

Comparison of draft Phase II WIPs	
Maryland	Virginia
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Target loads (Version 5.3.2) sub-allocated into 5 major basins (the Potomac River basin, Eastern Shore, Western Shore, the Patuxent River basin and Maryland’s portion of the Susquehanna River basin), and by Sector (ag, stormwater, wastewater, septic, and forest, (and air deposition, but not a major focus).</li> <li>• Will provide quantitative reduction strategies to meet the Interim Target and Final Target loads. The Interim Target, set at 60% of Final Target statewide, is to be achieved by 2017. The Final Target is to be achieved by 2025. These strategies will describe <i>what</i> can be implemented to achieve the reduction targets.               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Submitted BMP implementation deck to EPA, and awaiting the results to be incorporated in the final Phase II WIP.</li> <li>○ Includes narrative describing <i>how</i> the implementation actions will be achieved. This addresses issues such as new local ordinances and revenue sources.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Includes a set of 2- year milestones (near term program implementations and steps.</li> <li>• Each MD county and the City of Baltimore submitted their own information for achieving pollution reductions. These local plans vary in length and detail, but generally include the following information:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Overview of Local WIP Team process, description of team membership, and summary of Phase II WIP efforts</li> <li>○ Local area narrative strategies to achieve nutrient and sediment reductions</li> <li>○ Local area 2012-2013 Milestones</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Target loads sub-allocated into 5 major basins (Potomac, Rappahannock, York, James and Eastern Shore) – not clear if this has been updated using 5.3.2 data. Allocations do not appear to be suballocated by sources, except for wastewater point sources.</li> <li>• Draft describes process Virginia will use to develop a final Phase II plan; still developing local loading targets &amp; implementation strategies, which will be in the final Phase II WIP; level of quantification unclear.</li> <li>• Did not submit a BMP implementation deck to EPA, at least as yet.</li> <li>• Two-year milestones not currently included in document.</li> <li>• State staff has engaged in an intensive stakeholder process engaging 16 planning district commissions, 96 localities, 32 soil and water conservation districts and numerous other stakeholders ; <u>local submissions due February 1.</u></li> <li>• Instead of asking local governments to develop implementation scenarios to meet model-generated local target loads, the state has shifted the focus to “<i>implementation-based targets</i>” – although it is not clear exactly what the state means by this.</li> </ul>

### WRTC Meeting (1/12/12)

- Description of local area tracking and reporting methods.
- Optional description of local watershed planning frameworks.
- Optional documentation of technical discrepancies, recommended future steps to address concerns.

#### Other

- Provides discussion of potential funding options and detail on cost analyses.
- Has a system for determining default BMP implementation levels for jurisdictions that don't submit their own BMP plans.

#### Other

- No information as yet on costs or funding, although cost data could be part of the local WIP submissions due on February 1.
- Request for individual allocations to be removed in the 2011 revision to the TMDL and replaced with aggregate waste load allocations for all MS4s in a segment-shed.

See: <http://www.epa.gov/reg3wapd/tmdl/ChesapeakeBay/EnsuringResults.html?tab2=1>