FY 2020 DRAFT REGIONAL TARGETS FOR TRANSIT ASSET MANAGEMENT

Performance Based Planning And Programming

Matthew Gaskin, TPB Transportation Planner Eric Randall, TPB Transportation Engineer

TPB Technical Committee January 10, 2020



Structure of Presentation

- Transit Asset Management (TAM) Target-Setting Requirements
- TAM Performance Measures
- TAM 2019 Targets Summary Table
- Tam 2019 Targets vs Actual Performance
- Draft TAM 2020 Targets



Transit Asset Management (TAM) Target Setting Requirements – Transit Providers

- Providers of public transportation are required to annually establish Transit Asset Management (TAM) performance targets
 - Applies to any provider receiving Federal Transit
 Administration (FTA) funds, recipients and sub-recipients
 - Large agencies set targets and report directly to the FTA;
 small agencies can participate in state group plans
- Providers must set targets within four months of the end of the previous fiscal year
 - FY 2020 targets were due by October 31, 2019 for providers on a July-to-June fiscal year (i.e., every provider except DDOT)
 - Submitted using NTD Form A-90



Transit Asset Management (TAM) Target Setting Requirements – MPOs

- MPOs (i.e., TPB) shall adopt transit asset targets for their metropolitan planning area within 180 days of the providers
 - FTA recommendation is for a single regional target for each performance measure asset class (e.g., 40' buses, rail stations, etc.)
 - Requires adding up the numbers and targets for each type of asset from every transit provider in the region
 - MPOs do not submit TAM targets, but these targets are to be included in each new long-range plan and TIP
 - TPB to approve new TIP in March 2020



TAM Performance Measures

	Performance Measure	Asset Classes
Rolling stock (Age)	Percentage of revenue vehicles within a particular asset class that have met or exceeded useful life benchmark (ULB)	Standard bus, articulated bus, vans, automobiles, locomotives, rail vehicles
Equipment - (non-revenue) service vehicles (Age)	Percentage of vehicles that have met or exceeded their ULB	Cranes, prime movers, vehicle lifts, tow trucks
Infrastructure-rail fixed-guideway track, signals, and systems (Condition)	The percentage of track segments, signal, and systems with performance restrictions	Signal or relay house, interlockings, catenary, mechanical, electrical and IT systems
Stations/ Facilities (Condition)	The percentage of facilities, within an asset class, rated below 3 on the TERM scale	Stations, depots, administration, parking garages, terminals



Summary of Providers' 2019 TAM Targets

Adopted February 20, 2019

Target = Maximum percentage of assets at or exceeding standard

Reporting Entity	Rolling Stock	Service Vehicles	Rail Infrastructure	Station/ Facility Condition
WMATA	0% Rail, 0% Bus	11 % ^d	2.1%	7 % ^f
DDOT	0% Rail, 0% Bus	n/a	5%	O% ^g
Arl Co.	0%	n/a	n/a	0%
Ffx. Co.	10%	10 % ^d	n/a	0%
Mont. Co.	11%	21 % ^d	n/a	25% ^g
Pr. Geo. Co.	4%	43% ^d	n/a	0%
PRTC	8% ^b	O% ^e	n/a	O%g
VRE	0%	O% ^e	n/a	0%
Maryland Tier 2 (MTA)	13.3%ª	14.6% ^d	n/a	25% ^f
Virginia Tier 2 (DRPT)	10%	25% ^e	n/a	10% ^g

a: heavy-duty buses; b: 45-foot buses; c: autos; d: trucks; e: service vehicles; f: passenger, g: maintenance/administrative facilities



2019 TAM Targets vs Performance DRAFT

Target = Maximum percentage of assets at or exceeding standard

Reporting Entity	Rolling Stock	Actual	Service Vehicles	Actual	Rail Infrastruct ure	Actual	Station/ Facility Condition	Actual
WMATA	0% Rail, 0% Bus	0% Rail, 3% Bus	11% ^d	31%	2.1%	1.69%	7% ^f	7.1%
DDOT	0% Rail, 0% Bus		n/a		5%		0% ^g	
Arl Co.	0%	0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	0%
Ffx. Co.	10%		10% ^d		n/a	n/a	0%	
Mont. Co.	11%	14%	34% ^d	40%	n/a	n/a	22% ^g	22%
Pr. Geo. Co.	4%	25%	43% ^d	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	0%
PRTC	8% ^b	19%	0%e	50%	n/a	n/a	0 % ^g	0%
VRE	0%	0%	O%e	0%	n/a	n/a	0%	0%
Maryland Tier 2 (MTA)	13%ª	17%	15 % ^d	30%	n/a	n/a	24 % ^g	4%
Virginia Tier 2 (DRPT)	10%		25% ^e		n/a		10 % ^g	

a: heavy-duty buses; b: 45-foot buses; c: autos; d: trucks; e: service vehicles; f: passenger, g: maintenance/administrative facilities



Summary of 2020 TAM Targets

DRAFT

Target = Maximum percentage of assets at or exceeding standard

Reporting Entity	Rolling Stock	Service Vehicles	Rail Infrastructure	Station/ Facility Condition
WMATA	0% Rail, 3% Bus	16 % ^d	3.8%	7 % ^f
DDOT	0% Rail, 0% Bus	n/a	5%	0 % ^g
Ffx. Co.	10%	10 % ^d	n/a	0%
Mont. Co.	8%	50% ^d	n/a	0%
Pr. Geo. Co.	34%	n/a	n/a	0%
PRTC	29% ^b	O%e	n/a	0% ^g
VRE	0%	O% ^e	n/a	0%
Maryland Tier 2 (MTA)	11.5%ª	37.5% ^e	n/a	4 % ^g
Virginia Tier 2 (DRPT)*	10%	25% ^e	n/a	10 % ^g

a: heavy-duty buses; b: 45-foot buses; c: autos; d: trucks; e: service vehicles; f: passenger, g: maintenance/administrative facilities

^{*} Arlington County has joined the Virginia Tier 2 group plan



2020 Regional TAM Targets (1) DRAFT

Percentage of revenue vehicles that have met or	Regional Assets	Regional Target
exceeded useful life benchmark	Total	
AB- Articulated bus	77	3.0%
AO- Auto	34	0.0%
BR- Over-the-road bus	169	23.4%
BU- Bus	2676	6.1%
CU- Cutaway bus	84	6.8%
HR- Heavy rail passenger car	1200	0.0%
LR- Light rail vehicle	6	0.0%
RL- Commuter rail locomotive	20	0.0%
RP- Commuter rail passenger coach	100	0.0%
VN- Van	844	10.6%
Revenue Vehicle Totals	5210	

Based on National Transit Database Form A-90

Single regional target for each performance measure asset class



2020 Regional TAM Targets (2) DRAFT

Percentage of service vehicles that have either met or exceeded their useful life benchmark		
Automobiles	277	29.7%
Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles	1593	16.6%
Steel Wheel Vehicles	199	8.0%
Service Vehicle Totals	2069	
Percentage of track segments, signals, and systems with performance restrictions (over		
length in miles)		
CR - Commuter Rail	0	0.0%
HR - Heavy Rail	234	3.8%
SR - Streetcar Rail	5.6	5.0%
Track Segments Totals	239.6	
Percentage of Passenger and Maint. facilities		•
rated below condition 3 on the condition scale		
Passenger Facilities	120	6.3%
Passenger Parking Facilities	95	5.7%
Maintenance Facilities	123	10.0%
Administrative Facilities	57	10.4%
Facility Totals	395	



Next Steps

- Present draft FY 2020 TAM targets to the board in January
- Take comments on draft targets and on the report summarizing the region's providers of public transportation, their TAM performance, and their TAM targets
- Board approval of final TAM targets in February 2020



Matthew Gaskin

TPB Transportation Planner (202) 962-3761 mgaskin@mwcog.org

Eric Randall

TPB Transportation Engineer (202) 962-3254 erandall@mwcog.org

mwcog.org/tpb

Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments 777 North Capitol Street NE, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20002

