February 10, 2006

MEMORANDUM TO: Kenneth D. Schisler, Chairman

Harold D. Williams, Commissioner Allen M. Freifeld, Commissioner Karen A. Smith, Commissioner Charles R. Boutin, Commissioner

Craig B. Chesek, Dir. of Admin. and Operations

Susan S. Miller, General Counsel

Gregory V. Carmean, Executive Director Bryan G. Moorhouse, Chief Hearing Examiner Christine E. Nizer, Manager, External Relations

FROM: O. Ray Bourland, Executive Secretary ONB

Calvin Timmerman, Senior Commission Advisor

RE: Cost and Profitability Analysis of SB 154 and HB 189

**Emissions Reductions Provisions** 

As directed by the Commission, a Staff work group under the direction of Ray Bourland has been examining the costs and impacts of the Department of the Environment's Clean Power Rule governing emissions of sulfur dioxides (SO2), nitrous oxides (NOx) and mercury (Hg) from certain Maryland coal-fired power plants. With the filing of SB 154 and HB 189, the work group also studied the costs and impacts of those legislative proposals.

Members of the work group included the Commission's senior staff management, its senior economists, and chief engineer, among others. The product of the work group is largely contained in the attached analysis of the SO2, NOx, and Hg provisions of the legislation. The analysis is chiefly the work product of Calvin Timmerman, Senior Policy Advisor, with input from J. Richard Schafer, Chief Engineer, Craig S. Taborsky, Engineer – Electric, John Sillin, Integrated Resource Planning Director, R. Scott Everngam, Assistant IRP Director, and D. Douglas DeWitt, Director, Rate Research and Economics. The information contained in the analysis is based upon publicly available data. In instances where the only publicly available data is several years old, appropriate cost escalators were employed.

Not contained in the spreadsheets is analysis of the carbon dioxide (CO2) provisions of SB 154 and HB 189. The "omission" is not because CO2 compliance costs are minimal. Rather, they are not included in the spreadsheet analysis due to their sweeping nature, the lack of commercially-feasible carbon reduction and sequestration methods, and the subsequent potential for significant and electric system reliability impacts.

SB 154 and HB 189 provide Maryland with the option of (a) capping CO2 emissions from the affected coal plants at 2004 levels and then reducing those emissions by 10 percent, or (b) joining the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI), a seven-state consortium establishing a cap-and-trade CO2 plan that also has the goal of ultimately reducing CO2 emissions within the seven-state region. After careful study, the work group concludes that both options are unworkable for Maryland at this time.

Maryland obtains about 60 percent of its electricity from coal-fired power plants. Option "a" would require Maryland to reduce its CO2 emissions by 10 percent. There are no CO2 "scrubbers" that would capture CO2 out of the stack. Since coal generation emits significantly more CO2 than gas-fired generation (natural gas is the other chief carbon-based fuel used in Maryland's electricity supply), the only way to achieve a 10 percent reduction is to use much less coal-fueled electricity generation than we do today.

Given the economics of power generation (generators use their most efficient, lowest-cost units first, followed by higher and higher cost units as demand increases), the work group believes that generators, faced with a 10 percent carbon reduction requirement, will choose to close one or more older coal-fired units rather than just reduce output by 10 percent at all units. Both "solutions", of course, increase costs substantially. However, the most likely solution, the one involving plant closures, also raises the risk of significant reliability impacts to unacceptable levels.

As you know, PJM Interconnection, LLC (PJM) projects that the southwest MAAC zone (central and southern Maryland's zone) will have an electric supply reserve margin of only eight percent in 2010 **if all existing generation sources remain on line.** Eight percent is PJM's minimum zonal reserve margin. Plant closures due to the carbon provisions of the legislation, or any other reason, will put the central Maryland zone in violation of PJM's reliability criteria. The loss of one generating station or transmission line due to a forced outage, or low voltage support, or any of the other reasons why a transmission or generation facility can go out of service during a period of peak electricity demand, would lead to unacceptable risks of brownouts and blackouts in central and southern Maryland.

PJM has and does employ reliability must run (RMR) contracts to keep key generating plants in operation under circumstances where the closure of the plant would lead to constraints on the supply of electricity within a particular PJM zone or zones. Historically, RMR contracts have been employed to keep older oil and gas-fired stations in operation past their normal economic life expectancies, so that they are available to relieve congestion during times of peak demand. RMR contracts provide the plants' owners with full cost recovery as an inducement to operate, even if the plants are higher cost than other generation. Those extra costs are recovered from all load-serving entities (LSEs) providing service in the zone in which the RMR plant is located.

Accordingly, the work group believes that implementation of the carbon provisions of SB 154 and HB 189 is likely to lead to one of the two following scenarios. The first scenario sees the closure of key plants, raising costs to customers in the zone as more expensive generation replaces the lost (but needed) coal generation, and causing an unacceptable risk of brownouts and blackouts. The second scenario sees PJM (or the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or the federal Department of Energy) stepping

in, requiring the plants to continue operation, and providing for full cost recovery of the environmental controls (if some are invented) needed to comply with the carbon reductions. The work group believes that the legal and financial exposure of this scenario to the owners of the affected generation is substantial.

In comments at the hearings on SB 154 and HB 189, proponents seemed to agree that compliance with the 10 percent carbon reduction option in the legislation was problematic. They stated that compliance with the alternative carbon control strategy (Maryland joining RGGI) was the more feasible and cost-effective option.

The work group disagrees with that assessment. That option may be preferable to the first option, but it still poses significant cost and reliability risks to Maryland.

RGGI provides for a cap on carbon emissions, establishes carbon emissions credit trading and sequestration options, and provides incentives and funding for demand-side management activities predicted to lower the demand for generation produced by carbon-intensive fuels. As noted above, carbon controls are not available. RGGI's sequestration options, which initially can be used to achieve 3.3 percent of RGGI's "offsets," and may increase to five percent or more based upon the price of CO2 allowances, currently involve projects such as planting millions of trees, annually capturing methane gas or the collection and "injection" of carbon in inert form into the ground, something not yet commercially feasible. Sequestration certainly will be costly even if it becomes available in the foreseeable future.

RGGI's carbon credit trading provisions are problematic. One needs to ask where the credits will come from. Collectively, the seven RGGI states utilize coal-fired generation for about 15 percent of their electricity supply. As noted above, Maryland uses 60 percent coal-fired generation. RGGI takes 25 percent of each state's credits, capped at 2004 emissions levels, and gives them to the state for use as it sees fit. Thus, Maryland's coal-fired generators will, in 2010, have carbon emissions credits for their output based on 75 percent of their 2004 production. With load and production growth, that means they will start with credits equal to something less than 75 percent of their By the time RGGI's actual carbon reduction provisions are 2010 production. implemented beginning in 2015, generators will start with credits equal to an even smaller portion of their actual production. Even if Maryland allows them to buy all 25 percent of the credits that the State will be holding, they will still need additional credits. Since the RGGI states collectively have little coal-fired generation relative to Maryland, and will need credits themselves, particularly when the CO2 reductions are initiated, the work group believes there will be insufficient credits available to Maryland generators.

RGGI's advocates also advance the possibility that demand-side management (DSM) programs will provide a significant mechanism for complying with the carbon provisions in the legislation. The work group disagrees with that assessment, and points to recent experience in Maryland for its reasoning.

Starting in the late 1980's and continuing through the 1990's, the Commission and Maryland's General Assembly embarked on an aggressive program of DSM spending. Each of Maryland's investor-owned electric utilities, and its major electric cooperatives, had a DSM "roundtable" charged with investigating and proposing cost-effective DSM programs for the Commission's consideration. Maryland was a national

leader, implementing programs throughout the State at a collective cost exceeding \$750,000,000. With several cost-ineffective exceptions, the programs that were implemented provided some benefit to customers compared to where the demand and usage levels would have been absent the programs.

However, it is important to note that the demand for and usage of electricity in Maryland continued to increase even as the programs were implemented and operated. This critical fact should not be ignored, because the proponents of the DSM provisions of SB 154 and HB 189 seem to think that new DSM programs will result in actual reductions in demand for electricity to the extent that coal-fired power plants actually will operate less. The work group believes this thinking is erroneous in several respects in addition to the central fact that previous substantial DSM spending in Maryland did not reduce the actual demand for electricity.

For example, when DSM spending began in Maryland there were many inefficient electric motors, appliances and lighting fixtures in operation. Insulation and other building shell standards were relatively lax, and equipment efficiency standards were either non-existent or not rigorous.

State and federal measures corrected those inefficiencies in the 1990's. In fact, they corrected them to the extent that all of the DSM roundtables in Maryland ended up making unanimous recommendations to the Commission in the late 1990's to end the programs: not because they hadn't worked, but because they had and were no longer cost-effective. The only surviving programs are several conservation programs aimed at low-income customers, the cost-effectiveness of which are marginal at best.

In restating this history, the work group is not saying that it believes there are no cost-effective programs that could be implemented (there may be). It does show, however, why it is unreasonable to expect that implementation of new programs will produce actual reductions in the demand for electricity, much less the 10 percent reductions necessitated by the legislation.

Additionally, it should be noted that the legislation does not provide any relief from the carbon reduction provisions for the load growth that will occur over time as a natural consequence of economic growth. That is, even if a reduction in demand were to occur for a few years, it is unlikely to achieve the continuous demand reductions necessary to offset the naturally occurring growth in uses for electricity. That electricity will have to come from somewhere, and it is likely to come in significant amounts from fossil-fuel generation. These observations too are borne out in Maryland's experience with DSM in the late 1980's and 1990's – as programs operate to reduce inefficiencies, the increased efficiencies form a new baseline and the programs achieve their maximum attainable goals. The operation of the law of diminishing returns is why the roundtables unanimously recommended to the Commission the cessation of the DSM programs in the late 1990's.

The work group sees other problems with RGGI. For one, it observes that RGGI is a work in progress. As a RGGI member, what would Maryland do if the consortium decided to further tighten the carbon provisions? Would Maryland have ceded its sovereignty to the consortium? If Maryland withdraws from RGGI, would Maryland's generators be immediately subject to the alternate carbon control provisions of SB 154

and HB 189? These and other questions have no immediately discernable answers that provide assurance that in joining RGGI the State is acting prudently. In this regard, the work group observes that Massachusetts and Rhode Island, participants in the RGGI initiation discussions, have both elected not to sign on as member states, citing cost reasons.

Accordingly, the work group believes that the RGGI initiative option ultimately leads Maryland to an untenable combination of higher costs and unacceptable risks of brownouts and blackouts, as does the stand-alone cap and cut option contained in the legislation.

Other than the unworkable proposals advanced by the proponents, the work group found only two other possible strategies for compliance with the carbon provisions of the bills: (a) replace older, less efficient coal units with new gas-fired generation, or (b) with transmission lines. Both are infeasible at present and for the foreseeable future.

The customers in the PJM zone serving central and Southern Maryland currently use much more electricity than produced in the zone. The difference is covered by electricity imports over transmission lines. Those transmission lines are already loaded to the maximum during peak periods and even at other periods throughout the year. The impact of those loadings is seen in the congestion charges applicable to electricity pricing for the central Maryland zone.

New transmission lines are difficult and expensive to site and construct. Opposition already is forming to American Electric Power Company's (AEP) proposal for a 765 kilovolt (kV) transmission line from West Virginia to New Jersey which, if constructed, could help improve electricity imports into central Maryland. However, that line will not be constructed in time to meet the 2010 implementation of the bills' provisions. AEP projects an in-service date of 2014, eight years from now. The work group observes that a much shorter AEP 765 kV transmission line in rural West Virginia and Virginia has taken more than 15 years to site and construct and still is not operational. Given the much greater length of the new proposed line, its crossing of environmentally-sensitive areas and areas of relative population density, the work group is not sure that AEP will achieve its projected eight-year timeframe for the new line. The estimated \$3 billion cost of the project also raises feasibility questions. The fact that any such line would be used to import more coal-fired generation into Maryland also poses environmental issues due to pollutant transport into the State from the midwest.

That said, the AEP proposal is the only major transmission initiative that the Commission's Engineering Division is aware of that potentially could provide capacity at all sufficient to close the reliability gap that would be posed by closure of any of the affected coal-fired stations. However, the work group (and AEP) do not see completion of this project by 2010 as a possibility.

Given the difference in carbon emissions from coal-fired units versus gas-fired units, another possible measure is to replace coal units with gas units. Capital costs of 1,000 megawatts (MW) of gas generation to replace 1,000 MW of inefficient (relative to newer coal units) older coal units are \$600,000,000. Since gas costs three times as much as coal (\$22 per megawatt hour for coal versus \$68/megawatt hour for gas), ongoing fuel

costs of those units also will be much higher, in the tens of millions of dollars annually if not more.

Unfortunately, the natural gas pipeline transportation system serving central Maryland is operating at full capacity already. Without new pipeline or liquefied natural gas (LNG) capacity, there is not enough gas in central Maryland to supply any new gas units capable of substituting for lost base load coal generation. Siting pipeline and LNG facilities pose many issues, take a long time to accomplish, and can be very expensive. As with electric transmission facilities, the work group does not see those alternatives as feasible in the timeframe necessitated by the bills. As noted above, even if it was possible, the costs will be tremendous, and largely recoverable from LSEs serving the central Maryland zone. This fact too should not be ignored. Disruption of PJM's dispatch queue in the central Maryland zone will result in the extra costs resting on consumers in central Maryland.

In summation, given the large costs, reliability risks, and uncertainties associated with the carbon provisions of SB 154 and HB 189, the work group did not attempt to include the above analysis into the attached spreadsheets. They appear to the work group to be unworkable and costly, and pose unacceptable risks to the reliable supply of electricity within significant portions of the State.

As noted earlier in this Memorandum, the attached examination of the SO<sub>2</sub>, NOx and Hg emissions control provisions of the proposed bills addresses the costs of those provisions on electric service in Maryland. It forms the foundation for a qualitative assessment as to whether the owner of a unit will choose to comply with those provisions or instead close the unit. The analysis used publicly available information on the historic operating characteristics and cost of the affected power plants; cost projections for required investment, operations and maintenance and fuel; and projected plant revenue. In those cases where public information was not available for essential factors, estimates were made by the Commission based on its own expertise. The analysis includes a Base Case (mid-range analysis), and High and Low Cost Cases covering a reasonable range of study assumptions.

Plant operating margins (revenue minus cost) over the ten-year period 2009-2018 was used as the decision rule to determine whether the plant operator would make the investment necessary to continue plant operation under the requirements of the proposed bills. The wholesale market in Central Maryland is likely to be highly constrained by a relative shortage of generation and transmission through all or most of the analysis period. Because of the limitations on energy imports into Central Maryland, particularly from the west, wholesale generation costs in Central Maryland are determined to a large extent by local generation. Because of these market conditions, this analysis assumes that much of the cost of compliance will be seen by Maryland retail customers. To the extent this analysis assumed something less than full cost recovery for those generators that remained in operation, additional plants would be put in danger of closure with the

**(4)** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Importantly, all plants subject to the bill are operating in an environment of competitive wholesale investment and operations. In recognition of this important change from past plant operations in a regulated environment, the Commission believes a 10-year perspective is reasonable to estimate the investment decisions of the current or future plant owners subject to the proposed bills.

resulting additional reliability and congestion costs due to a further shortage of local generation. For those plants that are likely to close, the "shadow" cost of compliance is used as a proxy for electric costs.

There are two significant uncertainties in these cost projections. There is little to no actual operating experience regarding the level of Hg control that is possible as a cobenefit of the installation of scrubbers (for SO<sub>2</sub> control) and SCR (for NOx control). The Base and High Cost cases assume that additional control measures are needed for 90% Hg control. The Low Cost Case assumes no Hg control costs beyond scrubbers and SCR are needed. New emissions control requirements in the eastern United States will place significant demands on equipment and construction companies that are very likely to raise investment costs above estimates based on current experience. Two Maryland plants, Wagner and particularly Crane, also have site specific limitations that are likely to impose additional investment costs for these plants. The three cases include three different levels of overall and site-specific investment cost increases. Not studied *per se*, but a real factor that owners must consider, is whether the lead times provided by the legislation are sufficient to allow timely compliance.

These estimates should generally be considered conservative. For example, the estimated investment costs for scrubbers are significantly lower than those recently announced by Constellation Energy for the Brandon Shores plant (about 50 percent as much). Additionally, the potential investment and operating costs of switching from lower cost high-sulfur coal to higher cost low-sulfur coal has not been included. It is also likely that the cost of reduced reliability (brown outs or black outs) or imported replacement power is likely to exceed the "shadow" electric cost for plants that are closed to avoid the required emissions control investment costs.

This memorandum now proceeds to a discussion of the base, high and low cost scenarios covered by the study.

<u>Base Case</u> - The Brandon Shores and Morgantown plant's estimated 10-year margins significantly exceed investment costs such that there is little doubt these plants will continue to operate. Revenue for the Chalk Point, Dickerson and Wagner plants exceed costs by an amount similar to total emissions control investments. While these plants are likely to continue operation, this result could be different for a plant owner with a shorter-term investment perspective. The margin for C.P. Crane is positive, but quite small (less than 15%) compared to the total estimated investment. Given the age of this plant and the engineering difficulties involved in installing scrubbers at this plant it is likely Crane would be closed. The Base Case results in a 10-year projected loss for the R. Paul Smith plant of over \$100 million. This would very likely result in the closure of this plant prior to the implementation of the proposed control requirements.

Total investment cost for the Base Case is estimated to be \$2 billion. Total annual retail electric costs are estimated to increase by \$530 million, which is approximately .79 cents/kWh. Again, the work group expects these costs to be largely recovered from customers in the zone serving central and southern Maryland.

<u>High Cost Case -</u> The Brandon Shores and Morgantown plants continue to have estimated 10-year margins that significantly exceed investment costs such that there is little doubt these plants will continue to operate. Revenue for the Chalk Point and

Dickerson plants exceeds costs by an amount similar to total emissions control investments. While these plants are likely to continue operation, this result could be different for a plant owner with a shorter-term investment perspective. The margin for Wagner is positive, but very small (less than 5%) compared to the total estimated investment. Given the age of this plant and the engineering difficulties involved in installing scrubbers at this plant it is likely the Wagner units subject to the proposed bills would be closed. The High Case results in a 10-year projected loss for the C.P. Crane plant of \$92 million. This would very likely result in the closure of Crane prior to the implementation of the proposed control requirements. The 10-year projected loss for the R. Paul Smith plant is \$114 million in this case. This would very likely result in the closure of this plant prior to the implementation of the proposed control requirements.

Total investment cost for the High Cost Case is estimated to be \$2.3 billion. Total annual retail electric costs are estimated to increase by \$570 million, which is approximately .85 cents/kWh.

Low Cost Case - The Brandon Shores and Morgantown plants have estimated 10-year margins that significantly exceed investment costs such that there is little doubt these plants will continue to operate. Revenue for the Chalk Point and Dickerson plants reasonably exceeds investment costs by an amount that is likely to allow the plant owner to make the required investments. While these plants are likely to continue operation, this result could be different for a plant owner with a shorter-term investment perspective. The margin for Wagner exceeds total estimated investment, and the positive margin for Crane is approximately 50% of estimated investment costs. In this case, it is likely that the required investment will be made for Wagner, unless the site-specific engineering requirements and costs are much higher than expected. It is doubtful that the return will justify the investment in Crane if a scrubber can be located on that site (which is very doubtful) but, if so, Crane's closure will strongly depend on whether the plant owner has a longer or shorter-term perspective on plant investment. The 10-year projected loss for the R. Paul Smith plant is \$76 million in this case. This would very likely result in the closure of this plant prior to the implementation of the proposed control requirements.

Total investment cost for the Low Cost Case is estimated to be \$1.6 billion. Total annual retail electric costs are estimated to increase by \$421 million, which is approximately .63 cents/kWh.

In closing, the work group hopes that this summary memorandum is useful to the Commission. We appreciate your forbearance in allowing us the opportunity to give priority to the actual work of the study rather than this memorandum.

## SO2 NOx and Hg Compliance Cost Summary

Investment and O&M Compliance Cost Inflation Factor	Present Value Real Discount Rate:	Cost increase due to high demand for equipment and labor	SCR incremental reduction in net energy output and capacity availability	Scrubber incremental reduction in net energy output and capacity availability
	6%	15% Base Case Estimate	2% "The Viability and Economics of Adding a ROFA/Rotamix MobotecSystem to a Selective catalytic Reduction Installation" Presentation at NETL/DOE 300c Conference on SCR	3% USEA CC Mitigation Options Handbook Version 1.0, 1999

GDP Intlation for \$1999 cost estimates inflated to \$2009 values

1.1886

Total Annual O&M Cost:	Total investment:	Total:	R. Paul Smith Unit 4	R. Paul Smith Unit 3	CP Crane, Unit 2	CP Crane, Unit 1	Wagner, Unit 3	Wagner, Unit 2	Brandon Shores, Unit 2	Brandon Shores, Unit 1	Dickerson, Unit 3	Dickerson, Unit 2	Dickerson, Unit 1	Chalk Point, Unit 2	Chalk Point, Unit 1	Morgantown, Unit 2	Morgantown, Unit 1	rait	5
			75.5	34.5	200	200	340	130	685	685	185	185	185	355	355	590	590	Capacity MW	Nameplate
\$	<b>€9</b>	€9	↔	↔	↔	Ð	↔	↔	↔	↔	\$	ક્ક	ક	ક્ક	ક્ક	s	↔		Cos
171,304,315	2,009,436,727	\$ 1,333,605,545	37,358,471	17,071,089	85,649,327	85,649,327	124,260,553	66,618,118	132,489,230	132,489,230	60,942,791	60,942,791	60,942,791	112,819,683	112,819,683	121,776,230	121,776,230	upgrade	t for Scrubber
			0%	0%	30%	30%	15%	15%										construction increase	Site specific Cost for Scrubber engineering and
Αv	Tot		s	↔	s	49	s	S	ક	69	\$	s	s	÷	↔	49	↔	Scru	
Average increase \$/kwh:	Total Annual Electric Cost Increase:		1,525,568	697,114	2,852,640	2,852,640	4,445,364	2,317,770	4,070,955	4,070,955	2,638,692	2,638,692	2,638,692	4,641,483	4,641,483	4,207,644	4,207,644	Scrubber annual fixed O&M Cost	
e \$/k	ectric		ક	₩.	↔	&	છ	ક્ક	S	S	θ	€9	s	\$	↔	↔	S	0%I \$/!	Sc
wh:	Cost In		1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	2.38	2.38	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	2.38	2.38	O&M Cost \$/MWH	Scrubber
	crea	€9	€9	49	s	49		s			↔	s	↔	s	s	s	S		ဂ္ဂ
	se:	333,437,812	14,145,338	7,985,829	28,804,740	28,804,740	0	21,032,491	0	0	27,211,183	27,211,183	27,211,183	43,790,385	43,790,385	63,450,352	Ĩ	upgrade	Cost for SCR
↔	<del>69</del>		ક	€9	↔	↔	↔	49	↔	↔	↔	s	↔	s	49	↔	↔	SC	
0.00786	529,619,766		81,182	45,832	165,314	165,314	243,522	120,708	406,081	406,081	156,169	156,169	156,169	251,319	251,319	364,150	364,150	SCR annual fixed O&M Cost	
			↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	49	↔	49	↔	↔	\$ 20	ś (0
			0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	O&M Cost \$/MWH	SCR
		<del>()</del>	↔	€9	↔	\$	G	÷	↔	↔	G	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	↔	_	Cost
		342,393,370	5,391,178	2,463,519	14,281,267	14,281,267	24,278,153	9,282,823	48,913,339	48,913,339	13,210,172	13,210,172	13,210,172	25,349,248	25,349,248	42,129,737	42,129,737	upgrade	Cost for 90% Hg
			÷	↔	↔	€9	↔	€9	69	69	€9	€9	↔	69	<del>69</del>	69	\$	o ani	0
			646,123	295,248	\$ 1,711,584	1,711,584	2,909,693	1,112,530	5,862,175	5,862,175	\$ 1,583,215	\$ 1,583,215	\$ 1,583,215	3,038,062	3,038,062	\$ 5,049,173	\$ 5,049,173	annual fixed O&M Cost	0% Ha
			s	s	↔	S	s	\$	€9	\$	69	↔	€9	↔	€	\$	↔	<b></b>	<b>.</b> 9
			0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0&M Cost \$/MWH	90% Hg

EPA Standalone Documentation for EPA Base Case 2004 (V.2.1.9) Using the Integrated Planning Model, Tables 5.3 and 5.6 Units with no SCR upgrade costs have existing SCR or will have by summer 2006. SCR O&M costs applied to all units to update pre-2000 FERC Form 1 data 90% Hg cost control per Section 5.3.3 and Table 5.12 for Activated Carbon Injection included

for plants with electrostatic precipitators, scrubbers and SCR - see "Compliance Implications of the OTC CAIR-Plus Proposal in Maryland and Pennsylvania"

# SO2 NOx and 90% Hg Compliance Plant Operating Margins for Maryland Coal Burning Plants All values are constant \$2009 Assumes no additional cost for 90% Hg Compliance

Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue	Compliance O&M  Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Equity financed share of investment  Debt financed share of investment interest  Property tax for net incremental investment	Revenue reduction due to controls: Adjusted Total Revenue:	Pre-Compliance Operating Margin Pre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue	Property Taxes Fuel Total Expenses	Pre-Compliance Expenses Non-Fuel Debt and Preferred Stock Service	Pre-Compliance Revenue Energy and Ancillary Services Capacity Total Revenue	Morgantown (Mirant)
€9	<del>69 69 6</del>	<del></del>	<del>69 69</del>	↔	୫ ୫ ୫	↔ ↔	& & &	
(92,960,114) -20%		272,164,246 7,741,373 11.327.043	24,480,283 465,125,368	266,586,675 54%	11,887,477 176,439,987 223,018,975	25,616,788 9,074,723	445,087,746 44,517,904 489,605,651	2009
(92,960,114) \$ 180,950,592 -20% 39%	N	\$ 7,741,373 \$ 9,708,894	\$ 24,480,283 \$ 465,125,368	\$ 266,714,986 54%	\$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,311,676 \$ 222,890,664	\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723	\$ 445,087,746 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 489,605,651	2010
\$ 182,647,708 39%	28 4	\$ 7,741,373 \$ 8,090,745	\$ 24,480,283 \$ 465,125,368	\$ 266,793,954 54%	\$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,232,709 \$ 222,811,697	\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723	\$ 445,087,746 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 489,605,651	2011
\$ 184,306,635 40%	28 4	\$ 7,741,373 \$ 6,472,596	\$ 24,480,283 \$ 465,125,368	\$ 266,834,731 54%	\$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,191,931 \$ 222,770,919	\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723	\$ 445,087,746 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 489,605,651	2012
\$ 185,924,784 40%	27.4	\$ 7,741,373 \$ 4,854,447	\$ 24,480,283 \$ 465,125,368	\$ - \$ 266,834,731 54%	\$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,191,931 \$ 222,770,919	\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723	\$ 445,087,746 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 489,605,651	2013
↔	43,833,845 277,582,435	\$ 7,741,373 \$ 3,236,298	\$ 24,480,283 \$ 465,125,368	↔ ↔	\$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,191,931 \$ 222,770,919		\$ 445,087,746 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 489,605,651	2014
187,542,933 \$ 189,161,082 \$ 190,779,231 \$ 190,779,231 \$ 40% 41% 41% 41%	43,833,845 275,964,286	\$ 7,741,373 \$ 1,618,149	\$ 24,480,283 \$ 24,480,283 \$ 24,480,283 \$ 24,480,283 \$ 465,125,368 \$ 465,125,368 \$ 465,125,368 \$ 465,125,368	\$ \$ \$ 266,834,731 \$ 266,834,73	\$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,191,931 \$ 222,770,919		\$ 445,087,746 \$ \$ 44,517,904 \$ \$ 489,605,651 \$	2015
\$ 190,779,231 41%		\$ 7,741,373 \$ \$ - \$	\$ 24,480,283 \$ \$ 465,125,368 \$	\$ - \$ 266,834,731 54%	* * *	25,616,788 9,074,723	\$ 445,087,746 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 489,605,651	2016
\$ 190,779,231 41%	43,833,845 \$ 43,833,845 \$ 274,346,137 \$ 274,346,137 \$	\$ 7,741,373 \$ \$ - \$	\$ 24,480,283 \$ 465,125,368	\$ - \$ 266,834,731 54%	11,887,477 \$ 11,887,477 176,191,931 \$ 176,191,931 222,770,919 \$ 222,770,919		445,087,746 \$ 445,087,746 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 489,605,651 \$ 489,605,651 \$	2017
\$ 71,681,191 15%	\$ 43,833,845 \$ 393,444,177	\$ 126,839,413 \$ -	\$ 24,480,283 \$ 465,125,368	\$ - \$ 266,834,731 54%	\$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,191,931 \$ 222,770,919		\$ 445,087,746 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 489,605,651	2018

Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years

\$ 1,042,282,509 \$ 119,712,546

Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years	Equity financed share of investment Debt financed share of investment interest Property tax for net incremental investment Compliance O&M Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Revenue reduction due to controls: Adjusted Total Revenue:	Pre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue	Pre-Compliance Expenses Non-Fuel Debt and Preferred Stock Service Property Taxes Fuel Total Expenses	Dickerson (Mirant)  Pre-Compliance Revenue Energy and Ancillary Services Capacity Total Revenue
<del>•••</del> •	<del>.</del>	es es	€9 €	* * * * *	49 49 49
(163,367,686) -86% 215,943,383 68,689,261	211,528,409 6,016,662 6,166,995 19,768,450 353,901,725		•	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 77,047,399	2009 181,022,938 19,539,209 200,562,146
\$ 49	\$ 19 \$ 19	\$ 10 \$ 190		\$ 19 \$ 5 \$ 76	\$ 181 \$ 19 \$ 200
49,129,967 26%	6,016,662 5,285,995 19,768,450 141,404,072		0.	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 76,959,154	2010 181,022,938 19,539,209 200,562,146
<b>⇔</b> ⊈1	\$ \$ \$ \$ 4 1	\$ 19	_	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	\$ 18: \$ 18: \$ 200
50,065,526 26%	6,016,662 4,404,996 19,768,450 140,468,513		90,283,741	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 76,904,594	2011 181,022,938 19,539,209 200,562,146
↔	<del>\$</del> \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ 10	_	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	\$ 18 \$ 20
50,974,517 27%	6,016,662 3,523,997 19,768,450 139,559,522	10,028,107 190,534,039	90,311,733	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 76,876,603	2012 181,022,938 19,539,209 200,562,146
↔	<b>⇔</b> ↔ ↔	<b>↔</b> ↔		* * * * *	\$ \$ \$ 2
51,855,516 27%	6,016,662 2,642,998 19,768,450 138,678,523		0.	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 76,876,603	2013 181,022,938 19,539,209 200,562,146
<b>↔</b>	\$ \$ \$ \$ 13 1	\$ 1 <sub>9</sub>			\$ 18 \$ 1
52,736,515 28%	6,016,662 \$ 1,761,998 \$ 19,768,450 \$ 137,797,524 \$	10,028,107 90,534,039	90,311,733 \$	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 76,876,603	2014 181,022,938 19,539,209 200,562,146
€9 (P)		\$ 19		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	\$ 18 \$ 1
53,617,515 28%	6,016,662 880,999 19,768,450 136,916,524	10,028,107 \$ 10,028,107 \$ 10,028,107 \$ 10,028,107 \$ 10,028,107 190,534,039 \$ 190,534,039 \$ 190,534,039 \$ 190,534,039	•	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 76,876,603	2015 \$ 181,022,938 \$ 19,539,209 \$ 200,562,146
<b>↔</b> 51	\$ \$ \$ 13 1	\$ 19		4 4 4 4	\$ 18 \$ 20
54,498,514 \$ 29%	6,016,662 \$ - \$ 19,768,450 \$ 136,035,525 \$	10,028,107 190,534,039	90,311,733	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 76,876,603	2016 \$ 181,022,938 \$ 19,539,209 \$ 200,562,146
		\$ \$		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	\$ 18 \$ 20
54,498,514 \$ 29%	6,016,662 \$ - \$ 19,768,450 \$ 136,035,525 \$	10,028,107 <b>\$</b> 190,534,039 <b>\$</b>	90,311,733 \$	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 76,876,603	2017 181,022,938 19,539,209 200,562,146
		<b>\$ \$</b>		* * * * * *	\$ \$ \$ 20 . 1
(38,065,515) -20%	98,580,690 - 19,768,450 228,599,554	10,028,107 190,534,039	90,311,733	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 76,876,603 110,250,414	2018 181,022,938 19,539,209 200,562,146

Total Compliance Mirant Maryland Plant Margin Revenue: Expenses: Maryland Coal Fleet Margin: Fleet Margin as % of Revenue	Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years	Equity financed share of investment Debt financed share of investment interest Property tax for net incremental investment Compliance O&M Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Revenue reduction due to controls: Adjusted Total Revenue:	Pre-Compliance Expenses  Non-Fuel  Debt and Preferred Stock Service  Property Taxes  Fuel  Total Expenses  Pre-Compliance Operating Margin  Pre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue	Chalk Point (Mirant)  Pre-Compliance Revenue Energy and Ancillary Services Capacity Total Revenue
<del>&amp; &amp; &amp;</del>	<del>6</del> 69 69	**	es es	େ ୫୫୫୫୫	· ↔ ↔
920,015,809 ( 1,375,655,950 ( (455,640,141) ( -50%	(199,312,342) \$ -75% 239,125,195 86,643,858	253,143,848 \$ 7,200,361 \$ 9,934,979 \$ 25,164,147 \$ 463,668,743 \$	13,913,495 \$ 264,356,402 \$	23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 120,037,560 \$ 168,225,409 \$ 110,044,487 \$	2009 253,827,992 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,269,896 \$
\$ 920,015,809 \$ 634,367,828 \$ 285,647,981 31%	\$ 55,567,422 21%	7,200,361 8,515,696 8,25,164,147 8,208,788,980	13,913,495 264,356,402	\$ 23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 119,720,928 \$ 167,908,776 \$ 110,361,120 40%	2010 253,827,992 24,441,904 278,269,896
\$ 920,015,809 \$ 630,120,102 \$ 289,895,706 32%	\$ 57,182,472 22%	\$ 7,200,361 \$ 7,096,413 \$ 25,164,147 \$ 207,173,930	\$ 13,913,495 \$ 264,356,402	\$ 23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 119,525,160 \$ 167,713,009 \$ 110,556,888	2011 \$ 253,827,992 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,269,896
\$ 920,015,809 \$ 626,032,465 \$ 293,983,344 32%	\$ 58,702,192 22%	\$ 7,200,361 \$ 5,677,131 \$ 25,164,147 \$ 205,654,210	\$ 13,913,495 \$ 264,356,402	\$ 23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 119,424,723 \$ 167,612,571 \$ 110,657,325 \$ 40%	2012 \$ 253,827,992 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,269,896
\$ 920,015,809 \$ 622,114,034 \$ 297,901,774 32%	\$ 60,121,474 23%	\$ 7,200,361 \$ 4,257,848 \$ 25,164,147 \$ 204,234,927	\$ 13,913,495 \$ 264,356,402	\$ 23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 119,424,723 \$ 167,612,571 \$ \$ 110,657,325 \$ 110,657,325	2013 \$ 253,827,992 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,269,896
\$ 920,015,809 \$ \$ 618,195,603 \$ \$ 301,820,205 \$	\$ 61,540,757 \$ 23%	\$ 7,200,361 \$ \$ 2,838,565 \$ \$ 25,164,147 \$ \$ 202,815,644 \$	\$ 13,913,495 \$ \$ 264,356,402 \$	\$ 23,728,270 \$ \$ 10,942,443 \$ \$ 13,517,135 \$ \$ 119,424,723 \$ \$ 167,612,571 \$ \$ \$ 110,657,325 \$ \$ 110,657,325 \$	2014 \$ 253,827,992 \$ \$ 24,441,904 \$ \$ 278,269,896 \$
\$ 920,015,809 \$ 614,277,172 \$ 305,738,636 \$ 33%	62,960,040 \$ 24%	7,200,361 \$ 1,419,283 \$ 25,164,147 \$ 201,396,362 \$	13,913,495 \$ 264,356,402 \$	23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 119,424,723 \$ 167,612,571 \$ 110,657,325 \$ 40%	2015 253,827,992 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,269,896 \$
\$ 920,015,809 \$ 610,358,741 \$ 309,657,067 \$ 34%	64,379,322 \$ 24%	7,200,361 \$ 7,200,361 \$ 25,164,147 \$ 25,164,147 199,977,079 \$ 199,977,079	13,913,495 \$ 264,356,402 \$	23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 119,424,723 \$ 167,612,571 \$ 110,657,325 \$ 40%	2016 253,827,992 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,269,896 \$
920,015,809 610,358,741 309,657,067 34%	64,379,322 <b>\$</b> 24%		13,913,495 \$ 264,356,402 \$	23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 119,424,723 \$ 167,612,571 \$ 110,657,325 \$ 40%	2017 253,827,992 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,269,896 \$
\$ 920,015,809 \$ 932,795,597 \$ (12,779,788) -1%	\$ (46,395,464) -18%	\$ 117,975,148 \$ - \$ 25,164,147 \$ 310,751,866	\$ 13,913,495 \$ 264,356,402	\$ 23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 119,424,723 \$ 167,612,571 \$ 110,657,325 \$ 40%	2018 253,827,992 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,269,896

Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years	Equity financed share of investment Debt financed share of investment interest Property tax for net incremental investment Compliance O&M Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Revenue reduction due to controls: Adjusted Total Revenue:	Pre-Compliance Operating Margin Pre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue	Pre-Compliance Expenses Non-Fuel Debt and Preferred Stock Service Property Taxes Fuel Total Expenses	Pre-Compliance Revenue Energy and Ancillary Services Capacity Total Revenue	Brandon Shores (Constellation) - Existing SCR
49 49	* * * * *	<del>69 69</del>	↔	୫ ୫ ୫ ୫ ୫	& <del>&amp;</del> &	
(89,752,051) -18% 1,029,624,531 123,027,812	222,922,527 8,392,957 9,639,733 47,723,253 598,480,776	26,775,196 508,728,725	225,701,613 42%	28,642,693 28,859,425 25,559,831 226,740,359 309,802,308	489,483,001 46,020,920 535,503,921	2009
\$ 134,726,128 26%	\$ 8,392,957 \$ 8,262,628 \$ 47,723,253 \$ 374,002,597	\$ 26,775,196 \$ 508,728,725	\$ 225,880,161 42%	\$ 28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,561,811 \$ 309,623,760	\$ 489,483,001 \$ 46,020,920 \$ 535,503,921	2010
\$ 136,213,625 27%	\$ 8,392,957 \$ 6,885,523 \$ 47,723,253 \$ 372,515,100	\$ 26,775,196 \$ 508,728,725	\$ 225,990,553 42%	\$ 28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,451,419 \$ 309,513,368	\$ 489,483,001 \$ 46,020,920 \$ 535,503,921	2011
\$ 137,647,365 27%	\$ 8,392,957 \$ 5,508,419 \$ 47,723,253 \$ 371,081,360	\$ 26,775,196 \$ 508,728,725	\$ 226,047,189 42%	\$ 28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,394,783 \$ 309,456,732	\$ 489,483,001 \$ 46,020,920 \$ 535,503,921	2012
\$ 139,024,470 27%	\$ 8,392,957 \$ 4,131,314 \$ 47,723,253 \$ 369,704,255	\$ 26,775,196 \$ 508,728,725	\$ 226,047,189 42%	\$ 28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,394,783 \$ 309,456,732	\$ 489,483,001 \$ 46,020,920 \$ 535,503,921	2013
\$ 140,401,575 . 28%	\$ 8,392,957 \$ \$ 2,754,209 \$ \$ 47,723,253 \$ \$ 368,327,150 \$	\$ 26,775,196 \$ \$ 508,728,725 \$	\$ 226,047,189 \$ 42%	\$ 28,642,693 \$ \$ 28,859,425 \$ \$ 25,559,831 \$ \$ 226,394,783 \$ \$ 309,456,732 \$ \$	\$ 489,483,001 \$ \$ 46,020,920 \$ \$ 535,503,921 \$	2014
140,401,575 \$ 141,778,679 \$ 143,155,784 \$ 143,155,784 \$ 28% 28% 28%	8,392,957 \$ 1,377,105 \$ 47,723,253 \$ 366,950,046 \$	26,775,196 \$ 508,728,725 \$	226,047,189 \$ 226,047,189 42% 42%	28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,394,783 \$ 309,456,732 \$	489,483,001 \$ 46,020,920 \$ 535,503,921 \$	2015
143,155,784 \$ 28%	8,392,957 \$ - \$ 47,723,253 \$ 365,572,941 \$	26,775,196 \$ 508,728,725 \$	226,047,189 <b>\$</b> 42%	28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,394,783 \$ 309,456,732 \$	489,483,001 \$ 46,020,920 \$ 535,503,921 \$	2016
\$ 143,155,784 28%	ω.	26,775,196 \$ 508,728,725 \$	\$ 226,047,189 \$ 42%	28,642,693 28,859,425 25,559,831 226,394,783 309,456,732	489,483,001 46,020,920 535,503,921	2017
\$ 3,273,173 1%	8,392,957 \$ 148,275,568 - \$ - 47,723,253 \$ 47,723,253 55,572,941 \$ 505,455,552	\$ 26,775,196 \$ 508,728,725	\$ 226,047,189 42%	\$ 28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 256,394,783 \$ 309,456,732	\$ 489,483,001 \$ 46,020,920 \$ 535,503,921	2018

Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years	Equity financed share of investment Debt financed share of investment interest Property tax for net incremental investment Compliance O&M Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Pre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue Revenue reduction due to controls: Adjusted Total Revenue:	Total Expenses  Pre-Compliance Operating Margin	Pre-Compliance Expenses Non-Fuel Debt and Preferred Stock Service Property Taxes Fuel	Pre-Compliance Revenue Energy and Ancillary Services Capacity Total Revenue	Wagner (Constellation) - Units 2 & 3, Unit 3 existing SCR
<b>⇔</b> ↔	<del>~~~~~</del>	↔ ↔	& <del>&amp;</del>	<del>*************************************</del>	<del>&amp; &amp; &amp;</del>	ZI
(118,520,261) -79% 116,741,066 57,015,973	150,828,265 5,678,632 6,522,195 16,314,038 267,997,281	44% 7,867,212 149,477,020		16,205,314 5,488,853 4,861,294 62,098,690	140,918,413 16,425,818 157,344,232	2009
€9	* * * * *	\$ \$ 12	* *	\$ \$ \$ \$ 6	\$ 14 \$ 15	
33,239,746 22%	5,678,632 5,590,453 16,314,038 116,237,274	44% 7,867,212 149,477,020	88,654,152 68,690,080	16,205,314 5,488,853 4,861,294 62,098,690	140,918,413 16,425,818 157,344,232	2010
↔	* * * *	<b>↔</b> ↔	€9 €9	• • • •	<del>\$</del> \$ \$	
34,171,488 23%	5,678,632 4,658,711 16,314,038 115,305,532	44% 7,867,212 149,477,020	88,654,152 68,690,080	16,205,314 5,488,853 4,861,294 62,098,690	140,918,413 16,425,818 157,344,232	2011
↔	* * * *	<b>⇔</b> ↔	<del>69</del> - <del>69</del>	***	* * *	
35,103,230 23%	5,678,632 3,726,968 16,314,038 114,373,790	44% 7,867,212 149,477,020	88,654,152 68,690,080	16,205,314 5,488,853 4,861,294 62,098,690	140,918,413 16,425,818 157,344,232	2012
€9	* * * *	& & -	<del>\$</del> \$ \$	<del>*************************************</del>	\$ \$ \$ 1 1	
36,034,972 24%	5,678,632 2,795,226 16,314,038 113,442,048	44% 7,867,212 149,477,020	88,654,152 - 68,690,080	16,205,314 5,488,853 4,861,294 62,098,690	140,918,413 16,425,818 157,344,232	2013
↔	* * * *	<b>↔</b> ↔	<del>\$</del> \$ \$	• • • •	* * *	
36,966,714 \$ 25%	5,678,632 \$ 1,863,484 \$ 16,314,038 \$ 12,510,306 \$	44% 44% 44% 44% 44% 44% 44% 44% 44% 44%	88,654,152 \$ - \$ 68,690,080 \$	16,205,314 \$ 5,488,853 \$ 4,861,294 \$ 62,098,690 \$	140,918,413 \$ 16,425,818 \$ 157,344,232 \$	2014
	5 16 111	7 149	68 88	16 5 4	140, 16, 157,	
37,898,456 \$ 25%	5,678,632 \$ 931,742 \$ 16,314,038 \$ 11,578,564 \$	44% 7,867,212 \$ 49,477,020 \$	88,654,152 \$ - \$ 68,690,080 \$	16,205,314 9 5,488,853 9 4,861,294 9 62,098,690 9	140,918,413 \$ 16,425,818 \$ 157,344,232 \$	2015
	3 3 3 3	4 .		6, 7, 7	3 140 3 151	
38,830,198 \$ 26%	5,678,632 \$ 5,678,632 \$ 5,678,632 \$ 931,742 \$ - \$ - \$ 16,314,038 \$ 16,314,038 \$ 111,578,564 \$ 110,646,822 \$ 110,646,822 \$	44% 7,867,212 \$ 49,477,020 \$	88,654,152 \$ - \$ 68,690,080 \$	16,205,314 \$ 5,488,853 \$ 4,861,294 \$ 62,098,690 \$	140,918,413 \$ 16,425,818 \$ 157,344,232 \$	2016
	3 4 5 5	\$ 14				
38,830,198 26%	5,678,632 \$ 100,322,506 - \$ - 16,314,038 \$ 16,314,038 10,646,822 \$ 205,290,696	44% 7,867,212 49,477,020			140,918,413 \$ 16,425,818 \$ 157,344,232 \$	2017
\$	\$ \$ 10 \$ 20	<del>\$</del> \$	e e e	& & & & &		
(55,813,676) -37%	100,322,506 - 16,314,038 205,290,696	44% 7,867,212 49,477,020	88,654,152 - 68,690,080	16,205,314 5,488,853 4,861,294 62,098,690	140,918,413 16,425,818 157,344,232	2018

Expenses: Maryland Coal Fleet Margin: Fleet Margin as % of Revenue	Total Constellation Compliance Maryland Plant Margin	Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years	Equity financed share of investment Debt financed share of investment interest Property tax for net incremental investment Compliance O&M Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Revenue reduction due to controls: Adjusted Total Revenue:	Pre-Compliance Expenses Non-Fuel Debt and Preferred Stock Service Property Taxes Fuel Total Expenses Pre-Compliance Operating Margin Pre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue	Crane (Constellation)  Pre-Compliance Revenue  Energy and Ancillary Services  Capacity  Total Revenue
<b>↔</b> ↔	largin	<del>6</del> 6 6	***	↔ ↔	େ ୫୫୫୫୫	<del>↔ ↔ ↔</del>
B1 C	795 180 249 \$	(134,772,546) \$ -98% 25,575,334 56,361,376	158,200,659 \$ 5,956,201 \$ 8,026,648 \$ 14,238,265 \$ 271,747,050 \$	7,209,184 \$ 136,974,504 \$	19,575,933 \$ 4,643,566 \$ 4,825,460 \$ 56,280,299 \$ 85,325,277 \$ 58,858,411 \$ 41%	2009 130,406,041 \$ 13,777,647 \$ 144,183,689 \$
602,592,715 192,587,534 24%	795 180 249	24,621,660 18%	5,956,201 6,879,984 14,238,265 112,352,844	7,209,184 136,974,504	19,575,933 4,643,586 4,825,460 56,233,416 85,278,395 58,905,294 41%	2010 130,406,041 13,777,647 144,183,689
	\$ 795.180.249	\$ 25,784,115 19%	\$ 5,956,201 \$ 5,733,320 \$ 14,238,265 \$ 111,190,389	\$ 7,209,184 \$ 136,974,504	\$ 19.575,933 \$ 4,643,586 \$ 4,825,460 \$ 56,217,626 \$ 85,262,604 \$ 58,921,085 \$ 41%	2011 \$ 130,406,041 \$ 13,777,647 \$ 144,183,689
	\$ 795.180.249	\$ 26,938,880 20%	\$ 5,956,201 \$ 4,586,656 \$ 14,238,265 \$ 110,035,624	\$ 7,209,184 \$ 136,974,504	\$ 19,575,933 \$ 4,643,586 \$ 4,825,460 \$ 56,209,524 \$ 85,254,503 \$ 58,929,186 \$ 58,929,186	2012 \$ 130,406,041 \$ 13,777,647 \$ 144,183,689
	\$ 795.180.249	\$ 28,085,544 21%	\$ 5,956,201 \$ 3,439,992 \$ 14,238,265 \$ 108,888,960	\$ 7,209,184 \$ 136,974,504	\$ 19,575,933 \$ 4,643,586 \$ 4,825,460 \$ 56,209,524 \$ 85,254,503 \$ 58,929,186 \$ 58,929,186	2013 \$ 130,406,041 \$ 13,777,647 \$ 144,183,689
588,579,752 206,600,497 26%	\$ 795,180,249	\$ 29,232,208 21%	\$ 5,956,201 \$ 2,293,328 \$ 14,238,265 \$ 107,742,296	\$ 7,209,184 \$ \$ 136,974,504 \$	\$ 19,575,933 \$ 4,643,586 \$ 4,825,460 \$ 56,209,524 \$ 85,254,503 \$ 58,929,186 \$ 58,929,186	2014 \$ 130,406,041 \$ 13,777,647 \$ 144,183,689
↔ ↔	\$ 795,180,249	\$ 30,378,872 22%	\$ 5,956,201 \$ 1,146,664 \$ 14,238,265 \$ 106,595,632	\$ 7,209,184 \$ 136,974,504	\$ 19,575,933 \$ 4,643,586 \$ 4,825,460 \$ 56,209,524 \$ 86,254,503 \$ 58,929,186 \$ 58,929,186	2015 \$ 130,406,041 \$ 13,777,647 \$ 144,183,689
581,668,731 213,511,519 27%	\$ 795,180,249	\$ 31,525,536 23%	\$ 5,956,201 \$ - \$ 14,238,265 \$ 105,448,968	7,209,184 \$ 7,209,184 \$ 136,974,504 \$ 136,974,504	\$ 19,575,933 \$ 4,643,586 \$ 4,825,460 \$ 56,209,524 \$ 85,254,503 \$ 58,929,186 \$ 58,929,186	2016 \$ 130,406,041 \$ 13,777,647 \$ 144,183,689
581,668,731 213,511,519 27%	\$ 795,180,249	\$ 31,525,536 23%	\$ 5,956,201 \$ - \$ 14,238,265 \$ 105,448,968		\$ 19,575,933 \$ 4,643,586 \$ 4,825,460 \$ 56,209,524 \$ 85,254,503 \$ 58,929,186 \$ 58,929,186	2017 \$ 130,406,041 \$ 13,777,647 \$ 144,183,689
	\$ 795,180,249	\$ (67,744,473) -49%	5,956,201 \$ 105,226,210 - \$ - 14,238,265 \$ 14,238,265 15,448,968 \$ 204,718,977	7,209,184 \$ 7,209,184 136,974,504 \$ 136,974,504	\$ 19,575,933 \$ 4,643,586 \$ 4,825,460 \$ 56,209,524 \$ 85,254,503 \$ 58,259,186 \$ 58,929,186	2018 \$ 130,406,041 \$ 13,777,647 \$ 144,183,689

Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years	Equity financed share of investment Debt financed share of investment interest Property tax for net incremental investment Compliance O&M Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Pre-Compliance Operating Margin Pre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue Revenue reduction due to controls: Adjusted Total Revenue:	Pre-Compliance Expenses  Non-Fuel  Debt and Preferred Stock Service  Property Taxes  Fuel  Total Expenses	R. Paul Smith (AE)  Pre-Compliance Revenue Energy and Ancillary Services Capacity Total Revenue
<del>\$</del> \$	& & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &	<del>6</del> 69 69	& & & & & & &	<del>\$\$\text{\$\exitt{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\exitt{\$\text{\$\exitt{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\exittit{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\texititt{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\tex</del>
(42,193,921) \$ -145% (103,473,590) 18,168,940	38,455,937 \$ 2,757,569 \$ 2,946,098 \$ 4,262,319 \$ 71,246,267 \$	7,757,073 \$ 25% 1,529,071 \$ 29,052,346 \$	5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,454,647 \$ 22,824,344 \$	2009 26,501,802 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,581,417 \$
(3,277,211) \$ -11%	2,757,569 2,525,227 4,262,319 32,329,557	7,796,975 \$ 25% 1,529,071 \$ 29,052,346 \$	5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,414,745 \$ 22,784,442 \$	2010 26,501,802 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,581,417 \$
(2,831,669) -10%	\$ 2,757,569 \$ 2,104,356 \$ 4,262,319 \$ 31,884,015	\$ 7,821,646 26% \$ 1,529,071 \$ 29,052,346	5,151,493 1,025,364 1,192,840 15,390,074 22,759,771	2011 26,501,802 4,079,615 30,581,417
\$ (2,398,141) \$ -8%	\$ 2,757,569 \$ 1,683,485 \$ 4,262,319 \$ 31,450,487	\$ 7,834,303 26% 26% \$ 1,529,071 \$ 29,052,346	\$ 5,151,493 \$ \$ 1,025,364 \$ \$ 1,192,840 \$ \$ 15,377,417 \$ \$ 22,747,114 \$	2012 \$ 26,501,802 \$ \$ 4,079,615 \$ \$ 30,581,417 \$
\$ (1,977,269) \$ -7%	\$ 2,757,569 \$ \$ 1,262,614 \$ \$ 4,262,319 \$ \$ 31,029,615 \$	\$ 7,834,303 \$ 26% \$ 1,529,071 \$ \$ 29,052,346 \$	\$ 5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ \$ 15,377,417 \$ \$ 22,747,114 \$	2013 \$ 26,501,802 \$ \$ 4,079,615 \$ \$ 30,581,417 \$
\$ (1,556,398) <b>\$</b> -5%	2,757,569 \$ 841,742 \$ 4,262,319 \$ 30,608,744 \$	7,834,303 \$ 26% 1,529,071 \$ 29,052,346 \$	N -	2014 26,501,802 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,581,417 \$
(1,135,527) <b>\$</b> -4%	2,757,569 \$ 420,871 \$ 4,262,319 \$ 30,187,873 \$	7,834,303 \$ 26%	5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,377,417 \$ 22,747,114 \$	2015 26,501,802 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,581,417 \$
(714,656) \$ -2%	2,757,569 \$ - \$ 4,262,319 \$ 29,767,002 \$	7,834,303 \$ 26% 1,529,071 \$ 29,052,346 \$		2016 26,501,802 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,581,417 \$
(714,656) \$ -2%	2,757,569 \$ 4,262,319 \$ 29,767,002 \$	7,834,303 \$ 26% 1,529,071 \$ 29,052,346 \$		2017 26,501,802 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,581,417 \$
(46,674,143) -161%	48,717,056 - 4,262,319 75,726,489	7,834,303 26% 1,529,071 29,052,346	5,151,493 1,025,364 1,192,840 15,377,417 22,747,114	2018 26,501,802 4,079,615 30,581,417

### **Baseline Cost Factors**

Adjustments to Plant Historic Cost and Operating Data to Plant Revenue Projected to 2009+

GDP inflation:

Adjusted 1998 plant valuation and non-fuel expenses (except coal transportation) to 2009+ levels

1.2042

U.S. Government Budget Fiscal 2005 Table 10.1 — Gross Domestic Product and Deflators Used in the Historical Tables: 1940–2009

Central Appalachian Coal \$/ton:

al \$/ton: \$ 64.42

EIA Coal Transportation: Rates and Trends in the United States, 1979-2001 (with supplementary data to 2002) Delivered, NYMEX 1/9/06 futures plus \$9.92 transportation - using 2001 EIA transporation GDP inflated to 2009

Table 2.01 inflated to 2009 using GDP inflation

Gas \$/mcf: \$ 9.74 2009

Delivered, NYMEX 1/9/06 futures plus \$1.03 Transco Zone 6 non-NY basis difference

9.35

2010+

#2 Oil \$/barrel: \$ 177.79 2009

\$ 174.07 2010

\$ 171.77 2011 \$ 170.59 2012

Delivered, NYMEX 1/9/06 Crude futures adjusted for historic relationship between futures and #2 Oil New York Harbor

#6 Oil \$/barrel:

\$ 101.15 2011

\$ 100.45 2012+

Delivered, NYMEX 1/9/06 Crude futures adjusted for historic relationship between futures and #6 Oil New York Harbor

Energy Revenue \$/MWH: \$ 60.697 PSC estimates

Capacity Revenue

\$/Net Available MW-Day \$ 98.04

**PSC** estimates

PE Total Steam Plant Value 1999: PE Steam Plant Accumulated Provision for Depreciation 1999: AE plants net cost factor to estimate taxable value:	BGE Total Steam Plant Value 1999: BGE Steam Plant Accumulated Provision for Depreciation 1999: Constellation plants net cost factor to estimate taxable value:	Plant valuation net of depreciation:  Pepco Total Steam Plant Value 1999:  Pepco Steam Plant Accumulated Provision for Depreciation 1999:  Mirant plants net plant factor to estimate taxable value:
\$ 917,6 \$ 499,5	\$ 2,152,344,118 \$ 829,854,608 0.6144	\$ 2,171,663,210 \$ 661,042,073 0.6956
917,618,289 499,591,942 0.4556	344,118 354,608 0.6144	363,210 342,073 0.6956

## Debt and Preferred Stock Costs Debt % Real Interest Rate

	הפהר // ואפמו וווופופאו ואמופ	במו ווונבובי	
Mirant:	34%	6.50%	6.50% Mirant Plan of Reorganization Exhibit D, Page 7; Global Insight and Staff estimate for interest rates
Constellation:	50%	6.00%	6.00% Staff estimate for debt ratio; Global Insight and Staff estimate for interest rate projections
AE:	50%	6.00%	6.00% Staff estimate for debt ratio; Global Insight and Staff estimate for interest rate projections

Maryland State Department of Assessments and Taxation 2005-2006 County Tax Rates	Washington Co. Rate:	Prince Georges Co. Rate:	Montgomery Co. Rate:	Charles Co. Rate:	Baltimore Co. Rate:	Anne Arundel Co. Rate:	State Rate:	Property Taxes:	
nts and Taxation 2	\$ 3.1600	\$ 2.4000	\$ 1.6980	\$ 2.5650	\$ 2.7875 \$ 3.1175 Crane	\$ 2.3270	\$ 0.3300		
2005-:	↔	s	s	\$	↔	s	Tot	₽	
2006 Cour	3.4900	2.7300	2.0280	2.8950	3.1175	2.6570	al Rate	effective	
nty Tax Rates	\$ 3.1600 \$ 3.4900 R. Paul Smith	\$ 2.4000 \$ 2.7300 Chalk Point	) \$ 2.0280 Dickerson	) \$ 2.8950 Morgantown	Crane	) \$ 2.6570 Brandon Shores & Wagner	\$ 0.3300 Total Rate (to be added to county rates for total rate)	All effective rates per \$100 assessed value -	

## Historic Operating Data for Selected Maryland Coal Plants

Operating information (capacity, output and fuel use) represents 2002-2004 data (EIA-906, for capacity only 2002 & 2003), taxable value and expenses minus fuel represent 1997-1999 data (FERC Form 1)

				Natural Gas (mcf)
23,722	17,942	23,856	29,367	#2 Oil (Barrels)
	1	i	•	Residual Oil (Barrels)
1,130,548	1,270,398	982,746	1,138,501	Coal (Tons)
				Fuel Use
16,225,439	15,697,474	16,360,835	16,618,009	Total Production Expenses minus Fuel (\$)
322,247,951	341,509,038	313,422,093	311,812,723	Total Plant Cost (\$)
2,982,390	3,336,659	2,576,869	3,033,643	Net Generation (MWH)
546		546	546	Net Summer Capacity (MW)
1999/2004 3-Year Average	1999/2004	1998/2003	1997/2002	
				Dickerson Units 1, 2 &3 (Mirant)
				Natural Gas (mcf)
13,376	14,375	13,043	12,711	#2 Oil (Barrels)
35,705	ı	1	107,115	Residual Oil (Barrels)
2,643,954	2,396,929	2,883,684	2,651,248	Coal (Tons)
				Fuel Use
21,272,868	22,068,087	22,565,056	19,185,462	Total Production Expenses minus Fuel (\$)
490,206,885	503,959,802	494,350,835	472,310,019	Total Plant Cost (\$)
7,332,913	6,598,619	7,883,843	7,516,276	Net Generation (MWH)
1,244		1,244	1,244	Net Summer Capacity (MW)
1999/2004 3-Year Average	1999/2004	1998/2003	1997/2002	
				Morgantown Units 1&2 (Mirant)

Fuel Use Coal (Tons) Residual Oil (Barrels) #2 Oil (Barrels) Natural Gas (mcf)	Brandon Shores Units 1&2 (Constellation)  Net Summer Capacity (MW)  Net Generation (MWH)  Total Plant Cost (\$)  Total Production Expenses minus Fuel (\$)	Net Generation (MWH)  Total Plant Cost (\$)  Total Production Expenses minus Fuel (\$)  Fuel Use  Coal (Tons)  Residual Oil (Barrels)  #2 Oil (Barrels)  Natural Gas (mcf)	Chalk Point - Units 1 & 2 (Mirant)  Net Summer Capacity (MW)
3,064,020 - 59,839 -	1997/2002 1,286 7,160,408 1,296,970,612 23,746,563	4,532,772 583,292,753 19,636,507 1,748,030 0 61,080	1997/2002 683
3,568,180 - 43,436 -	1998/2003 1,286 8,586,900 1,302,442,519 24,548,797	3,718,538 592,380,602 20,550,308 1,485,468 - 81,653	1998/2003 683
3,529,568 - 40,715 -	Net Capacity da 1999/2004 8,445,693 1,300,981,252 23,061,624	4,294,296 597,624,009 18,926,962 1,651,851 - 112,616	1999/2004
3,387,256 - 47,997 -	Net Capacity data not available for 2004 1999/2004 3-Year Average 1,286 8,445,693 8,064,334 1,300,981,252 1,300,131,461 23,061,624 23,785,661	4,181,869 591,099,121 19,704,592 1,628,450 85,116	1999/2004 3-Year Average 683
	r 2004		Steam units expense allocation: Coal mWH Oil/gas mWH 4,181,869 1,0
			nse allocation: Oil/gas mWH 1,602,530
			on: WH Coal% 1,602,530 0.72295651

Fuel Use Coal (Tons) Residual Oil (Barrels) #2 Oil (Barrels) Natural Gas (mcf)	Crane Units 1 and 2 (Constellation)  Net Summer Capacity (MW)  Net Generation (MWH)  Total Plant Cost (\$)  Total Production Expenses minus Fuel (\$)	Net Summer Capacity (MW)  Net Generation (MWH)  Total Plant Cost (\$)  Total Production Expenses minus Fuel (\$)  Fuel Use  Coal (Tons)  Residual Oil (Barrels)  #2 Oil (Barrels)  Natural Gas (mcf)	Wagner Units 28.3 (Constellation)
	NA	1997/2002 459 1,687,328 243,595,631 13,183,213 708,261 - 0	
907,762 - 7,136 48,981	1998/2003 385 2,345,972 214,913,323 16,419,925	1998/2003 459 2,717,374 245,569,811 12,152,280 1,090,424	
784,966 - 6,595 61,319	1999/2004 1,950,961 203,478,385 16,092,835	1999/2004 2,560,278 252,661,286 15,036,490 1,093,213 - 0	Net Capacity dat
846,364 - 6,866 55,150	2-Year Average 385 2,148,467 209,195,854 16,256,380	1999/2004 3-Year Average Coal mWH 459 2,3: 2,560,278 2,321,660 2,661,286 247,275,576 5,036,490 13,457,328 1,093,213 963,966	a not available for
	1999/2004 2-Year Average - 1997 expense data not available 385 1,950,961 2,148,467 3,478,385 209,195,854 6,092,835 16,256,380	745,619	Net Capacity data not available for Coal units expense allocation:
		0.75691191	

#2 Oil (Barrels)	Residual Oil (Barrels)	Coal (Tons)	Fuel Use	Total Production Expenses minus Fuel (\$)	Total Plant Cost (\$)	Net Generation (MWH)	Net Summer Capacity (MW)		K. Faul Smith Units 3&4 (AE)
14,088	1	240,694		4,805,101	61,039,929	503,446	114	1997/2002	
9,500	^ I	221,357		4,065,059	61,592,698	455,727	114	1998/2003	
8,591	ı	168,853		3,963,655	64,279,614	350,695		1999/2004 3	
10,726	ı	210,301		4,277,938	62,304,080	436,623	114	1999/2004 3-Year Average	

Natural Gas (mcf)

210,301 -10,726 -

## SO2 NOx and Hg Compliance Cost Summary

Total investment: Total Annual O&M Cost:	R. Paul Smith Unit 3 R. Paul Smith Unit 4 Total:	CP Crane, Unit 1 CP Crane, Unit 2	Brandon Shores, Unit 2 Wagner, Unit 2	Brandon Shores, Unit 1	Dickerson, Unit 3	Dickerson, Unit 1 Dickerson, Unit 2	Chalk Point, Unit 2	Chalk Point, Unit 1	Morgantown, Unit 2	Morgantown, Unit 1	Plant	Investment and O&M Compliance Cost Inflation Factor GDP Intlation for \$1999 cost estimates inflated to \$2009 values	Present Value Real Discount Rate	Cost increase due to high demand for equipment and labor	SCR incremental reduction in net energy output and capacity availability	Scrubber incremental reduction in net energy output and capacity availability
	34.5 75.5	200	130 340	685	185	185 185	355	355	590	590	Nameplate Capacity MW	iance Cost Infla ost estimates in	t Rate:	mand for equip	n net energy ou	ion in net energ
es es	<del>\$</del> \$ \$	<b>↔</b> ↔	s es es	₩.	↔ .	<del>6</del> 9 <del>6</del> 9	↔	s	↔	↔	-	ation iflate		men	tput	y ou
2,311,767,308 171,304,315	18,555,532 40,607,033 1,577,168,198	125,323,013	144,010,033 94,449,128 176 172 806	144,010,033	66,242,164	66,242,164 66,242,164	122,630,091	122,630,091	132,365,468	132,365,468	Cost for Scrubber upgrade	Factor d to \$2009 value		t and labor	and capacity ava	tput and capacity
		75% 75%	50%								Site specific engineering and construction increase	Ö			ailability	y availability
oT √	<del>७ ७</del>	<del>6</del> 69 69		÷ •	↔	& &	↔	↔	s	ક	Scr					
Total Annual Electric Cost Increase Average increase \$/kwh:	697,114 1,525,568	2,852,640 2,852,640 2,852,640	4,070,955 2,317,770 4 445 364	4,070,955	2,638,692	2,638,692	4,641,483	4,641,483	4,207,644	4,207,644	Scrubber annua fixed O&M Cost	1.1886	6%	25%	2%	3%
lectri ıse \$	€ €			· <del>()</del>	↔	& &	· <del>(</del>	↔	÷	€9			0.	エ	J	
c Cost Inc /kwh:	1.19 1.19	1.19	2.38 1.19 1.19	2.38	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	2.38	2.38	Scrubber variable O&M Cost \$/MWH			High Cost Estimate	he Viabili	SEA CC N
creas	<del>\$</del> \$ \$	↔ ↔	↔		↔	<b>↔</b> ↔	•	↔	↔	↔	S			stim	ty an	/litiga
Ö.	8,680,249 15,375,368 362,432,405	31,309,500 31,309,500	22,861,403	0	29,577,373	29,577,373	47,598,245	47,598,245	68,967,774	•	Cost for SCR upgrade			ate	to a Selective catalytic Reduction Installation" Presentation at NETI (JDOF 200c Conference on SCR	USEA CC Mitigation Options Handbook Version 1.0, 1999
<del>\$</del> \$	\$ \$	<b>⇔</b> ↔	es es e	↔	↔	₩ ₩	•	↔	€9	↔	Softween			2	of A	Hanc
569,981,082 0.00846	45,832 81,182	165,314 165,314	406,061 120,708 243.522	406,081	156,169	156,169	251,319	251,319	364,150	364,150	SCR annual fixed O&M Cost				dding a ROF/	book Versior
	↔ ↔	<del>\$</del> \$	es es e	<del>9 69</del>	€	<del>69</del> 4	• •	↔	↔	\$	% × × ×			-	VRot Pras	1.0,
	0.78 0.78	0.78 0.78	0.78 0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	SCR variable O&M Cost \$/MWH			o i i di ci	amix Mob	1999
	္မာ မ> ယ		69 69 69 		-	€ €		↔	٠	φ.	Cost			-	otec	
	2,677,738 5,859,976 372,166,706	15,523,116 15,523,116	33, 166,672 10,090,025 26.389.297	53,166,672	14,358,882	14,358,882	27,553,531	27,553,531	45,793,192	45,793,192	Cost for 90% Hg upgrade				System	
	<del>6</del> <del>6</del>		<del>69 69 6</del>			<del>69</del> 6			\$	\$	anı ç			0	200	
	295,248 646,123	1,711,584 1,711,584	1,112,530 2,909,693	5,862,175 5 962 175	1,583,215	1,583,215	3,038,062	3,038,062	5,049,173	5,049,173	90% Hg annual fixed O&M Cost				nnference	
	<del>69</del> 69	<b>↔</b> ↔	<del>6</del>	e ee	↔	<del>69</del> 6	9 69	49	↔	€9	\$ 0 \$ 2 <b>Q</b>			-	S.	
	0.37 0.37	0.37 0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	90% Hg variable O&M Cost \$/MWH			2	CR R	

EPA Standalone Documentation for EPA Base Case 2004 (V.2.1.9) Using the Integrated Planning Model, Tables 5.3 and 5.6 Units with no SCR upgrade costs have existing SCR or will have by summer 2006. SCR O&M costs applied to all units to update pre-2000 FERC Form 1 data

 $90\%\ \mbox{Hg}$  cost control per Section 5.3.3 and Table  $5.12\ \mbox{for Activated Carbon Injection included}$ 

prepared for Center for Energy and Economic Development, Inc. for plants with electrostatic precipitators, scrubbers and SCR - see "Compliance Implications of the OTC CAIR-Plus Proposal in Maryland and Pennsylvania"

# SO2 NOx and 90% Hg Compliance Plant Operating Margins for Maryland Coal Burning Plants All values are constant \$2009 Assumes no additional cost for 90% Hg Compliance

Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years	Equity financed share of investment Debt financed share of investment interest Property tax for net incremental investment Compliance O&M Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Pre-Compliance Operating Margin Pre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue Revenue reduction due to controls: Adjusted Total Revenue:	Pre-Compliance Expenses Non-Fuel Debt and Preferred Stock Service Property Taxes Fuel Total Expenses	Morgantown (Mirant) Pre-Compliance Revenue Energy and Ancillary Services Capacity Total Revenue
<del>6</del>	<del>&amp; &amp; &amp; &amp; &amp; &amp;</del>	44 49 49	& & & & & &	<del>6</del>
(118,284,693) -25% 1,005,893,675 124,181,974	295,830,702 8,414,535 12,312,003 43,833,845 583,410,061	266,586,675 54% 24,480,283 465,125,368	25,616,788 9,074,723 11,887,477 176,439,987 223,018,975	2009 445,087,746 44,517,904 489,605,651
\$ 179,433,178 39%	\$ 8,414,535 \$ 10,553,146 \$ 43,833,845 \$ 285,692,190	\$ 266,714,986 \$ 54% \$ 24,480,283 \$ 465,125,368 \$	\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723 \$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,311,676 \$ 222,890,664	2010 \$ 445,087,746 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 489,605,651
\$ 181,271,002 39%	\$ 8,414,535 \$ 8,794,288 \$ 43,833,845 \$ 283,854,366	266,793,954 54% 24,480,283 465,125,368	\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723 \$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,232,709 \$ 222,811,697	2011 \$ 445,087,746 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 489,605,651
\$ 183,070,638 39%	\$ 8,414,535 \$ 7,035,431 \$ 43,833,845 \$ 282,054,730	\$ 266,834,731 54% \$ 24,480,283 \$ 465,125,368	\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723 \$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,191,931 \$ 222,770,919	2012 \$ 445,087,746 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 489,605,651
\$ 184,829,495 40%	\$ 8,414,535 \$ 5,276,573 \$ 43,833,845 \$ 280,295,873	\$ 266,834,731 54% \$ 24,480,283 \$ 465,125,368	\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723 \$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,191,931 \$ 2222,770,919 \$ -	2013 \$ 445,087,746 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 489,605,651
\$ 186,588,353 40%	\$ 8,414,535 \$ 3,517,715 \$ 43,833,845 \$ 278,537,015	\$ 266,834,731 \$ 54% \$ 24,480,283 \$ 465,125,368 \$	\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723 \$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,191,931 \$ 2222,770,919 \$	2014 \$ 445,087,746 \$ \$ 44,517,904 \$ \$ 489,605,651 \$
\$ 188,347,211 40%	8,414,535 \$ 8,414,535 \$ 3,517,715 \$ 1,758,858 \$ 43,833,845 \$ 43,833,845 \$ 276,778,157 \$	\$ 266,834,731 54% \$ 24,480,283 \$ 465,125,368	\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723 \$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,191,931 \$ 222,770,919 \$	2015 445,087,746 44,517,904 489,605,651
186,588,353 \$ 188,347,211 \$ 190,106,068 \$ 190,106,068 \$ 40% 41% 41% 41%	\$ 8,414,535 \$ - \$ 43,833,845 \$ 275,019,300	266,834,731     \$ 266,834,731     \$ 266,834,731     \$ 266,834,731     \$ 266,834,731       54%     54%     54%     54%       5496,834,731     \$ 266,834,731     \$ 266,834,731       54%     54%     54%       54%     54%       54%     54%       54%     54%       54%     54%       54%     54%       54%     54%       54%     54%       54%     54%       54%     54%       54%     54%       54%     54%       54%     54,480,283       54,480,283     54,480,283       54,480,283     54,480,283       54,480,283     54,480,283       54,480,283     54,480,283       54,480,283     54,480,283       54,480,283     54,480,283       54,480,283     54,480,283       54,480,283     54,480,283       54,480,283     54,480,283       54,480,283     54,480,283       54,480,283     54,480,283       54,480,283     54,480,283       54,480,283     54,480,283       54,480,283     54,480,283       54,480,283     54,480,283       54,480,283     54,480,283       54,480,283     54,480,283	\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723 \$ 11,887,477 \$ \$ 176,191,931 \$ \$ 222,770,919 \$ \$	2016 445,087,746 44,517,904 489,605,651
\$ 190,106,068 41%	8,414,535 \$ 8,414,535 \$ 137,868,927 - \$ - \$ 43,833,845 \$ 43,833,845 \$ 43,833,845 275,019,300 \$ 275,019,300 \$ 404,473,691	\$ 266,834,731 54% \$ 24,480,283 \$ 465,125,368	\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723 \$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,191,931 \$ 222,770,919 \$	2017 445,087,746 44,517,904 489,605,651
\$ 60,651,677 13%	8,414,535 \$ 137,868,927	\$ 266,834,731 54% \$ 24,480,283 \$ 465,125,368	\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723 \$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,191,931 \$ 222,770,919 \$	2018 \$ 445,087,746 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 489,605,651

Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years	Equity financed share of investment Debt financed share of investment interest Property tax for net incremental investment Compliance O&M Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Pre-Compliance Operating Margin Pre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue Revenue reduction due to controls: Adjusted Total Revenue:	Pre-Compliance Expenses Non-Fuel Debt and Preferred Stock Service Property Taxes Fuel Total Expenses	Dickerson (Mirant) Pre-Compliance Revenue Energy and Ancillary Services Capacity Total Revenue
<del>\$</del> \$ \$	<del>~~~~~</del>	<del>6</del> 6 6 6	<del>••••••</del>	<del>&amp;</del> & &
(182,820,909) -96% 182,123,641 72,071,235	229,922,184 6,539,850 6,703,255 19,768,450 373,354,948	90,140,937 45% 10,028,107 190,534,039	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 77,047,399 110,421,210	2009 181,022,938 19,539,209 200,562,146
\$ 48,147,127 25%	\$ 6,539,850 \$ 5,745,647 \$ 19,768,450 \$ 142,386,912	\$ 90,229,181 45% \$ 10,028,107 \$ 190,534,039	\$ 19,538,674 \$ 5,965,463 \$ 7,869,674 \$ 76,959,154 \$ 110,332,965	2010 \$ 181,022,938 \$ 19,539,209 \$ 200,562,146
\$ 49,159,295 26%	\$ 6,539,850 \$ 4,788,039 \$ 19,768,450 \$ 141,374,744	\$ 90,283,741 45% \$ 10,028,107 \$ 190,534,039	\$ 19,538,674 \$ 5,965,463 \$ 7,869,674 \$ 76,904,594 \$ 110,278,405	2011 \$ 181,022,938 \$ 19,539,209 \$ 200,562,146
\$ 50,144,894 26%	\$ 6,539,850 \$ 3,830,431 \$ 19,768,450 \$ 140,389,145	\$ 90,311,733 45% \$ 10,028,107 \$ 190,534,039	\$ 19,538,674 \$ 5,965,463 \$ 7,869,674 \$ 76,876,603 \$ 110,250,414	2012 \$ 181,022,938 \$ 19,539,209 \$ 200,562,146
\$ 51,102,502 27%	\$ 6,539,850 \$ 2,872,824 \$ 19,768,450 \$ 139,431,537	\$ 90,311,733 45% \$ 10,028,107 \$ 190,534,039	\$ 19,538,674 \$ 5,965,463 \$ 7,869,674 \$ 76,876,603 \$ 110,250,414 \$	2013 \$ 181,022,938 \$ 19,539,209 \$ 200,562,146
\$ 52,060,110 \$ 27%	\$ 6,539,850 \$ 1,915,216 \$ 19,768,450 \$ 138,473,929 \$	\$ 90,311,733 \$ 45% \$ 10,028,107 \$ \$ 190,534,039 \$	\$ 19,538,674 \$ \$ 5,965,463 \$ \$ 7,869,674 \$ \$ 76,876,603 \$ \$ 110,250,414 \$ \$	2014 \$ 181,022,938 \$ \$ 19,539,209 \$ \$ 200,562,146 \$
\$ 53,017,718 <b>\$</b> 28%	6,539,850 \$ 957,608 \$ 19,768,450 \$ 137,516,321 \$	90,311,733 \$ 45% 10,028,107 \$ 190,534,039 \$		2015 181,022,938 \$ 19,539,209 \$ 200,562,146 \$
\$ 53,975,326 <b>\$</b> 28%	6,539,850 \$ 6,539,850 \$ 6,539,850 \$ 6,539,850 \$ 107,152,924 1,915,216 \$ 957,608 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	90,311,733 \$ 90,31		2016 181,022,938 19,539,209 200,562,146
53,975,326 \$ 28%	6,539,850 ; 19,768,450 ; 136,558,713 ;	90,311,733 \$ 45% 10,028,107 \$ 190,534,039 \$		2017 \$ 181,022,938 \$ \$ 19,539,209 \$ \$ 200,562,146 \$
\$ (46,637,749) -24%	6,539,850 \$ 107,152,924 - \$ - 19,768,450 \$ 19,768,450 36,558,713 \$ 237,171,788	\$ 90,311,733 45% \$ 10,028,107 \$ 190,534,039		2018 181,022,938 19,539,209 200,562,146

Total Compliance Mirant Maryland Plant Margin Revenue: Expenses: Maryland Coal Fleet Margin: Fleet Margin as % of Revenue	Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years	Equity financed share of investment Debt financed share of investment interest Property tax for net incremental investment Compliance O&M Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Revenue reduction due to controls: Adjusted Total Revenue:	Pre-Compliance Expenses  Non-Fuel  Debt and Preferred Stock Service  Property Taxes  Fuel  Total Expenses  Pre-Compliance Operating Margin  Pre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue	Chalk Point (Mirant)  Pre-Compliance Revenue Energy and Ancillary Services Capacity Total Revenue
<b>⇔ ↔</b>	* * *	& & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &	₩ ₩	<del>*************************************</del>	* * *
920,015,809 \$ 1,443,936,290 \$ (523,920,481) \$ -57%	(222,814,880) \$ -84% 197,763,268 90,780,050	275,156,356 \$ 7,826,479 \$ 10,798,890 \$ 25,164,147 \$ 487,171,281 \$	13,913,495 \$ 264,356,402 \$	23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 120,037,560 \$ 168,225,409 \$ 110,044,487 \$	2009 253,827,992 24,441,904 278,269,896 \$
920,015,809 638,234,696 281,781,113	54,200,808 21%	7,826,479 9,256,191 25,164,147 210,155,594	13,913,495 264,356,402	23,728,270 10,942,443 13,517,135 119,720,928 167,908,776 110,361,120 40%	2010 253,827,992 24,441,904 278,269,896
\$ 920,015,809 \$ 633,646,237 \$ 286,369,571,	\$ 55,939,274 21%	\$ 7,826,479 \$ 7,713,493 \$ 25,164,147 \$ 208,417,128	\$ 13,913,495 \$ 264,356,402	\$ 23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 119,525,160 \$ 167,713,009 \$ 110,556,888	2011 \$ 253,827,992 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,269,896
\$ 920,015,809 \$ 629,217,867 \$ 290,797,942	\$ 57,582,410 22%	\$ 7,826,479 \$ 6,170,794 \$ 25,164,147 \$ 206,773,992	\$ 13,913,495 \$ 264,356,402	\$ 23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 119,424,723 \$ 167,612,571 \$ 110,657,325	2012 \$ 253,827,992 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,269,896
\$ 920,015,809 \$ 624,958,703 \$ 295,057,106 32%	\$ 59,125,108 22%	\$ 7,826,479 \$ 4,628,096 \$ 25,164,147 \$ 205,231,293	\$ 13,913,495 \$ 264,356,402	\$ 23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 119,424,723 \$ 167,612,571 \$ \$ 110,657,325	2013 \$ 253,827,992 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,269,896
\$ 920,015,809 \$ \$ 620,699,539 \$ \$ 299,316,270 \$	\$ 60,667,807 \$ 23%	\$ 7,826,479 \$ \$ 3,085,397 \$ \$ 25,164,147 \$ \$ 203,688,595 \$	\$ 13,913,495 \$ \$ 264,356,402 \$	\$ 23,728,270 \$ \$ 10,942,443 \$ \$ 13,517,135 \$ \$ 119,424,723 \$ \$ 167,612,571 \$ \$ 110,657,325 \$ \$ 110,657,325 \$	2014 \$ 253,827,992 \$ \$ 24,441,904 \$ \$ 278,269,896 \$
\$ 920,015,809 \$ 616,440,375 \$ 303,575,434 \$ 33%	62,210,505 <b>\$</b> 24%	7,826,479 \$ 1,542,699 \$ 25,164,147 \$ 202,145,896 \$	13,913,495 \$ 264,356,402 \$	23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 119,424,723 \$ 167,612,571 \$ 110,657,325 \$	2015 253,827,992 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,269,896 \$
920,015,809 612,181,210 307,834,598 33%	63,753,204 \$ 24%	7,826,479 \$ - \$ 5 25,164,147 \$ 5 200,603,197 \$	13,913,495 \$ 264,356,402 \$	23,728,270 10,942,443 13,517,135 119,424,723 167,612,571 110,657,325	2016 253,827,992 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,269,896 \$
920,015,809 612,181,210 307,834,598 33%	63,753,204 24%	7,826,479 - 25,164,147 200,603,197	13,913,495 264,356,402	23,728,270 10,942,443 13,517,135 119,424,723 167,612,571 110,657,325	2017 253,827,992 24,441,904 278,269,896
\$ 920,015,809 \$ 962,656,053 \$ (42,640,245) -5%	\$ (56,654,173) -21%	\$ 128,233,856 \$ - \$ 25,164,147 \$ 321,010,574	\$ 13,913,495 \$ 264,356,402	\$ 23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 119,424,723 \$ 167,612,571 \$ 110,657,325 \$ 110,657,325	2018 \$ 253,827,992 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,269,896

Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years	Equity financed share of investment Debt financed share of investment interest Property tax for net incremental investment Compliance O&M Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Revenue reduction due to controls: Adjusted Total Revenue:	Pre-Compliance Operating Margin Pre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue	Pre-Compliance Expenses Non-Fuel Debt and Preferred Stock Service Property Taxes Fuel Total Expenses	Pre-Compliance Revenue Energy and Ancillary Services Capacity Total Revenue	Brandon Shores (Constellation) - Existing SCR
<del>ии и</del>	* * * * *	<del>&amp;</del> &	↔	୫ ୫ ୫ <del>୫</del>	& & &	
(110,704,679) -22% 987,425,085 127,247,757	242,307,094 9,122,779 10,477,970 47,723,253 619,433,404	26,775,196 508,728,725	225,701,613 42%	28,642,693 28,859,425 25,559,831 226,740,359 309,802,308		2009
\$ 133,277,816 26%	\$ 9,122,779 \$ 9,881,117 \$ 47,723,253 \$ 375,450,909	\$ 26,775,196 \$ 508,728,725	\$ 225,880,161 42%	\$ 28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,561,811 \$ 309,623,760	\$ 489,483,001 \$ 46,020,920 \$ 535,503,921	2010
\$ 134,885,061 27%	\$ 9,122,779 \$ 7,484,264 \$ 47,723,253 \$ 373,843,664	\$ 26,775,196 \$ 508,728,725	\$ 225,990,553 42%	\$ 28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,451,419 \$ 309,513,368	\$ 489,483,001 \$ 46,020,920 \$ 535,503,921	2011
\$ 136,438,550 27%	\$ 9,122,779 \$ 5,987,412 \$ 47,723,253 \$ 372,290,175	\$ 26,775,196 \$ 508,728,725	\$ 226,047,189 42%	\$ 28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,394,783 \$ 309,456,732	\$ 489,483,001 \$ 46,020,920 \$ 535,503,921	2012
\$ 137,935,403 27%	\$ 9,122,779 \$ 4,490,559 \$ 47,723,253 \$ 370,793,322	\$ 26,775,196 \$ 508,728,725	\$ 226,047,189 42%	\$ 28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,394,783 \$ 309,456,732	\$ 489,483,001 \$ 46,020,920 \$ 535,503,921	2013
\$ 139,432,256 : 27%	\$ 9,122,779 \$ \$ 2,993,706 \$ \$ 47,723,253 \$ \$ 369,296,469 \$	\$ 26,775,196 \$ \$ 508,728,725 \$	226,047,189 42%	\$ 28,642,693 \$ \$ 28,859,425 \$ \$ 25,559,831 \$ \$ 226,394,783 \$ \$ 309,456,732 \$ \$	\$ 489,483,001 \$ \$ 46,020,920 \$ \$ 535,503,921 \$	2014
139,432,256 \$ 140,929,109 \$ 142,425,962 27% 28% 28% 27% 28%	\$ 9,122,779 \$ \$ 1,496,853 \$ \$ 47,723,253 \$ \$ 367,799,616 \$		226,047,189 \$ 42%	28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,394,783 \$ 309,456,732 \$	\$ 489,483,001 \$ 46,020,920 \$ 535,503,921 \$	2015
	9,122,779 \$ 6 \$ 6 47,723,253 \$ 8 366,302,763 \$	26,775,196 \$ 26,775,196 \$ 508,728,725 \$ 508,728,725 \$	\$ 226,047,189 \$ 226,047,189 \$ 226,047,189 42% 42% 42% 42%	28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,394,783 \$ 309,456,732 \$	489,483,001 \$ 46,020,920 \$ 535,503,921 \$	2016
\$ 142,425,962 \$ 28%	9,122,779 - 47,723,253 366,302,763	\$ 26,775,196 \$ \$ 508,728,725 \$	\$ 226,047,189 \$42%	28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,394,783 \$ 309,456,732 \$	\$ 489,483,001 \$ \$ 46,020,920 \$ \$ 535,503,921 \$	2017
\$ (9,620,355) -2%	\$ 161,169,096 \$ - \$ 47,723,253 \$ 518,349,080	\$ 26,775,196 \$ 508,728,725	\$ 226,047,189 42%	28,642,693 28,859,425 25,559,831 5 226,394,783 309,456,732	489,483,001 46,020,920 535,503,921	2018

Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years	Equity financed share of investment Debt financed share of investment interest Property tax for net incremental investment Compliance O&M Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Revenue reduction due to controls: Adjusted Total Revenue:	Pre-Compliance Operating Margin Pre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue	Pre-Compliance Expenses Non-Fuel Debt and Preferred Stock Service Property Taxes Fuel Total Expenses	Wagner (Constellation) - Units 2 & 3, Unit 3 existing SCR Pre-Compliance Revenue Energy and Ancillary Services Capacity Total Revenue
49 49 <del>49</del>	***	↔ ↔	↔	***	<del>й</del> өөө
(174,634,218) -117% 3,725,268 68,317,553	202,742,746 7,633,195 8,767,108 16,314,038 324,111,238	7,867,212 149,477,020	68,690,080 44%	16,205,314 5,488,853 4,861,294 62,098,690 88,654,152	2009 140,918,413 16,425,818 157,344,232
69	* * * * *	\$ \$ 14	<b>\$</b>	* * * * *	\$ 14 \$ 15
29,360,972 20%	7,633,195 7,514,664 16,314,038 120,116,048	7,867,212 149,477,020	68,690,080 44%	16,205,314 5,488,853 4,861,294 62,098,690 88,654,152	2010 140,918,413 16,425,818 157,344,232
€9	* * * * 1 1	\$ \$ 14	<b>↔</b> o	& & & & & &	\$ 14 \$ 15
30,613,416 20%	7,633,195 6,262,220 16,314,038 118,863,604	7,867,212 149,477,020	68,690,080 44%	16,205,314 5,488,853 4,861,294 62,098,690 88,654,152	2011 140,918,413 16,425,818 157,344,232
€9	* * * *	÷ ÷	\$	***	\$ \$ \$
31,865,860 21%	7,633,195 5,009,776 16,314,038 117,611,160	7,867,212 149,477,020	68,690,080 44%	16,205,314 5,488,853 4,861,294 62,098,690 88,654,152	2012 140,918,413 16,425,818 157,344,232
€9	* * * *	\$ \$ 12	<b>↔</b> €	****	\$ 14 \$ 15
33,118,304 22%	7,633,195 3,757,332 16,314,038 116,358,716	7,867,212 149,477,020	68,690,080 44%	16,205,314 5,488,853 4,861,294 62,098,690 88,654,152	2013 140,918,413 16,425,818 157,344,232
€	* * * *	÷ +		***	\$ \$ \$
34,370,748 \$ 23%	7,633,195 2,504,888 16,314,038 15,106,272	7,867,212 \$ 7,867,212 \$ 7,867,212 \$ 49,477,020 \$ 149,477,020 \$ 149,477,020 \$	68,690,080 \$ 44%	16,205,314 5,488,853 4,861,294 62,098,690 88,654,152	2014 40,918,413 \$ 16,425,818 \$ 57,344,232 \$
	\$ \$ \$ \$ 1 1	\$ \$ 14		8 6 6 6 6 6	\$ 140 \$ 16 \$ 15
35,623,192 \$ 24%	7,633,195 \$ 1,252,444 \$ 16,314,038 \$ 113,853,828 \$	7,867,212 \$ 49,477,020 \$	68,690,080 44%	16,205,314 5,488,853 4,861,294 62,098,690 88,654,152	2015 2016 140,918,413 \$ 140,918,413 \$ 16,425,818 \$ 16,425,818 \$ 157,344,232 \$ 157,344,232 \$
		\$ \$ 14	<b>↔</b> •	8 6 7 7	\$ 140 \$ 16
36,875,636 25%	7,633,195 \$ - \$ 16,314,038 \$ 112,601,384 \$	7,867,212 149,477,020	68,690,080 44%	16,205,314 5,488,853 4,861,294 62,098,690 88,654,152	2016 140,918,413 16,425,818 157,344,232
↔	<u>.</u>	\$ \$ 14		****	
36,875,636 <b>\$</b> 25%	7,633,195 \$ 134,853,109 - \$ - 16,314,038 \$ 16,314,038 112,601,384 \$ 239,821,298	7,867,212 \$ 7,867,212 149,477,020 \$ 149,477,020	68,690,080 44%	16,205,314 5,488,853 4,861,294 62,098,690 88,654,152	2017 140,918,413 16,425,818 157,344,232
	\$ \$ \$ \$ 2: - <del>1</del>	\$ \$		***	\$ \$ \$ \$ 14
(90,344,278) -60%	134,853,109 - 16,314,038 239,821,298	7,867,212 49,477,020	68,690,080 44%	16,205,314 5,488,853 4,861,294 62,098,690 88,654,152	2018 140,918,413 16,425,818 157,344,232

Fleet Margin as % of Revenue	Maryland Coal Fleet Margin:	Expenses:	Revenue:	Total Constellation Compliance Maryland Plant Margin	Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years	Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years	Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue	Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin	Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Compliance O&M	Property lax for the incremental investment	Dept. Illianced share of his control in control	Debt financed share of investment interest	Equity financed share of investment	Adjusted Total Revenue:	Revenue reduction due to controls:	1 10-Combination margin as 70 or resource	Dre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue	Pre-Compliance Operating Margin	- Communication of the Communi	Total Expenses	Fuel	Property Taxes	Debt and Preferred Stock Service	Non-Fuel	Pre-Compliance Expenses	Total Revenue	Capacity	Energy and Ancillary Services	Pre-Compliance Revenue	Crane (Constellation)
	69	\$	49	argin	↔	↔		↔	49		9 6	A (	<del>: 0</del>	↔	€	ક્ક			↔		s	ક્ક	S	69	↔		↔	↔	↔		
-60%	(478,186,086)	1,273,366,335	795,180,249		68,137,265	(92,183,553)	-141%	(192,847,189)	329,821,693	14,230,203	10,730,900	10 733 903	7 965 128	211,559,120	136,974,504	7,209,184		41%	58,858,411		85,325,277	56,280,299	4,825,460	4,643,586	19,575,933		144,183,689	13,777,647	130,406,041		2009
	\$	<del>\$</del>	\$					↔	<b>↔</b>			A 4	æ	↔	<b>↔</b>	↔			<del>69</del>		<b>⇔</b> ∝	<del>(5)</del>	↔	↔	↔		\$ 14	↔	\$ 13		
23%	182,931,016	612,249,233	795,180,249				15%		116,682,276				7 965 128		136,974,504	7,209,184	:	41%	58,905,294			56,233,416	4,825,460	4,643,586	19,575,933		144,183,689	13,777,647	130,406,041		2010
	\$ 18	\$ 60	\$ 79					\$ 2	\$ 11				<del></del>		\$ 136	€9			\$ 55		\$ 85	\$ 56	49	€9	\$ 10		\$ 144	\$ 13	\$ 130		
24%	187,339,911	607,840,339	795,180,249				16%		115,133,071				7.965.128		136,974,504	7,209,184	;	41%	58,921,085		•	56,217,626	4,825,460	4,643,586			144,183,689	13,777,647	130,406,041		2011
	\$ 19	\$ 60	\$ 79					8	<del>(</del>				₽		\$ 13	↔			\$		8	5	69	€9	-\$		\$ 14	\$	\$ 13		
24%	191,687,360	603,492,890	795,180,249				17%	23,382,950	113,591,555	14,200,200	4 220 265	6 133 650	7.965.128		136,974,504	7,209,184	;	41%	58,929,186		85,254,503	56,209,524	4,825,460	4,643,586	19,575,933		144,183,689	13,777,647	130,406,041		2012
	\$ 10	\$ 50	\$ 75					69	<del>6</del>			A +	69		\$ 13	↔			<del>(γ)</del>	•	<del>\$</del>	€ <del>S</del>	€9	€9	↔		\$ 14	\$ 1	\$ 13		
25%	195,970,071	599,210,178	795,180,249				18%	24,916,364	112,058,140	14,600,600	1,000,111	4 600 244	7.965.128		136,974,504	7,209,184		41%	58,929,186		85,254,503	56,209,524	4,825,460	4,643,586	19,575,933		144,183,689	13,777,647			2013
	\$ 2							↔	<del>6</del>						<b>↔</b>	↔					<del>()</del>	<del>69</del>					\$ 14	<b>⇔</b>			
25%		594,927,466					19%		10,524,725			_	7.965.128		36,974,504 \$	7,209,184		41%	58,929,186		85,254,503	56,209,524	4,825,460		19,575,933		144,183,689	13,777,647			2014
	\$ 20							\$ 2	10				69		\$ 13	69					<b>\$</b>	\$ 5	69		. 45	•	\$ 14	\$	_		
26%	204,535,495	590,644,755					20%	27,983,194 \$	108,991,310				7,965,128		6,974,504	7,209,184		41%	58,929,186		85,254,503	56,209,524	4,825,460	-			144,183,689	13,777,647 \$			2015
	\$ 20			4					5			₩.	€9		\$ 13	<del>сэ</del>			-		\$ 8.	\$ 56	69			•	\$ 14.				
26%	208,818,206						22%		107,457,896	17,100,100			7,965,128		6,974,504	7,209,184		41%	58,929,186		85,254,503	56,209,524			19,575,933		144,183,689	13,777,647			2016
	\$ 20							69 N	÷				↔		\$ 13	€9			-		*	5			· <del>6</del> 5	•	\$ 14	49	_		
26%	208,818,206	586,362,043	795,180,249				22%		107,457,896		7 238 265		7,965,128		136,974,504 \$ 136,974,504 \$ 136,974,504 \$	7,209,184		41%	58,929,186		85,254,503	56,209,524	4,825,460	4,643,586	19,5/5,933		144,183,689	13,777,647			2017
	\$ (20							\$ (10	<b>\$</b>			•	\$ 14						€ <del>9</del>	€9	<del>\$</del>	Ω1			_		\$ 14	\$	_		
-26%	(203,200,163)	998,380,412	795,180,249				-/5%	\$ (103,235,530)	240,210,034	1,100,100	14 238 265		\$ 140,717,267		136,974,504	7,209,184		41%	58,929,186	,	85,254,503	56,209,524	4,825,460	4,643,586	19,5/5,933		144,183,689	13,777,647	130,406,041		2018

Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years	Equity financed share of investment Debt financed share of investment interest Property tax for net incremental investment Compliance O&M Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Revenue reduction due to controls: Adjusted Total Revenue:	Pre-Compliance Operating Margin Pre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue	Pre-Compliance Expenses Non-Fuel Debt and Preferred Stock Service Property Taxes Fuel Total Expenses	Pre-Compliance Revenue Energy and Ancillary Services Capacity Total Revenue	R. Paul Smith (AE)
<del>6</del> 6 6	**	es es	€9	୫୫୫୫	<del>\$</del> \$ \$	
(46,033,887) \$ -158% (114,236,678) 19,245,249	41,799,932 \$ 2,997,358 \$ 3,202,281 \$ 4,262,319 \$ 75,086,233 \$	1,529,071 \$ 29,052,346 \$	7,757,073 \$ 25%	5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,454,647 \$ 22,824,344 \$	26,501,802 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,581,417 \$	2009
(3,736,584) \$ -13%	2,997,358 \$ 2,744,812 \$ 4,262,319 \$ 32,788,930 \$	1,529,071 \$ 29,052,346 \$		5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,414,745 \$ 22,784,442 \$	26,501,802 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,581,417 \$	2010
(3,254,445) \$ -11%	2,997,358 \$ 2,287,343 \$ 4,262,319 \$ 32,306,791 \$	1,529,071 \$ 29,052,346 \$	7,821,646 \$ 26%	5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,390,074 \$ 22,759,771 \$	26,501,802 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,581,417 \$	2011
(2,784,319) \$ -10%	2,997,358 \$ 1,829,875 \$ 4,262,319 \$ 31,836,665 \$	1,529,071 \$ 29,052,346 \$	\$ 7,834,303 \$ 26%	5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,377,417 \$ 22,747,114 \$	26,501,802 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,581,417 \$	2012
(2,326,851) <b>\$</b> -8%	2,997,358 \$ 1,372,406 \$ 4,262,319 \$ 31,379,197 \$	1,529,071 \$ 29,052,346 \$	7,834,303 \$ 26%	5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,377,417 \$ 22,747,114 \$	26,501,802 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,581,417 \$	2013
(1,869,382) \$ -6%	2,997,358 \$ 914,937 \$ 4,262,319 \$ 30,921,728 \$	1,529,071 \$ 29,052,346 \$	7,834,303 \$ 26%	5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,377,417 \$ 22,747,114 \$	26,501,802 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,581,417 \$	2014
(1,411,913) \$ -5%	2,997,358 \$ 457,469 \$ 4,262,319 \$ 30,464,259 \$	1,529,071 \$ 29,052,346 \$	7,834,303 \$ 26%	5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,377,417 \$ 22,747,114 \$	26,501,802 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,581,417 \$	2015
(954,444) \$ -3%	2,997,358 \$ - \$ 4,262,319 \$ 30,006,791 \$	1,529,071 \$ 29,052,346 \$	7,834,303 <b>\$</b> 26%	5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,377,417 \$ 22,747,114 \$	26,501,802 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,581,417 \$	2016
(954,444) \$ -3%	2,997,358 \$ - \$ 4,262,319 \$ 30,006,791 \$	1,529,071 \$ 29,052,346 \$	7,834,303 \$ 26%	5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,377,417 \$ 22,747,114 \$	26,501,802 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,581,417 \$	2017
(50,910,408) -175%	52,953,322 - 4,262,319 79,962,754	1,529,071 29,052,346	7,834,303 26%	5,151,493 1,025,364 1,192,840 15,377,417 22,747,114	26,501,802 4,079,615 30,581,417	2018

### **Baseline Cost Factors**

Adjustments to Plant Historic Cost and Operating Data to Plant Revenue Projected to 2009+

GDP inflation: 1.2042

Adjusted 1998 plant valuation and non-fuel expenses (except coal transportation) to 2009+ levels

U.S. Government Budget Fiscal 2005 Table 10.1 — Gross Domestic Product and Deflators Used in the Historical Tables: 1940–2009

Central Appalachian Coal \$/ton:

\$ 64.42

Delivered, NYMEX 1/9/06 futures plus \$9.92 transportation - using 2001 EIA transporation GDP inflated to 2009

EIA Coal Transportation: Rates and Trends in the United States, 1979-2001 (with supplementary data to 2002)

Table 2.01 inflated to 2009 using GDP inflation

Gas \$/mcf:

9.74 9.35 2009

2010+

Delivered, NYMEX 1/9/06 futures plus \$1.03 Transco Zone 6 non-NY basis difference

#2 Oil \$/barrel:

\$ 177.79 \$ 174.07

\$ 171.77

2011 2010 2009

\$ 170.59

Delivered, NYMEX 1/9/06 Crude futures adjusted for historic relationship between futures and #2 Oil New York Harbor 2012

#6 Oil \$/barrel:

2009 2010 2011

\$ 104.70 \$ 102.50 \$ 101.15

\$ 100.45

Energy Revenue \$/MWH:

\$ 60.697 **PSC** estimates Delivered, NYMEX 1/9/06 Crude futures adjusted for historic relationship between futures and #6 Oil New York Harbor

2012+

Capacity Revenue \$/Net Available MW-Day

S

98.04

**PSC** estimates

PE Total Steam Plant Value 1999: PE Steam Plant Accumulated Provision for Depreciation 1999: AE plants net cost factor to estimate taxable value:	BGE Total Steam Plant Value 1999: BGE Steam Plant Accumulated Provision for Depreciation 1999: Constellation plants net cost factor to estimate taxable value:	Plant valuation net of depreciation: Pepco Total Steam Plant Value 1999: Pepco Steam Plant Accumulated Provision for Depreciation 1999: Mirant plants net plant factor to estimate taxable value:
<del>&amp; &amp;</del>	\$ <b>\$</b> ,>	\$ \$ _ <u>,</u>
917,618,289 499,591,942 0.4556	\$ 2,152,344,118 \$ 829,854,608 0.6144	\$ 2,171,663,210 \$ 661,042,073 0.6956
18,289 91,942 0.4556	.44,118 .54,608 0.6144	910 956

## Debt and Preferred Stock Costs

Washington Co. Rate:	Prince Georges Co. Rate:	Montgomery Co. Rate:	Charles Co. Rate:	Baltimore Co. Rate:	Anne Arundel Co. Rate	State Rate:	Property Taxes:	AE:	Constellation:	Mirant:	Debt %
	ate:	•••			e.			50%	50%	34%	
								6.00%	6.00%	6.50%	Real Interest Rate
\$ 3.1600	\$ 2.4000	\$ 1.6980	\$ 2.5650	\$ 2.7875	\$ 2.3270	\$ 0.3300		Staff estima	Staff estima	Mirant Plan	t Rate
↔	↔	↔	↔	S	↔	Tot	≧	ate fo	ate fo	of R	
3.4900	2.7300	2.0280	2.8950	\$ 3.1175 Crane	2.6570	al Rate	effective	or debt ratio	or debt ratio	eorganizati	
\$ 3.1600 \$ 3.4900 R. Paul Smith	\$ 2.7300 Chalk Point	\$ 2.0280 Dickerson	\$ 2.8950 Morgantown	Crane	\$ 2.6570 Brandon Shores & Wagner	\$ 0.3300 Total Rate (to be added to county rates for total rate)	All effective rates per \$100 assessed value -	Staff estimate for debt ratio; Global Insight and Staff estimate for interest rate projections	Staff estimate for debt ratio; Global Insight and Staff estimate for interest rate projections	Mirant Plan of Reorganization Exhibit D, Page 7; Global Insight and Staff estimate for interest rates	

## SO2 NOx and Hg Compliance Cost Summary

Total investment:	Total:	R. Paul Smith Unit 4	R. Paul Smith Unit 3	CP Crane, Unit 2	CP Crane, Unit 1	Wagner, Unit 3	Wagner, Unit 2	Brandon Shores, Unit 2	Brandon Shores, Unit 1		Dickerson, Unit 3	Dickerson, Unit 2	Dickerson, Unit 1	Chalk Point, Unit 2	Chalk Point, Unit 1	Morgantown, Unit 2	Morgantown, Unit 1	Plant		Investment and O&M Compliance Cost Inflation Factor GDP Intlation for \$1999 cost estimates inflated to \$2009 values	Present Value Real Discount Rate	Cost increase due to high demand for equipment and labor	SCR incremental reduction in net energy output and capacity availability	Scrubber incremental reduction in net energy output and capacity availability
		75.5	34.5	200	200	340	130	685	685		185	185	185	355	355	590	590	Nameplate Capacity MW		pliance Cost Infla cost estimates in	nt Rate:	demand for equip	in net energy ou	ction in net energ
€9	€9	\$	↔	69	s	↔	↔	↔	↔		↔	÷	49	↔	\$	↔	↔	Cos	}	tion F flated		ment	tput a	y out
1,574,021,054	1,255,080,537	35,734,189	16,328,868	75,623,486	75,623,486	113,690,184	60,951,170	126,728,829	126,728,829		58,293,104	58,293,104	58,293,104	107,914,480	107,914,480	116,481,611	116,481,611	Cost for Scrubber of upgrade		=actor   to \$2009 value:		and labor	ınd capacity ava	out and capacity
		0%	0%	20%	20%	10%	10%											engineering and construction increase	Site specific	8			ilability	availability
То		s	↔	↔	s	G	s	s	s		s	s	49	s	↔	s	€9		_					
Total Annual Electric Cost Increase		1,525,568	697,114	2,852,640	2,852,640	4,445,364	2,317,770	4,070,955	4,070,955		2,638,692	2,638,692	2,638,692	4,641,483	4,641,483	4,207,644	4,207,644	Scrubber annual fixed O&M Cost		1.1886	6%	10%	2%	3%
ectric		s	€9	↔	↔	↔	↔	s	€9		ક્ક	s	↔	8	s	↔	↔	val 0&1 \$/1	Scr			Low	to TT	ISU
Cost I		1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	2.38	2.38		1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	2.38	2.38	variable O&M Cost \$/MWH	Scrubber			Low Cost Estimate	e Viabi a Sele	EA CC
ncrea	69	\$	69	€	69		↔				÷	G	↔	s	ક	s	s	Ç	)			Estim	lity a	Mitig
lse:	318,940,516	13,530,324	7,638,619	27,552,360	27,552,360	0	20,118,035	0	0		26,028,089	26,028,089	26,028,089	41,886,455	41,886,455	60,691,641	1	upgrade	ost for one			nate	"The Viability and Economics of Adding a ROFA/Rotamix MobotecSystem to a Selective catalytic Reduction Installation" Presentation at NETL/DOE 300c Conference on SCR	USEA CC Mitigation Options Handbook Version 1.0, 1999
↔		↔	€9	↔	ક્ક	G	\$	ક્ક	s		S	S	49	€9	↔	↔	↔	SC					s of A	Hano
421,198,674		81,182	45,832	165,314	165,314	243,522	120,708	406,081	406,081		156,169	156,169	156,169	251,319	251,319	364,150	364,150	SCR annual fixed O&M Cost					Adding a ROFA/Rotamix MobotecSystem on Installation" Presentation at NETL/DOF	book Versio
		s	\$	↔	49	€9	↔	↔	↔		↔	↔	S	↔	€9	s	\$	va 0&I \$/I	(0				A/Rot ' Pres	n 1.0,
		0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78		0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	variable O&M Cost \$/MWH	SCR				amix M entatio	1999
	↔	€	€9	€9	· <del>(</del> 2	· <del>(</del> 3	↔	S	G	s	↔	s	4	6	•	€9	69	Ç	Coe				obote	
			,	ı					•	•					•			upgrade	Cost for 90% Ha				cSystem IETL/DOE 3	
		s	↔	€9	· <del>()</del>	<del>6</del>	↔	4	↔	s	S	4	4	€9	•	69	\$		2				300c (	
				ı	,			i	•	•							•	90% Hg annual fixed O&M Cost					onference	
		en I	<b>€</b> 9	<b>€</b> 5		· <del>(/</del> 1	, ,	· <del>(s)</del>	· <del>69</del>	÷	<b>€</b>	,		· <del>(</del>	) <del>(A</del>	· <del>(7</del>	· <del>\$</del>	variable O&M Cost \$/MWH	90% Hg				on SCR	

EPA Standalone Documentation for EPA Base Case 2004 (V.2.1.9) Using the Integrated Planning Model, Tables 5.3 and 5.6 Units with no SCR upgrade costs have existing SCR or will have by summer 2006. SCR O&M costs applied to all units to update pre-2000 FERC Form 1 data No additional cost included for 90% Hg control assuming Section 5.3.3 and Table 5.12 are feasible and no requirement for Activated Carbon Injection for plants with electrostatic precipitators, scrubbers and SCR

Total Annual O&M Cost:

120,654,027

Average increase \$/kwh:

0.00625

# SO2 NOx and 90% Hg Compliance Plant Operating Margins for Maryland Coal Burning Plants All values are constant \$2009 Assumes no additional cost for 90% Hg Compliance

Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years	Equity financed share of investment Debt financed share of investment interest Property tax for net incremental investment Compliance O&M Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Pre-Compliance Operating Margin Pre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue Revenue reduction due to controls: Adjusted Total Revenue:	Pre-Compliance Expenses Non-Fuel Debt and Preferred Stock Service Property Taxes Fuel Total Expenses	Morgantown (Mirant) Pre-Compliance Revenue Energy and Ancillary Services Capacity Total Revenue
<b>⇔ ↔</b>	<del>&amp; &amp; &amp; &amp; &amp; &amp;</del>	<del>6</del> 6 6	<del>~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~</del>	<del>↔ ↔ ↔</del>
(7,748,832) \$ -2% 1,239,106,124 94,219,469	204,267,974 \$ 5,810,148 \$ 8,501,308 \$ 31,168,667 \$ 472,767,072 \$	266,473,909 \$ 54% 24,474,644 \$ 465,018,240 \$	25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723 \$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,439,987 \$ 223,018,975 \$	2009 444,974,980 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 489,492,884 \$
197,861,925 43%	5,810,148 7,286,836 31,168,667 267,156,315	266,602,220 54% 24,474,644 465,018,240	25,616,788 9,074,723 11,887,477 176,311,676 222,890,664	2010 444,974,980 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 489,492,884 \$
\$ 199,155,365 43%	\$ 5,810,148 \$ 6,072,363 \$ 31,168,667 \$ 265,862,875	\$ 266,681,187 \$ 54% \$ 24,474,644 \$ \$ 465,018,240 \$	\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723 \$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,232,709 \$ 222,811,697	2011 444,974,980 44,517,904 489,492,884
\$ 200,410,616 43%	\$ 5,810,148 \$ 4,857,890 \$ 31,168,667 \$ 264,607,624	\$ 266,721,965 54% \$ 24,474,644 \$ 465,018,240	\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723 \$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,191,931 \$ 222,770,919	2012 \$ 444,974,980 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 489,492,884
\$ 201,625,088 43%	\$ 5,810,148 \$ 3,643,418 \$ 31,168,667 \$ 263,393,152	\$ 266,721,965 54% \$ 24,474,644 \$ 465,018,240	\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723 \$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,191,931 \$ 222,770,919 \$	2013 \$ 444,974,980 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 489,492,884
\$ 202,839,561 44%	\$ 5,810,148 \$ 2,428,945 \$ 31,168,667 \$ 262,178,679		\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723 \$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,191,931 \$ 222,770,919	2014 \$ 444,974,980 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 489,492,884
202,839,561 \$ 204,054,033 \$ 205,268,506 44% 44% 44% 44%	\$ 5,810,148 \$ 1,214,473 \$ 31,168,667 \$ 260,964,207	\$ 266,721,965 54% \$ 24,474,644 \$ 465,018,240	\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723 \$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,191,931 \$ 222,770,919 \$ -	2015 \$ 444,974,980 \$ \$ 44,517,904 \$ \$ 489,492,884 \$
\$ 205,268,506 44%	\$ 5,810,148 \$ - \$ 31,168,667 \$ 259,749,734	\$ 266,721,965 \$ 266,721,965 \$ 266,721,965 54% 54% 54% 54% \$ 24,474,644 \$ 24,474,644 \$ 465,018,240 \$ 465,018,240 \$ 465,018,240	\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723 \$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,191,931 \$ 222,770,919 \$	2016 444,974,980 44,517,904 489,492,884
\$ 205,268,506 44%	5,810,148 \$ 5,810,148 \$ 5,810,148 \$ 95,197,038 1,214,473 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - 31,168,667 \$ 31,168,667 \$ 31,168,667 \$ 31,168,667 \$ 349,136,624	266,721,965 \$ 26	25,616,788 9,074,723 11,887,477 176,191,931 222,770,919	2017 444,974,980 44,517,904 489,492,884
205,268,506 \$ 115,881,616 44% 25%	\$ 95,197,038 \$ - \$ 31,168,667 \$ 349,136,624	\$ 266,721,965 54% \$ 24,474,644 \$ 465,018,240	\$ 25,616,788 \$ 9,074,723 \$ 11,887,477 \$ 176,191,331 \$ 222,770,919	2018 \$ 444,974,980 \$ 44,517,904 \$ 489,492,884

Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years	Debt financed share of investment interest Property tax for net incremental investment Compliance O&M Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Pre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue  Revenue reduction due to controls:  Adjusted Total Revenue:	Pre-Compliance Expenses  Non-Fuel  Debt and Preferred Stock Service  Property Taxes  Fuel  Total Expenses  Pre-Compliance Operating Margin	Dickerson (Mirant)  Pre-Compliance Revenue Energy and Ancillary Services Capacity Total Revenue
<del>6</del> 69 69	<del>*************************************</del>	9 <del>69 69</del>	<del></del>	<del>ө ө ө</del>
(120,003,614) -63% 338,836,377 56,354,098	5,005,045 5,130,101 13,974,840 310,494,083	45% 10,025,814 190,490,469	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 77,047,399 110,421,210 90,095,073	2009 180,977,074 19,539,209 200,516,283
<del>69</del>	** ** ** **	9 \$ \$	\$ 11 \$ 9	\$ 18 \$ 1 \$ 20
56,780,389 30%	5,005,045 4,397,230 13,974,840 133,710,080	45% 10,025,814 190,490,469	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 76,959,154 110,332,965 90,183,318	2010 180,977,074 19,539,209 200,516,283
↔	* * * *	<b>\$</b> \$	* ****	\$ \$ \$ 2
57,567,820 30%	5,005,045 3,664,358 13,974,840 132,922,648	45% 10,025,814 190,490,469	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 76,904,594 110,278,405 90,237,878	2011 180,977,074 19,539,209 200,516,283
↔	& & & &	<b>↔</b> ↔	* ****	\$ \$ \$ 2
58,328,683 31%	5,005,045 2,931,486 13,974,840 132,161,785	45% 10,025,814 190,490,469	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 76,876,603 110,250,414 90,265,869	2012 180,977,074 19,539,209 200,516,283
↔	***	↔ ↔	***	\$ <del>\$</del> \$
59,061,555 31%	5,005,045 2,198,615 13,974,840 131,428,914	45% 10,025,814 190,490,469	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 76,876,603 110,250,414 90,265,869	2013 180,977,074 19,539,209 200,516,283
€9	***	<b>↔</b> ↔	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	\$ 18 \$ 20
59,794,427 31%	5,005,045 1,465,743 13,974,840 30,696,042	45% 10,025,814 90,490,469	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 76,876,603 10,250,414 90,265,869	2014 180,977,074 19,539,209 200,516,283
€9	\$ \$ \$ \$ 1.	\$ \$	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\$ 18 \$ 20
60,527,298 \$ 32%	5,005,045 \$ 5,005,045 \$ 732,872 \$ \$ 13,974,840 \$ 13,974,840 \$ 129,230,299 \$	45% 10,025,814 190,490,469	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 76,876,603 110,250,414 90,265,869	2015 \$ 180,977,074 \$ \$ 19,539,209 \$ \$ 200,516,283 \$
	* * * *	\$ \$ 18 1	\$ 1 \$ 7 \$ 11	\$ 18 \$ 20
61,260,170 \$ 32%	5,005,045 - 13,974,840 29,230,299	45% 10,025,814 190,490,469	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 76,876,603 110,250,414 - 90,265,869	2016 180,977,074 \$ 19,539,209 \$ 200,516,283 \$
		↔ ↔	****	
61,260,170 32%	5,005,045 \$ - \$ 13,974,840 \$ 129,230,299 \$	45% 45% 45% 45% 45% 45% 45% 45% 45% 45%	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 76,876,603 110,250,414 90,265,869	2017 180,977,074 19,539,209 200,516,283
· •	\$ \$ \$ \$ N		* * * * * * *	\$ \$ \$ 2
(15,740,521) -8%	82,005,736 - 13,974,840 206,230,990	45% 10,025,814 190,490,469	19,538,674 5,965,463 7,869,674 76,876,603 110,250,414 90,265,869	2018 \$ 180,977,074 \$ 19,539,209 \$ 200,516,283

Total Compliance Mirant Maryland Plant Margin Revenue: Expenses: Maryland Coal Fleet Margin: Fleet Margin as % of Revenue	Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years	Equity financed share of investment Debt financed share of investment interest Property tax for net incremental investment Compliance O&M Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Revenue reduction due to controls: Adjusted Total Revenue:	Pre-Compliance Expenses Non-Fuel Det and Preferred Stock Service Property Taxes Fuel Total Expenses Pre-Compliance Operating Margin Pre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue	Chalk Point (Mirant)  Pre-Compliance Revenue Energy and Ancillary Services Capacity Total Revenue
<b>↔ ↔</b>	<b>⇔ ↔</b>	<del>*************************************</del>	↔ ↔	<del>֍ ֍֍֍֍֍</del>	<del>&amp;</del> & &
919,804,016 1 1,191,622,445 1 (271,818,428) 1 -30%	(144,065,982) \$ -55% 397,979,450 70,694,123	208,404,745 \$ 5,927,813 \$ 8,179,131 \$ 17,624,191 \$ 408,361,289 \$	13,910,279 \$ 264,295,308 \$	23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 120,037,560 \$ 168,225,409 \$ 109,980,178 \$	2009 253,763,683 24,441,904 278,205,587
\$ 919,804,016 \$ 599,337,858 \$ 320,466,158 35%	65,823,844 25%	5,927,813 6 7,010,684 6 17,624,191 6 198,471,464	13,910,279 264,295,308	23,728,270 10,942,443 13,517,135 119,720,928 167,908,776 110,296,811 40%	2010 253,763,683 24,441,904 278,205,587
\$ 919,804,016 \$ 595,892,772 \$ 323,911,244 35%	\$ 67,188,059 25%	\$ 5,927,813 \$ 5,842,236 \$ 17,624,191 \$ 197,107,249	\$ 13,910,279 \$ 264,295,308	\$ 23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 119,525,160 \$ 167,713,009 \$ 110,492,579	2011 \$ 253,763,683 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,205,587
\$ 919,804,016 \$ 592,607,774 \$ 327,196,242 36%	\$ 68,456,943 26%	\$ 5,927,813 \$ 4,673,789 \$ 17,624,191 \$ 195,838,365	\$ 13,910,279 \$ 264,295,308	\$ 23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 119,424,723 \$ 167,612,571 \$ 110,593,016 \$ 40%	2012 \$ 253,763,683 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,205,587
\$ 919,804,016 \$ 589,491,983 \$ 330,312,034	\$ 69,625,391 26%	\$ 5,927,813 \$ 3,505,342 \$ 17,624,191 \$ 194,669,917	\$ 13,910,279 \$ 264,295,308	\$ 23.728.270 \$ 10.942.443 \$ 13.517.135 \$ 119.424.723 \$ 167.612.571 \$ 110.593.016	2013 \$ 253,763,683 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,205,587
\$ 919,804,016 \$ \$ 586,376,191 \$ \$ 333,427,825 \$	\$ 70,793,838 \$ 27%	\$ 5,927,813 \$ \$ 2,336,895 \$ \$ 17,624,191 \$ \$ 193,501,470 \$	\$ 13,910,279 \$ \$ 264,295,308 \$	\$ 23,728,270 \$ \$ 10,942,443 \$ \$ 113,517,135 \$ \$ 119,424,723 \$ \$ 167,612,571 \$ \$ \$ 110,593,016 \$ \$ 110,593,016 \$	2014 \$ 253,763,683 \$ \$ 24,441,904 \$ \$ 278,205,587 \$
\$ 919,804,016 \$ 583,260,400 \$ 336,543,617 \$ 37%	71,962,285 \$ 27%	5,927,813 \$ 1,168,447 \$ 17,624,191 \$ 192,333,023 \$	13,910,279 \$ 264,295,308 \$	23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 119,424,723 \$ 167,612,571 \$ 110,593,016 \$ 40%	2015 253,763,683 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,205,587 \$
919,804,016 580,144,608 339,659,408 37%	73,130,732 \$ 28%	5,927,813 \$ - \$ 17,624,191 \$ 191,164,575 \$	13,910,279 \$ 264,295,308 \$	23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 119,424,723 \$ 167,612,571 \$ 110,593,016 \$ 40%	2016 253,763,683 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,205,587 \$
919,804,016 580,144,608 339,659,408 37%	\$ 73,130,732 \$ 28%	5,927,813 - 17,624,191 191,164,575	13,910,279 264,295,308	23,728,270 10,942,443 13,517,135 119,424,723 167,612,571 110,593,016 40%	2017 253,763,683 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,205,587 \$
\$ 919,804,016 \$ 837,729,314 \$ 82,074,702 9%	\$ (18,066,393) -7%	\$ 97,124,938 \$ - \$ 17,624,191 \$ 282,361,700	\$ 13,910,279 \$ 264,295,308	\$ 23,728,270 \$ 10,942,443 \$ 13,517,135 \$ 119,424,723 \$ 167,612,571 \$ 110,593,016 \$ 110,593,016	2018 \$ 253,763,683 \$ 24,441,904 \$ 278,205,587

Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years	Equity financed share of investment Debt financed share of investment interest Property tax for net incremental investment Compliance Q&M Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Pre-Compliance Operating Margin Pre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue Revenue reduction due to controls: Adjusted Total Revenue:	Pre-Compliance Expenses Non-Fuel Debt and Preferred Stock Service Property Taxes Fuel Total Expenses	Brandon Shores (Constellation) - Existing SCR Pre-Compliance Revenue Energy and Ancillary Services Capacity Total Revenue
<del>6</del>	<del>~</del> ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	<del>6</del> 69 69	<del>••••••</del>	<del>6</del> <del>6</del> 6
(2,700,072) \$ -1% 1,320,183,353 93,847,916	155,734,899 5,863,366 6,734,370 33,176,041 511,310,984	225,577,599 \$ 42% 26,768,995 \$ 508,610,912 \$	28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,740,359 \$ 309,802,308 \$	2009 489,358,987 46,020,920 \$ 535,379,907 \$
\$ 154,175,428 30%	\$ 5,863,366 \$ 5,772,317 \$ 33,176,041 \$ 354,435,484	225,756,147 42% 26,768,995 508,610,912	28,642,693 5 28,859,425 5 25,559,831 5 226,561,811 5 309,623,760	2010 489,358,987 46,020,920 535,379,907
\$ 155,247,873 31%	\$ 5,863,366 \$ 4,810,264 \$ 33,176,041 \$ 353,363,039	\$ 225,866,539 42% \$ 26,768,995 \$ 508,610,912	\$ 28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,451,419 \$ 309,513,368	2011 \$ 489,358,987 \$ 46,020,920 \$ 535,379,907
\$ 156,266,562 31%	\$ 5,863,366 \$ 3,848,211 \$ 33,176,041 \$ 352,344,350	\$ 225,923,175 42% \$ 26,768,995 \$ 508,610,912	\$ 28,642,693 \$ 28,889,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,394,783 \$ 309,456,732	2012 \$ 489,358,987 \$ 46,020,920 \$ 535,379,907
\$ 157,228,615 31%	\$ 5,863,366 \$ 2,886,159 \$ 33,176,041 \$ 351,382,297	\$ 225,923,175 42% \$ 26,768,995 \$ 508,610,912	\$ 28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,394,783 \$ 309,456,732 \$	2013 \$ 489,358,987 \$ 46,020,920 \$ 535,379,907
\$ 158,190,667 31%	\$ 5,863,366 \$ 1,924,106 \$ \$ 33,176,041 \$ \$ 350,420,244 \$	\$ 225,923,175 42% \$ 26,768,995 \$ 508,610,912	\$ 28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,394,783 \$ 309,456,732 \$	2014 \$ 489,358,987 \$ 46,020,920 \$ 535,379,907
\$ 159,152,720 31%	\$ 5,863,366 \$ 962,053 \$ 33,176,041 \$ 349,458,191	\$ 225,923,175 42% \$ 26,768,995 \$ 508,610,912	\$ 28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,394,783 \$ 309,456,732 \$	2015 \$ 489,358,987 \$ \$ 46,020,920 \$ \$ 535,379,907 \$
\$ 160,114,773 31%	5,863,366 \$ 5,863,366 \$ 992,053 \$ - \$ 33,176,041 \$ 33,176,041 \$ 349,456,191 \$ 348,496,138 \$	\$ 225,923,175 \$ 42% \$ 26,768,995 \$ \$ 508,610,912 \$	\$ 28,642,693 : \$ 28,859,425 : \$ 25,559,831 : \$ 226,394,783 : \$ 309,456,732 : \$	2016 \$ 489,358,987 \$ \$ 46,020,920 \$ \$ 535,379,907 \$
158,190,667 \$ 159,152,720 \$ 160,114,773 \$ 160,114,773 \$ 31% 31% 31% 31%	ω	225,923,175 42% 26,768,995 508,610,912	\$ 28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,394,783 \$ 309,456,732 \$	2017 489,358,987 46,020,920 535,379,907
\$ 62,392,014 12%	5,863,366 \$ 103,586,125	\$ 225,923,175 42% \$ 26,768,995 \$ 508,610,912	\$ 28,642,693 \$ 28,859,425 \$ 25,559,831 \$ 226,394,783 \$ 309,456,732 \$	2018 \$ 489,358,987 \$ 46,020,920 \$ 535,379,907

Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years	Equity financed share of investment Debt financed share of investment interest Property tax for net incremental investment Compliance O&M Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Revenue reduction due to controls: Adjusted Total Revenue:	Pre-Compliance Operating Margin	Pre-Compliance Expenses Non-Fuel Debt and Preferred Stock Service Property Taxes Fuel Total Expenses	Wagner (Constellation) - Units 2 & 3, Unit 3 existing SCR Pre-Compliance Revenue Energy and Ancillary Services Capacity Total Revenue
<del>6</del> 6 6	<del>*************************************</del>	↔ ↔	↔	***	; <del>6 6 6 6</del>
(80,038,658) \$ -54% 232,585,054 45,395,872	119,668,248 \$ 4,505,468 \$ 5,174,757 \$ 11,479,135 \$ 229,481,760 \$	7,865,426 \$ 149,443,102 \$	68,654,377 \$	16,205,314 \$ 5,488,853 \$ 4,861,294 \$ 62,098,690 \$ 88,654,152 \$	2009 140,882,710 \$ 16,425,818 \$ 157,308,529 \$
40,368,841 27%	4,505,468 4,435,506 11,479,135 109,074,261		68,654,377 \$	16,205,314 \$ 5,488,853 \$ 4,861,294 \$ 62,098,690 \$ 88,654,152 \$	2010 140,882,710 \$ 16,425,818 \$ 157,308,529 \$
\$ 41,108,092 28%	\$ 4,505,468 \$ 3,696,255 \$ 11,479,135 \$ 108,335,010		68,654,377 44%	5,488,853 6,4861,294 6,62,098,690 6,88654,152	2011 140,882,710 16,425,818 157,308,529
\$ 41,847,343 28%	\$ 4,505,468 \$ 2,957,004 \$ 11,479,135 \$ 107,595,759	\$ 7,865,426 \$ 149,443,102	\$ 68,654,377 44%	\$ 16,205,314 \$ 5,488,853 \$ 4,861,294 \$ 62,098,690 \$ 88,654,152	2012 \$ 140,882,710 \$ 16,425,818 \$ 157,308,529
\$ 42,586,594 28%	\$ 4,505,468 \$ 2,217,753 \$ 11,479,135 \$ 106,856,508		\$ - \$ 68,654,377 44%	\$ 16,205,314 \$ 5,488,853 \$ 4,861,294 \$ 62,098,690 \$ 88,654,152	2013 \$ 140,882,710 \$ \$ 16,425,818 \$ \$ 157,308,529
\$ 43,325,845 29%	\$ 4,505,468 \$ 1,478,502 \$ 11,479,135 \$ 106,117,257 \$	\$ 7,865,426 \$ \$ 149,443,102 \$	\$ - \$ \$ 68,654,377 \$ 44%	\$ 16,205,314 \$ \$ 5,488,853 \$ \$ 4,861,294 \$ \$ 62,098,690 \$ \$ 88,654,152 \$	2014 \$ 140,882,710 \$ \$ 16,425,818 \$ \$ 157,308,529 \$
\$ 44,065,096 \$ 29%		\$ 7,865,426 \$ \$ 149,443,102 \$	\$ - \$ \$ 68,654,377 \$ 44%	\$ 16,205,314 \$ \$ 5,488,853 \$ \$ 4,861,294 \$ \$ 62,098,690 \$ \$ 88,654,152 \$	2015 \$ 140,882,710 \$ \$ 16,425,818 \$ \$ 157,308,529 \$
\$ 44,804,347 \$ 30%	4,505,468 - 11,479,135 104,638,755	\$ 7,865,426 \$ \$ 149,443,102 \$	- 68,654,377 44%	16,205,314 5,488,853 4,861,294 62,098,690 88,654,152	2016 140,882,710 16,425,818 157,308,529
\$ 44,804,347 <b>\$</b> 30%	4,505,468 - 11,479,135 104,638,755	7,865 149,443	\$ - \$ \$ 68,654,377 \$ 44%	\$ 16,205,314 \$ \$ 5,488,853 \$ \$ 4,861,294 \$ \$ 62,098,690 \$ \$ 88,654,152 \$	2017 \$ 140,882,710 \$ \$ 16,425,818 \$ \$ 157,308,529 \$
\$ (30,286,794) -20%	\$ 79,596,610 \$ - \$ 11,479,135 \$ 179,729,897	\$ 7,865,426 \$ 149,443,102	\$ - \$ 68,654,377 44%	\$ 16,205,314 \$ 5,488,853 \$ 4,861,294 \$ 62,098,690 \$ 88,654,152	2018 140,882,710 16,425,818 157,308,529

Energy and Ancillary Services Capacity Total Revenue  Pre-Compliance Expenses Non-Fuel Debt and Preferred Stock Service Property Taxes Fuel Total Expenses  Pre-Compliance Operating Margin Pre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue Revenue reduction due to controls: Adjusted Total Revenue: Equity financed share of investment interest Property tax for net incremental investment Compliance O&M Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses  Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses  Compliance Adjusted Margin % of Revenue Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years  Total Constellation Compliance Maryland Plant Margin Revenue: Expenses: Maryland Coal Fleet Margin: Fleet Margin as % of Revenue	
130,373,002 113,777,647 144,150,649 19,575,933 4,643,586 4,825,460 56,280,299 85,325,277 58,825,372 41% 7,207,532 136,943,117 126,791,040 4,773,639 6,433,014 10,063,042 233,386,012 (96,442,896) 170,6332,816 45,252,588 794,997,131 974,178,756 (179,181,626) -23%	2009
130,373,002 13,777,647 144,150,649 19,575,933 4,643,566 4,825,460 56,278,395 58,872,255 41% 7,207,532 136,943,117 4,773,639 5,514,012 10,663,042 105,629,087 31,314,029 23% 31,314,029 23% 31,314,029 23% 31,314,029 23% 31,314,029 23%	2010
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
130,373,002 13,777,647 144,150,649 19,575,933 4,643,586 4,821,626 85,262,604 58,888,045 41% 7,207,532 136,943,117 4,773,639 4,595,010 10,653,042 104,694,295 32,248,822 24% 228,604,787 29%	2011
130,373,002 13,777,647 144,150,649 19,575,933 4,643,586 4,825,450 56,259,524 85,254,503 58,896,147 41% 7,207,532 136,943,117 4,773,639 3,676,008 10,063,042 103,767,191 33,775,925 24% 794,997,131 563,707,300 231,289,830	2012
999 9 9999 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 9	
13.07.3,02 13.777,647 144,150,649 19,575,933 4,643,566 4,825,460 56,299,524 85,254,503 58,896,147 41% 7,207,532 136,943,117 4,773,639 2,757,006 10,063,042 102,848,189 34,094,927 25% 34,094,927 25% 34,094,927 25% 34,094,927 25% 34,094,927 25% 34,094,927 25% 34,094,927 25% 34,094,927 25%	2013
899 4 4444 44 4444 444 444 444 444 444 4	
130,373,002 13,777,647 144,150,649 19,575,933 4,643,586 4,825,460 56,209,524 85,254,503 58,896,147 41% 7,207,532 136,943,117 4,773,639 1,838,004 10,063,042 101,929,187 35,013,929 26% 794,997,131 558,466,689 236,530,442 30%	2014
13,77,647 144,150,649 144,150,649 19,575,933 4,643,586 4,8254,503 56,209,524 85,254,503 8,5254,503 8,5254,503 136,943,117 7,207,532 136,943,117 136,	2015
13,777,647 \$ 144,180,649 \$ 144,180,649 \$ 19,575,933 \$ 4,643,886 \$ 4,825,4,603 \$ 55,209,524 \$ 85,224,503 \$ 85,224,503 \$ 136,943,117 \$ 136,943,117 \$ 136,943,117 \$ 100,091,183 \$ 27% 241,771,054 \$ 553,226,077 \$ 241,771,054 \$	2016
13,777,647 \$ 144,150,649 \$ 19,575,933 \$ 4,825,466 \$ 4,825,450 \$ 56,209,524 \$ 85,254,503 \$ 58,896,147 \$ 136,943,117 \$ 136,943,117 \$ 136,943,117 \$ 136,943,117 \$ 24,773,639 \$ 36,851,933 \$ 36,851,933 \$ 27% 241,771,054 \$	2017
13,73,002 13,777,647 144,180,649 19,575,933 4,643,886 4,825,460 56,209,524 85,254,503 58,896,147 41% 7,207,532 136,943,117 84,334,292 10,063,042 179,651,836 (42,708,720) -31% (10,603,500,631 (10,603,500)	2018

Compliace Adjusted Operating Margin Compliace Adjusted Margin % of Revenue Margin Net Present Value - 10 Years Average Annual Margin Reduction - 10 Years	Debt financed share of investment interest Property tax for net incremental investment Compliance O&M Compliance Adjusted Total Expenses	Pre-Compliance Margin as % of Revenue Revenue reduction due to controls: Adjusted Total Revenue:	Non-Fuel Debt and Preferred Stock Service Property Taxes Fuel Total Expenses Pre-Compliance Operating Margin	R. Paul Smith (AE)  Pre-Compliance Revenue Energy and Ancillary Services Capacity Total Revenue  Pre-Compliance Expenses
<del>69 69</del>	<del></del>	·	<b>&amp; &amp; &amp; &amp; &amp; &amp; &amp; &amp; &amp; &amp;</b>	<del>↔</del> ↔ ↔
(35,255,792) \$ -121% (76,197,419) 15,434,609	2,392,244 \$ 2,555,797 \$ 3,168,111 \$ 64,301,759 \$		5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,454,647 \$ 22,824,344 \$ 7,750,359 \$	2009 26,495,087 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,574,702 \$
(1,489,512) \$ -5%	2,392,244 \$ 2,190,683 \$ 3,168,111 \$ 30,535,480 \$	25% 1,528,735 \$ 29,045,967 \$	5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,414,745 \$ 22,784,442 \$ 7,790,261 \$	2010 26,495,087 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,574,702 \$
(1,099,728) \$ -4%	2,392,244 \$ 1,825,569 \$ 3,168,111 \$ 30,145,695 \$	26% 1,528,735 \$ 29,045,967 \$	5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,390,074 \$ 22,759,771 \$ 7,814,931 \$	2011 26,495,087 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,574,702 \$
(721,957) \$ -2%	2,392,244 \$ 1,460,455 \$ 3,168,111 \$ 29,767,924 \$	26% 1,528,735 \$ 29,045,967 \$	5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,377,417 \$ 22,747,114 \$ 7,827,588 \$	2012 26,495,087 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,574,702 \$
(356,843) \$ -1%	2,392,244 \$ 1,095,341 \$ 3,168,111 \$ 29,402,811 \$	26% 1,528,735 \$ 29,045,967 \$	5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,377,417 \$ 22,747,114 \$ 7,827,588 \$	2013 26,495,087 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,574,702 \$
8,271 \$ 0%	2,392,244 \$ 730,228 \$ 3,168,111 \$ 29,037,697 \$	26% 1,528,735 \$ 29,045,967 \$	5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,377,417 \$ 22,747,114 \$ 7,827,588 \$	2014 26,495,087 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,574,702 \$
373,384 \$ 1%	2,392,244 \$ 365,114 \$ 3,168,111 \$ 28,672,583 \$	25% 1,528,735 \$ 29,045,967 \$	5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,377,417 \$ 22,747,114 \$ 7,827,588 \$	2015 26,495,087 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,574,702 \$
738,498 <b>\$</b> 3%	2,392,244 \$ - \$ 3,168,111 \$ 28,307,469 \$	25% 1,528,735 \$ 29,045,967 \$	5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,377,417 \$ 22,747,114 \$ 7,827,588 \$	2016 26,495,087 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,574,702 \$
738,498 \$ 3%	2,392,244 \$ - \$ 3,168,111 \$ 28,307,469 \$	25% 1,528,735 \$ 29,045,967 \$	5,151,493 \$ 1,025,364 \$ 1,192,840 \$ 15,377,417 \$ 22,747,114 \$ 7,827,588 \$	2017 26,495,087 \$ 4,079,615 \$ 30,574,702 \$
(39,132,238) -135%	42,262,981 - 3,168,111 68,178,206	20% 1,528,735 29,045,967	5,151,493 1,025,364 1,192,840 15,377,417 22,747,114 7,827,588	2018 26,495,087 4,079,615 30,574,702

### **Baseline Cost Factors**

Adjustments to Plant Historic Cost and Operating Data to Plant Revenue Projected to 2009+

GDP inflation: 1.2042

Adjusted 1998 plant valuation and non-fuel expenses (except coal transportation) to 2009+ levels

U.S. Government Budget Fiscal 2005 Table 10.1 — Gross Domestic Product and Deflators Used in the Historical Tables: 1940–2009

Central Appalachian Coal \$/ton:

64.42

EIA Coal Transportation: Rates and Trends in the United States, 1979-2001 (with supplementary data to 2002) Delivered, NYMEX 1/9/06 futures plus \$9.92 transportation - using 2001 EIA transporation GDP inflated to 2009

Table 2.01 inflated to 2009 using GDP inflation

Gas \$/mcf: 9.74 9.35 2010+ 2009

Delivered, NYMEX 1/9/06 futures plus \$1.03 Transco Zone 6 non-NY basis difference

#2 Oil \$/barrel: 177.79 174.07 2010 2009

\$ 171.77 2011

\$ 170.59 2012

Delivered, NYMEX 1/9/06 Crude futures adjusted for historic relationship between futures and #2 Oil New York Harbor

\$ 104.70 \$ 102.50 2009 2010

#6 Oil \$/barrel:

\$ 101.15 2011

2012+

Delivered, NYMEX 1/9/06 Crude futures adjusted for historic relationship between futures and #6 Oil New York Harbor

\$ 60.682 PSC estimates

Energy Revenue \$/MWH:

Capacity Revenue \$/Net Available MW-Day

\$ 98.04 **PSC** estimates

T
an
크
~
valuation
⋷
a
ō
ž
$\supset$
ē
0
<u> </u>
ð
光
₹
റ്
ä
=
4
on net of depreciation:

Mirant plants net plant factor to estimate taxable value:	Pepco Steam Plant Accumulated Provision for Depreciation 1999:	Pepco Total Steam Plant Value 1999:
0.6956	\$ 661,042,073	\$ 2,171,663,210

Mirant plants net plant factor to estimate taxable value:
0.69

PE Total Steam Plant Value 1999: PE Steam Plant Accumulated Provision for Depreciation 1999: AE plants net cost factor to estimate taxable value:	BGE Total Steam Plant Value 1999: BGE Steam Plant Accumulated Provision for Depreciation 1999: Constellation plants net cost factor to estimate taxable value:
रू रू	<del>&amp; &amp;</del>
917,618,289 499,591,942 0.4556	\$ 2,152,344,118 \$ 829,854,608 0.6144

## Debt and Preferred Stock Costs Debt % Real Interest Rate

AE:	Constellation:	Mirant:
50%	50%	34%
6.00%	6.00%	6.50%
6.00% Staff estimate for debt ratio; Global Insight and Staff estimate for interest rate projections	6.00% Staff estimate for debt ratio; Global Insight and Staff estimate for interest rate projections	Mirant Plan of Reorganization Exhibit D, Page 7; Global Insight and Staff estimate for interest rates

Maryland State Department of Assessments and Taxation 2005-2006 County Tax Rates	Washington Co. Rate:	Prince Georges Co. Rate:	Montgomery Co. Rate:	Charles Co. Rate:	Baltimore Co. Rate:	Anne Arundel Co. Rate:	State Rate:	Property Taxes:
nts and T	S	↔	&	↔	€9	↔	↔	
axation :	3.1600	\$ 2.4000	\$ 1.6980	\$ 2.5650	\$ 2.7875	\$ 2.3270	0.3300	
2005-	s	\$	↔	\$	\$	s	Ţ	≧
.2006 Cou	3.4900	2.7300	2.0280	2.8950	\$ 3.1175 Crane	2.6570	al Rate	effective
nty Tax Rates	\$ 3.1600 \$ 3.4900 R. Paul Smith	) \$ 2.7300 Chalk Point	) \$ 2.0280 Dickerson	\$ 2.8950 Morgantown	Crane	\$ 2.6570 Brandon Shores & Wagner	\$ 0.3300 Total Rate (to be added to county rates for total rate)	All effective rates per \$100 assessed value -