ITEM #5B

FY10 State of the Commute Draft Technical Report Addendum to Report November 16, 2010

This addendum details changes made to the State of the Commute Draft Technical Report after it was posted for review by the Commuter Connections Subcommittee on November 5, 2010. These changes were made to correct errors related to sample sizes used for calculations of awareness and use of the PRTC commuter assistance program in Prince William County. The changes are as follows:

Page 80 (last paragraph)

- Change 51% to 53%

- Change "Four of the nine programs" to "Five of the nine programs"

"Figure 53 presents the percentage of respondents who said they had heard of each of the nine organizations, when prompted with the organizations' names. Awareness of these programs ranged from 10% to 53% of respondents who were asked the questions. Five of nine programs examined were known to at least a third of the target area respondents."

Page 81 (Figure 53)

- Change sample size from "Prince William n = 1,244" to "Prince William n = 604"
- Change percentage who heard of PRTC/Omni Match from 21% to 53%

Page 81 (Figure 54)

- Change sample size from "Prince William n = 341" to "Prince William n = 314"
- Change percentage who heard of PRTC/Omni Match from 18% to 20%

Page 82 (first paragraph)

- Change 18% to 20%

"Use ranged from two percent to 28% of respondents who had heard of the services. Twenty-eight percent of respondents in the Loudoun County service area said they had contacted this organization, 21% of respondents who lived or worked in Arlington County said they contacted Arlington County Commuter Services, and 20% of respondents in Frederick and Prince William Counties contacted the commuter service organizations in their areas. All other local organizations had lower contact levels." Page 107 (second to last bullet)

– Change 51% to 53%

- Change "Four of the nine programs" to "Five of the nine programs"
 - Respondents were asked about local commute assistance services provided in the counties where they lived and worked. Awareness of these programs ranged from 10% to 53% of respondents who were asked the questions. Five of the nine programs examined were known to at least a third of their target area respondents.