

Value of Travel Time Reliability in Transportation Decision Making

Kaveh Farokhi Sadabadi Center for Advanced Transportation Technology (CATT) University of Maryland, College Park

VPDUG – MOITS Subcommittee Joint Meeting MWCOG Building Thursday May 14, 2015

This talk is based on...









SHRP 2 Local Methods for Modeling, Economic Evaluation, Justification and Use of the Value of Travel Time Reliability in Transportation Decision Making (L35B)











Collaborators

• Tom Jacobs (UMD-CATT)

• Fred Ducca (UMD-NCSG)

• Sevgi Erdogan (UMD-NCSG)

• Subrat Mahapatra (MD-SHA)









Today's Presentation



- Introduction
- SHRP 2 L35B Objectives & Research Approach
- Existing Congestion Relief Process
- Approaches to VTTR
- Travel Time Data Driven Methodology (TTDDM)
- TTDM Application Results & Implementation
- Caveats & Conclusions

L35B Project Objectives

- "Select and defend a value or range of values for travel time reliability for the Maryland State Highway Network";
- "Use the VTTR in the Maryland SHA project development process to prioritize operational and capital improvements and determine if (and how) the ranking of projects changes due to the addition of VTTR"; and
- "Report for the benefit of others the step-by step process used to develop, justify, apply, and assess the use of VTTR in the Maryland SHA project evaluation and decision process."

Research Approach



- Documented established processes
- Conducted detailed literature search
- Developed travel time data driven methodology
- Acquired data needed
- Applied TTDDM to multiple corridors to calculate RR/VOR
- Incorporated RR/VOR results in short term and long term project selection processes

Overview of Existing Process(es)



Congestion Relief DM Process



Step 1 – Diagnosis

- Identify unreliable segments
- SHA uses PTI (95th % TT)

<u>Step 2 – Analysis</u>

- Identify project alternatives
- B/C prioritization
- SHA uses RR=0.75 for VTTR benefits

Step 3 – Selection

Work with stakeholders to select projects & program for design/construction

<u>Step 4 – Assessment</u>

- Assess reliability improvement
- SHA uses PTI (95th % TT)

Congestion Relief Project DM

Some Step 2 Analysis Details

– Benefits: VOT and VTTR

Value of Time (VOT)

- Passenger: U.S. Census Bureau data
- Truck driver: Bureau of Labor Statistics, US DOT, and FHWA's HERS
- Cargo: TTI, and other studies

Value of Travel Time Reliability (VTTR)

- Reliability Ratio (RR=0.75)
- Based on literature review and current practice in other parts of the world

Saving Type	Parameter	Unit	Categories	SHA Value*
Travel time	VOT	\$/hr	Passenger	29.82
			Truck driver	20.21
			Cargo	45.40
Travel time reliability	VTTR	\$/hr	Passenger	22.36
			Truck driver	15.16
			Cargo	34.05
Fuel cost		\$/gal	Gasoline	3.69
			Diesel	3.97

*Parameters used by SHA in project benefit estimation (2012 values)

Previous Approaches to Estimate VTTR

Statistical methods (early studies)

- Directly estimate TT distribution and variations
 - Mean-variance
 - Scheduling delay
 - Combined mean-variance and scheduling delay

Survey-based methods (later)

- Discrete choice models
 - Disaggregate survey data, stated preferences (SP) or revealed preferences (RP) or combination

- Options Theory (emerging)
 - Unique approach based on statistical/financial concepts
 - Uses an analogy where premiums are set for an insurance policy that guards against being late
 - Data driven
 - uses historical travel time, speed and volume data as input readily available to most agencies
 - Easy to update, generalize and localize

Travel Time Data Driven Methodology



VOR

Travel Time Data Driven Methodology

<u>Inputs</u>

- Mass quantities of historical travel time data (INRIX)
- Value of time





Calculations

- Travel time distribution
- Stochastic process
- Binomial tree
- Certainty-equivalent probabilities

<u>Outputs</u>

- Value of reliability
- Reliability ratio



AM Peak Period

Length (Mile)

PM Peak Period

15

Length (Mile)

20

10

 I-95

25

0 1-95

25

MD-295
U S-29

30

MD-295
U S-29



Components of TTDDM



Steps Involved in the TTDDM

Step	Description			
1. How can travel time evolutions over time be modeled?	Travel time series can be characterized as Geometric Brownian Motion (GBM) with drift stochastic process; hence, given the process parameters, future travel time probability distributions can be specified.			
2. How can a penalty/reward (payoff) of early/late arrivals at the destination be determined?	Penalty is simply defined as an asymmetric bilinear function of the amount of time by which the traveler is late or early at the destination.			
3. What is the guaranteed level of travel time?	Expected travel time is taken as the guaranteed travel time level.			
4. What is the duration of time for which the travel time insurance policy is issued?	Travel time insurance policy is issued for the longest trip time possible under recurrent congestion scenarios (95th percentile travel time is used for this purpose).			
5. How the future payoffs get valued at the outset of trip ?	A certainty-equivalent payoff valuation strategy is adopted. This payoff valuation method takes advantage of the GBM assumption for the travel time process to greatly simplify the insurance valuation process.			

Corridors Analyzed



TTDDM Application Results



Incorporating Application Results (Short Term Projects)

- Improvement Projects Identified for I-695 Using Existing Process Selected as Case Study
- Total of 16 Projects Ranked Using Life Cycle BCA
- Improvements are Low Cost Congestion Relief Projects (e.g., addition of auxiliary lanes, extending acceleration lanes)
- VISSIM Used as Analysis Tool
- Performed Sensitivity Analysis on RR/VOR Impact on Project Selection



<u>Step 1 – Diagnosis</u>

- Identify Unreliable Segments
- SHA uses PTI (95th % TT)

<u> Step 2 – Analysis</u>

- Identify project alternatives
- B/C prioritization
- SHA uses RR=0.75 for VTTR Benefits

Step 3 - Selection

 Work with Stakeholders to select projects & program for design/construction

Step 4 – Assessment

- Assess reliability improvement
- SHA uses PTI (95th % TT)

Incorporating Application Results (Short Term Projects)



Incorporating Application Results (Short Term Projects)

- Benefits include cost savings related to: delay reduction, auto, freight, fuel as well as reliability (VOR=RR*VOT), and safety
- Costs include construction as well as O&M
- How do changes in the RR impact project B/C ranking?

Incorporating Application Results (Short Term Projects)



Incorporating Application Results (Long Term Projects)

- Note: This was a "proof of concept" using the Maryland Statewide Transportation Model (MSTM)
- However, proof of concept shows how a postprocessing module can be used with any travel demand model to determine long term travel time reliability valuation

Incorporating Application Results (Long Term Projects)

- RR vs average TT function used with MSTM to compute travel time & travel time reliability savings for:
 - Base year no build (pre-ICC)
 - Base year build (post ICC)
 - Future year no build
 - Future year build

Intercounty Connector (ICC)



County Level Findings

• Typical day, AM peak period, base year post-ICC vs. pre ICC



County Level Findings

• Typical day, AM peak period, future year build







Travel time reliability savings \$/trip post-ICC vs. pre-ICC







• Travel time reliability savings \$/trip postfuture year build vs. future year no build



Caveats & Conclusions

- SHA's use of 0.75 RR appears reasonable based on TTDDM application
 - However, TTDDM Must be Validated
- Caution! Results for Short-term Improvement Projects are Based on Aggregate Travel Time Savings
- Travel Time Data Driven Methodology has Promise, but Additional Research is Needed
- Methodology is Transferable to other DOT's as TT Data has Become More Readily Available
- SHA Plans to Build Upon Research Results





- SHRP2 Report S2-L35B-RW-1
 - Project L35 (B)
 - Value of Travel Time Reliability in Transportation Decision Making: Proof of Concept—Maryland
 - <u>http://www.trb.org/Publications/Blurbs/171444.aspx</u>
 - Part 1: Background and Applications of the Method
 - Part 2: Description of the Method

Contacts

Thomas H. Jacobs Director tjacobs@umd.edu 301.405.7328 Frederick W. Ducca Senior Research Scientist <u>fducca@umd.edu</u> 301.405.1945 Subrat Mahapatra Transportation Engineering Manager <u>smahapatra@sha.state.md.us</u> 410.545.5649

Kaveh Farokhi Faculty Research Assistant <u>kfarokhi@umd.edu</u> 301.405.1352 Sevgi Erdogan Faculty Research Associate <u>serdogan@umd.edu</u> 301.405.9877

Center for Advanced Transportation Technology (CATT) University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742



National Center for Smart Growth (NCSG) University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742



Office of Planning and Preliminary Engineering Maryland State Highway Administration

