

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGREEMENT AND PBPP LOA

Responding to updated rules and
regulations governing MPOs

Sergio Ritacco, TPB Transportation Planner

TPB Technical Committee
March 2, 2018



Outline

- Metropolitan Transportation Planning Agreement (“3C” Agreement) and Performance Based Planning and Programming Letters or Agreement (PBPP LOA) documentation requirement
- Agreement outlines and reviews
- Comments and responses
- Next steps



“3C” and PBPP LOA Documentation Req.

- Must cooperatively determine and clearly identify in written agreements the mutual responsibilities necessary to carry out the metropolitan transportation planning process and respond to new PBPP mandates. (*Planning Rule § 450.314*)
- Current agreement signed in June 2008.
- New agreement must be executed by May 28, 2018



“3C” and PBPP LOA Documentation Req.

- Two agreements structured to meet the updated rules and regulations for a cooperative, comprehensive and continuing performance-based multimodal transportation planning and programming process for the metropolitan planning area:
 - “3C” Agreement meets the rules and regulations of 23 CFR Subpart C—Metropolitan Transportation Planning and Programming
 - PBPP LOA meets the provisions specific to the new mandate for a Performance-Based Planning and Programming Process, 23 CFR 450.314(h)



3C and PBPP LOA Outline

“3C” Agreement

- **Resolution:** To be acted upon by the TPB at its April meeting
- **Articles 1 through 12:** Follows the rules and regulations in *23 CFR 450 Subpart C – Metropolitan Transportation Planning and Programming*.
- **Signatories:** TPB and agencies with direct funding and oversight for the planning processes (DDOT, MDOT, VDOT, and VDRPT).

PBPP LOA

- **Letter of Agreement:** Between MPO and each stakeholder
- **Exhibit A:** General Agreement on Performance Based Planning and Programming and Metropolitan Transportation Planning
- **Exhibits B – F:** PBPP Areas
 - Highway Safety, Highway Assets, System Performance, Transit Assets, Transit Safety



Comments and Responses

- Reviewed by counsels, planners, and technical staff.
- Current “3C” Agreement includes WMATA and NVTC as signatories. Why different?
 - Structured to reflect parties with direct federal oversight and implementation responsibilities (FHWA PL Funds and FTA Section 5303 funds).
 - Role of all parties in the TPB and including additional Whereas clauses to strengthen definition those parties.
 - Role of PBPP LOAs to language documenting the role of the region's Providers of Public Transportation
- Additional comments were technical clarifications to minor copy edits.



Next Steps

- ✓ Completed final review by MWCOG counsel for sufficiency of compliance with federal regulation.
- Brief the TPB at its March meeting.
- Ask the TPB to approve execution of the “3C” Agreement at its April meeting.
- Complete execution of all signatories by the federal deadline of **May 26, 2018**.



Sergio Ritacco

TPB Transportation Planner
(202) 962-3232
sritacco@mwkog.org

mwkog.org/tpb

Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments
777 North Capitol Street NE, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20002



National Capital Region
Transportation Planning Board