

# Improving the Model's Sensitivity to Land Use Policies and Nonmotorized Travel

presented to  
**MWCOG/NC RTPB Travel Forecasting Subcommittee**

presented by  
**John (Jay) Evans, P.E., AICP**  
Cambridge Systematics, Inc.

July 17, 2009

Transportation leadership you can trust.



## Author Acknowledgments

- **Contributing authors include**
  - Xia Jin
  - Vassilis Papayannoulis
  - Paul Gilliam, Vision Engineering and Planning LLC
  - Gene Bandy, Vision Engineering and Planning LLC

## Introduction

---

- **Among stated planning objectives of TPB is to seek improved coordination between land use and transportation planning**
- **Recent actions to further this objective**
  - **Identification of 58 Regional Activity Centers and Clusters (RACCs) along major transportation facilities where focused development exists or is planned**
  - **Completion of 2007/2008 household travel survey which was specially formulated to include representation of travel behavior associated with RACCs**

continued...

2



## Introduction

---

- **Recent actions to further this objective (continued)**
  - **Development of a new traffic analysis zone (TAZ) system to permit study of observed travel at a finer scale**
  - **Conflation of regional highway network to NAVTEQ centerline map to improve accuracy and allow enhanced coding detail**

3



## Introduction

---

**This task was developed to provide thoughts on how best to improve the regional model's sensitivity to land use and transportation in a practical way**

- **Reviewed and considered state of the practice, advanced practices, and the current TPB practice**
- **Explored key questions posed as part of the task**
- **Provided suggestions for possible short and longer term directions**

4



## Background Review

---

- **Incorporation of Land Use Factors in Travel Models**
  - **Almost half of MPOs forecast one or more of the following: household size, automobile ownership, and income**
  - **Neighborhood land use density variables and accessibility variables have been shown to improve performance of trip-based travel demand models**
  - **Accessibility and density measures can feed into many places in travel demand forecasting process**
    - **Automobile ownership models**
    - **Trip generation models**
    - **Mode choice models**

5



## Background Review

### ● Nonmotorized Modeling

- More than half of large MPOs include nonmotorized trips as part of their model in some way but treatment varies widely
- Range of example treatments
  - Pedestrian three step model – special purpose studies (e.g., Central Artery/Tunnel model)
  - Pedestrian environment factor – e.g., included in auto ownership and mode choice models in Portland, OR
  - Binary mode choice model after trip generation – e.g., DVRPC and Triangle Regional Model (Raleigh, Durham, Chapel Hill)

6

CAMBRIDGE  
SYSTEMATICS

## Background Review Nonmotorized Modeling – Triangle Regional Model

### ● Existing Nonmotorized Model within Trip Generation

- Set of binary choice models are applied as part of the trip generation step to split motorized and nonmotorized trips
- Separate model for each trip purpose
- Developed based on home interview survey data

### ● Developing Enhanced Nonmotorized Modeling

- New activity-oriented household survey collected in 2006
- Incorporating additional objective independent variables in existing model framework
- Adding nonmotorized modeling through first three steps of model process (trip generation, trip distribution, mode choice)

7

CAMBRIDGE  
SYSTEMATICS

## Background Review

### ● Land Use Modeling

- Land use forecasts serve as fundamental inputs to travel model
- Transportation infrastructure, services, and policy could influence land use forecasts
- Some MPOs are moving towards integrating travel models with a formal land use model
  - Baltimore Metropolitan Council halted further development of its TRANUS model in 2003 and switched to PECAS development in 2005

8

CAMBRIDGE  
SYSTEMATICS

## Variables

### ● RACC Indicator

- Based on recent household travel survey analysis showing differences in household composition and travel behavior in *RACCs versus non-RACCs* it is attractive to look at this as possible dummy variable(s) for model estimation work
- However, it would be *preferable* to first determine if alternative, fully objective measures of pedestrian and transit supportive land use could be used to achieve similar differentiation of household composition and travel behavior
- This would avoid challenge of less-developed RACCs receiving similar treatment to more-developed RACCs and other potential unintended bias due to *subjective treatment*
- Likely that the selected *objective measure(s)* would be generally correlated with RACC designations

9

CAMBRIDGE  
SYSTEMATICS

## Variables

---

- **Potential Density Measures**

- **Net Density**
  - Ratio of activity measured to the land area devoted specifically to that activity (e.g., total households/residential acres)
- **Gross Density**
  - Ratio of activity measured to the total land area (e.g., total households/total acres)
- **Composite Density**
  - Looks at population and employment together (e.g., total population + total employment / total acres)

10

CAMBRIDGE  
SYSTEMATICS

## Variables

---

- **Potential Accessibility Measures**

- **Transit Accessibility**
  - Number of jobs accessible in certain amounts of travel time
- **Composite Utility**
  - Composite (highway and transit) travel time / cost impedance
- **Other**
  - Amount of attractions accessible in certain amounts of walk travel time or highway travel time

11

CAMBRIDGE  
SYSTEMATICS

## Key Questions

- **How can the finer-level TAZ system be used?**
  - Provides an opportunity to recognize and be responsive land use and transportation characteristics at a finer level
  - Align with the RACC boundaries and can thereby be tagged with a RACC indicator
  - TAZ system and network level of detail should be related (i.e., finer-level network coding follows)
  - Permits more accurate portrayal of density, accessibility, or other land use related variables

12

CAMBRIDGE  
SYSTEMATICS

## Key Questions

- **How would nonmotorized models be estimated?**
  - New household survey provides rich dataset on which new models could be estimated
  - Develop binary choice models that split trip generation results into motorized versus nonmotorized trips
  - Density, block size, connectivity, or other objective measures could be included as variables
  - Sample holdout could be used to enable validation of models developed
  - Look to other regions for possible guidance on most promising variables to use

13

CAMBRIDGE  
SYSTEMATICS

## Key Questions

- **What changes to the model would be recommended?**
  - **Vehicle Availability Model**
    - Current model uses household size, income level, area type, and employment accessibility
    - Possible improvements include taking into account a RACC indicator or an alternative, objective measure, such as density
  - **Trip Generation Model**
    - Current model uses trip rates stratified by household size, income level, and vehicle availability
    - Consideration to introducing additional market segments in the cross-classification framework such as RACC indicator, density, area type, and accessibility
    - Expand nonmotorized treatment to all trip purposes

14

CAMBRIDGE  
SYSTEMATICS

## Key Questions

- **How well can a regional travel model be expected to address these types of policies?**
  - **Nonmotorized Improvements**
    - Less important to model for determining demand for nonmotorized facilities than for determining changes to motorized travel
    - Planned nonmotorized improvements generally follow from policy directives and a desire to support lower vehicle trip generation as well as higher transit use

continued...

15

CAMBRIDGE  
SYSTEMATICS



## Key Questions

- **How well can a regional travel model be expected to address these types of policies?**
  - **Land Use Policies**
    - The travel behavior impacts of concentrated growth patterns should be discernable from regional travel model forecasts
    - Impact of TOD on transit demand should be discernable
    - Although not all underlying traveler response factors can be isolated, density and accessibility are solid indicators of travel behavior differences

16

CAMBRIDGE  
SYSTEMATICS

## Key Questions

- **What modeling components in the existing model might be modified to most effectively improve the sensitivity of the models to land use policies and nonmotorized travel?**
  - **Shorter-Term Improvements**
    - RACC Indicator versus Alternative Variable(s) Exploration
    - Vehicle Availability Model
    - Trip Generation Model (add Nonmotorized Split)

continued...

17

CAMBRIDGE  
SYSTEMATICS

## Key Questions

- **What modeling components in the existing model might be modified to most effectively improve the sensitivity of the models to land use policies and nonmotorized travel?**
  - **Longer-Term Improvements**
    - (Recommendations from Task 3 Regarding Framework Decisions)
    - Time of Day Model
    - Destination Choice Model
    - Expand Treatment of Nonmotorized Trips
    - Land Use Model