## Complete Streets Policies in the Washington Region

## Complete Streets Stakeholders Workshop

## January 30, 2012

|          |      | Document              | Date      | Excerpts   |
|----------|------|-----------------------|-----------|--|
|          |      |                       | Adopted   |  |
| National | FHWA | <u>United States</u>  | March 15, | Policy Statement   |
|          |      | Department of         | 2010      |  |
|          |      | <u>Transportation</u> |           | The DOT policy is to incorporate safe and convenient walking and bicycling         |
|          |      | Policy Statement on   |           | facilities into transportation projects. Every transportation agency, including    |
|          |      | Bicycle and           |           | DOT, has the responsibility to improve conditions and opportunities for walking    |
|          |      | <u>Pedestrian</u>     |           | and bicycling and to integrate walking and bicycling into their transportation     |
|          |      | <u>Accommodation</u>  |           | systems. Because of the numerous individual and community benefits that            |
|          |      | Regulations and       |           | walking and bicycling provide — including health, safety, environmental,           |
|          |      | Recommendations       |           | transportation, and quality of life — transportation agencies are encouraged to go |
|          |      |                       |           | beyond minimum standards to provide safe and convenient facilities for these       |
|          |      |                       |           | modes.   |
|          |      |                       |           | Recommended Actions  |
|          |      |                       |           | The DOT encourages States, local governments, professional associations,           |
|          |      |                       |           | community organizations, public transportation agencies, and other government      |
|          |      |                       |           | agencies, to adopt similar policy statements on bicycle and pedestrian             |
|          |      |                       |           | accommodation as an indication of their commitment to accommodating                |
|          |      |                       |           | bicyclists and pedestrians as an integral element of the transportation system.    |
|          |      |                       |           |  |
|          |      |                       |           |  |

|       | FHWA     |   | April 2011          | Policy Statement  |
|-------|----------|---|---------------------|---|
|       |          | Design Guidance Accommodating Bicycle and Pedestrian Travel: A Recommended Approach  A US DOT Policy Statement Integrating Bicycling and Walking into Transportation Infrastructure |                     | <ol> <li>Bicycle and pedestrian ways shall be established in new construction and reconstruction projects in all urbanized areas unless one or more of three conditions are met:         <ul> <li>bicyclists and pedestrians are prohibited by law from using the roadway. In this instance, a greater effort may be necessary to accommodate bicyclists and pedestrians elsewhere within the right of way or within the same transportation corridor.</li> <li>the cost of establishing bikeways or walkways would be excessively disproportionate to the need or probable use. Excessively disproportionate is defined as exceeding twenty percent of the cost of the larger transportation project.</li> <li>where sparsity of population or other factors indicate an absence of need.</li> </ul> </li> </ol> |
| State | DDOT     | DEPARTMENTAL<br>ORDER NO. 06-<br>2010   | October<br>18, 2010 | I. Policy a. The District's transportation network as a whole shall accommodate the safety and convenience of all users, recognizing that certain individual corridors have modal priorities. While these priorities should remain and be encouraged along specific corridors, connectivity throughout the network for users of all modes is essential. Examples of modal priorities include, but are not limited to, residential streets, green streets, school routes, and corridors that are important to transit, freight, commuter traffic, retail;  |
|       | Maryland | Annotated Code of<br>Maryland<br>Transportation<br>Volume, Title 2,<br>Subtitle 6, Section<br>2-602   |                     | "The General Assembly finds that it is in the public interest for the State to include enhanced transportation facilities for pedestrians and bicycle riders as an essential component of the State's transportation system, and declares that it is the policy of the State that:  (1) Access to and the use of transportation facilities by pedestrians and bicycle riders <b>shall be considered</b> and best engineering practices regarding the needs of bicycle riders and pedestrians shall be employed in all phases of transportation planning, including highway design, construction, reconstruction, and repair as well as expansion and improvement of other transportation facilities."   |

|       | VDOT       | Policy for                 | March      | "The Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) will initiate all highway   |
|-------|------------|----------------------------|------------|---|
|       |            | <u>Integrating Bicycle</u> | 2004       | construction projects with the presumption that the projects <b>shall accommodate</b>   |
|       |            | and Pedestrian             |            | bicycling and walking   |
|       |            | <u>Accommodations</u>      |            |   |
|       |            |                            |            | Exceptions to the Provision of Accommodations   |
|       |            |                            |            | Bicycle and pedestrian accommodations should be provided except where one or  |
|       |            |                            |            | more of the following conditions exist:   |
|       |            |                            |            | • scarcity of population, travel, and attractors, both existing and future, indicate an absence of need for such accommodations |
|       |            |                            |            | environmental or social impacts outweigh the need for these accommodations  |
|       |            |                            |            | safety would be compromised   |
|       |            |                            |            | • total cost of bicycle and pedestrian accommodations to the appropriate system   |
|       |            |                            |            | (i.e., interstate, primary, secondary, or urban system) would be excessively  |
|       |            |                            |            | disproportionate to the need for the facility   |
|       |            |                            |            | • purpose and scope of the specific project do not facilitate the provision of such   |
|       |            |                            |            | accommodations (e.g., projects for the Rural Rustic Road Program)   |
|       |            |                            |            | bicycle and pedestrian travel is prohibited by state or federal laws  |
| Local | City of    | City Council               | April,     | the Department of Transportation and Environmental Services shall make  |
|       | Alexandria | Resolution 2444            | 2011       | Complete Streets practices a routine part of everyday operations, shall use   |
|       |            |                            |            | transportation programs and projects identified in Small Area Plans,  |
|       |            |                            |            | development cases, and City maintenance and Capital Projects, to improve the  |
|       |            |                            |            | transportation network for all users and shall work in coordination with other  |
|       |            |                            |            | departments, agencies, and jurisdictions to achieve Complete Streets to the   |
|       |            |                            |            | maximum extent possible throughout the City.  |
|       | Arlington  | Arlington Master           | November   | General Policy B. Support the Design and Operation of Complete Streets  |
|       |            | Transportation Plan        | 2007       | Design and operate a comprehensive network of Arlington's local and arterial  |
|       |            |                            |            | streets to enable safe access by all user groups including pedestrians, bicyclists,   |
|       |            |                            |            | transit vehicles and users, and motorists of all ages and abilities, allowing these   |
|       |            |                            |            | users to access a full range of daily activities.   |
|       |            |                            |            | Arlington will work to transform its current roadway network into "Complete   |
|       |            |                            |            | Streets." Complete streets provide appropriate facilities to accommodate all  |
|       |            |                            |            | expected transportation users and also take into account the scale and character  |
|       |            |                            |            | of the streets' setting. Transportation performance measurement will shift from   |
|       |            |                            |            | an emphasis on the traditional vehicle "Level of Service" to emphasis on multimodal "Quality of Service."                       |
|       | Fairfax    | Tysons Corner              | June 2010  | The Comprehensive Plan for the Tysons Corner Urban Center calls for "Compete"   |
|       | T'allTax   | 1 ysons Conner             | Julie 2010 | The Comprehensive Fian for the Tysons Corner Orban Center cans for Compete  |

| County                       | Comprehensive<br>Plan  |               | Streets" in Tysons Corner   |
|------------------------------|--|---------------|---|
| Frederick<br>County          | Comprehensive Plan<br>Chapter 6  | Apirl 2010    | "An important purpose of this chapter is the <b>consideration of all modes</b> of transportation in addressing the mobility needs of the county. This is a departure from previous County plans that focused solely on highways" Adoption of a Complete Streets ordinance is recommended.   |
| Loudoun<br>County            | Countywide<br>Transportation Plan,<br>Chapter 4                        | 2010          | "Bicycle and pedestrian accommodations will be addressed as part of each stage of planning, design, and implementation, beginning with the scoping stage of all transportation projects."   |
| Montgomery<br>County         | Road Code (Bill<br>4806), Article II,<br>page 26                       | 2007          | "Each County road and street must be designed so that the safety and convenience of all users of the roadway system - including pedestrians, bicyclists, transit users, automobile drivers, commercial vehicles and freight haulers, and emergency service vehicles - is accommodated. Each road and street must facilitate multi-modal use and assure that all users can travelsafely in the public right of way."               |
| Prince<br>George's<br>County | Countywide Master<br>Plan of<br>Transportation<br>Chapter IV, pp. 8-10 | November 2009 | Policy 1: Provide standard sidewalks along both sides of all new road construction within the Developed and Developing Tiers. Policy 2: All road frontage improvements and road capital improvement projects within the Developed and Developing Tiers shall be designed to accommodate all modes of transportation. Continuous sidewalks and on-road bicycle facilities should be included to the extent feasible and practical. |
|                              |  |               | Policy 3: Small area plans within the Developed and Developing Tiers should identify sidewalk retrofit opportunities in order to provide safe routes to school, pedestrian access to mass transit, and more walkable communities.  Policy 4: Develop bicycle-friendly roadways in conformance with the latest standards and guidelines, including the 1999 AASHTO <i>Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities</i> .        |
|                              |  |               | Policy 5: Evaluate new development proposals in the Developed and Developing Tiers for conformance with the complete streets principles.  |

|          | Prince<br>William<br>County | RD2.12 Amendmend<br>the Zoning<br>Ordinance and<br>DCSM             |           | Policy 6: Work with the State Highway Administration and the Prince George's County Department of Public Works and Transportation to develop a complete streets policy to better accommodate the needs of all users within the right-of-way.  Permits Complete Streets design within walkable communities and town centers, such as projects within centers of community and centers of commerce.  |
|----------|-----------------------------|---|-----------|--|
|          | City of<br>Rockville        | Complete Streets Policy   | July 2009 | <ul> <li>II. Policy Statement</li> <li>The Complete Streets policy of the "City of Rockville" is developed to provide guidance for its residents, decision makers, planners and designers to ensure that multimodal elements are incorporated into all transportation improvement projects.</li> <li>New construction and re-construction roadway projects in the City shall accommodate users of all ages and abilities including pedestrians, bicyclists, transit users, motorists and adjacent land users.</li> </ul> |
| Regional | WMATA                       | Station Site and<br>Access Planning<br>Manual – Access<br>Hierarchy | May 2008  | Pedestrians: For the safety of all transit customers, pedestrians should be provided the highest priority in station site and access planning.  Bicycles: To encourage the use of this efficient and environmental friendly mode of access, bicycles are given priority over all motorized vehicular access.   |

