ITEM 8 - Information

April 16, 2003

Briefing on Project Submissions for the 2003 Constrained Long Range Plan (CLRP) and FY 2004-2009 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

Staff

Recommendation: Receive briefing on the attached project

submissions received from state, regional, and local agencies. The submissions were released for public comment and inter-agency review at the TPB Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC)

meeting on April 10.

Issues: None

Background: At its February 19, 2003 meeting, the

Board approved the solicitation

document for the year 2003 CLRP and

the FY2004-2009 TIP. The public

comment period began on April 10 and will extend through May 16, 2003. At its May 21, 2003 meeting, the Board will be asked to approve both the responses to public comments and the final project submissions to be included in the air quality conformity analysis for the year 2003 CLRP and the FY 2004-2009 TIP.

DRAFT

4/9/2003

Significant Changes Or Additions To the 2003 CLRP and FY 2004 - 2009 TIP

•		•						Fac. T	уре	# Lane	es:
ID	Agency	Facility	From/At	То	Complet	e Date	Improvement	From	То	From	То
MA	RYLAND										
1	SHA	I-95/495	Interchange at Arena Drive			2010	Construct	1	1	8	8
2	SHA	I-95/495	Interchange at Greenbelt Metro			2010	Construct	1	1	8	8
3	SHA	MD 28/Rockville Town Center Interchanges	Middle Lane	MD 586/MD 911		TBD	Study				
4	SHA	MD 355	Montrose/Randolph Roads	CSX Railroad		2015	Construct	2	2	6	6
5	SHA	University of Maryland Connector	I-95/495	UMD Campus		2025	Study				
6	SHA	US 15	at MD 26			2010	Construct	5	5	4	4
7	SHA	East-West Link Improvements	I-370	US 1		TBD	Study				
		InterCounty Connector (ICC)									1
8	SHA	I-70	Mt. Phillip Rd.	MD 144	2010	2015	Widen	1	1	4	6
9	SHA	I-95 Interchange and CD Lanes	at Contee Road		2010	2015	Construct	1	1	8	8+4
10	SHA	I-95/495	Branch Ave. Metro	MD 5 and I-95/495	2020	2010		1	1	8	8
11	SHA	I-270	Interchange at Watkins Mill Road Extended		2010	2025	Construct	1	1	04	0 6+2
12	SHA	I-270/US 15 (HOV)	Shady Grove Metro	Biggs Ford Road I-70	TBD	2030	Study Construct	1	1	4	6+2
13	SHA	MD 3	US 50	MD 32	TBD	2030	Study Upgrade	2	2	4 to 6	4 to 6
14	SHA	MD 4	MD 223	I-95/495	2010	2015	Upgrade/Widen	5	5	4	6+2
15	SHA	MD 201 Extended, US 1	Sunnyside Avenue I-95/495	MD 198	2020	2030	Construct Study				
16	SHA	MD 201 (Kenliworth Avenue)	Rittenhouse Road	Pontiac Street	WITHDE	RAWN	FROM PLAN	2	2	4	6
17	SHA	MD 210	MD 228	I-95/495	2007	2015	Widen	2	2	6	6+2
18	SHA	US 29	MD 650	Howard County Line	2025	2020	Upgrade	2	2	6	6
19a	SHA	US 301	south of MD 5 at T.B.	US 50 Mount Oak Road	2020	2030	Study Upgrade/Widen	2	5	4 to 6	6+2
19b	SHA	US 301	Mount Oak Road	US 50	2020	2030	Upgrade/Widen	2	5	4 to 6	6+2
23	Mont. Co.	Middlebrook Road Ext. Widening	MD 355	M-83	2020	2010	Widen	2	2	3	6
24	Mont. Co.	Father Hurley Blvd.	Wisteria	MD 118 Relocated	2020	2010	Construct		2		4
25	Mont. Co.	M-83 - Midcounty Highway Extended	MD 27 (Ridge Road)	Montgomery Village Avenue Middlebrook Road	2025	2010	Construct		2	0	4-6
26	P.G. Co.	Suitland Parkway	interchange at Rena/Forestville Roads		2020	2025	Construct		5		
27	MTA	Georgetown Branch Transitway	Bethesda	Silver Spring	2010	2012	Construct				
		Bi-County Transitway									1
28	MTA	Bi-County Transitway	Silver Spring	New Carrollton		2015	Study/PE				
29	MTA	Corridor Cities Transitway	Metropolitan Grove	COMSAT		2020	Construct				
30	MTA	Corridor Cities Transitway	Shady Grove	Metropolitan Grove		2012	Construct				
31	MTA	Southern Maryland Commuter Bus Ini	tiative			2010	Construct				

Strikeout - Correction/Deletion

Bold - New/Change

Fac. Type # Lanes

Significant Changes or Additions to the 2003 CLRP and FY 2004 - 2009 TIP

4/9/2003

ID A	Agency	Facility	From/At	То	Complet	e Date	Improvement	From	То	From	То
VIRG	INIA										
32a V	VDOT	Dulles Greenway	VA7/15 Bypass	Goose Creek Bridge		2006	Widen	1	1	4	6
32b V		Dulles Greenway	Goose Creek Bridge	VA 901 (Claiborne Parkway)		2004	Widen	1	1	4	6
32c V	VDOT	VA 606 (Dulles Greenway Interchange)	within Greenway R/W			2004	Widen	1	1	2	6
33 V	/DOT	I-395 HOV Connection	at Seminary Road		WITHDE	RAWN F	ROM PLAN				
34 V	/DOT	I-95 Interchange	@ VA 7900 (Franconia-Springfield Parkway)	LOV Access to & from West/from & to North	2010	2015	Construct	-	1	-	-
35 V	/DOT	US 1 (HOV Lanes) w/ bus/right-turn lanes	Route 235 North	I-495	2025		Widen	2	2	6	8
36 V		US 29	ECL City of Fairfax (vic. Nutley St.)	Espana Court	2015	2020	Widen	2	2	4	6
37 V	VDOT	US 29	VA 898 (Old Centerville Road)	WCL of Fairfax County	2003	2010	Study Construct	2	2	4	6
38a V	√DOT	US 50	Arlington County/Fairfax County Line	Washington Blvd.	2020		Upgrade	2	4	5	6
38b V	√DOT		Arlington County/Fairfax County Line	Carlin Springs Road		2015	Reconstruct	2	2	6	6
38c V	√DOT		Carlin Springs Road	Glebe Road		2015	Reconstruct	2	2	6	6
38d V	VDOT		Glebe Road	Washington Blvd.	2015 Reconstruct		2	2	6	6	
38e V	/DOT	US 50	Pershing Drive	Ft. Myer Drive	2020 2015 Upgrade Reconstruct		2	1 2	6	6	
39 V	√DOT	VA 7	Route 9	Market Street (Leesburg)	2004	2004 2015 Study		2	1	4	4 6
							Widen/Upgrade				
40 V	/DOT	VA 7 (King Street) - RR underpass	Commonwealth Ave.	Russell Rd.	WITHDE	RAWN F	ROM PLAN	2	2	3	4
41 V	VDOT	VA 7 (King Street)	I-395 @ Beauregard Street	Western City Limit of Alexandria	2011	2008	Widen Reconstruct	2	2	4	6 4
42a V	VDOT	VA 28	Fauquier County Line	VA 215 (Vint Hill Road)		2020	Widen	2	2	2	4
42b V	√DOT	VA 28	VA 215 (Vint Hill Road)	VA 234 Bypass			Widen	3	2	4	6
42c		VA 28	Bridge over Broad Run	Replace/Widen to ultimate width		2004	Reconstruct/Widen	3	3	2	6
42d		VA 28	VA 215 (Vint Hill Road)	Residency Road		2005	Widen	3	3	2	4
42e		VA 28	VA 215 (Vint Hill Road)	Residency Road		2015	Widen/Upgrade	3	2	4	6
43a V	√DOT	VA 28 (8-lane widen & interchanges)	I-66	VA 7	2015		Widen	2	1	6	8
43b			US 50	Barnsfield Road/SASM Interchange		2004	Widen/Upgrade	2	1	6	8
43c		Interchange	@ Barnsfield Road/SASM Interchange			2004	Construct				
43d		Interchange	@ VA 606			2005	Construct				
43e		Interchange	@ Westfields Boulevard			2005	Construct				
43f		Interchange	@ Sterling Boulevard			2006	Construct				
43g			VA 606	VA 625		2006	Widen/Upgrade	2	1	6	8
43h		Interchange	@ VA 668 (McLearen Road)			2006	Construct				
43i			SASM	VA 668		2006	Widen/Upgrade	2	1	6	8
44a V	VDOT	VA 28 Bypass 411 (Tri-County Parkway)	VA 620 (Braddock Road)	VA 234 (Sudley Rd) FFX/LDN Co Line	2004	2020	Study Construct	-	2	-	4 - 6
44b V	VDOT	VA 28 Bypass 411 (Tri-County Parkway)	VA 234 (Sudley Rd) FFX/LDN Co Line	I-66	2015	2020	Construct	-	5	-	6 4
45 V		VA 641 (Old Bridge Rd.)	VA 3000 (Prince William Parkway)	VA 640 (Minnieville Rd.)	WITHDE	RAWN F	ROM PLAN	2	2	4	6
46a V		VA 7100 (Fairfax Co Pkwy HOV)	I-66	VA 7735 (Fair Lakes Pkwy)	2010		Upgrade / Widen	2	5	6	6 − 8
46b V		VA 7100 (Fairfax Co Pkwy HOV)	VA 123 (Ox Road)	I-66	2015		Widen	5	5	4	6
46c V	-	VA 7100 (Fairfax Co Pkwy HOV)	VA 123 (Ox Road)	VA 5320 (Sunrise Valley Dr.)	2004		Study	5	5	4	6
46d V		VA 7100 (Fairfax Co Pkwy HOV)	VA 640 (Sydenstricker Road)	VA 7900 (Franconia-Springfield Parkway)	2015		Construct	2	2	-	2
46e V	/DOT	VA 7100 (Fairfax Co Pkwy HOV)	I-66 Rugby Road	VA 5320 (Sunrise Valley Dr.)	2015		Widen	5	5	4	6
47 V	/DOT	Proposed Metro and VRE Station(s)	@ Potomac Yards		2010		Study				
48a V	/DOT	Wilson Blvd.	N. Frederick	George Mason Drive	2010	2004	Widen Reconstruct	2	2	4	6 4
48b V	/DOT	Wilson Blvd.	N. Quincy St.	Washington Blvd.	2020	2010	Widen Reconstruct	2	2	4	6 4

Strikeout - Correction/Deletion

Bold - New/Change

CONSTRAINED LONG RANGE PLAN (CLRP)

2. Submitting Ag	gency: MDOT/State High	way Administrati
Impr Project ID:	1	
Last Modified	On: 4/10/2003	
Other Acti	on/Strategy	
rom		Completion Date
airfax County Line	<u> </u>	
an be better served.		e future so that
limited access or other p	rincipal arterial highway?	✓ Yes □ No
ition form under the given	criteria?	□ Yes 🗹 No
roject here:		
T III	Impr Project ID: Last Modified Study Illustrative Other Acti Inge along I-95/I-495 at Improve at Man be better served. The project ID: Last Modified Study Illustrative Other Acti Inge along I-95/I-495 at Inge along I-95/I	Last Modified On: 4/10/2003 Study Study Other Action/Strategy Illustrative Project Other Action/Strategy Inge along I-95/I-495 at Arena Drive. To To To The Project To To The Project To To The Project To To The Project The Project To The Project Th

1.	Location an	d Jurisdiction	2.	Submitting Agency:	MDOT/State High	nway Admi	inistrati
	Facility:	I-95/I-495		Project ID:	2		
	From/At:	Greenbelt Metro Station		Last Modified On:	4/9/2003		
	Jurisdiction:	: Prince George's County,					
3.	Project Type	e and Description					
				Study			
	☐ Transpor	tation Emissions Reduction Measure (TE	ERM)	☐ Illustrative Project ☐ Other Action/Stra			
	•	of project or action:					
		a full interchange at the Greenbelt Momentum inner loop Capital Beltway to the Momentum in the		•	_	•	
	Capital Be		netro ota			ater loop	
4.	Project Pha	sing					
5.	Purpose/cor	ntribution to regional goals					
		ct will improve traffic operation along the Greenbelt Metro Station and deve					
		with the local master plans and is co	•				
	goals and	objectives:	-				
	Policy Goa	als 1, 2, 3 and 4.					
2	Funding on	d Schedule Information					
٥.	Cost (In The		te of comi	oletion or implementa	ation:		
	•	ederal, State,	.0 01 00111		atori.		
		•					
	Cost and so	chedule remarks:					
7.	CMS Docur	mentation					
	Is this a hig	hway capacity-increasing project on a lir	mited acc	ess or other principal	arterial highway?	☐ Yes	✓ No
	If yes, does	this project require a CMS Documentation	on form u	nder the given criteria	a?	☐ Yes	✓ No
	If not, pleas	se identify the criteria that exempt the pro	oject here	:			
	Adding rar	mps to an existing partial interchange	e. Improv	e access to the Gr	eenbelt Metro Sta	tion.	

CONSTRAINED LONG RANGE PLAN (CLRP)

Proposed Project or Action Description Form

1.	. Location and Jurisdiction			2. Submitting Agency:	MDOT/State High	ighway Administrat		
	Facility: From/At:	MD 28 (West Mon MD 355/Middle Ln	tgomery Ave.) , MD 355/MD 28, MD 5	Project ID: Last Modified On:	3 4/2/2003			
	Jurisdiction	: Montgomery Cou	nty,					
3.	☐ Transport Description Study to c 586/MD 91 accommod	of project or action:	duction Measure (TERM) ge improvements at MD : County. Sidewalks will b	-	ategy 855/MD 28 and MD			
4.	Project Pha	asing						
5.	Purpose/co	entribution to regional	goals					
6.	Cost (In Th	d Schedule Informati lousands): \$0 ederal, State,		ompletion or implementa	ation:			
		chedule remarks:	SHA may break out the	the MD 28/MD 586 int	tersection as a se	parate en	tity.	
7.	CMS Docu	mentation						
	Is this a hig	ghway capacity-incre	asing project on a limited a	access or other principa	I arterial highway?	☐ Yes	✓ No	
	If yes, does	s this project require	a CMS Documentation form	n under the given criteri	a?	☐ Yes	✓ No	
		·	a that exempt the project he					
	CMS requi	irement will addres	sed as part of project plant	anning study.				

1.	Location ai	ocation and Jurisdiction			2. Submitting Agency:	MDO1/State Highway Administ		
	Facility: From/At:	·-	ockville Pike) Interchange@M	ontrose/Rand	Project ID: Last Modified On:	4 3/11/2003		
		Montgome	ery County,					
3.	Project Typ	e and Descr	iption		☐ Study			
		rtation Emiss	sions Reduction M	leasure (TERM)	☐ Illustrative Project			
	Construct Road/Mor	trose Road	oad grade-sepa	be included whe	☐ Other Action/Strand interchange impro		dolph	
4.	Project Pha	asing						
	355/Rando	olph/Montro	se Road intersed	ction and the CSX	ossing that occurs a (rairoad crossing. T adopted TPB's goals	his project is cor		
6.	Funding an	d Schedule I	nformation					
	Cost (In Th	iousands):	\$93,474	Date of cor	mpletion or implementa	ation:		
	Cost and s	chedule rem	arks:					
7.	CMS Docu	mentation						
	Is this a hi	ghway capac	ity-increasing proj	ject on a limited ac	ccess or other principa	l arterial highway?	☐ Yes	✓ No
	If yes, does	s this project	require a CMS Do	ocumentation form	under the given criteria	a?	☐ Yes	✓ No
		-		mpt the project her	re:			
	Upgrade o	of an existin	g intersection.					

	G RANGE PLAN (CLRP) Action Description Form
Location and Jurisdiction Facility: I-95/I495 University of Maryland Connec	2. Submitting Agency: MDOT/State Highway Administrat
Facility: I-95/I495 University of Maryland Connec University of Maryland campus in Colle Jurisdiction: Prince George's County	Project ID: 5 Last Modified On: 4/9/2003
3. Project Type and Description Transportation Emissions Reduction Measure (TERM) Description of project or action: Study to provide improved access between the I-95/I Maryland campus in College Park.	✓ Study ☐ Illustrative Project ☐ Other Action/Strategy -495 park and ride facility and the University of
4. Project Phasing Project In TIP Improvement Facility From	To # Lane Completion Date
5. Purpose/contribution to regional goals Provide improved access between I-95/I-495 park and College Park. 6. Funding and Schedule Information	d ride facility to the University of Maryland campus at
Federal, State, Cost and schedule remarks: Project included in CL 7. CMS Documentation	.RP as study only.
Is this a highway capacity-increasing project on a limited	

If not, please identify the criteria that exempt the project here:

1.	. Location and Jurisdiction			2.	Submitting Agency:	MDOT/State High	nway Admi	nistrati				
	Facility: From/At:	US 15 Catocti MD 26 Liberty	n Mountain Highway Road		Project ID: Last Modified On:	6 4/3/2003						
	To: Jurisdiction	: Frederick Cou	ınty,									
		e and Descriptio	n		☐ Study							
	 ✓ Construction ☐ Transportation Emissions Reduction Measure (TERM) 											
	Study to re		ion: existing interchange ension to Thomas Jo		o provide missing r		d from the					
4.	Project Pha	sing										
5.	Purpose/co	ntribution to regi	onal goals									
	Opposumt accommod	own Pike and \ date existing ar	to and from the north Wormans Mill Road a nd planned developm following adopted TF	t US 15. R ent in the	tamp improvements vicinity of the curre	s are necessary to ent interchange.	o safely This proje					
6.	Cost (In The	d Schedule Information (1998): \$3 deral, State, London	38,070 D	ate of com	oletion or implementa	ition:						
	Cost and so	chedule remarks	y:									
7.	CMS Docur	mentation										
	Is this a hig	hway capacity-in	ncreasing project on a	limited acc	ess or other principal	arterial highway?	☐ Yes	✓ No				
	If yes, does	this project requ	uire a CMS Documenta	ition form u	nder the given criteria	a?	☐ Yes	✓ No				
	If not, pleas	se identify the cri	iteria that exempt the p	roject here	:							

1.	Location and	d Jurisdiction	Submitting Agency:	MDOT/State Highway Administration			
	Facility: From/At: To: Jurisdiction:	Intercounty Connector I-370 US 1 Montgomery County, Prince George's C	Project ID: Last Modified On:	7 4/2/2003			
3.	Construction Transport Description The InterCocorridor in and approx	e and Description ction tation Emissions Reduction Measure (TERM) of project or action: ounty Connector (ICC) is a proposed new Montgomery County with the I-95 corrido ximately 5 to 8 miles north of the Capital I destrian accomodations included	r in Prince George's C	ategy y that would conn			
4.	Project Pha	sing					
5.	Purpose/cor	ntribution to regional goals					
6.	Funding and	d Schedule Information					
	Cost (In The	ousands): \$0 Date of o	completion or implementa	ition:			
	Cost and so	chedule remarks:					
7.	CMS Docum	mentation					
	Is this a hig	hway capacity-increasing project on a limited	access or other principa	9	✓ Yes	☐ No	
	If yes, does	this project require a CMS Documentation for	m under the given criteria	a?	☐ Yes	✓ No	
	If not, pleas	se identify the criteria that exempt the project h	nere:				

CONSTRAINED LONG RANGE PLAN (CLRP)

Proposed Project or Action Description Form

1.	Location and	d Jurisdiction	2.	Submitting Agency:	MDOT/State Highway Administrati			
		I-70 (Baltimore National Pike)		Project ID:	8			
	From/At: To:	Mt. Phillip Road MD 144		Last Modified On:	4/3/2003			
		Frederick County						
3	Project Type	e and Description						
	✓ Construc	·		☐ Study				
	_	tation Emissions Reduction Measure (TERM)		☐ Illustrative Project☐ Other Action/Stra				
	•	of project or action: ct would upgrade existing I-70 to include the	ne f					
	Phase I - P	rovide missing movements at US 15/US 34	0 i	nterchange. Constr	ruction complete.			
	NB to I-70 I I-70 EB and bridges . F	Construction of missing movements at the EB; construction of a third lane on WB I-70 I-70 WB to US 15/US 40 NB; construction Reconstruction of the New Design Road stresign Road Relocated. Improve the MD 9	; d of uct	ualization of two exi 5 new bridges and uure over I-70. Cons	isting ramps: US 15/US 40 SB to widening and/or redecking four struction of MD 914 Relocated			
	Phase 2A – Under Construction Proposed construction of ramps from eastbound I-70 to MD 35 Construction of Relocated MD 85 at MD 355 intersectio Widening of MD 355 from south of I-70 for approximately 2000 feet							
	• Interstate	e Maintenance Discretionary Funds, FFY 2	000) (\$4.0 million) used	for advanced			
		e Maintenance Discretionary Funds, FFY 2	001	l (\$4.4 million) used	for construction funding.			
		ction began July 2002. ed Cost: \$16.8 million (includes design and	1 ~	onstruction - \$15.7 m	pillion)			
		d Completion Date: Spring 2004)				
		ion of Walser Drive Storm Water Managem	en	t Ponds and Pumpi	ng Station			
		ry grading of Walser Drive Embankment n along Proposed East Street Extended						
	Phase 2C	in along Froposou East Stroot Extended						
		Urban Diamond Interchange and Ramps						
		n of Walser Drive om just south of Walser Drive to the northe	rn	nroject limit				
		widening of I-70 associated with the abov						
	•	Ride Lot in the northeast quadrant of I-70/N		-				
	Phase 2D							
	-The Patric	k Street Roundabout, westbound ramp, ar	nd '	widening of I-70 at v	westbound ramp			
	-The I-70 B	ridges over Reich's Ford Road						

- -The Reich's Ford Road Pumping Station
- -Ultimate eastbound and westbound widening on I-70

Phase 3

- -Construction of new MD 355 structure over I-70
- -Widening of MD 355 from north of Walser Drive to north of the new structure
- -Construction of Phase 3 is required before construction of Phase 2D

Phase 4

- -Widening westbound and eastbound I-70 from Mt. Phillip Road to west of US 40. Bicvcle/pedestrian accommodations included
- 4. Project Phasing
- 5. Purpose/contribution to regional goals

This section of I-70 was constructed as US 40 Relocated, and is known as the Frederick Bypass. Because it is a significant link in the National Highway System and is the only section of I-70 not meeting current interstate standards, this section of I-70 should be upgraded. This facility supports higher levels of development along an existing regional corridor, which continues to be targeted as the commercial/industrial employment hub of the county. This project supports ongoing and planned growth which is consistent with the Frederick County Comprehensive Plan (1995) and the Frederick City Comprehensive Plan (1995) which calls for the I-70 corridor to continue as the region's growth center. This project promotes energy efficient travel patterns. The proposed additional ramps will provide direct access to a designated growth area, and eliminate circuitous travel. Direct access is also provided between I-70 and I-270. These movements will improve mobility in a State Primary Corridor by connecting interstate and state primary routes. This is especially significant for I-70 since its role is to serve as the major east-west interstate, servicing trips from Baltimore to West Virginia. This project promotes existing and planned intercounty, intrastate and interstate economic growth. Construction of the missing interchange ramp movements will provide direct access for industrial and commercial vehicles and also help promote tourism. It will also improve mobility in a State Primary Corridor by connecting interstate and state primary routes.

This project is also compatible with the following adopted TPB's goals, objectives and strategies: Policy Goals 1, 2, and 3.

	Coals 1, 2, and 5.				
6.	Funding and Schedule I	nformation			
	Cost (In Thousands):	\$202,804	Date of completion or implementation:		
	Federal, State	9,			
	Cost and schedule rema	arks:			
		Phase I	IA - 2005		
		Phases	II - IV - 2010		
7.	CMS Documentation				
	Is this a highway capac	ity-increasing proj	ect on a limited access or other principal arterial highway?	✓ Yes	□ No
	If yes, does this project	require a CMS Do	ocumentation form under the given criteria?	☐ Yes	✓ No
	If not, please identify the	e criteria that exer	mpt the project here:		
	Received NEPA appro	val prior to Apri	I 6, 1992.		

1.	Location and	d Jurisdiction	2. Submitting Agency:	MDOT/State High	Highway Administrat		
	Facility:	I-95	Project ID:	9			
	From/At:	Contee Road Relocated w/ CD Roads	Last Modified On:	4/3/2003			
	Jurisdiction:	Prince George's County					
	✓ Transpor Description Construct	e and Description tation Emissions Reduction Measure (TERM) of project or action: a new interchange at Contee Road Relocat d and southbound from north of MD 212 to		ategy ctor-distributor re	oads both		
4.	Project Pha	sing					
5.	Purpose/cor	ntribution to regional goals					
	for propose which include	ct will improve traffic flow on I-95 mainline ed development east and west of I-95. The udes the I-95 corridor in Prince George's C s, objectives and strategies: Policy Goals	e project is consistent County and is compatil	with the Subregion	on I Maste	r Plan	
6.	Funding and	d Schedule Information					
	Cost (In The Source: Fe	ousands): \$100,761 Date of coderal, State, Private	ompletion or implementa	ation:			
	Cost and so	chedule remarks: Developer will particip	ate in the cost for pro	ject planning stu	dy.		
7.	CMS Docum	nentation					
	Is this a hig	hway capacity-increasing project on a limited a	access or other principa	arterial highway?	✓ Yes	\square No	
	If yes, does	this project require a CMS Documentation form	m under the given criteria	a?	☐ Yes	✓ No	
	If not, pleas	e identify the criteria that exempt the project h	ere:				
	CMS requir	romante will be addressed as part of proje	oct planning study				

1.	Location an	d Jurisdiction		2.	Submitting Agency:	MDOT/State High	hway Adm	inistrati
	Facility:	I-95/I-495			Project ID:	10		
	From/At: To:	Branch Ave	enue Metro Station		Last Modified On:	4/3/2003		
		Prince Geor	rge's County					
3.	Project Type	e and Descrip	otion					
	✓ Construct				☐ Study			
	☐ Transpor	tation Emission	ons Reduction Measure	e (TERM)	☐ Illustrative Project			
	Description	of project or a	action:		☐ Other Action/Stra	alegy		
	direct acce		np from the Capital B proximately 2400 feet					
	the existin	g traffic sign	nalized structure in t al at the MD 5/Auth F 5 and the outer loop	Road interse	ction. WB traffic or			inate
		_	anes and a left-turn la ommodations includ	_	uth Road from Auth	Place to MD 5.		
4.	Project Pha	sing						
5.	Purpose/coi	ntribution to re	egional goals					
			ve traffic operations Avenue Metro Station		apital Beltway at th	is interchange an	nd will enh	ance
	This projec	ct is consiste	ent with the local ma	ster plans ar	nd is compatible wit	h the following T	PB adopte	ed .
6.	Funding and	d Schedule In	formation					
	Cost (In The	ousands): deral, State,	\$69,648	Date of com	pletion or implementa	ation:		
	Cost and so	chedule rema	rks:					
7.	CMS Docur	mentation						
	Is this a hig	hway capacit	y-increasing project on	a limited acc	ess or other principa	l arterial highway?	☐ Yes	✓ No
	If yes, does	this project re	equire a CMS Docume	ntation form ι	ınder the given criteria	a?	☐ Yes	✓ No
	If not, pleas	se identify the	criteria that exempt the	e project here) :			
	The purpos	se of this pro	oject is to improve ac	cess to the	Branch Avenue Met	ro Station.		

1.	Location and	d Jurisdiction		2.	Submitting Agency:	MDOT/State High	nway Adm	inistrati		
		I-270 Interchange			Project ID:	11				
	From/At:	at Watkins Mill Ro	ad Extended		Last Modified On:	4/3/2003				
	Jurisdiction:	Montgomery Coun	ty,							
3.	Project Type	and Description								
	$\overline{\checkmark}$				☐ Study					
	□ Transport	ation Emissions Rec	luction Measure (TERM)		☐ Illustrative Project					
	Description	of project or action:		☐ Other Action/Strategy						
			at Watkins Mill Road Ext Watkins Mill Road Exten					nge		
	_		ne northbound and sout					-to-		
	six lane co	nnection of Watkin	s Mill Road from MD 117			•				
	Bicycle/ped	destrian accommod	dations included							
4.	Project Phas	sing								
5.	Purpose/con	tribution to regional	goals							
			ng congestion at the I-27							
			oid growth in the I-270 co Grove Road MARC com							
			B goals, objectives and				•			
3.	Funding and	Schedule Information	on							
	Cost (In Tho	ousands): \$0	Date of co	m	pletion or implementa	ition:				
	Source: Fee	deral, State,								
	Cost and sc	hedule remarks:	\$132,868 is included in	th	e CLRP. Constructi	ion schedule depe	ends on			
			completion of Watkins							
7.	CMS Docum	nentation								
	Is this a high	nway capacity-increa	sing project on a limited a	CC	ess or other principal	arterial highway?	☐ Yes	✓ No		
	If yes, does	this project require a	CMS Documentation form	า น	inder the given criteria	a?	✓ Yes	□ No		
	If not, please	e identify the criteria	that exempt the project he	ere	:					
	CMS requir	ements will be add	ressed as part of projec	et i	olanning study.					

CONSTRAINED LONG RANGE PLAN (CLRP)

Proposed Project or Action Description Form

1.	Location ar	nd Jurisdiction	n	2	. Submitting Agency:	MDOT/State High	hway Adm	ninistrati
	Facility:	I-270/US 15			Project ID:	12		
	From/At:	Shady Gro	ve Metro		Last Modified On:	4/9/2003		
	To: Jurisdiction	I-70 : Montgome	ry County, Frederi	ck County,				
3.	Project Typ	e and Descri	iption					
	✓ Construc		•		☐ Study			
	☐ Transpo	rtation Emiss	ions Reduction Mea	sure (TERM)	☐ Illustrative Project			
	Description	of project or	action:		☐ Other Action/Stra	ategy		
				ts in the I-270/U	S 15 corridor. The I	-270/MD 85 interd	hange wi	ll be
4.	Project Pha	asing						
	the City of corridor. needed to County. T Germanto	f Frederick. I-270 is a he relieve exis his project i wn Master F ct is also co	Traffic conditions eavily traveled company training and future training consistent with Plan, Gaithersburg	will continue to nmuter and freig affic and to tie in the local maste and Vicinity Ma	eperienced throughed deteriorate due to ght transportation realth realth transportation realth	rapid developme oute and improve Ilready underway Clarksburg and Vi rederick County	nt along t ments are in Montg cinity, Regional	his e omery Plan.
6.	Funding an	d Schedule II	nformation					
	Cost (In Th	ousands):	\$1,655,000	Date of com	pletion or implementa	ition:		
	Fe	ederal, State	9,					
	Cost and s	chedule rema	arks:					
7.	CMS Docui	mentation						
	Is this a hig	ghway capaci	ity-increasing projec	t on a limited acc	cess or other principal	arterial highway?	✓ Yes	□ No
	If yes, does	s this project	require a CMS Docu	umentation form (under the given criteria	a?	☐ Yes	✓ No
	If not, pleas	se identify the	e criteria that exemp	ot the project here	: :			
	CMS requi	irements wil	I be addressed as	part of the proj	ect planning study.			

1.	Location an	d Jurisdiction	Submitting Agency:	MDOT/State High	hway Adn	ninistrati
	From/At: To: Jurisdiction	MD 3, Robert Crain Highway US 50 Anne Arundel County Line Prince George's County,	Project ID: Last Modified On:	13 4/10/2003		
3.	✓ Construction Transportion MD 3 is cuits little acc	e and Description ction tation Emissions Reduction Measure (TERM of project or action: rrently a four-lane divided principal arter cess control today. This project will stud orge's and Anne Arundel Counties.	☐ Other Action/Stra	ategy tr <mark>avel from US 50</mark>		
4.	Project Pha	asing				
5.	Adjacent d project wo Baltimore- the Master	ntribution to regional goals levelopment and heavy through traffic al ould improve travel between the Washing Washington International Airport. This Plan for Bowie-Collington-Mitchellville ct is also compatible with the following a and 3.	gton and Baltimore region project is consistent with and Vicinity.	ons and improve a h local master pla	access to ans, includ	the ding
6.	Cost (In The	d Schedule Information busands): \$106,747 Date o	f completion or implementa	ation:		
	Cost and so	chedule remarks:				
7.	CMS Docur	mentation				
	Is this a hig	hway capacity-increasing project on a limite	ed access or other principa	l arterial highway?	✓ Yes	☐ No
	If yes, does	this project require a CMS Documentation f	form under the given criteria	a?	☐ Yes	✓ No
		se identify the criteria that exempt the project rements will be addressed during project.				

1.	Location and Jurisdiction Facility: MD 4 Pennsylvania Avenue	2	2. Submitting Agency:	gency: MDOT/State Highway Administra			
	From/At: MD To: I-95	4, Pennsylvania Avenue 223 /I-495 nce George's County		Project ID: Last Modified On:	14 3/12/2003		
3.	Description of po	roject or action: 1D 223 is currently a four-lane divid	ed pr		ategy partial access cor		
		minate all at-grade intersections, v n for the future HOV lanes.	/iden	the existing MD 4 to	a six-lane freew	ay and pr	ovide
4.	Project Phasing						
5.	Purpose/contribu	ution to regional goals					
	This project is	ould eliminate existing congestion consistent with local land-use plar n for Subregion V. HOV lanes will	s, ind	cluding the Master P	lan for Melwood-	Westphali	a and
3.	Cost (In Thousa	nedule Information nds): \$138,304 Date al, State,	of con	npletion or implementa	ation:		
	Cost and sched	ule remarks:					
7.	CMS Document	ation					
	Is this a highway	y capacity-increasing project on a limit	ed ac	cess or other principal	arterial highway?	✓ Yes	□ No
	If yes, does this	project require a CMS Documentation	form	under the given criteria	a?	✓ Yes	□ No
	If not, please ide	entify the criteria that exempt the proje	ct her	e:			
	CMS requirement Form	ents was addressed as part of proj n).	ect pla	anning in 1996 (See	Congestion Mana	gement	

1.	Location an	d Jurisdiction	2.	Submitting Agency:	MDOT/State High	nway Adm	inistrati			
	From/At: To:	MD 201 Extended/US 1 I-95/I-495 MD 198 Prince George's County,		Project ID: Last Modified On:	15 4/2/2003					
_										
	✓ Construct	e and Description tion tation Emissions Reduction Measure (TEI	RM)	☐ Study ☐ Illustrative Projec						
	Construct including a project. In	nn interchange at MD 212. Bicycle and	d pedes	☐ Other Action/Strategy Avenue to MD 198 as a four-lane divided highway pedestrian access will be considered as part of this pe on US 1 at MD 212 (Powder Mill Road).						
4.	Project Pha	sing								
	_									
5.	•	ntribution to regional goals of this project is to improve traffic mo								
	compatible Capital Re * Use trans the existing * Consider consistence land use at * Encourage developme * Serve the	et is consistent with the Langley Park, with the following goals indicated in gion: sportation strategies to encourage loc g transportation corridors and in close the likely effect of transportation policy of transportation plans and program development plans. ge transportation system improvement, transportation, and environmental eregion's transportation needs identificant systems.	the Lo al plans proxin cy deci ns with ts base I goals	ng-Range Transpor s which promote co nity to transportation sions on land use a the provisions of all d on how well they for the region.	tation Plan for the ncentrated develon n centers. nd development a l applicable short advance the over	e Nationa opment al and the and long	I ong term			
	This projec	et is consistent with the following TPB	's goals	and strategies. Go	als 1, 2, and 3.					
6.	Cost (In The	d Schedule Information pusands): \$201,637 Date deral, State,	e of com	pletion or implementa	ition:					
	Cost and so	chedule remarks:								
7.	CMS Docur	nentation								
	Is this a hig	hway capacity-increasing project on a lim	ited acc	ess or other principal	arterial highway?	✓ Yes	□ No			
	If yes, does	this project require a CMS Documentatio	n form ເ	ınder the given criteria	a?	✓ Yes	□ No			
		e identify the criteria that exempt the proj								

1.	Location and Jurisdiction Facility: MD 210, Indian Head Highway From/At: MD 228 To: I-95/I-495 (Capital Beltway) Jurisdiction: Prince George's County	2	Submitting Agency: Project ID: Last Modified On:	MDOT/State Highway Administrati 17 4/10/2003		
	Project Type and Description ☑ Construction ☐ Transportation Emissions Reduction Measure (TERM)		☐ Study ☐ Illustrative Projec ☐ Other Action/Stra			
	Description of project or action:		LI Other Action/Stra	negy		
	Old Fort Road South Farmington Road & MD 373					
	Also various multi-modal options will be examined fare:	or i	nclusion in this proj	ect. These TDM/TSM strategies		
Enhanced bus service with additional routes, increased express service, reduced headways, and traffic signal preemption Park and Ride Facilities Bus stop relocations to better serve transit patrons and provide improved traffic operations						
4.	Project Phasing					
5.	Purpose/contribution to regional goals					
	Increased development along this corridor has caus periods. Intersection improvements will relieve traff Prince George's County Master Plan for Subregion V	ic c	ongestion. This pro	ject is consistent with the		
	* Manage the supply of parking to support development high-occupancy travel.	nen	t and environmenta	l objectives and to encourage		
	* Develop and manage the transportation system to Amendments of 1990.	me	et the requirements	of the Clean Air Act		
	This project is also compatible with the following ad Goals 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.	lopt	ed TPB's goals, obj	ectives and strategies: Policy		
6.	Funding and Schedule Information Cost (In Thousands): \$264,259 Federal, State,	com	pletion or implementa	ition:		
	Cost and schedule remarks:					

1.	Location and	d Jurisdiction			2. Submitting Agency: MDOT/State Highway Administ			
	From/At: To: Jurisdiction:	US 29, Colum Sligo Creek P Howard Coun Montgomery (arkway ty Line		Project ID: Last Modified On:	18 4/3/2003		
3.	✓ Construct		n s Reduction Measure	(TERM)	☐ Study ☐ Illustrative Project			
	This project includes grandles Road, Brig also include bridge ove	rade separation gs Chaney Rolles intersection	existing US 29 from ns at MD 198, Blackl ad, Randolph Road, n improvements alo project will include	ourn Road, Industrial ng US 29 a	Dustin Road, Gree Pkwy./Tech Road a t MD 193 and Sligo	loward County lincastle Road, Mund Stewart Lane. Creek Parkway a	sgrove/Fa The proje nd wideni	irland ect
4.	Project Pha	sing						
5.	This project serves rapidly developing areas of Montgomery County and may influence growth in southern Howard County by providing an improved road connection to the Washington, D.C. and Capital Beltway job markets. The project is consistent with the Four Corners - Kemp Mill Area Plan and partially consistent with the Eastern Montgomery County Master Plan. The Four Corners - Kemp Mill Are Plan identifies the Four Corners and Sligo Creek intersections for improvements. The Eastern Montgomery County Master Plan identified MD 198 and Dustin Road for intersection improvements. The current revision process for this Master Plan is considering the other intersections. This project is also compatible with the following adopted TPB's goals, objectives and strategies: Policy							
2	Goals 1, 2,	Schedule Infor	mation					
٦.	Cost (In Tho			Date of com	pletion or implementa	ation:		
	Cost and so	chedule remarks	Randolph Roa Partial costs fo interchanges a	d is include or planning at Briggs C	he interchanges at ed in the TIP. , engineering and ri haney Road, Stewa uded in the TIP.	ght-of-way acqui	sition for t	the
7.	CMS Docum	nentation						
	Is this a hig	hway capacity-i	ncreasing project on a	limited acc	ess or other principal	arterial highway?	✓ Yes	□ No
If yes, does this project require a CMS Documentation form					nder the given criteria	a?	☐ Yes	✓ No
	If not, pleas	e identify the cr	iteria that exempt the	project here	:			
	* MD 650 o	verpass - cons	nt at MD 193 - const truction is complete arations will replace	i	•	s.		

			CONSTRAINI Proposed P		RANGE PLAN tion Description	•	,		
1. L	ocation :		tion Northern Corridor Trans i MD 5 at T.B.		. Submitting Age Project ID: Last Modified C		MDOT/State Hig 19 4/2/2003	ghway Adı	ministrat
·	Jurisdictio	on: Prince G	eorge's County						
3. F	Project Ty	ype and Des	scription						
	∃ ∃ Transp	ortation Em	issions Reduction Measur	e (TERM)	✓ Study ☐ Illustrative P				
[Description	on of project	or action:		Other Action	n/Strat	egy		
		-	e Road (A-62), MD 4, Co udy and right-of-way pre	_					
	Bicycle/_l Project P		accommodations includ	ded					
Proje ID	ect In	provement Faci	litv	From	т	Го			npletion Date
	<u> </u>		Garage (WMATA) Arl. Division	Wilson Blvd. / I			ocation in Ballston		
7 7 7 8	oroject v The proj of transp This pro Region:	vill expand ect will enc portation al	ress transportation and the transportation syste ourage concentrated de ternatives on land use a patible with the adopted	em in a man evelopment i ind developr	ner that protects in the corridor. nent. This proje	s sens The sect is	sitive environm study will cons consistent with	nental feat ider the ef n the Princ	ures. ffects ce
	Cost (In 7	Γhousands):	e Information \$0	Date of com	npletion or implen	nentat	ion:		
	l	Fed, State							
		schedule re	emarks:						
		cumentation	and the factor of the factor o	Co 20 - 1					□
			acity-increasing project or ct require a CMS Docume		•	•	• •	? ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	∐ No □ No
- 1	ı y e s, u0	es uns proje	or require a Civio Docume	ziitatiOII IOIIII (under the given C	incild.	:	<u> </u>	□ 1 N O

If not, please identify the criteria that exempt the project here:

CONSTRAINED LONG RANGE PLAN (CLRP) Proposed Project or Action Description Form 1. Location and Jurisdiction 2. Submitting Agency: Montgomery County Middlebrook Road Ext. Widening Project ID: 23 MD 355 From/At: 3/25/2003 Last Modified On: M-83 Jurisdiction: Montgomery County, 3. Project Type and Description Construction ☐ Study ☐ Illustrative Project ☐ Transportation Emissions Reduction Measure (TERM) ☐ Other Action/Strategy Description of project or action: This project provides for the widening of Middlebrook Road Extended from MD 355 to M-83 to its ultimate design as a six-lane divided roadway. Bicycle/pedestrian accomodations included 4. Project Phasing In Completion TIP Date MD 355 M-83 MC14g Middlebrook Road Ext. Widening 2010 5. Purpose/contribution to regional goals This project addresses the regional goal of improving the transportation network by extending Middlebrook Road between MD 355 and M-83. The project provides improved access and reduces congestion for residents on the east side of I-270. It provides an important connection to MD 355 and I-270. 6. Funding and Schedule Information Cost (In Thousands): \$6,000 Date of completion or implementation: Source: Local, Bonds,

Phase I Facility Planning is scheduled to start in July 2004. Project is

✓ No

✓ No

☐ Yes

accelerated through the Go Montgomery! program.

Is this a highway capacity-increasing project on a limited access or other principal arterial highway? \Box Yes

If yes, does this project require a CMS Documentation form under the given criteria?

If not, please identify the criteria that exempt the project here:

Cost and schedule remarks:

7. CMS Documentation

 Location ar 	nd Jurisdiction		2.	Submitting Age	ncy: Montgomery Co	unty				
Facility:	Father Hurley Blvd	d.		Project ID:	24					
From/At:	Wisteria Rd.			Last Modified O	n: 3/27/2003					
To:	MD 118 Relocated : Montgomery Coun									
	e and Description	,								
✓ Construc	·			☐ Study						
☐ Transpo	rtation Emissions Red	duction Measure ((TERM)	M) Illustrative Project						
Description	of project or action:			☐ Other Action	n/Strategy					
		construction of t	he final lin	k of Father Hur	ley Blvd from Wisteri	a Road t	to MD			
	f Father Hurley Blvd									
	construction of a bridge over the CSX railroad. Pedestrian provisions include include an eight-foot wide									
-	pikeway along the west side of the roadway and five-foot wide sidewalk along the east side. Bicycle/pedestrian accomodations included									
вісусіе/ре	edestrian accomoda	tions included								
4. Project Pha	asing									
Project In	F1114		F			# Lane From To	mpletion			
ID TIP Impro	ruct Father Hurley Blvd.		From Wisteria		O In Inc. Inc.	4	2010			
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	.5 110 110,000,00	<u> </u>				
5. Purpose/co	ntribution to regional	goals								
	-	•		_	on by providing an im	•				
connectio	n between MD 27, F	ather Hurley Blv	/d, I-270, M	D 118, MD 117	, and the Germantow	n Town	Center.			
6. Funding an	d Schedule Information	on								
Cost (In Th	ousands): \$16,00	0 [Date of comp	oletion or implem	nentation:					
Source: Lo	ocal, Bonds, Other,									
Cost and s	chedule remarks:				Planning Study" was		ted for			
					onsistent with the ap ted Phase I Facility P	•				
					cility Planning studies					
					is expected to be co					
		2003. This pro	ject is bein	g accelerated a	as part of the Go Mon	tgomery	/!			
		program.								
7. CMS Docui	mentation									
ls this a hiç	ghway capacity-increa	asing project on a	limited acc	ess or other prin	cipal arterial highway?	☐ Yes	✓ No			
If yes, does	s this project require a	CMS Documenta	ation form u	nder the given c	riteria?	☐ Yes	✓ No			
If not, pleas	se identify the criteria	that exempt the	oroiect here	:						

CONSTRAINED LONG RANGE PLAN (CLRP) Proposed Project or Action Description Form 1. Location and Jurisdiction 2. Submitting Agency: Montgomery County MidCounty Highway Extended Project ID: 25 From/At: MD 27 (Ridge Road) Last Modified On: 3/20/2003 **Montgomery Village Avenue** Jurisdiction: Montgomery County, 3. Project Type and Description Construction ✓ Study ☐ Illustrative Project ☐ Transportation Emissions Reduction Measure (TERM) ☐ Other Action/Strategy Description of project or action: Upon completion, this project would provide a four- to six-lane divided highway or parkway connecting the Corridor Cities of Clarksburg, Germantown Gaithersburg, and Rockville on the eastern side of the I-270 corridor as described in the Montgomery County General Plan. The project would extend from the current northern terminus of Midcounty Highway at Montgomery Village Avenue to Ridge Road (MD 27). Bicycle/pedestrian accomodations included 4. Project Phasing # Lane In Project Completion TIP Improvement Facility From То MD 27 (Ridge Road) M-83 - Midcounty Highway Extended M-83 - Midcounty Highway Extended Montgomery Village Avenue 5. Purpose/contribution to regional goals 6. Funding and Schedule Information Cost (In Thousands): \$80,000 Date of completion or implementation: Source: Local, Private, Bonds, Cost and schedule remarks: Phase I Facility Planning is currently underway for the portion of the project between Middlebrook Road and MD 27. Additional funds have been added in FY 04 and 05 to include the entire project limits in the Phase I study (Montgomery Village Avenue to MD 27). The County Council will review the

7. CMS Documentation

✓ No Is this a highway capacity-increasing project on a limited access or other principal arterial highway? \Box Yes ✓ No Yes

project is included in the Go Montgomery! program.

results of Phase I to determine whether all, or any part, of this portion of Midcounty Highway should proceed into Phase II Facility Planning. The

If yes, does this project require a CMS Documentation form under the given criteria?

If not, please identify the criteria that exempt the project here:

1.	Loca	ation and	d Juris	diction			2.	Submitting Age	ncy:	Prince Georges	Coun	ty	
	Faci Fron	lity: n/At:		ind Parkway hange at Rena/F	Forestville R	oads		Project ID: Last Modified C	n:	26 2/8/2001			
	Juris	sdiction:	Prince	e George's Coun	ty								
3. [Description	on Measure	(TERM)		☐ Study	Projec	·t			
	☐ Transportation Emissions Reduction Measure (TER Description of project or action: Construction of a new interchange to replace the PKY/Allentown Road EB crossover which will ser Bicycle/pedestrian accomodations included							Other Action of PKY/Forest	n/Stra ville	ategy Road intersectio			
4.	Proje	ect Pha	sing										
Pro I	ject I D T	In TIP Improv	/ement l	Facility		From		ר	Го		# Lane	Comple	
PGI	P2		(Suitland Parkway		interchange a	at R	Rena/Forestville					
	Fost	ter tran	sporta	on to regional goa ation strategies t different income	hat encoura		er t	trip lengths thr	ough	n balanced develo	pmei	nt of j	obs
6.	Func	ding and	Sche	dule Information									
	Cost	t (In Tho	ousand cal, O	• •	I	Date of co	m	pletion or implen	nenta	ation:			
	Cost	t and so	hedule	e remarks:									
7.	CMS	S Docum	nentati	on									
	ls th	is a higl	hway c	apacity-increasing	g project on a	a limited a	СС	ess or other prin	ncipal	arterial highway?	✓ Y	'es	□ No
	If ye	s, does	this pr	oject require a CM	1S Document	ation form	ı u	ınder the given o	riteria	a?	□ Y	'es	✓ No
	If no	t, pleas	e ident	ify the criteria that	t exempt the	project he	re	:					
		projec rchang		raffic engineerir	ng improven	nent (a re	pl	lacement of an	at-g	rade intersection	with	an	

1.	. Location and Jurisdiction	2.	Submitting Agency:	MDOT/Maryland	Transit Ad	ministr
	Facility: Bi-County Transitway From/At: Bethesda To: Silver Spring Jurisdiction: Montgomery		Project ID: Last Modified On:	27 4/8/2003		
	Project Type and Description ☐ Construction ☐ Transportation Emissions Reduction Measure (TERM) Description of project or action: Construction of the Bi-County Transitway as light rail will include hiker-biker trail for full length as well as Bicycle/pedestrian accommodations included			ategy hesda to Silver S	pring. Pro	ject
4.	. Project Phasing					
5.	. Purpose/contribution to regional goals					
	Providing a transitway between Bethesda and Silver availability of travel options in these two major commincrease the region's transit ridership, reduce congestandards, and support the State's Smart Growth law adopted Vision policy goals: (1) Accessibility and (2)	me stic	rcial and employme on, aid in Maryland's his project is comp	ent areas. The sy s efforts to meet atible with the fo	stem will clean air	B's
3.	. Funding and Schedule Information					
	Cost (In Thousands): \$371,000 Date of conference Federal, State	om	pletion or implementa	ition:		
	Cost and schedule remarks:					
7.	. CMS Documentation					
	Is this a highway capacity-increasing project on a limited a	acc	ess or other principal	arterial highway?	☐ Yes	✓ No
	If yes, does this project require a CMS Documentation form	nι	ınder the given criteria	a?	☐ Yes	✓ No
	If not, please identify the criteria that exempt the project he	ere	:			

CONSTRAINED LONG RANGE PLAN (CLRP) Proposed Project or Action Description Form 1. Location and Jurisdiction 2. Submitting Agency: MDOT/Maryland Transit Administr **Bi-County Transitway** Proiect ID: From/At: Silver Spring 4/8/2003 Last Modified On: **New Carrollton** Jurisdiction: Montgomery, Prince George's 3. Project Type and Description ☐ Construction ✓ Study ☐ Transportation Emissions Reduction Measure (TERM) ☐ Illustrative Project ☐ Other Action/Strategy Description of project or action: Construction of the Bi-County Transitway as light rail transit (LRT) from Silver Spring to New Carrollton. Project will include hiker-biker trail for full length as well as bike accommodation on all trains. Bicvcle/pedestrian accommodations included 4. Project Phasing In Completion TIP Date Metro Station (Eisenhower Avenue) Platform Extension, etc.

5. Purpose/contribution to regional goals

Providing light rail or busway between Silver Spring and New Carrollton will improve access and increase the availability of travel options in these two major commercial and employment areas. The system will increase the region's transit ridership, reduce congestion, aid in Maryland's effort to meet clean air standards, and support the State's smart Growth law. This project is compatible with the following TPB's adopted Vision policy goals: (1) Accessibility and (2) Regional multimodal interconnectivity.

6. Funding and Schedule Information

Cost (In Thousands): \$10,000 Date of completion or implementation:

Federal, State

Cost and schedule remarks:

7. CMS Documentation

Is this a highway capacity-increasing project on a limited access or other principal arterial highway? \square Yes \square No

☐ Yes

✓ No

If yes, does this project require a CMS Documentation form under the given criteria?

If not, please identify the criteria that exempt the project here:

Corridor Cities Transitway From/At: Metropolitan Grove To: COMSAT Jurisdiction: Montgomery	Submitting Agency Project ID: Last Modified On:	 MDOT/Maryland ¹ 29 4/8/2003 	Transit Administr
✓ Construction ☐ Transportation Emissions Reduction Measure (TERM) Description of project or action: To be built asnlight rail transit (LRT) line along the composition project will include hiker-biker trail for full length as Bicycle/pedestrian accommodations included		rategy opolitan Grove and	
4. Project Phasing Project In			Lane Completion
ID TIP Improvement Facility From	То	Fi	rom To Date
 Purpose/contribution to regional goals Reduces congestion, improves safety and enhances with the following TPB's adopted Vision policy goals interconnectivity. 			-
6. Funding and Schedule Information			
Cost (In Thousands): \$356,000 Date of c	completion or implemen	tation:	
Cost and schedule remarks:			
7. CMS Documentation			
Is this a highway capacity-increasing project on a limited	access or other princip	al arterial highway?	☐ Yes ☑ No
If yes, does this project require a CMS Documentation for	m under the given crite	ria?	☐ Yes ☑ No
If not, please identify the criteria that exempt the project h	nere:		

Т	rom/At: o:	Corridor C Shady Gro Metropolit Montgome	an Grove	;	 Submitting Agend Project ID: Last Modified On 	cy: MDOT/Maryland 30 : 4/8/2003	l Transit Administr
C M m w B	escription of construction letropolita netropolita rell as bike icycle/pec	of project or on of the Co on Grove. on area and e accommo destrian ac	orridor Cities Tra	nsitway (CCT) as vide an essentia stern Maryland. ins.	I connection betw		on, DC
4. P	roject Phas	sing					# Lane Completion
ID		ement Facility		From	То		From To Date
	✓	Dynami	Message Signs	3 Locations in	n the City of Fairfax		
R w ir	educes co tith the fol nterconnec	ongestion, lowing TPI	3's adopted Visio			dor. This project is nd (2) Regional mu	
	ost (In Tho		\$515,000	Date of co	mpletion or impleme	intation:	
		deral, State	•	Date of col		madon.	
C		hedule rem					
	MS Docum						
	_					pal arterial highway?	
lf	yes, does	this project	require a CMS Do	cumentation form	under the given crit	eria?	☐ Yes ☑ No
lf	not, please	e identify the	e criteria that exem	npt the project he	re:		

		S. M	D Commuter Bus I	nitiative	Submitting Ager Project ID:	ncy: MDOT/Maryla 31	and Transit A	dministr
	From/At: To:				Last Modified O	n: 4/8/2003		
	Jurisdicti	on: Sout	hern Maryland					
	✓ Const				Study			
	☐ Transp	oortation	Emissions Reduction	n Measure (TERM)	☐ Illustrative Pi☐ Other Action			
			oject or action:	of the Couthern Me			'amnananta a	.f 4h.a
				of the Southern Ma arking facilities in C				
	of over t	he road	coaches to provid	le the service and i	ntersection impro	vements at key lo	cations along	
			om Waldorf to Bra estrian accommoda	nch Avenue Metror	all Station just ins	side the Capital B	eltway.	
,	-	•						
Г	1. Project P Project In	nasing					# Lane Come	oletion
r		provement	Facility	From	то)		ate
_	<u> </u>		Arlington Boulevard Ped ar		nty Line			
_			Arlington Boulevard Ped ar					
5	5. Purpose/	contribut	tion to regional goals	3				
				in Southern Maryla				
	intercon		•	's adopted Vision p	olicy goals: (1) Ac	cessibility (2) Re	gionai muitin	nodai
6	6. Funding a	and Sche	edule Information					
	Cost (In	Thousan	ds): \$36,000	Date of co	ompletion or implem	entation:		
		Federal	, State					
	Cost and	schedu	le remarks:					
7	. CMS Do	cumenta	tion					
	Is this a	highway	capacity-increasing	project on a limited a	access or other prin	cipal arterial highwa	ay? 🗆 Yes	✓ No
	If yes, do	es this p	oroject require a CMS	S Documentation form	n under the given cr	iteria?	☐ Yes	✓ No
	If not, ple	ease ider	ntify the criteria that	exempt the project he	ere:			

CONSTRAINED LONG RANGE PLAN (CLRP) Proposed Project or Action Description Form 1. Location and Jurisdiction 2. Submitting Agency: **VDOT** Facility: **Dulles Greenway** Project ID: From/At: VA7/15 Bypass Last Modified On: 4/7/2003 To: VA 267 (Dulles Toll Road) Jurisdiction: Loudoun County, 3. Project Type and Description Construction ☐ Study ☐ Illustrative Project ☐ Transportation Emissions Reduction Measure (TERM) ☐ Other Action/Strategy Description of project or action: Construct interchanges at Route 653 and at Battlefield Parkway. Widen to 6-lanes Implement safety and operational improvements, as necessary. Reconstruct/replace bridges, as necessary. No bicycle/pedestrian accomodations included

4. Project Phasing

Project ID	Improvement	Facility	From	То	# Lane	Completion Date
		VA 606 (Dulles Greenway Interchange)	within Greenway R/W			
		VA 772 (Dulles Greenway Interchange)	within Greenway R/W		_	
			Goose Creek Bridge	VA 901 (Claiborne Parkway)	_	
		Dulles Greenway Interchanges	@ VA 653 & @ Battlefield Parkway			
				Goose Creek Bridge		

5. Purpose/contribution to regional goals

These projects are consistent with the original intent of the Dulles Greenway - to provide an additional connection between Leesburg and the western part of northern Virginia with Dulles International Airport. This connection is expected to improve the movement of freight as well as stimulate the economic development of eastern Loudoun County. As such, these projects support regional goals A-4, F-6, and F-12.

6. Funding and Schedule Information	
Cost (In Thousands):	Date of completion or implementation:

Source: Private,

Cost and schedule remarks: Goose Creek to VA 901: \$4,500 K.

VA7/15 Bypass to Goose Creek: \$19,000 K. Battlefield Parkway Interchange: \$5,000 K.

7. CMS Documentation

Is this a highway capacity-increasing project on a limited access or other principal arterial highway?
Yes
No
No
No
Yes
Yes
No
Yes
No
Yes
No
Yes
Yes

If not, please identify the criteria that exempt the project here:

Project is privately funded.

		C	ONSTRAINEI Proposed Pro		RANGE PLA ion Description	` '		
F	acility: rom/At:	Id Jurisdiction I-95 Interchange @ VA 7900 (Franc LOV Access to & f : Fairfax County,		l Park	. Submitting Age Project ID: Last Modified (34		
3. P	roject Typ Transport escription tudy prov ranconia- hat is, EE construct sicycle/pe	e and Description retation Emissions Recomposition of project or action: vision of LOV (Low-Springfield Parkwas to NB and SB to Verthis improvement. edestrian accomoda	Occupancy Veh ny (Route 7900) VB movements	icle) acces / I-95 Interd	change, which	n/Strategy :he west/from and		
	roject Pha	vement Facility		From		Го	# Lane	Completion Date
5. P	urpose/co	ntribution to regional				LOV Access to & from West/from & to North	ity of the	Franconia-
6. F	Cost (In The Cource: Fe	d Schedule Information	' 5 I	n FY-03, \$1	pletion or impler	mentation: \$3.1M in FY-01; \$2I	M in FY-0	0.
	MS Docur	mentation ghway capacity-increa			cess or other pri	ncipal arterial highw	ay? ☑ Y	′es □ No
	-	s this project require a se identify the criteria			-	criteria?	□ Y	′es ☑ No

Not funded for construction.

CONSTRAINED LONG RANGE PLAN (CLRP)

Proposed Project or Action Description Form

1. Lo	catio	on and Jui	risdiction		2.	Submitting Agency	:			
Fa	cilit	v: US	1			Project ID:	35			
	om/	-	ford County Li	ine		Last Modified On:	4/7/2003			
To		SCI	- Alexandria (I- rfax County, Pi	-95 Capital B		Last Modified On.	4///2003			
3. Pr	ojec	t Type and	d Description							
✓	Trai	nsportatio	n Emissions Red	duction Measu	ıre (TFRM)	✓ Study ☐ Illustrative Proje	act			
		•		addion mode	210 (121111)	Other Action/St				
lm By	pro / 20	vements 15, widen		oughout Prin	ice William Cou	ation of intersecti Inty northward in				
pe	ak į		the peak direc			VA 235 north to swill be reserved	•	-		_
Re	ecor	nstruct/re	place bridges,	as necessita	ited by mainter	nance demands or	other causes, to	o the	e 6-	lane width
						o on the US Route		y ar	nd v	will result
		-	proval for the l rian accomoda			ommended by the	Corridor Study.			
	=	t Phasing								
	In TIP							From	То	Completion Date
	$\overline{\Box}$	Widen	US 1		VA 235 South	VA 23	5 North	4	6	2015
000188		Study	US 1 Location Stud	у	Stafford County	Line SCL A	alexandria (I-95 Capital	4/6	6/8	2003
000637	✓	Install	US 1		@ VA 1332 (Hun	tington Avenue)		6	6	2003
000129		Widen	US 1 (as part of VF	P1f)	Armistead Rd.	Lorton	Rd.	4	6	2005
000129	✓	Widen	US 1 (3la. NB - 4 la	a. SB)	Lorton Rd.	Telegr	aph Rd.	4	7	2005
		Reconstruct	US 1 Interchange		@ Russell Road			-	-	2010
000164		Widen	US 1 (Neabsco Cre	ek Bridge)	VA 610 (Neabsco	<u> </u>	8 (Neabsco Mills Road)	4	6	2010
		Widen	US 1 (bus/right-turn	lanes)	VA 235 North	SCL A	5 South	6	8	2015
5 Di	rnoc	eo/contribu	ition to regional	goals		Beltwa	ay)			
J. F 0	ipus	S C /COHIHD	illori to regional	guais						
			Objective 1: In Corridor.	nprovement	of Route 1 will	complement the o	existing HOV, VR	lЕ, а	ınd	transit
6. Fu	ndin	ng and Sch	nedule Information	on						
Co	st (l	In Thousa	nds): \$655,2	232	Date of comp	letion or implement	tation:			
	-		I, State, Local							
Co	st a	and sched	ule remarks:	Reconstruc	tion of the Rus	sell Road Intercha	ange to funded b	v th	e U	IS Marine

Replace Neabsco Creek Bridge, widen US 1 from VA 610 to VA 638 -

US 1 widening Possum Point Rd. to Wayside Lane covered by VA 234

\$28,769,000

Construct US 1/VA 123 Interchange, Widen US 1 from Occoquan Road to

Occoquan River - \$61,435,000

interchange project - \$41,349,000

1. Lc	catio	on and Ju	urisdiction	2	Submitting Ager	ncy:			
Fa	acilit	y: US	S 29		Project ID:	36			
Fi	om/	At: EC	L City of Fairfax (vic.	Nutley St.)	Last Modified O	n: 3/11/2003			
3. Pr	ojec	t Type ar	nd Description						
	Trai	nsportatio	on Emissions Reduction	Measure (TERM)	☐ Study ☐ Illustrative Pr	-			
W	ider	US 29 t	oroject or action: to 6 lanes between Nu t US 29 and Gallows F	-	✓ Other Action		ıcting	an	
В	ECI Esp Mei	L City of cana Cou rrilee Dri part of t	ee segments: Fairfax (vic. Nutley Sturt to Merrilee Drive, aive to I-495. he Merrilee Drive to I-40ad) from 4 to 6 lanestt Drive.	und 495 segment, widen					
В	icyc		ety and operational in trian accomodations i	•	cessary. Recon	struct/replace bridge	es, as	neces	sary.
Projec ID	t In	Improveme	-	From	To		# Lane	Comple Date	
00011	4 🖂	Widen	VA 650 (Gallows Road)	Gatehouse Roa	ad Pi	escott Drive	4 6	2012	
		Widen	US 29	Espana Court	М	errilee Drive	4 6	2015	,
00011	4 🔲	Widen	US 29 US 29	Merrilee Drive	I-4 airfax (vic. Nutley St.)	195	4 6	2012	!
Ti tr	nis p avel	oroject is ling fron ent, para	oution to regional goals s intended to relieve th n the City of Fairfax or allels I-66 and serves a	the Town of Vienna	a toward the City	of Falls Church. U	S 29 , i	in this	
		ng and So In Thousa	chedule Information ands):	Date of con	npletion or implem	entation:			
С	ost a	and sched	dule remarks:						
7. CI	MS [Documen	tation						
ls	this	a highwa	ay capacity-increasing p	roject on a limited acc	cess or other princ	cipal arterial highway?			□ No
	-		s project require a CMS		_	iteria?		′es	☑ No
			lentify the criteria that ex		e:				

		Proposed Proj	ect or Acti	on Description	Form			
I. Location ar	nd Jurisdiction		2.	Submitting Age	ncy: VDOT			
	US 29			Project ID:	37			
From/At: To: Jurisdiction	Pleasant Valley D WCL of Fairfax n: Fairfax County,	Orive		Last Modified O	n: 3/11/2003			
3. Project Typ	oe and Description							
-	ction ortation Emissions Re n of project or action:	•	TERM)	☐ Study ☐ Illustrative P ☑ Other Action				
necessary	irfax. Cost is antic /. Reconstruct/repl edestrian accomod asing	ace bridges, as n		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		•	_ane	Completio
	ovement Facility	F	rom	т	0	Fror	m To	Date
00590 Study	<u> </u>		/A 898 (Old Cer		/CL of Fairfax	4		2003
Study	/ US 29	F	Pleasant Valley	Drive V	A 28	4	6	2010
·	ontribution to regiona nd Schedule Informat							
Cost (In Th			hata of comi	oletion or implem	ontation:			
-	•		al c of com	DIEUON ON IMPIEM	ionalion.			
Source: Fe	ederal, State, Loca	ΙΙ,						
Cost and s	schedule remarks:	VP7n - Study w VP7I - Reconstr	_		illey Dr. to WCL es at Big Rocky	-		
. CMS Docu	ımentation							

Is this a highway capacity-increasing project on a limited access or other principal arterial highway? $\ \square$ Yes

If yes, does this project require a CMS Documentation form under the given criteria?

If not, please identify the criteria that exempt the project here:

✓ No

✓ No

☐ Yes

CONSTRAINED LONG RANGE PLAN (CLRP)

CONSTRAINED LONG RANGE PLAN (CLRP)

Proposed Project or Action Description Form

			1 10000001 10	,00t 01 7 toti	on Booonpaol	11 01111			
1. Lo	catio	on and Juris	sdiction	2.	Submitting Age	ency: VDOT			
Fa	cilit	y: US 5	0		Project ID:	38			
	om/	-	ax County Line		Last Modified (On: 3/11/200	3		
To		The	District of Columbia		Last Mounica (J 07.17200			
Jui	isd	iction: Arlin	gton County,						
3. Pro	jec	t Type and	Description						
✓	Cor	nstruction			☐ Study				
	Tra	nsportation	Emissions Reduction Measure	(TERM)	☐ Illustrative F☐ Other Actio				
De	scri	iption of pro	ject or action:			The trategy			
lm	pro	ve the safe	ety of US 50 within Arlington	County by	upgrading it to	a limited acc	cess highv	vay	via the
CO	nst	ruction of i	interchanges and the reconst	ruction of e	xisting interch	anges and int	tersections	š.	
So So So	rt 4 rt 4 rt 4 rt 4	105 equival 106 equival 107 equival 108 equival	ent to Arl. Co. #AR2e ent to Arl. Co. #AR2b ent to Arl. Co. #AR39 ent to Arl. Co. #AR2d ent to Arl. Co. #AR2c an accomodations included						
4. Pro	ojec	t Phasing							
Project								ane	Completion
ID	TIP	Improvement	1	From		То	Fro	m To	Date
		Upgrade		ARC/FFX Line		Carlin Springs Road	6		2015
		Upgrade		Carlin Springs R		Glebe Road	6		2015
	Щ	Upgrade		Glebe Road		Washington Blvd.	6	6	2015
200001		Upgrade Reconstruct	US 50 (Arlington Blvd.) US 50- median barrier	Pershing Dr. North Jackson S		Ft. Myer Dr. Fillmore Street	-	6	2015
000091		Reconstruct	US 50 Interchange		load / 10th Street	Fillinore Street	- 6		2005
000146		Reconstruct	US 50 Interchange	@ VA 27 (Wash					2010
		Reconstruct	US 50 Interchange	@ VA 120 (Gleb					2010
	+	Reconstruct	US 50 Interchange	@ VA 110 (N. S			-	-	2020
					·				
5. Pu	rpos	se/contribut	ion to regional goals						
ma	ına		Γhe Washington metropolitar erformance, maintenance, an				e priority	to	
B. (3)	St	rategies: upport the	aintenance, preservation, re implementation of effective ents, elimination of roadside	safety mea	sures, includin	g red light ca	mera enfo		
3. Fui	ndir	ng and Sche	edule Information						
Co	st (In Thousand	ds): \$36,800 I	Date of comp	oletion or impler	mentation:			
		Federal	, State, Bonds,						
Со	st a	and schedul	e remarks:						
7 (1)	10 1	Documentat	tion						

Is this a highway capacity-increasing project on a limited access or other principal arterial highway? $\begin{tabular}{c} \end{tabular}$ Yes $\begin{tabular}{c} \end{tabular}$ No $\begin{tabular}{c} \end{tabular}$

To	rom/At: W	A 7 VA/VA State Lir arket Street (Lee oudoun County,	esburg)	_	Project ID: Last Modified O	39		
Do Im	Construction Transportati escription of aprove the seconstruct/r	on Emissions Re project or action: afety/operation		and/or non				e
W Re ro Bi	iden to six oute 7 Con	anes between f struct an interc strian accomoda	hange at the Ro			rg and implement rsection and cons		
Project ID	In TIP Improvem	ant Facility		F	т.		# Lane	Completio Date
		ent racinty		From	Тс	,		
000626	Reconstru	t VA 7		@ VA 711 (Willia	ams Gap Road)		4 4	2006
000585	Widen / Up	gra VA 7	nnals	@ VA 711 (Willia Route 9	· · · · · ·	arket Street (Leesburg)	4 4 4	2006
5. Pu Po co al 6. Fu Co	widen / Upurpose/contribolicy Goal 3 onsistent will modes and	gra VA 7 pution to regional Improving the th the region's or I facilities. Chedule Information ands): \$2,199	safety/operation goal to give prio	n of Route 7	7 while maintair	ning Route 7's stru rmance, maintena	4 6	2015
5. Pu Pe cc al 6. Fu Co	widen / Up urpose/contril plicy Goal 3 pnsistent wi I modes and unding and So post (In Thous purce: Fede	gra VA 7 pution to regional Improving the th the region's or I facilities. Chedule Information ands): \$2,199	safety/operation goal to give prio	n of Route 7 rity to man Date of com	7 while maintair agement, perfo	ning Route 7's stru rmance, maintena entation:	4 6	2015
5. Pt cc al 6. Ft Cc Sc Cc	widen / Up urpose/contril plicy Goal 3 pnsistent wi I modes and unding and So post (In Thous purce: Fede	pution to regional Improving the th the region's of facilities. chedule Information ands): \$2,199 ral, State, dule remarks:	safety/operation goal to give prio	n of Route 7 rity to man Date of com	7 while maintair agement, perfo	ning Route 7's stru rmance, maintena entation:	4 6	2015
5. Pu Co al 6. Fu Co So 7. Cl	widen / Up urpose/contril plicy Goal 3 pnsistent wi I modes and unding and So post (In Thous purce: Fede post and sche with the control of the control of the control with the control of the c	pution to regional Improving the th the region's of facilities. chedule Information ands): \$2,199 ral, State, dule remarks:	safety/operation goal to give prio on \$2,199,000 – to STP (w/ state n	n of Route 7 rity to man Date of comp	7 while maintair agement, performagement with the maintair agement or implemented access structured access structure access s	ning Route 7's stru rmance, maintena entation:	4 6	2015 safety
5. Pu po cc al 6. Fu Co 7. Cf	widen / Up urpose/contril plicy Goal 3 pnsistent wi I modes and unding and So post (In Thous purce: Fede ost and sche MS Documer this a highw	pution to regional Improving the Inth the region's of I facilities. Inchedule Information I facilities Inchedule Information I	safety/operation goal to give prio on \$2,199,000 – to STP (w/ state n	n of Route 7 rity to man Date of comp	7 while maintain agement, performagement performagement performagement performagement with the second secon	ning Route 7's strurmance, maintenance, main	4 6	safety
5. Pu Peccal 6. Fu Co So 7. Cl Is	widen / Up urpose/contril plicy Goal 3 pnsistent will modes and unding and So post (In Thous purce: Fede post and sche will be a sche will be	pution to regional cution to regional limproving the th the region's of facilities. chedule Information ands): \$2,199 ral, State, dule remarks: htation ay capacity-incre is project require a	safety/operation goal to give prio on \$2,199,000 - to STP (w/ state n asing project on a	n of Route 7 Parity to man Date of comp perform lirenatch): FY- a limited accuration form u	7 while maintain agement, performagement, performagement performagement with the second secon	ning Route 7's strurmance, maintenance, main	4 6 Ictures is nce, and	safety

				Proposed Pro	ject or Act	ion Descriptior	n Forn	n			
F To	rom/At: o:	nd Jurisdiction VA 7 (King I-395 Western C : Alexandria	Street) ity Limit	of Alexandria	2.	Submitting Age Project ID: Last Modified C		/DOT 41 8/28/2003			
D P co	Transported Transp	of project or SCOPE REI limits of the uct King Str pedestrian ac	action: DUCED for city," to reet's into	•	ng Street (\ Beauregard	d Street to prov	n/Strat	egy een I-395 and th dditional turning			
Project ID	ct In	vement Facility			From	1	То		# Lane	Comple Date	tion
00008		nstruct VA 7 (Ki		roals	@ Beauregard S	Street			4 4	2008	<u> </u>
6. Ft C S	unding andost (In Theource: Fe	d Schedule Ir ousands): ederal, State	nformation \$6,253 a, Local,	PROJECT SCC	PE REDUC	•	evious	sly allocated to	this p	roject	
7.0	MO D					rmont Avenue		•	·	•	
		mentation									
ls	this a hig	jhway capaci	ty-increa	sing project on a	a limited acc	ess or other prin	ncipal a	arterial highway?	⊔		☑ No
lf	yes, does	this project	require a	CMS Document	tation form u	inder the given o	criteria'	?	□ Y	⁄es	✓ No

If yes, does this project require a CMS Documentation form under the given criteria?

If not, please identify the criteria that exempt the project here:

CONSTRAINED LONG RANGE PLAN (CLRP)

1. Lo	cation	and Juris	sdiction	2.	. Submitting Age	ency: VDOT				
		VA 28	3		Project ID:	42				
F	rom/At		ier County Line		Last Modified C	On: 3/31/2003				
T			Manassas (vicinity of VA 2	234 Bypa						
Jı	ırisdict	ion: Princ	e William County,							
3. P	oject T	Type and I	Description							
✓	•		•		☐ Study					
	Trans	portation I	Emissions Reduction Measu	ire (TERM)	☐ Illustrative F ☑ Other Action					
			ject or action:							
V	iden f	rom 2 to	4 lanes from Fauquier Co	unty Line to V	/A 215. Retain	as facility type 3.				
th R Ir B	eplace	anes / up the exis ent safety pedestria	6 lanes from VA 215 to Magrade to facility type 2. Sting bridge over Broad Ruy and operational improve an accomodations include	un with a 6-lar ements as nec	ne structure an			,	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	í –	Phasing			T		41.			_
Proje ID		provement	Facility	From	-	Го	# La		Completi Date	on
			VA 28	Residency Road	d '	WCL Manassas (vicinity of VA 234 Bypass)	2	4	2002	
	✓ Re	econs/Widen	VA 28	Bridge over Broa	ad Run	Replace / Widen to ultimate width	2	6	2004	
00052	8 🔲 W	iden	VA 28	VA 215 (Vint Hi	ill Road)	Residency Road	2	4	2005	
	<u> </u>		VA 28	VA 215 (Vint Hi		VA 234 Bypass	4	6	2015	_
00017	9 W	iden	VA 28	Fauquier County	y Line	VA 215 (Vint Hill Road)		4	2020	_
6. F	unding ost (In	and Sche Thousand Federal,	• •	157,000	pletion or impler	mentation:				
7. C	MS Do	cumentati	ion					_	_	_
Is	this a	highway o	capacity-increasing project o	on a limited acc	ess or other prin	ncipal arterial highway?	<u> </u>	ZY	es [□No
lf	yes, do	oes this pr	roject require a CMS Docum	entation form u	under the given o	criteria?] Y	es 🖸	☑ No
lf	not, pl	ease iden	tify the criteria that exempt t	he project here) :					
U	pgrade	e to facili	ty type 2 is not funded.							
			•							

CONSTRAINED LONG RANGE PLAN (CLRP) Proposed Project or Action Description Form 1. Location and Jurisdiction 2. Submitting Agency: VDOT **VA 28** Project ID: From/At: **I-66** 3/31/2003 Last Modified On: To: VA7 Fairfax County, Loudoun County, 3. Project Type and Description Construction Study ☐ Illustrative Project ☐ Transportation Emissions Reduction Measure (TERM) ✓ Other Action/Strategy Description of project or action: Bicycle/pedestrian accomodations included 4. Project Phasing # Lane Project Completion TIP Improvement Facility From Tο Date VA 28 PPTA (Phase I) @ Barnsfield US 50 to Barnsfield (SASM) widen / VA 28 PPTA (Phase I) **V** VA 28 interchange @ VA 763 (Barnsfield Rd.) VA 28 PPTA (Phase I) Interchange @ VA 625 (Church & Waxpool Rds.) VA 28 PPTA (Phase I) Interchange @ VA 606 (Old Ox Rd.) VA 28 PPTA (Phase I) Interchange @ Westfields Boulevard VA 28 PPTA (Phase I) Interchange @ VA 668 (McLearen Road) SASM Interchange to VA 668 VA 28 PPTA (Phase I) Interchange @ Sterling Boulevard П VA 28 PPTA (Phase II) 5. Purpose/contribution to regional goals If implemented, the proposed improvements would be consistent with the Region's Policy Goal 8 in that they would improve access to Washington Dulles International Airport; thereby, supporting international and inter-regional travel and commerce. The proposed improvements would also be consistent with Policy Goal 2 in that they would promote a strong and growing economy. 6. Funding and Schedule Information Cost (In Thousands): \$173,791 Date of completion or implementation: Source: Federal, State, Local, Private, Bonds, Cost and schedule remarks: VP6a-Construct turn lanes at VA 606 (Old Ox Road)-\$800,000 VP6c-Construct partial interchange at Barnsfield Road-\$5,950,000 VP6e-Study widening and upgrade from I-66 to Dulles Toll Road-VP6ga-Study upgrading to freeway from Dulles Toll Road to VA 7-VP6gb-Construct interchange at VA 625 (Church Rd.) (PE & RW only)-\$ 7. CMS Documentation □ No

☐ Yes

✓ No

If not inlease identify the criteria that exempt the project here.

If yes, does this project require a CMS Documentation form under the given criteria?

			Proposed Proj	ect or Ac	tion Description	n Form			
	ocation ar acility:	nd Jurisdiction VA 411 (Tri-County VA 234 (Sudley Ro		VA 28	2. Submitting Age Project ID: Last Modified C	44			
Jı	urisdiction	: Fairfax County, Lo	oudoun County,	Princ					
D N C co	Transported to the control of the co	e and Description rtation Emissions Recomplet or action: ne facility between 200' right-of-way settends into Fairfax and would proceed A 606 (Old Ox Road destrian accomoda	Route 234 in Printrip exists betwee County and inclusions northwesterly, pd).	ince Willia en Route udes an ir	234 and the Fa nterchange with	n/Strategy st of Manassas irfax County Li I-66. From I-6	ine. Alignm 66, it is envis	ent sioned	
	roject Pha	asing			Ţ		T., .		
Projed ID	t In TIP Impro	vement Facility	F	From	•	То	# Lane	Comple Date	etion e
00052		l l	Parkway) (nee VA 28					.1	
		VA 411 (Tri-County	Parkway) (nee VA 28		-				
		VA 411 (Tri-County	Parkway) (nee VA 28					_	
P in w 6. Fu	olicy Goa terconne ith minin unding and ost (In Th	ntribution to regional al 2, Objective 5: Tected transportation al adverse impacts d Schedule Informations \$68,00	The Washington In system Effice In son residents are	cient and nd the env	safe movement	of people, goo			
S	ource: Fe	ederal, State,							
С	ost and s	chedule remarks:	RSTP: \$1.5M FY	′-02 .					
			\$68,000,000 - VI VP6i (I-66 to US only.		34 Bypass to I-6 /P6j (US 50 to V		e identified a	as stud	dies
7. C	MS Docui	mentation							
ls	this a hig	hway capacity-increa	asing project on a	limited ac	cess or other prir	ncipal arterial hiç	ghway? 🗹 🕻	Yes	□ No
lf	yes, does	this project require a	a CMS Documenta	ation form	under the given o	criteria?		Yes	✓ No

If not, please identify the criteria that exempt the project here:

The segments are not funded for construction.

CONSTRAINED LONG RANGE PLAN (CLRP)

1. Lo	cation and Ju	risdiction			2.	Submitting Age	ency: VDOT		
Fa	cility: VA	7100 (Fa	airfax C	o Pkwy HOV)		Project ID:	46		
		to Rug	-			Last Modified C	n: 3/20/2003		
To			_	Fair Lakes Par	kway/M				
Ju	risdiction: Fai	rtax Cou	ınty,						
3. Pro	oject Type an	d Descrip	otion						
✓						✓ Study			
	Transportatio	n Emissi	ons Rec	luction Measure	(TERM)	☐ Illustrative F☐ Other Action			
De	escription of p	roject or	action:			- Other Action	"Ollatogy		
		_		-			n VA 7100 to 8 lane		
			-				s Parkway and Rug		
-	_	100 to a	freeway	between I-66	and US 50.	Additional lan	es will function as	HOV lanes	in the
pe	ak period.								
Wi	iden VA 773	5 (Fair L	akes Pk	(wv) to 5 lanes	(3 lanes EE	3) between Fair	Lakes Parkway an	nd Fair Lak	es Circle
		-		tions included	(0 14.1100 ==	, 201110011 1 un	zanoo i ai kiray ai		
	oject Phasing	1						41	
Project ID	t In TIP Improvemen	nt Facility			From		Го	# Lane From To	mpletion Date
000524			(Fair Lakes	Pkwy) (3rd EB Lane)	ļ 		Fair Lakes Circle		
	\vdash		·	Pkwy HOV)					
		VA 7100	(Fairfax Co	Pkwy HOV)	VA 7735 (Fair L	akes Pkwy)			
	\checkmark		Interchange		@ VA 7735 (Fai	r Lakes Pkwy) &			
		VA 7100	(Fairfax Co	Pkwy HOV)			/A 7735 (Fair Lakes Pkwy)		
5. Pu	rpose/contrib	ution to r	egional	goals					
	-			_	nrovomont	o will improve	the cafety and and	officionov	of
	-	-			-	-	the safety and and ty center, and will i	-	
-	e HOV lanes		cinig w	itimi and to tin	o piainica i	egioriai acitivi	ly center, and will i	inprove ac	,0033 10
Th	e proposed	construc	ction is	consistent with	the master	plan of Fairfax	County.		
6. Fu	nding and Sc	hedule In	formatio	n					
Co	st (In Thousa	nds):	\$78,72	1	Date of com	pletion or implen	nentation:		
	ource: Federa								
Co	st and sched	ula rama	rke:	Funded for PE	only Cost	hased on 1/7/0	3 VDOT est. Inclu	dae all alan	nonte
	ost and scried	uie rema	iiks.	on screen.	. Offig. Cost	baseu on 1/1/	75 VDOT est. Illiciat	ues an elen	iiciits
					match): F	/-02 \$4,000 K; F	Y-01 \$3,500 K; \$1,7	750 K in FY	-00;
				\$350 K in FY-9	•	,	, , , , ,		•
7. CN	/IS Document	ation							
ls i	this a highwa	v capacit	v-increa	sing project on a	a limited acc	ess or other prin	ncipal arterial highwa	ıv? ⊻ Yes	□ No
	_			CMS Documen				y: □ Yes	
			•			•		. 30	
				that exempt the	project nere	•			
No	ot funded for	constru	ction.						

	C	ONSTRAINED Proposed Proj			` '		
1. Location a From/At: To:	nd Jurisdiction Metro Station (Pro @ Potomac Yards	-	2.	Submitting Age Project ID: Last Modified O	47		
Construct Construct developm	ortation Emissions Re n of project or action:	an existing rail li ent has been drop	ne in orde	Study Illustrative P Other Action r to provide acc		: Yard	
4. Project Ph	asing					# Lane	
Project In ID TIP Impr	ovement Facility	F	rom	т	o	From To	Completion Date
Cons	struct Metro Station (Pro	posed)	Potomac Yar	ds			2010
Policy Go improve a 6. Funding ar Cost (In Th	air quality. nd Schedule Informat	Reduce car deper	• • •	ovide for a mul	ti-modal transportat	tion cen	nter, and
Cost and s	schedule remarks:	2005. However without the req	, the natur uirement f g for the p	e of the develo	by the developer and open to build the Metron moved back and o	d was a b/VRE s	pproved tation.
7. CMS Docu	ımentation						
Is this a hi	ghway capacity-incre	asing project on a	limited acc	ess or other prin	cipal arterial highway	? 🗆 Ye	es 🗹 No
If yes, doe	s this project require	a CMS Documenta	ation form u	nder the given c	riteria?	☐ Ye	es 🗹 No

If not, please identify the criteria that exempt the project here:

		Wilson Bl	vd.	Proj	ect ID:	48		
	om/At:	N. Freder		Las	t Modified On:	3/25/2003		
T		Washingt						
Jl	irisaiction	: Arlington	County,					
3. Pi	oject Typ	e and Desc	ription					
	Construc				Study			
	Transpo	rtation Emis	sions Reduction Me		Ilustrative Proje			
D	escription	of project of	or action:		Other Action/Sti	rategy		
		-	_	s, turning lanes, and բ		lities in accordan	ce wit	th the
С	ounty's r	naster plan	i, to make this roa	d safer for all citizens	-			
С	onstruct	a raised m	edian between Ge	eorge Mason Drive an	d Frederick St	reet		
			ccomodations inc	_	u			
	oject Pha	asing					# Lane	
Project ID	t In TIP Impro	vement Facilit	у	From	То		From To	Completion Date
		nstruct Wilson		N. Frederick	Georg	e Mason Dr.	4 4	2004
	Recor	struct Wilson	Blvd.	N. Quincy	Washi	ngton Blvd.	4 4	2010
rc	adway.		-	nis short piece of pav		•		
3. p	adway. The Wa	ashington ice, mainte	metropolitan regio		atem will give	•		
70 3. p A (1 B (3	oadway. The Waterforman Objecti Adequates Strateg Suppo	ashington ice, mainteves: ate maintevies: ort the impl	metropolitan regionance, and safety enance, preservation of effe	on's transportation sys	atem will give ilities. d replacemen s, including re	priority to manage t of existing infras	ement	ire.
70 3. p A (1 B (3 re	oadway. The Waterforman Objecti Adequate Strateg Suppossistant	ashington ice, mainte ves: late mainte lies: ort the imploavements,	metropolitan regionance, and safety enance, preservation of efformination of roa	on's transportation sys of all modes and fac ion, rehabilitation, an ective safety measure	atem will give ilities. d replacemen s, including re	priority to manage t of existing infras	ement	ire.
70 3. p. A (1 B (3 re	oadway. The Waterforman Objecti Adequate Strateg Supposisistant punding and	ashington ince, mainted ves: late mainted lies: lort the imploravements, and Schedule	metropolitan regionance, and safety enance, preservation ementation of efformination of roa	on's transportation system of all modes and faction, rehabilitation, an ective safety measure adside hazards, and be	atem will give ilities. d replacemen s, including re etter intersecti	priority to manage t of existing infras d light camera en on controls.	ement	ire.
70 3. p. A (1 B (3 re	The Warforman Objecti Adequa Strateg Supposistant punding and	ashington ince, mainterves: late mainteries: lort the imploravements, d Schedule	enance, preservati ementation of effe elimination \$3,000	on's transportation sys of all modes and fac ion, rehabilitation, an ective safety measure	atem will give ilities. d replacemen s, including re etter intersecti	priority to manage t of existing infras d light camera en on controls.	ement	ire.
70 3. p. A (1 B (3 re	The Warforman Objecti Adequa Strateg Supposistant punding and	ashington ince, mainted ves: late mainted lies: lort the imploravements, and Schedule	enance, preservati ementation of effe elimination \$3,000	on's transportation system of all modes and faction, rehabilitation, an ective safety measure adside hazards, and be	atem will give ilities. d replacemen s, including re etter intersecti	priority to manage t of existing infras d light camera en on controls.	ement	ire.
70 3. p- A (11 B (3) re 6. Ft	adway. The Warforman Objecti Adequa Strateg Supportionsistant punding and	ashington ince, mainterves: late mainteries: lort the imploravements, d Schedule	enance, preservativementation of effection of road information \$3,000 are,	on's transportation system of all modes and faction, rehabilitation, an ective safety measure adside hazards, and be	atem will give ilities. d replacemen s, including re etter intersecti	priority to manage t of existing infras d light camera en on controls.	ement	ire.
70 3. p. A (11 B (3 re 6. Ft C	adway. The Wareforman Objecti Adequate Strateg Supposistant punding and sost (In The Locate and second seco	ashington ince, mainter ves: late mainter lies: lort the imple loavements, d Schedule lousands): local, Privat	enance, preservativementation of effection of road information \$3,000 are,	on's transportation system of all modes and faction, rehabilitation, an ective safety measure adside hazards, and be	atem will give ilities. d replacemen s, including re etter intersecti	priority to manage t of existing infras d light camera en on controls.	ement	ire.
70 3. pr A (11 B (3 re C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	The Wareforman Objecti Adequa Strateg Supposistant panding and set (In The Locate and set (MS Documer)	ashington ince, mainter ves: ate mainter ies: ate ies: ate mainter ies: ate mainter ies: ate ies: ate mainter ies: ate ies: ate mainter ies:	enance, preservativementation of effection of road information say, 1000 see, marks:	on's transportation system of all modes and faction, rehabilitation, an ective safety measure adside hazards, and be	atem will give illities. d replacement including resetter intersection or implement	priority to manage t of existing infras ed light camera en on controls.	ement	i, ire. ment, skid
70 3. pr A (1 B (3 76 C C	radway. The Wareforman Objecti Objecti Strateg Supposistant prost (In The Locate and Second S	ashington ince, mainter ves: late mainter lies: lort the impl loavements, d Schedule lousands): local, Private chedule rem mentation ghway capa	enance, preservativementation of effection of road information state. State of the	on's transportation system of all modes and faction, rehabilitation, an ective safety measure adside hazards, and be adside factor of completic	atem will give illities. d replacements, including rester intersection or implement	priority to manage t of existing infras d light camera en on controls. ation:	ement	ire. ment, skid∙
rc 3. pr A (13 re 6. Ft C	adway. The Wareforman Objecti Adequa Strateg Supportsistant punding and sost (In The Locate and some soft and soft	ashington ince, mainter ves: late mainter lies: lort the impl loavements, d Schedule lousands): local, Private chedule rem mentation ghway capac is this project	enance, and safety enance, and safety enance, preservati ementation of effe elimination of roa Information \$3,000 ie, narks: city-increasing proje t require a CMS Do	on's transportation system of all modes and faction, rehabilitation, and ective safety measure adside hazards, and be adside hazards and be active of completic completion and alimited access of cumentation form under	atem will give illities. d replacements, including rester intersection or implement	priority to manage t of existing infras d light camera en on controls. ation:	ement structu nforce	ire. ment, skid∙
rc 3. pr A (13 re 6. Ft C	adway. The Wareforman Objecti Adequa Strateg Supportsistant punding and sost (In The Locate and some soft and soft	ashington ince, mainter ves: late mainter lies: lort the impl loavements, d Schedule lousands): local, Private chedule rem mentation ghway capac is this project	enance, and safety enance, and safety enance, preservati ementation of effe elimination of roa Information \$3,000 ie, narks: city-increasing proje t require a CMS Do	on's transportation system of all modes and faction, rehabilitation, and ective safety measure adside hazards, and better the completion of completic section a limited access of	atem will give illities. d replacements, including rester intersection or implement	priority to manage t of existing infras d light camera en on controls. ation:	ement structu nforce	ire. ment, skid∙