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## The New Normal



## Safe Yield for Planning, But Not Operations
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## Easy Drought Trigger(Static)

- Days of Supply Remaining


## Medium=Drought Trigger (Static)

- Rule curves
(


## Advanced-Drought Trigger (Dynamrc)



Need to be system specific!

## HYDROLOGICS

## DRO: Dynamic Reservoir Operations



## Figure 1. DRO Information

A variety of information is used to meet a utility's DRO objectives.



## Taking the Doubt Out of Drought ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

## Sample Forecasts




## Schematic of Hackensack OASISTMOdel



## Sample Forecasts



## Sample Forecasts



## Superiority of Forecasts

- Detect droughts in time
- Minimize false alerts

Of the form:

- X\% chance of reservoir storage (or river flows) reaching y\% in z weeks


## Evaluate Triggers Over Inflow Record

## System Composite - Total Storage and Demand/Delivery

 2005_RWSA_3triggers

Percent Available Total Storage - Demand (mgd) - Delivery (mgd)
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## Limits of Static Rules-Reliability and cost



Historic NJ Storage - Zone 3
Zone 3A
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## Dynamic-Rules Based=on the Forecasts

## Trigger for Wanaque

Probability of Being Above (\%)


Probability of Being Below (\%)
OGICS

## Dynamic-Rules Based on the Forecastis

## DURHAM

1869
arrot mebicine

City of Durham Reservoir System Status Report

Displaying operations data through 10/26/2017 Displaying projections from OASIS run Forecasts_102317

| Reservoir Storage Status |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Elevation (ft) | Prime Storage (\%) | Prime Storage (MG) |
| Lake Michie | 334.90 | 67.67 | $1,902.87$ |
| Little River | 347.40 | 65.65 | $2,344.31$ |
| System |  | 66.54 | $4,247.18$ |



## QASIS Run

Run 01 (Forecasts_102317)

| Water Shortage Response Plan |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stage | \% of <br> Traces | Threshold | Action |
| Trigger 1-R (> 95\% full @ 10 weeks) | $51 \%$ | 95\% | None |
| Trigger 1-D (<45\% full @ 12 weeks) | $5 \%$ | 30\% | None |
| Trigger 2-D (<35\% full @ 10 weeks) | 0\% | $20 \%$ | None |
| Trigger 3-D (<25\% full @ 8 weeks) | 0\% | 10\% | None |
| Trigger 4-D (< 15\% full @ 4 weeks) | 0\% | 5\% | None |


| Raw and Finished Water Delivery |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Latest <br> Observation | 7-day <br> Avg |  | 30-day <br> Avg |  |

## Net Reservoir Inflow

|  | 30-day Avg | Historical <br> Median | 30-day Avg (as \% of <br> Historical Median) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Lake Michie Net Inflow (cfs) | 2 | 14.7 | $15 \%$ |
| Little River Net Inflow (cfs) | 2 | 5.4 | $29 \%$ |


| Observed Precipitation |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | YTD | Last 30 <br> Days |
|   Lake Michie | $33.8^{\prime \prime}$ | $2.7^{*}$ |
| Little River | $39.3^{\prime \prime}$ | $2.8^{*}$ |


| Forecasted Precipitation |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Data <br> Source | Forecast total |
| NWS | 2-day total: $0.4^{\prime \prime}(10 / 278 \mathrm{am}$ to $10 / 298 \mathrm{pm})$ |
| WU | 10-day total: $0.3^{\prime \prime}(10 / 267 \mathrm{pm}$ to $11 / 57 \mathrm{pm})$ |



## Drought:Exercises to Refine the Rules



YYDROLOGICS

## Potomac River Basin CO-OP Operations Model



## Sample ICPRB Forecast for Little Falls (sept. 2011)

## ICPRB outlook:

There is a 6 to 11 percent conditional probability that natural Potomac flow will drop below 700-million gallons per day (MGD) at Little Falls through December 31 of this year; at this flow level, water supply releases from Jennings Randolph and Little Seneca Reservoirs may occur. Releases occur when predicted flow is less than demand plus a required flow-by. Demand ranges from 400 to 700 MGD during the summer months and the minimum flow-by at Little Falls is 100 MGD. Note that natural flow is defined as observed flow at the Little Falls gage plus total Washington metropolitan Potomac withdrawals, with an adjustment made to remove the effect of North Branch reservoir releases on stream flow.

The conditional probability is estimated by analyzing the historical stream flow records and giving consideration to recent stream flow values, precipitation totals for the prior 12 months, current groundwater levels, and the current Palmer Drought Index. Past years in which watershed conditions most closely resemble current conditions are weighted more heavily in the determination of conditional probability. The historical, or unconditional, probability is based on an analysis of the historical stream flow record without weighting for current conditions. The conditional probability of 6 to 11 percent compares to a historical probability of 8 to 13 percent and is considered the more reliable indicator.

## Sample Forecast for Little Falls, siminated

(Sept: 15,2002)


## Companion Forecast for JR W S Storage
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