

# National Capital Region Meeting

## May 15, 2006

### Session Notes:

#### **Introduction**

Boardroom 9:00 am – 9:30 am

- The process today is not a re-ranking, but a re-validation, just to ensure we provide the best information for decisions to be made in Friday's meeting with the SPG and CAOs.
- The Evaluation Criteria that must be considered for project rankings are:
  - Supports Regional Preparedness.
  - Supports DHS Priority Target Capabilities and National Priorities.
  - The project will produce tangible results in next 2 years.
  - Is a continuance project.
  - Supports the strategic plan.
- Information from the previous meetings has been updated in the spreadsheets.
  - Please review new information and rankings:
    - To determine where the papers fit in.
    - To determine if new rankings should be applied or changed.
- Default scores will be kept unless the papers are reordered by this session.
- If there is a change to the order by consensus, then the reordering will be accepted.
  - The rationale for the change will be shown.
  - The objective is to capture the reasoning behind any changes to the order.
- One representative should be present at the meetings on Friday for each of the 12 investment justifications
  - They will make a decision on maintenance, and then will go through the new ranking.
  - The twelve investments will present the R-ESF and the RPWG change of rankings, or the reasons for keeping the old ranking.

## Interoperable Communication

Session 1: Boardroom 9:30 am – 10:30 am

- The total cost for all seven projects is \$42-40 million.
- The RPWG meets monthly; this makes them very close to the projects, and continuation of many projects.
- National Capital Region Interoperability Program (NCRIP) Phase 2:
  - \$16 million project.
  - Currently the second phase of a 5-year project.
- QUESTION: There is a missing project from page 7?
  - Item 26 UASI NCR Family Assistance Center.
  - It is listed under Citizen Protection and Preparedness.
- QUESTION: Will there be a certain point when the projects will be able to comply with all the same data points within the data exchange hub?
  - ANSWER: Yes, basically the credentials and study will be part of NCRIP, and will be multi-year because of its many departments and agencies involved.
    - There will be a study first, and then the dollar figures might change depending on recommendations of the findings.
    - NCRIP was expanded to include track and implementation, application, hub in place and then move into applications.
- QUESTION: Ranked by dollar value, how they are adjusted will be determined later?
- QUESTION: National Capital Region Video Sharing Distribution System is another application to share?
  - ANSWER: All the projects will have different applications, mediums, but will all pass through the hub. Each jurisdiction will allow us to streamline, and will be more of a formatting process.
- QUESTION: Is anything tangible offered by the project NCRIP?
  - If it is just networking, creating an environment does not show tangible things.
  - The project provided a private robust, secure network, so that during a crisis, government will not have to compete with the public.
- Emergency Managers Technology Subcommittee:
  - Consultants will assist with the infrastructure.
  - It is necessary; however, it is also the same scope of work is being done in NCRIP.
  - Enables information sharing, through the R-ESF systems, Data Exchange, and EEH.
  - Security is already in the program through R-ESF.
  - Want to stress the need for technical support.
    - R-ESF and NCRIP need consulting to interact.
  - Interoperability will be the involved in the global overarching theme of the network.
  - Emergency Manager Technology Coordination Subcommittee (9) is a planning and shared responsibility project that the shared applications need to be run through this new network.
    - This does not fund through all the applications that want to run through it.
    - DHS needs to think about what it needs to push across the new network.
    - There is a common vision, and it should be understood that it is necessary to for the specific applications to be coordinated.
      - This will make a real life and synchronized network for DHS to use.
      - A validation process will be necessary to use the new network.
      - New groups could be resolved by what's already out there, limited amount of platforms, ex. GIS platform.
      - Avoid too many applications, have about 3-4 main applications, and everything will work off them.
- QUESTION: Why is the ranking is so low for WMATA Communications Upgrade when the score is 39.1?

- WMATA Communications upgrade should come under Interoperable Communications as number 9.
- It was met the dollar cap and is within the priorities.
- It fits under this project management instead of under the CIP group.

05-15-06 Prioritization	Concept Paper	Score	RPWG
1	National Capital Region Interoperability Program (NCRIP) Phase 2 (pg. 254)	33.8	1 OUT OF 14 (IC)
2	National Capital Region Base MAP (NCRBM) – Regional GIS Base Map Development and Maintenance (pg. 263)	32	2 OUT OF 14 (IC)
3	First/Critical Responder Credentialing (pg. 259)	30.4	3 OUT OF 14 (IC)
4	Reverse 911/Mass Notification (pg. 251)	29.5	4 OUT OF 14 (IC)
5	National Capital Region Video Sharing Distribution System (pg. 241)	28.2	5 OUT OF 14 (IC)
6	NCR Secure Communications (NCRSC) (pg. 285)	28	6 OUT OF 14 (IC)
7	Prince George's Co. Interoperable Radio Comm. System (pg. 272)	23.8	7 OUT OF 14 (IC)
8	National Capital Region Interoperable Communications Study (pg. 406)	28.4	8 OUT OF 14 (IC)
9	WMATA Communications Upgrade (pg. 141)	39.1	4 OUT OF 16 (CIP)
10	Emergency Managers Technology Coordination Subcommittee (pg. 281)	34	10 OUT OF 14 (IC)
11	Interoperable Communications System Prince George's County	20.7	11 OUT OF 14 (IC)
12	Interoperable Communications System Montgomery County (pg. 248)	20.7	12 OUT OF 14 (IC)
13	Emergency Video Information Sharing Network – EVISN (pg. 268)	18.5	13 OUT OF 14 (IC)

05-15-06 Prioritization	Concept Paper	Score	RPWG
14	Alternate Public Safety Communications Center (APSCC) (pg. 278)	17.3	14 OUT OF 14 (IC)

## Intelligence and Information Sharing

Session 2: Conference Room 1 9:30 am – 10:30 am

- This is a list of papers ranked by score from past sessions, emergency preparedness also shows a ranking from past discussions.
  - QUESTION: The “National Capital Region Syndromic Surveillance Network” (p. 363) paper appears to have relocated?
    - Last week it was listed in this group, but it is not on the list.
    - “NCR Medical Surge Initiative Patient Tracking System” (p. 224) is listed in this section, but shouldn’t both be here?
  - ANSWER: “National Capital Region Syndromic Surveillance Network” is listed under Medical Surge, due to information provided by R-ESF 8.
    - Not many other projects have moved.
      - Example: UASI Family Center
        - Was listed under Interoperable Communication.
        - Moved to Citizen Preparedness and Protection.
  - Discussion of rankings:
    - QUESTION: How will it be affected if the rankings do not change from this session?
    - Working groups ranked them:
      - R-ESF 8 and groups ranked the same papers; this is the ranking to the far right.
      - “Score” reflects score from people who saw all of the papers.
        - R-ESF 8 and Health and Medical groups are experts, and their rankings are included for reference during this discussion.
      - One of the purposes of the discussion is to re-evaluate based on the R-ESF 8 rankings.
  - Motion to keep the rankings the same.
    - Seconded by two in the audience.
  - If there are decisions being made, they want to be included.
    - There will be an After Action report.
    - Comment: Desire to compliment the process. However, if groups are ranking and discussing papers, then advocates of the papers need to be included.
    - Movement of topics happened because of different efforts to re-align the papers into the correct order.
      - For example: SPGs have requested state involvement; R-ESFs have helped to realign the appropriate topics and papers.

05-15-06 Prioritization	Concept Paper	Score	RPWG
1	NCR Medical Surge Initiative Patient Tracking System (pg. 224)	35	8 OUT OF 15 (Health)

<b>05-15-06 Prioritization</b>	<b>Concept Paper</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>RPWG</b>
2	24 Hour Regional Staffing of the Homeland Security Operations Center (pg. 228)	32.5	
3	NCRWARN (National Capital Region Water Utility Response Network)* (pg. 220)	31.4	

## Medical Response Support

Session 1: Boardroom 10:40 am – 11:40 am

- The RPWG rankings were not correct on the spreadsheet.
  - This has been changed.
- TAMIFLU was an added paper.
  - If there a TAMI epidemic there is no accurate description of a first response
    - A figure was determined; needs to be set aside for that.
    - May decide to adjust funding later, but for now would like to rank it, against other projects.
  - This may already be supported and is a priority by CAOs.
  - The TAMIFLU should not be one of the things for next year because it will take many years.
    - If we did put money aside then it may not be used within the 2 years time frame.
    - Will not be able to stock pile the TAMIFLU.
      - It needs more attention on policy and public health.
        - Suggest to submit a white paper, for more essential services.
      - The US other organizations will not be ready until 2008.
        - The policies will take a long time.
    - Other things will provide more certain vaccination results.
  - QUESTION: How long will it take to get the vaccine?
    - Cannot get it done in a two-year timeframe because it is not available from the manufacturer.
    - The timeframe has not been confirmed because the money is not available to purchase from manufacturer.
    - The current suggestion is that we get in line now.
      - Opposition says that it has a shelf life is 5 years, but this could go longer if the FDA extends the shelf life.
      - Stock piles are not encouraged.
      - ACTION ITEM: Will provide a conference call, ranking, white paper and talk before Thursday meeting.
      - For this meeting it will be taken off the table.
- Ranking discussion:
  - The RPWG group was made up of representatives from 3 hospitals, 1 state, and 1 regional.
  - The R-ESF voting contained all hospitals, many representatives, and EMS, for a more complete ranking.
  - RPWG will defer to R-ESF ranking.
- Suggestion that the Gap Analysis for Health and Medical Readiness-Part 2, A Continuation Project is not that expensive, so that maybe it should be placed at the lower area of the list.
  - Feel that this is a critical to health planners, because there is not enough help the medical reserve corps, is now used not just during emergency, but to help on the maintenance.
  - The emergency preparedness lines have been blurred because of all the health issues that need help (AIDS, obesity) and funding.
  - Emergency preparedness is getting the funding, but the other work must be maintained and is that current need.
  - The essence of where you want to fix things first is shown in the first three items.
  - The Gap Analysis is long over due, feel it can help direct the resources in a better fashion.
  - The R-ESF ranking will stand.
- The CATI paper was reduced to \$400,000.

05-15-06 Prioritization	Concept Paper	Score	RPWG	R-ESF 8
1	Gap Analysis for Health and Medical Readiness- Part 2, A Continuation Project (pg. 369)	36.4	2 OUT OF 15 (Health)	1
2	Medical Prophylaxis and Surge Planning* (pg. 445)	33.1	3 OUT OF 15 (Health)	2
3	Surge Capacity for Public Health: Medical Reserve Corps in the National Capitol Region (pg. 351)	35.6	5 OUT OF 15 (Health)	3
4	UASI FY 06 Medical Surge (pg. 377)	34.1	1 OUT OF 15 (Health)	4
5	National Capital Region Syndromic Surveillance Network ( <i>moved from Mass Care</i> ) (pg. 363)	36.3	4 OUT OF 15 (Health)	5
6	Regional Implementation of Computer-Assisted Telephone Interview Capacity (CATI) (pg. 366)	31.5	9 OUT OF 15 (Health)	6
7	Hospital Disaster Life Support (pg. 380)	34.1	10 OUT OF 15 (Health) 4 OUT OF 12 (ETOP)	7
8	Code Orange (pg. 383)	31.4	15 OUT OF 15 (Health) 6 OUT OF 12 (ETOP)	8

Concept Papers Removed	
TAMIFLU	Not rated SPG/CAO Priority



## Mass Care

Session 2: Conference Room 1 10:40 am – 11:40 am

- Bundled papers did not receive an overall “Score.”
- R-ESF 6 - Linda Mathes: R-ESF 6 marked the bundled item as #1 in several discussions.
- QUESTION: Shouldn't “Establishment of a Food Safety and Protection Program for the National Capital Region’s Mass Care Centers” be covered under the FDA?
  - ANSWER: Maryland Department of Agriculture asked for labs and mobile units to test on site.
- Chuck Bean, Human Services WG:
  - Our group ranked the top bundle the most because the NRP calls for sheltering capability and planning for 15% of population; this is 300,000 in the metropolitan area.
  - Past exercises have shown capability of only 3,000; this means that over time there will need to be an increase of 100 fold.
- Group accepted rankings.

05-15-06 Prioritization	Concept Paper	Score	RPWG
1	NCR Regional Emergency Planning for Sheltering Companion Animals (Pets) (pg. 436) National Capital Region Shelter Planning (Shelter profiles and action plans) (pg. 331) District R-ESF – 6 (Mass Care Needs) (pg. 335) NCR Regional R-ESF-6 Equipment (pg. 323)		1 OUT OF 13 (Human Services)
2	Establishment of a Food Safety and Protection Program for the National Capital Region’s Mass Care Centers (pg. 328)	25.3	13 OUT OF 13 (Human Services)

## WMD/HazMat

Session 1: Boardroom 11:50 am – 12:50 pm

- The rankings are based on priority by R-ESF.
- National Capital Region – Type III Incident Management Team and WMD Operations (Offensive) Training:
  - Both are both continuation projects, already in progress.
  - Both are of equal of nature, as well as of the resources/investments.
- Metro Subway Security Strategic Initiative:
  - A \$5 million dollar investment, but can be scalable back into the \$1 – 2 million range for the first year.
- The R-ESF feels that we ought to expand on what we have encompassed right now.
  - To stay consistent, and not just to cancel programs that have already started.
  - It is far from being complete in past efforts, but it must expand on what it has started.
- Training:
  - These are extensive offerings; training is open to the public.
  - The stations have sent invitations for management training; they would love see more response.
- Programmatic vs. people:
  - To continue to fund the maintenance on people.
  - There are concerns about funding projects that if the funding disappears you can not support the people working on the project.
  - Both the WMD training and management training have been put forward as multi-year project.
    - They will train about 10,000 fire people in the area.
    - This cannot physically be done in 1-2 years; it needs to take about 5 years.
    - All results will be seen in the long run.
  - Feel that these projects do not involve people, but contract training.
    - Hopefully the cost of maintenance will be shown on Friday, and a better statement on what is the cost of upkeep on the programs.
    - In many cases that there would not be funding to upkeep the past funding.
    - The stations thought that eventually the funding would run out and it would be the responsibility of each group to maintain and upkeep on their own.
    - Interesting to see in the projections if the money will stay.
    - There is a shelf life to many things, training, included.

05-15-06 Prioritization	Concept Paper	Score	RPWG	R-ESF
1	National Capital Region – Type III Incident Management Team (pg. 480)	34.4		1
2	WMD Operations (Offensive) Training (pg. 484)	35.8	3 OUT OF 12 (ETOP)	2

05-15-06 Prioritization	Concept Paper	Score	RPWG	R-ESF
3	Mass Decontamination Program* (pg. 468)	38.7		3
4	Metro Subway Security Strategic Initiative (pg. 472)	37.3		4
5	National Capital Region – Type III Incident Management Team (Equipment) (pg. 476)	31		5

## Explosive Device

Session 2: Conference Room 1 11:50 am – 12:50 pm

- Motion to confirm the current rankings? Seconded.

<b>05-15-06 Prioritization</b>	<b>Concept Paper</b>	<b>Score</b>
1	National Capital Region Bomb Squad (Metrotech) Equipment and Regional Caches (pg. 209)	41.4
2	Debris Removal Crane-Bodied Grapple Trucks (pg. 207)	29.5

## CBRNE Threat Detection

Session 1 Boardroom 1:00 pm – 2:00 pm

- The current rankings have been defined by the R-ESF, the lead on the topic.
- Interest was shown in the Radiation Detection Equipment.
  - \$280,000
  - It will protect our employees.
  - Some facilities have it and some do not.
  - It is useful because there is no utility or procedure in the Washington Area, to OK the water supply.
  - The equipment can tell if the supply is OK in 8 hours.
  - There is a lot of knowledge as to what is in the dumps, therefore this project is ranked number 4.
- The Review Screening Facility:
  - It is hard to determine when these investments are going to be completed.
  - There are lots of projects under development, difficult for us to know if the federal government will take over the testing in certain areas.
- Regional Emergency Mobile Lab:
  - Three labs are the number proposed.
- SiTEL On Demand:
  - SiTEL Training is very important.
    - It will help a lot with the medical and health programs.
  - The problem in the past is that personnel cannot take the training because there is not a backfill system.
  - This will provide training on a different arena, on our own terms.
- Concerns over how these projects will rank in the overall picture.
  - The study and the radiation equipment could be done very quickly.
  - All of these are very high priority and low cost relative to the whole.

05-15-06 Prioritization	Concept Paper	Score	RPWG	R-ESF
1	Regional Emergency Mobile Lab	29.7		1
2	Radiological Truck Cargo Screening Feasibility Study	32.1		2
3	SiTEL On Demand (pg. 382)	31.1	13 OUT OF 15 (Health) 7 OUT OF 12 (ETOP)	3

05-15-06 Prioritization	Concept Paper	Score	RPWG	R-ESF
4	Radiation Detection Equipment	27.2		4

## Collaboration for Citizen Protection and Preparedness

Session 2: Conference Room 1 1:00 pm – 2:00 pm

- QUESTION: Are we supposed to consider money and the best use of funding in this session?
  - ANSWER: Money is not to be considered.
  - Some groups considered money for their rankings.
  - SPGs / CAOs will consider money on Friday; they will be briefed on the process.
  - Many groups have changed their priorities; they will continue to change.
  - QUESTION: Can we submit a response to the rankings?
    - ANSWER: Nominate someone to present for 12 minutes on Friday.
  - Comment: The layout of these sessions, particularly the scorings used, may cause an impression of hierarchy in the projects that is not reflective of the subjective nature of this process.
- Item 86 (score 36.2): Has been bundled into Item 29 (the \$900,000 allocation stays the same).
  - It was given a priority 2 of 4 by R-ESF 14, as agreed by R-ESF 12, R-ESF 14, and R-ESF 3.
  - QUESTION: The \$700,000 disappears?
    - ANSWER: Yes, it is now included in the \$900,000.
- QUESTION: #23 on pg 5 was rated 8 of 21 by R-ESF raters last Monday, only on that portion of the bundle, why is the score not listed here?
  - ANSWER: Individual ratings are included, but bundle does not have a score due to the variance in their original scores.
  - The project is now \$4 million.
- QUESTION: Regional Public and Private Bus Evacuation did not make it on this list.
  - ANSWER: The project was moved to planning, p. 19 in the handout.
- Notes on specific projects:
  - Continuity of Efforts regarding Volunteer Management Across the NCR and Continuity & Enhancement of Citizen Corps Council membership and Citizen Corps Core Programs and affiliated programs and activities in the NCR (pg. 29)
    - This is an R-ESF 5 project.
  - Ensure Plans, Procedures and IT Systems for coordination between Emergency Management and 211 for Emergency Info and Referral (pg. 54)
    - Was ranked highest of 4 by the Transportation Group.
    - Before allocating information systems, there must be sensors, etc. to give good information during evacuation.
- UASI NCR Family Assistance/Reunification Center (pg. 431)
  - This is a continuance project.
  - Rank highly in the session to continue.
  - Public education / materials are being requested by voluntary organizations.
  - Family assistance is about direct family services
    - Example: Reunification during Katrina.
  - The public needs a place to accept help.
  - It is a regional project; it met the stated criteria.
  - It is the only project listed that is a direct human service.
    - Rebuttal (R-ESF 14): We are comparing apples and oranges, due to different rankings that didn't include R-ESF 14.
      - There weren't PIO or energy representatives present.
    - All the projects are interrelated because information is needed to get to the necessary services. Suggest a more systematic approach – prevent, educate, then serve.
    - Move “Ensure Plans, Procedures and IT Systems for coordination between Emergency Management and 211 for Emergency Info and Referral” the number 6 ranking?
      - R-ESF 14: This project provides human services through referrals.

- Rebuttal: However, it does not provide direct service.
      - PIO should decide top priority.
      - Transportation/human services/PIO should get 1, 2, 3.
        - The group is supposed to mesh, but should choose the best.
    - Expands 211 capabilities and duties from local governments.
      - Responds to calls instead of other response entities doing so.
      - The family center is a continuation project, and needs to be tested and determined; wanted to test this last year.
      - Majority wanted to move it higher; decided to move “Ensure Plans, Procedures and IT Systems for coordination between Emergency Management and 211 for Emergency Info and Referral” to the number 6 ranking.
- Emergency Transportation Annex - Testing, Exercising, and Resource Development (pg. 413).
  - Transportation Group: R-ESF 1 ranked it higher within transportation; it is definitely a priority.
    - With the issue of evacuation, analysis is needed of transportation and testing on systems is needed to determine necessary steps.
    - QUESTION: Emergency Managers participants: is evacuation important? Rank?
      - R-ESF 5: The project’s score reflects where it is viewed by our group.
- Emergency Public Address System (pg. 82)
  - Similar to an ongoing project by SEH / Arlington County currently testing outdoor warning systems.
- Alexandria Transit titled “DASH Bus Security Camera System”
  - Low priority: Stated by a member from Alexandria.
- The bundled projects: (Identify and coordinate transportation requirements for the special needs population. (pg. 26), National Capitol Region Evacuation and Shelter in Place Coordination and Outreach to Community, Business and Special Populations providers (pg. 85), SPECIAL NEEDS POPULATIONS Emergency Response Inclusion (pg. 40), Increasing Special Needs Involvement in NCR Disaster Preparedness: building sustainable capacity for sheltering-in-place, evacuation, and mass care (pg. 59))
  - Changed to: 8 of 13 score as requested by Health.
  - It is difficult to understand the similarities and how the R-ESFs understood how to rank.
  - This project needs to be higher than Regional Evacuation Traffic Monitoring and Management Tool(s) (Transportation ranked it higher).
- Regional Marketing Campaign for the NCR’s Alert and Notification Systems:
  - R-ESF 14: Move “Regional Marketing Campaign for the NCR’s Alert and Notification Systems” up to the number 2 ranking position and bundle it with “Public Information Dissemination Campaign to Educate the Public on Mitigating the Impacts of Energy Emergencies in the National Capital Region.”
    - The State and R-ESF 14 are working together to do this.
      - Currently all regions have alert systems, but people are not familiar because of a lack of marketing.
    - It is already part of the citizen Make a Plan Campaign, perhaps bundle it with another project to get more funding.
      - Rebuttal:
        - Having already bundled several projects; will this reduce funding?



- R-ESF 14 was not asked to participate in Human Services group; please make sure this is noted during future discussions.
- Rating by work groups seems to have reversed the past ratings.
  - Bundling: energy and preparedness rated high by EPC, bundling regional with Emergency Preparedness Campaign, should move all of it up in the order.
- Three were rated by the Human Services WG.
  - Human Services WG did review these and send some to other groups:
    - Family assistance center UASI : increased as a priority.
    - PR, Public Info, and Transportation were all considerations.
- Increase budget if all three are combined (\$500,000) to make a total of \$1.4 for all three.
  - Previously bundled citizen education campaign (Item 86 into this project) into this group.
  - Chuck Bean: These projects were given a good score on two, except “Public Information Dissemination Campaign to Educate the Public on Mitigating the Impacts of Energy Emergencies in the National Capital Region\*” was not ranked well.
    - Human Services WG:
      - Education for energy is different than preparedness education.
  - Energy Chair:
    - Normal emergencies require typical information.
    - Information is needed on where to find energy:
      - Emergency generators that are able to be deployed (200+) for use during a disaster
      - Also need to organize fuel for generators
      - This is the reasoning behind \$900,000.
  - These projects received funding in FY 04’ / 05’.
    - EPC in favor.
  - ARC: There was a heavy concentration of marketing on the Metro which didn’t seem to carry out of metro area.
  - Vote: bundled? Majority. New score average of the two (appropriate to bundle)? Moved the bundle to number 2 ranking.

05-15-06 Prioritization	Concept Paper	Score	RPWG
1	Continuity of Efforts regarding Volunteer Management Across the NCR and Continuity & enhancement of Citizen Corps Council membership and Citizen Corps Core Programs and affiliated programs and activities in the NCR (pg. 29)	37.2	7 OUT OF 13 (Human Services)
2	Regional Marketing Campaign for the NCR’s Alert and Notification Systems; Public Information Dissemination Campaign to Educate the Public on Mitigating the Impacts of Energy Emergencies in	35.6	5 OUT OF 13 (Human Services)

05-15-06 Prioritization	Concept Paper	Score	RPWG
	the National Capital Region* (pg. 44)	22.6	12 OUT OF 13 (Human Services)
3	UASI NCR Family Assistance/Reunification Center (pg. 431)	29.2	2 OUT OF 13 (Human Services)
4	Identify and coordinate transportation requirements for the special needs population. (pg. 26) National Capitol Region Evacuation and Shelter in Place Coordination and Outreach to Community, Business and Special Populations providers (pg. 85) SPECIAL N	34.8	8 OUT OF 13 (Human Services)
		30.6	
		28.8	
5	Regional Evacuation Traffic Monitoring and Management Tool(s) (pg. 91)	30.7	
6	Ensure Plans, Procedures and IT Systems for coordination between Emergency Management and 211 for Emergency Info and Referral (pg. 54)	35.1	6 OUT OF 13 (Human Services)
7	Implement the NCR's strategic communications plan by engaging the media* (pg. 53)	30.3	8 OUT OF 13 (Human Services)
8	Raising Public Awareness for Public Health Emergencies: Going Beyond Mass Media for Message Dissemination* (pg. 374)	30.1	7 OUT OF 15 (Health)
9	Emergency Transportation Annex - Testing, Exercising, and Resource Development (pg. 413)	27.9	11 OUT OF 12 (ETOP)
10	National Capital Region Multimodal Traveler Information System (pg. 76)	27	
11	Regional Real Time Transit Customer Information System (pg. 79)	26.6	
12	Personal Preparedness Kits for NCR's Impoverished Population (pg. 36)	21.7	9 OUT OF 13 (Human Services)
13	Emergency Public Address System (pg. 82)	24	
14	Alexandria Transit titled "DASH Bus Security Camera System"	22.4	

## Critical Infrastructure Protection

Session 1 Boardroom 2:10 pm – 3:10 pm

- The review and rankings are already all encompassing, because a process from RPWG requested input from the various groups throughout.
- National Capital Region Critical Infrastructure Resiliency Program
  - The Work Group put together for strategy and analysis.
- Removal of Managing Metro Emergences I and II:
  - They felt that both were important, therefore they ranked them as top and bottom priorities.
    - Training does not have a specific capability and so should be in general operations.
    - The Commanding Mentor Training moved to ETOP because they are in charge of the training already, and funded last year.
    - Moved to Planning, because not really an infrastructure.
    - NOTE: This is an ongoing training, so therefore it should not be stopped now, even if we moved from interoperability.
      - Make sure they do not get lost because they do have a history.
      - ETOP might be able to cover the cost, but perhaps not the whole project.
- Changed “Clean, Reliable Back-Up Portable Generation for Critical Infrastructures within the National Capital Region” to \$225,000 from \$1.5M.
  - Changed the wording; would like to have it as a demonstration project.
- R-ESF 5: It is necessary to ensure that there are detection measurements on transportation and that it is updated.
  - Would like time and money to plan and predict for the future instances, instead of just reacting to the issues.
  - A lot of planning that will go into the process and not much has been done yet.
  - Would like to be more aware about the kinds of surveillances that are available.
  - The working group thinks that it’s a critical factor, and right now they have some plans to implement that on future projects.

05-15-06 Prioritization	Concept Paper	Score	RPWG
1	National Capital Region Critical Infrastructure Resiliency Program (pg. 103)	33.3	1 OUT OF 16 (CIP)
2	WMATA Alternate Operations Control Center (pg.114)	30.2	2 OUT OF 16 (CIP)
3	Expansion, Establishment and Operation of the Water Security Monitoring Network in the National Capital Region (pg. 132)	34.1	3 OUT OF 16 (CIP)
4	Rapid Response Mobile Transformer (pg. 153)	29.4	7 OUT OF 16 (CIP)
5	Critical Information Protection Program		8 OUT OF 16 (CIP)
6	Multifunctional Evacuation Dynamic Message Signs (pg.125)	24.6	9 OUT OF 16 (CIP)

05-15-06 Prioritization	Concept Paper	Score	RPWG
7	Critical Infrastructure Monitoring and Protection (pg.128)	27.8	10 OUT OF 16 (CIP)
8	Critical Transportation Infrastructure Protection (pg. 119)	30.6	11 OUT OF 16 (CIP)
9	Northern Virginia Transportation Management Program: Enhancements for Incident Response and Emergency Operations Command/Control and Information Sharing (pg. 106)	25.2	12 OUT OF 16 (CIP)
10	Increasing Emergency Generation Reliability and Capability in the National Capital Region (NCR) (pg. 145)	32.9	13 OUT OF 16 (CIP)
11	New Transportation Management Center at Anacostia Gateway (pg. 122)	21.2	14 OUT OF 16 (CIP)
12	Pipeline Net Water Distribution System Model Development for Water Utilities in the National Capital Region* (pg. 136)	31.5	15 OUT OF 16 (CIP)
13	Clean, Reliable Back-Up Portable Generation for Critical Infrastructures within the National Capital Region* (pg 149)	25.6	16 OUT OF 16 (CIP)

Concept Papers Removed		
WMATA Communications Upgrade ( <i>moved to ICOMM</i> ) (pg. 141)	39.1	4 OUT OF 16 (CIP)
Managing Metro Emergencies I (Awareness Level)* (pg. 159)	38.2	5 OUT OF 16 (CIP) 1 OUT OF 12 (ETOP)
Managing Metro Emergencies II (Operations Level)* (pg. 162)	19.4	6 OUT OF 16 (CIP) 12 OUT OF 12 (ETOP)

## Law Enforcement Collaboration for Citizen Protection and Preparedness

Session 2: Conference Room 1 2:10 pm – 3:10 pm

- There are a large range of projects listed that have already started which need to be continued.
- The rankings that were determined by the Group were:
  - NCR-LInX:
    - Were funded last year, did not get full funding last time.
    - There was a good reception by the audience, and funding is greatly needed to reach everyone.
  - Mobile AFIS/Facial Recognition
    - Were funded last year, did not get full funding last time.
  - Standardized Training In Terrorism Prevention, Detection and Interdiction for Law Enforcement Entry Level and In Service Programs
    - QUESTION: Isn't this program a continuance?
      - ANSWER: "Ready" was not held at home bases, by their own trainers; this may have been part of the problem.
      - Standardization is the solution; it would result from the control given by holding it locally and allowing it to be specially crafted.
        - This is a continuation of effort to assure that everyone has baseline training.
    - ETOP kept the same scores they received, there was not an independent analysis conducted.
      - This happened because all of the R-ESFs were together in a meeting evaluating the projects.
        - Therefore, projects were compared across the board.
      - Did not look at it independently; could possibly have gone higher if there is a great need.
  - Intelligence Analysts:
    - Personnel funded in the maintenance request, if funded in that group it will be removed from this grouping.
  - Regional Expansion of the Terrorist Incident Prevention Program-TIPP
  - ROMA
  - Maritime Awareness NCR (pg. 312)

05-15-06 Prioritization	Concept Paper	Score	RPWG
1	NCR-LInX	34.1	
2	Mobile AFIS/Facial Recognition	28.7	
3	Standardized Training In Terrorism Prevention, Detection and Interdiction for Law Enforcement Entry Level and In Service Programs	30.7	9 OUT OF 12 (ETOP)
4	Intelligence Analysts		
5	Regional Expansion of the Terrorist Incident Prevention Program-TIPP	26.8	
6	ROMA	29.2	
7	Maritime Awareness NCR (pg. 312)	20.8	

## Regional Logistics and Distribution

Session 2 Conference Room 3:20 pm – 4:20 pm

- Bundle “Warehouse Bundle to include: National Capitol Region Critical Resources Logistics Warehouse (pg. 180); Warehousing for a Regional Cache of Equipment (pg.191), Emergency Phase Food Storage and Relief Phase Food Storage and Distribution. Space and Storage”:
  - QUESTION: Is putting food in a warehouse a health risk?
    - Three proposals are actually included in this one: MREs in warehouses (where they currently are), one other, and food is included.
      - It is noted in the documentation that health concerns need to be considered.
      - DC Kitchens currently has a warehouse and would be dealing with this.
      - Warehousing is a definite need (only 10,000 meals readily available – much lower than the poverty level).
      - “Shelties” are now being considered.
        - These are trailers housing food with meals for ~200.
        - They would be used to station food at the shelters, instead of having a centralized location.
        - They are much cheaper and more efficient.
      - Purchasing as a region creates a need for space.
    - Ranked number 3 priority by emergency managers.
    - Law enforcement: top 5 need.
    - This proposal includes 3 leased warehouses with staff and equipment.
    - Water would be included in the entities stored.
    - Proposal to reduce the size of the project:
      - Start with one warehouse, perhaps exclude cots that take up a lot of space.
      - Perhaps less than 10 million.
      - Note: This is a scaleable operation; can definitely be reduced.
      - Will not store enough for 3 million people; equipment, etc. are available.
    - The request was signed by police and fire; they also need extra bodies to manage the overall projects.
      - With all of these new projects, staff needs to be increased to allow for the extra work.
    - Even with all of the other needs, warehouse and storage space is a top priority.

## Regional Incident Planning and Response

Session 1 Boardroom 3:20 pm – 4:20 pm

- The two Managing Metro Emergencies I and II, must be moved from Critical Infrastructure, and placed in the Planning.
  - Managing Metro Emergencies II (Operations Level) is ETOP and core money.
  - Should be placed with the five training cores, to be moved.
  - Currently working with other agencies to get funding for training.
  - COMBINED:
    - National Capital Region Exercises and Training
    - Strategic Planning for a Health and Medical Core Curriculum
    - HICS, and NIMS: A Forum on Hospital Incident Command
    - Regional Energy Emergency Exercise and Emergency Liaison Officers Training Program
    - Managing Metro Emergencies I (Awareness Level)
    - Managing Metro Emergencies II (Operations Level)
  - Reservations were stated because the Group hasn't gotten enough funding in the past, and would like to have the training portion separately or money set aside.
    - If all training is bundled together then perhaps it is more likely to get funding, but how do you then split up the money?
    - Would it be a pool of money; last year the ETOP put money out for training, and then received to get the rest of the money?
  - It is important to show the individuality of each project.
  - Perhaps they should be categorized all together, and also listing the ranking.
  - QUESTION: Where does the ranking come from?
    - ANSWER: \$3 million for a general training exercise, and the remaining \$2 million were from NIMS personnel.
  - Concerns: Bundling may lose the individual needs and keep the reserves for certain projects low.
  - These two trainings have already been implemented.
  - Suggestion: Show ranking and cost of each project, but keep training together.
  - Concern: Worried that if training is standing alone, you might not get funding at all, instead of getting part of funding because represented as a pool of training.
  - Other training that might be embedded in another project.
  - QUESTION: Would you treat exercises and projects different. Would you fund exercises as well?
    - ANSWER: That is what happened last time.
  - QUESTION: Did the training have to be approved on the list. Could someone bring new training ideas to ETOP at a later date?
  - QUESTION: Has there been any work on National Capital Region Exercises and Training based on EMAP, or Gap Analysis?
    - The proposal the emergency managers came up with to fill up the gaps in the solutions/recommendations.
- Continuation of COG Professional, Technical, and Secretariat Support
  - The over riding process is the council government.
    - It could support COG the best to be moved to number one. Then number 1 to 2, and number 3 training.
      - That way transportation can be talked about more in the gaps.
  - QUESTION: Where does the COG fall into 5 percent? Because this year 5 percent can be removed off top instead of 2 percent. So would COG come out of the 5 percent?
    - Could we maybe take it off and wait for more evaluation from COG?
      - We anticipate ~\$4.12 million for the COG tool.
      - The planning proposal is about \$5 million.

- “Regional Public/Private Bus Evacuation Coordination Planning and Evacuation Time Estimates – How much time do we need?:”
  - These are critical projects mainly because where to move people during emergencies, and evaluation time estimates.
  - They answer the question of how soon and how quick we can move people in region, and put people in zones.
  - This is important because CAO and DHS have pushed this project.
  - There is a desire for funds to plan and implement these causes.
  - This will be shown on Friday, and reworking old projects for transportation.
  - It’s the best fit for where these things go.
  - This is a very practical project because large amount of people do not have transportation and special needs projects.
  - QUESTION: Can it be bundled with number one? Could this be seen as holes?
    - This seems like an ongoing issue.
    - ANSWER: No, I do not think that it can be bundled based on past funding.
      - Perhaps consider putting in with the general planning money.
- If you look at the overall score compared to the other areas they are all pretty high.

05-15-06 Prioritization	Concept Paper	Score	RPWG
1	Law Enforcement Personal Protective Equipment Cache* (pg. 189)	34.4	
2	Regional Fire and Rescue Homeland Security Staff (pg. 416)	27.1	
3	<b>Warehouse Bundle to include: National Capitol Region Critical Resources Logistics Warehouse (pg. 180);</b> Warehousing for a Regional Cache of Equipment (pg.191), Emergency Phase Food Storage and Relief Phase Food Storage and Distribution. Space and Storage /	28.5	11 OUT OF 13 (Human Services)
	Warehouse Bundle to include: National Capitol Region Critical Resources Logistics Warehouse (pg. 180); <b>Warehousing for a Regional Cache of Equipment (pg.191)</b> , Emergency Phase Food Storage and Relief Phase Food Storage and Distribution. Space and Storage /	30.5	8 OUT OF 15 (HEALTH)
	Warehouse Bundle to include: National Capitol Region Critical Resources Logistics Warehouse (pg. 180); Warehousing for a Regional Cache of Equipment (pg.191), <b>Emergency Phase Food Storage and Relief Phase Food Storage and Distribution. Space and Storage /</b>	31.5	
4	Establishment of an Emergency Drinking Water Production and Stockpile Capability in the National Capital Region (pg. 172)	29	
5	Mass Casualty Support Unit Restocking Plan (pg. 176)	33.1	
6	MEGIN Regional Deployment (pg. 197)	31.7	
7	National Capital Region Critical Resource and Logistics Core Capability Improvement (pg. 183)	31.2	
8	New Transportation Management Center at Anacostia Gateway (pg. 122)	21.2	



## **Closing**

- The approximate schedule for Friday's SPG and CAO Meeting:
  - 9:00 am to 12:00 pm: each investment will be discussed.
  - 12:00 pm to 4:00 pm: make decisions of where to fund.
  - A representative from each investment should be present Friday.
    - They will each be given 12 minutes.
      - Allot 8 minutes to speak, and 4 minutes for questions.
- Monday evening everything will be posted, and a final rough draft on budget will be sent out.