ITEM 10 - Action

June 16, 2010

Approval of a TPB Response to the Request by Martz National Coach for Federal Assistance for Commuter Bus Service between Fredericksburg and Washington DC

Staff

Recommendation: Receive briefing on and approve the

enclosed draft letter for signature by the TPB chairman responding to the Martz

National Coach request for federal

assistance for its commuter bus service between Fredericksburg and Washington,

DC.

Issues: None

Background: At the May 19 meeting, the Board was

briefed on a proposed TPB response to the enclosed letter of January 21, 2010, from Martz National Coach. Martz has requested TPB support for its proposal to direct FTA Section 5307 funding for bus procurement, preventive maintenance, or

capital cost of contracting for its commuter bus service between

Fredericksburg and Washington, DC.

National Capital Region Transportation Planning Board

777 North Capitol Street, N.E., Suite 300, Washington, D.C. 20002-4290 (202) 962-3310 Fax: (202) 962-3202 TDD: (202) 962-3213

DRAFT

June 16, 2010

Mr. Craig Smith CEO Martz Group 10411 Hall Industrial Drive Fredericksburg, Virginia 22408

Dear Mr. Smith:

Thank you for your letter dated January 21, 2010 regarding Federal Assistance for Commuter Bus Service from Fredericksburg to Washington, DC provided by Martz National Coach Works Inc. of VA.

You have requested that the National Capital Region Transportation Planning Board (TPB) support a project in its TIP to benefit commuter bus service from the Fredericksburg area based on additional Section 5307 allocations generated through reporting to the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) National Transit Database (NTD).

TPB staff has been reviewing your request in concert with our member agencies and jurisdictions and has identified the following key questions and issues which warrant your further response:

- 1. As you know, one of the transit recipients in the Washington metropolitan region would have to be willing to assume responsibility for either entering into a contractual agreement with Martz or buying and then leasing federally qualifying assets for Martz, per circular C 9030.1D dated May 1, 2010. In either case, there would be administrative responsibilities that the transit recipient would have to shoulder since the recipient would have an obligation to insure that Martz is in full compliance with federal rules (e.g., FTA charter regulations, procurement, drug and alcohol testing, restrictions on permissible uses of the federally funded assets, auditing, EEO requirements, DBE requirements). Has Martz confirmed the existence of a willing recipient? Is Martz proposing to provide matching funds required for the federal transit allocations it is requesting?
- 2. After reviewing similar arrangements in other metropolitan areas, notably in New York, we believe that in excess of ten (10) percent of the earnings may have to be allocated to the recipient to cover administrative responsibilities. Does Martz have documented experience from other areas on the magnitude of these administrative costs relative to the additional earnings?
- There is a great deal of interest on our behalf in learning more from you on how proposed projects outlined in your letter, such as preventive maintenance, bus procurement, and Capital Cost of Contracting, would be of benefit to the region

and to the commuting public. Like any other prospective use of federal funds, the region's decision-makers want to be satisfied that there is a public benefit commensurate with the investment. What does Martz envision as this public benefit? For example, one benefit could be reduced transit fares for Martz' bus service or some improvements in service.

4. Martz also needs to provide the calculation on potential earnings from the Section 5307 funding as a result of reporting its miles to the NTD. Please note that there is a precedent already established in the region related to the distribution of Federal 5307 formula funds. The Federal 5307 formula earnings that are associated with those bus agencies that are members of the WMATA go to WMATA. For those bus agencies that are not members of the WMATA compact only those Federal 5307 formula funds earned outside the compact area are the subject of the "split letter" calculations; inside the compact area goes to WMATA. Martz would be expected to comply with this precedent and therefore must provide the breakdown of the NTD information between inside the compact area and outside the compact area.

While we are receptive to working with Martz on this initiative and have been consulting with our designated recipients on this matter, it is essential that we obtain this additional information and clarification in order to move forward in considering your request. I should note that since a precedent set with Martz will likely lead to similar requests from other privately operated commuter bus companies serving the Washington metropolitan region, the TPB's evaluation of Martz's proposal will need to consider the implications of others seeking the same accommodations.

We look forward to your response. Please contact Ronald Kirby, staff director for the TPB, at (202)962-3310 if you have additional questions or need further information.

Sincerely,

David Snyder Chair, National Capital Region Transportation Planning Board

cc: Barry M. Hecht



10411 Hall Industrial Drive Fredericksburg, VA 22408 540-898-6959 800-777-6902 Fax 540-898-2675

January 21, 2010

Mr. Ronald Kirby, Director National Capital Region Transportation Planning Board 777 N Capitol Street N.E. Washington, DC 20002

RE: Federal Assistance for Commuter Bus Service from Fredericksburg to Washington, DC provided by Martz National Coach Works Inc. of VA

Mr. Kirby,

I am writing on behalf of Martz Group, d/b/a Martz National Coach Works Inc. of VA, to ask for your support for a proposal to direct FTA Section 5307 funding for Martz Group's We provide service from the Fredericksburg, VA area, to the Commuter services. Pentagon and to Washington, DC. We make numerous stops in the District.

We carry approximately 200,000 annual riders, and operate 500,000 annual revenue vehicle miles in this service. This service is a convenience to its commuter riders, and contributes to reduction of congestion and carbon footprint, and to air quality improvement. It is an example of "extreme commute" services. Studies have identified growing national trends of commuting over extremely long distances (and time). It is good public policy to direct as many of these commuters as possible to public transit.

However, Martz receives no state or federal assistance that could benefit its riders by maintaining the capital fleet, and by keeping fares at reasonable levels. Such funding may be available to other providers in the region.

Martz has been determined to be a commuter operator by FTA National Transportation Data Base. We are proposing to report to NTD. Our operations generate about \$250,000 in annual FTA Section 5307 funding, an amount that is expected to increase in future years by growth in the 5307 program. We estimate that these funds would be sufficient to replace the 12+ over-the-road coaches used in this service on a 12 year cycle. If we continue to report this data, it would generate additional 5307 allocations to the urbanized area, and would not reduce the funds to any other transit operation in the Region. This is a win-win proposal.

It is our understanding that 5307 funds made available to the Washington, DC Urbanized Area are split among WMATA, Maryland Mass Transit Administration, and PRTC, by agreement among these parties, to support WMATA, MARC, VRE, and various PRTC and FRED services outside the WMATA Compact area. Because our service is similar to the VRE and PRTC/FRED services outside the compact area, we have asked the signatories to the split letter to consider making the 5307 funding "earned" by the Martz service be made available to PRTC to support this service.





In turn, we ask that the TPB support a project in its TIP to benefit "Commuter Bus Service from the Fredericksburg Area." The TIP would need to direct these funds to a sub recipient that can apply for an FTA grant on Martz' behalf, and to contract with Martz to implement the project. The project could be bus procurement, Preventive Maintenance, or Capital Cost of Contracting, as described in the appropriate FTA circulars.

Martz has begun reporting to NTD for Report Year 2008. Its report was accepted by NTD. Therefore, the FFY 2010 5307 allocation will reflect its service. If you can support this proposal, we respectfully request that the TIP for 2010 be amended to identify a capital project for this service, or that these funds be set aside for a future TIP.

If this strategy is successful and of mutual benefit, as we believe it will be, there may be opportunities for additional 5307 funding to the Region to support other long distance commuter transit services.

Our consultant, Barry Hecht, has already spoken to you, or to a member of your staff, about this proposal. He is available to answer any additional questions that you have, and to identify the funds that the subject service generates. We are also prepared to meet with you to further explain this proposal. His contact information is on the attachment.

Thank you for considering this request.

Sincerely,

Craig Smith

CEO

Martz Group

Note: A substantially similar letter has been sent to TPB, to FAMPO, and to other parties to the Split Letter.

Contact Information for Barry Hecht

Barry M Hecht 26 McKinley Drive

Delmar NY 12054

518-439-23 (cell) 518-46 8803 (fax) 413-33 5239

bmh48@aol.com