

March 2, 2017

Larry Hogan Governor

Boyd K. Rutherford Lt. Governor

Pete K. Rahn Secretary

The Honorable Bridget Donnell Newton, Chair National Capital Region Transportation Planning Board Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments 777 North Capitol Street, N.E., Suite 300 Washington DC 20002

Dear Chairman Newton:

The Maryland Department of Transportation (MDOT) is requesting an amendment to the National Capital Region Transportation Planning Board's (TPB) 2016 Constrained Long Range Plan (CLRP) and the air quality conformity analyses. The amendment reflects the following change proposed by MDOT on behalf of the Maryland Transportation Authority (MDTA):

 Change the year of completion of the Governor Harry W. Nice Bridge Replacement from 2030 to 2023.

The Governor Harry W. Nice Bridge Replacement project will replace the current two-lane bridge with a four-lane bridge with bike and pedestrian accommodations. This project is already included in the current regional Air Quality Conformity for the 2016 CLRP. The proposed change has been determined to be regionally significant for air quality conformity purposes as per the TPB's process of applying federal air quality conformity regulations in conducting regional air quality conformity analyses for the CLRP and the TIP. Since the completion of this project is moving up seven years, MDOT is requesting, in coordination with the Virginia Department of Transportation's (VDOT) request, an off-cycle conformity analysis to meet requirements necessary to modify the construction timeline for the Governor Harry W. Nice Bridge Replacement. Additionally, the updated completion date needs to be reflected in the CLRP so that the MDTA can complete the loan application for Transportation Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (TIFIA) funds.

The MDOT also requests that this proposed amendment be included in the appropriate public participation process started for VDOT's amendment. This would involve being included in the public comment period starting on March 9, 2017 and subsequently briefing the TPB on this proposed amendment request and the scope of work at the March 15, 2017 Board meeting.

The MDOT agrees to partially reimburse the TPB for the costs incurred in processing this CLRP amendment including those for revising the regional air quality conformity analyses under MDOT's Technical Assistance portion of the approved FY 2017 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP).

The Honorable Bridget Donnell Newton Page Two

We appreciate your cooperation in this matter. Should you have additional questions or concerns, please contact Ms. Kari Snyder, MDOT Office of Planning and Capital Programming (OPCP) Regional Planner at 410-865-1305, toll free 888-713-1414 or via e-mail at ksnyder3@mdot.state.md.us. Ms. Snyder will be happy to assist you. Of course, please feel free to contact me directly.

Sincerely,

Tyson Byrne

Regional Planning Manager

Jyn Byn

Office of Planning and Capital Programming

cc: Ms. Kari Snyder, Regional Planner, OPCP, MDOT

FINANCIALLY CONSTRAINED LONG-RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN FOR 2040 PROJECT DESCRIPTION FORM



BASIC PROJECT INFORMATION

1.	Submitting Ag	Submitting Agency: Maryland Transportation Authority							
2.	Secondary Agency:								
3.	Agency Project ID:								
4.	Project Type: \Box Interstate \boxtimes Primary \Box Secondary \Box Urban \Box Bridge \Box Bike/Ped \Box Transit \Box CMAQ								
	\square ITS \square Enhancement \square Other \square Federal Lands Highways Program								
		□ Н	uman Servi	ce Trar	sportation Coordi	nation 🗆 TERMs			
5.	Category:	tegory: $oxtimes$ System Expansion; $oxtimes$ System Maintenance; $oxtimes$ Operational Program; $oxtimes$ Study; $oxtimes$ Other							
6.	Project Name: 0	Name: Governor Harry W. Nice Bridge Improvement Project							
	Prefix Route Name Modifier								
7.	Facility:	TIONA	US	301	Bridge ever the	e Potomac River	- Induite		
8.	, From (□at):		US	301	Charles County			_	
	,		03	301					
0	To				King George Co	Julity, VA			
9.	To:	Cana		fa	. lana huidaa nau	th of the evicting b	ما د طائن د ما		
10.	Description:					th of the existing b the south side of th			
		proje	ect is prev	entativ		of the existing brid			
	is programmed.								
	Projected Completion Year: 2023								
	Project Manager: Mr. Glen Smith								
	Project Manager E-Mail: gsmith2@mdta.state.md.us								
	Project Information URL: http://www.mdta.maryland.gov/Nicebridge/nice_index.html								
	Total Miles:								
	Schematic (file upload):								
	State/Local Project Standing (file upload):								
	Jurisdictions:								
	Baseline Cost (in Thousands): \$768,600 cost estimate as of MM/DD/YYYY								
	Amended Cost (in Thousands): cost estimate as of MM/DD/YYYY								
21.	Funding Source	es: 🗆	Federal;	□ Stat	:e; □ Local; □ P	rivate; □ Bonds; □	☐ Other		
Rec	iional Policy F	rame	work: Ou	estion	s 22-27 address	the goals identified	in the Regional	Transportation	
Prio	rities Plan. Que	stion	28 should	l be us	ed to provide ad	Iditional context of			
_	_				n the Call for Pro	-			
22.	Provide a Co	mpre	hensive	Range	of Transporta	tion Options			
	Please identify all travel mode options that this project provides, enhances, supports, or promotes.								
	☐Single □		-	ool/HO		Пс /:: I . В :!			
	□Metrora □BRT	all		muter f	Rail nmuter bus	☐ Streetcar/Light Rail☐ Metrobus	Пьос	cal Bus	
	□Bicyclin	g	□Wal		iiiiatei bus	□Other		.u. 5u3	
	·	☐ Does this project improve accessibility for historically transportation-disadvantaged individuals							
	(i.e. persons with disabilities low-incomes and/or limited English proficiency?)								

CLRP PROJECT DESCRIPTION FORM

	<u> </u>							
23.	Promote Regional Activity Centers ☐ Does this project begin or end in an Activity Center? ☐ Does this project connect two or more Activity Centers?							
	☐ Does this project promote non-auto travel within one or more Activity Centers?							
24.	Ensure System Maintenance, Preservation, and Safety ☐ Does this project contribute to enhanced system maintenance, preservation, or safety?							
25.	Maximize Operational Effectiveness and Safety ☐ Project is primarily designed to reduce travel time on highways and/or transit without building new capacity (e.g., ITS, bus priority treatments, etc.)? ☐ Does this project enhance safety for motorists, transit users, pedestrians, and/or bicyclists?							
26.	Protect and Enhance the Natural Environment ☐ Is this project expected to contribute to reductions in emissions of criteria pollutants? ☐ Is this project expected to contribute to reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases?							
27.	Support Interregional and International Travel and Commerce							
	Please identify all <u>freight carrier modes</u> that this project enhances, supports, or promotes. □Long-Haul Truck □Local Delivery □Rail □Air							
	Please identify all <u>passenger carrier modes</u> that this project enhances, supports, or promotes.							
	□Air □Amtrak intercity passenger rail □Intercity bus							
28.	Additional Policy Framework Response							
	Please provide additional written information that describes how this project further supports or advances these and other regional goals or needs.							
MA	P-21 PLANNING FACTORS							
29.	Please identify any and all planning factors that are addressed by this project:							
	a. ⊠ Support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency.							
	b. $oxtimes$ Increase the safety of the transportation system for all motorized and non-motorized users.							
	i. Is this project being proposed specifically to address a safety issue? $\ \square$ Yes; $\ \square$ No							
	ii. If yes, briefly describe (in quantifiable terms, where possible) the nature of the safety problem:							
	c. \boxtimes Increase the ability of the transportation system to support homeland security and to safeguard the personal security of all motorized and non-motorized users.							
	d. ⊠ Increase accessibility and mobility of people.							
	e. □ Increase accessibility and mobility of freight.							
	f. \square Protect and enhance the environment , promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns.							
	g. \boxtimes Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight.							
	h. \boxtimes Promote efficient system management and operation .							

i. $\hfill\Box$ Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system.

CLRP PROJECT DESCRIPTION FORM

<u>EN 1</u>	VIRONMENTAL MITIGATION							
30.	Have any potential mitigation activities been identified for this project? $\ oxtimes$ Yes; $\ \Box$ No							
a.	If yes, what types of mitigation activities have been identified?							
	\Box Air Quality; \Box Floodplains; \Box Socioeconomics; \Box Geology, Soils and Groundwater; \Box Vibrations;							
	\square Energy; \square Noise; \boxtimes Surface Water; \square Hazardous and Contaminated Materials; \boxtimes Wetlands							
<u>COI</u>	NGESTION MANAGEMENT INFORMATION							
31.	Congested Conditions							
a.	Do traffic congestion conditions necessitate the proposed project or program? $\ oxtimes$ Yes; $\ oxtimes$ No							
b.	If so, is the congestion recurring or non-recurring? $\ \square$ Recurring; $\ \square$ Non-recurring							
c.	If the congestion is on another facility, please identify it:							
32.	Capacity							
a.	Is this a capacity-increasing project on a limited access highway or other principal arterial? \square Yes; \square No							
b.	If the answer to Question 32.a was "yes", are any of the following exemption criteria true about the project? (Choose one, or indicate that none of the exemption criteria apply):							
	\square None of the exemption criteria apply to this project – a Congestion Management Documentation Form is required \square The project will not use federal funds in any phase of development or construction (100% state, local, and/or private funding) \square The number of lane-miles added to the highway system by the project totals less than one lane-mile							
	\Box The project is an intersection reconstruction or other traffic engineering improvement, including replacement of an at-grade intersection with an interchange							
	\Box The project, such as a transit, bicycle or pedestrian facility, will not allow private single-occupant motor vehicles							
	\square The project consists of preliminary studies or engineering only, and is not funded for construction							
	\square The construction costs for the project are less than \$10 million.							
c.	If the project is not exempt and requires a Congestion Management Documentation Form, click here to open a blank Congestion Management Documentation Form.							
REC	CORD MANAGEMENT							
33.	Completed Year:							
34.	$\ \square$ Project is being withdrawn from the CLRP.							
35.	Withdrawn Date: MM/DD/YYYY							
36.	Record Creator: P. Fleming							
37.	Created On:_1/4/2008							

- 38. Last Updated by: Glen Smith
- 39. Last Updated On: 3/2/2017
- 40. Comments: