and volunteers needed; and, 7) local governments should ensure proper credentialing of volunteers.

The Infrastructure Support Group issues were as follows: 1) the impacts of personal evacuation on transportation plans have not been reviewed; 2) transportation plans should include safe ingress and egress for first responders and outbound rescue and support vehicles, and alternate channels for communications should be identified; 3) increased security at critical infrastructure facilities needs to be prioritized and linked to credible threat; and, 4) there is a void in the ability to communicate within the NCR via classified means.

Finally the Emergency Services Group issues were as follows: 1) hyper- vigilance (911 calls) will cause an increased reliance and strain on specialty teams during this event; 2) hospitals should expect the impact of cross-jurisdictional activity on hospital operations and transportation ability; 3) in explosive events, early debris management will be important to response; and, 4) it is unknown who and how prioritization decisions will be made for scarce resources in the region.

9. New Business

Chairman Principi invited members to share information in their areas of responsibility that may be of interest to others and/or recommend topics for the next meeting.

10. Adjournment and Closing Comments

A motion was made, seconded and approved and Chair Principi adjourned the meeting.

The next scheduled meeting date is February 9, 2011

**NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS COUNCIL
MEMBERSHIP ROSTER - 2010**

NAME	JURISDICTION/AGENCY	Y/N	ALTERNATE	Y/N
<i>Elected Officials</i>				
Phil Mendelson	District of Columbia	N		
VACANT	District of Columbia			
Phil Andrews, Vice Chair	Montgomery County	Y		
Camille Exum	Prince George's County	N		
Michael Knapp	Montgomery County	N		
Bruce Williams	City of Takoma Park	Y		
Mary Hynes	Arlington County	N		
Frank Principi, Chair	Prince William County	N		
John Foust	Fairfax County	Y		
<i>Committee Representatives</i>				
David Snyder	Transportation Planning Board	N		
Anthony H. Griffin	CAOs Committee	Y		
Stephen MacIsaac	Attorney's Committee	N		
Earl Lewis	R-ESF 1: Transportation	Y		
Mark Miller (Co-chairs)		N		
Dale Spangenberg	R-ESF 2: Communications	N	Tanya Gott	N
Chuck Murray	R-ESF 3: Public Works/Eng.	N		
Kevin McGee	R-ESF 4, 9 & 10: Firefighting, MMRS	N	Jim Schwartz	N
Mark Penn	R-ESF 5: Emer. Management	N	Jack Brown	Y
Linda Mathes	R-ESF 6: Mass Care	Y	Russell Gardner	N
Cathy Muse	R-ESF 7: Logistics Mgmt. & Resources Support	N		
Pierre Vigilance	R-ESF 8: Public Health	N	Vacant	
Linda Mathes	R-ESF 11: Food & Agriculture	Y	Russell Gardner	N
Jim Gorby	R-ESF 12: Energy	N		
Stephen Holl	R-ESF 13: Law Enforcement	Y	David Rohrer	N
Vacant	R-ESF 14: Long Term Recovery			
Merni Fitzgerald	R-ESF 15: External Affairs	Y		
Mary Foley and Regina Moran (Co-chairs)	R-ESF 16: Volunteer & Donation Management	N		
		N		
<i>Regional Program Working Group</i>				
Kevin Wood	RPWG-CIP	N		
Paul Hajek	RPWG-ETOP	Y		
John Donohue	RPWG-Health	Y	Zachary Corrigan Michael Peters	N N
Chuck Bean	RPWG-Human Services	N		
John Green and Ray Lehr (co-chairs)	RPWG-Interoperability	N		
<i>State/Senior Policy Group</i>				
Yi-Ru Chen	District of Columbia	N		
Millicent West		Y		
Andrew Lauland	State of Maryland	N		
Richard Muth		N		
Terrie L Suit	Commonwealth of Virginia	N		
Michael Cline		Y		
Steward Beckham	The Office of NCRC	Y	Ken Wall	N

**NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS COUNCIL
MEMBERSHIP ROSTER - 2009**

<i>Departments of Transportation</i>				
Gabe Klein	DDOT	N	Natalie Jones-Best	N
Michael Fischer	MDOT	Y		
Mary Lynn Tischer	VDOT	N		
<i>Federal Government</i>				
Robert Young	US Capitol Police	N		
CAPT. Mark P. O'Malley	U.S. Coast Guard	N	Lt. Thomas P. Hrynyshyn	N
Dean Hunter	OPM	N	Dwayne Butler	N
Kathy Montgomery	GSA	N	Janice Arnold	N
Robert Welch	FEMA Region III	N		
MG Karl E. Horst	Joint Force Command/NCR	N	Egon Hawrylak Arnaldo Claudio	Y N
<i>Other Stakeholders</i>				
Chuck Bean	Non-Profit Roundtable	N		
John B. Childers	Consortium of Universities	N		
Mike Maxwell	PEPCO	N	George Gascser	N
Libby Garvey	Wash. Area Boards of Education	Y		
Robert Malson	D.C. Hospital Association	N		
Terri Freeman	Community Foundation	Y		
Linda Mathes	Red Cross	Y	Joseph Sciuto	N
Jim Dinegar	GWBOT	Y		
Richard Sarles (Acting GM)	WMATA	N	Steve Kral	N
Elmer Tippet, Jr.	MWAA	N		
Arthur Gosling	Wash. Area School Super.	Y		
William Hanbury	United Way	N		
David Robertson	MWCOG	Y	Dave McMillion	Y

Last Updated: 11/10/2010 12:22 PM

NCR - EPC MEETING

Wednesday, November 10, 2:30 pm - 4:30 pm
 Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments
 777 North Capital Street, NE
 Suite 300
 Washington, DC 20002 - www.mwco.org
 Training Center (1st Floor)

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

Name	E-mail/Telephone	Organization
Toga Donohue	Donohue@MTEARSS.ORG 410-307-0021	MTEARSS
Lisa Lutes	Llutes@DRELESSVA.NY	Lutes Red Cross
Stephanie Jaffe	sjaffe@arlingtonva.us / 703-328-4738	Arlington County ERM
Jack Brown	jbrown@arlingtonva.us	"
Darrell Adams	Darrell.Adams@ST-HANCOCK.NORTHCAROLINA.MIL	STHQ-DCR/MDU
Egon Hawrylak	Egon.Hawrylak@ST-HANCOCK.NORTHCAROLINA.MIL	STHQ-DCR/MDU
Stephen Hill	Stephen.Hollomila@com	MWA
Allison Taylor	ataylor@wittassociates.com	Witt Associates
Tim Fitzsimmons		HSEM a
Lisa Connor	Lisa.Connor@montgomerycountymd.gov 410 277 3657	Montgomery County GENIS
Paul Heyde	PHAYDE@MONTGOMERYCOUNTY.MD.GOV	MEMA
Tom Foust	TOM.FOUST@FAIRFAXCOUNTY.GOV	FAIRFAX COUNTY B.O.S
Reavis Williams		Trazano Park

NCR - EPC MEETING

Wednesday, November 10, 2:30 pm - 4:30 pm
 Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments
 777 North Capitol Street, NE
 Suite 300
 Washington, DC 20002 - www.mwcoq.org
 Training Center (1st Floor)

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

Name	E-mail/Telephone	Organization
Mike Stallings		NCR SPC
Krista Sweet		MEMA
Kristen Storgus		IMBOT
Kerri Fitzgerald		FX City
Steward Beckham		FEMA/WCRC
Jacques Sneydman		FEMA/WCRC
Colly Coppe		SPS
Arlin Gaskins		WASSC
Tony Griffin		FX CO
Charles Madden		NCR SAA
Nancy Ann Holt		Wanted/Wing
Libby Hanney		
Mike Sweet		VDC

NCR - EPC MEETING

Wednesday, November 10, 2:30 pm - 4:30 pm
 Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments
 777 North Capitol Street, NE
 Suite 300
 Washington, DC 20002 - www.mwco.org
 Training Center (1st Floor)

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

Name	E-mail/Telephone	Organization
Egon Kurrak	EGON.KURRAK@TEHQPOR.MONTGOM.MD	TEHQ-VA
BES SWINER	BES.SWINER@MONTGOM.MD	VDM
Phil Andrews	PHIL.ANDREWS@MONTGOM.MD 2/727/6111	Montgomery County
MILLENCE WEST	millicentwest@dc.gov	NSMVA
Earl Lewis	rlewis@mta.wa.gov	MTA
CHUCK PRISOSTANO	Chuck.Prisostano@MontgomeryCountyMD	DEMHS

