SHA Climate Change Adaptation Plan with Detailed Vulnerability Assessment



### MOITS December 9, 2014





### Discussion

- > Objectives
- Framework
- Data, Tools
- Methodology
- Results
- Next Steps

## **Pilot Study Objectives**

- Assess Vulnerability to SHA's Assets
- Develop Approaches to Address Current and Future Risk
- Provide Recommendations for Policy or Process Changes



Floating Debris Lodged in a Bridge during Flood Event at Seneca Creek in Germantown, MD Photo Source: (FEMA/Skolnik 2006)

"Improve Resiliency of Maryland's Transportation System"

### **Develop Framework**

Modified Framework

 Conducted General Assessment of Asset Vulnerabilities and Adaptation Measures

 Incorporated Different Screening Approaches

 Climate Change Impact Zone

 Process Repeatable



# "Draft" Framework

Process repeatable when new climate data is available or changes to the environment occur



### **Identify Climate Stressors**

Studied in Detail for Maryland

### Sea Level Change

USACE Procedures Established in Circular No. 1165-2-212 (2013)
Newer LiDAR and Assign Nearest Tidal Station

### **Storm Surge**

HAZUS-MH 2.1 (Category 3 Storm Used)
Stillwater Depth Grids Developed

### **Precipitation**

Micro-scale Data Obtained from C-MIP
Riverine Modeling in HAZUS-MH2.1 (future)

### **2050 & 2100 Sea Level Change** Eastern Shore Regional GIS Cooperative – Salisbury University

			2050	2100			
County	Tidal Station	MSL	MHHW	MSL	MHHW		
Allegany	None	-	-	-	-		
Anne Arundel	Annapolis	2.08	2.79	5.7	6.41		
Baltimore	Baltimore	2.01	2.87	5.59	6.45		
Baltimore City	Baltimore	2.01	2.87	5.59	6.45		
Column	Solomons	2.1	2.02	F 70	C 40		
Calvert	Island	2.1	2.82	5.76	6.48		
Caroline	Cambridge	2.11	3.13	5.78	6.8		
Carroll	None	-	-	-	-		
Cecil	Chesapeake City	1.98	3.63	5.56	7.21		
Charles	Washington DC	2.21	3.83	5.78	7.4		
Dorchester	Cambridge	2.11	3.13	5.78	6.8		
Frederick	None	-			-		
Garrett	None	-			-		
Harford	Baltimore	2.01	2.87	5.59	6.45		
Howard	None	-			-		
Kent	Annapolis	2.08	2.79	5.7	6.41		
Montgomery	None	-	-	-	-		
Prince							
Georges	Washington DC	2.21	3.83	5.78	7.4		
Queen Annes	Annapolis	2.08	2.79	5.7	6.41		
Somerset	Cambridge	2.11	3.13	5.78	6.8		
	Solomons						
St. Mary's	Island	2.1	2.82	5.76	6.48		
Talbot	Cambridge	2.11	3.13	5.78	6.8		
Washington	None	-	-	-	-		
Wicomico	Cambridge	2.11	3.13	5.78	6.8		
Worcester	Ocean City	2.06	3.25	5.86	7.05		

Methodology – USACE: Sea-Level Change Considerations for Civil Works Programs, October 2013

**Dorchester County, MD Sea Level Change** 



# 2050 & 2100 Sea Level Change





# **Initial Screening**

- Climate Change Impact Zone Map Created Using GIS
- Eliminate assets at low to no risk prior to use of VAST
- Used SLOSH (Cat 3), 2100 MHHW, FEMA 100 year Floodplain, plus 50 ft. buffer



Climate Change Impact Zone Anne Arundel, MD

# **Results of Screening**

Assets	Anne Arun	del County	Somerse	et County
	Number of Assets	Evaluated in More Detail	Number of Assets	Evaluated in More Detail
Bridges including large culverts	517	150	86	72
Small culverts and conveyances	Culverts- 12,024 Conveyances- 8,601	Culverts- 1,174 Conveyances- 843	Culverts- 1153 Conveyances 1135	Culverts- 739 Conveyances 847
Miles of roadway	2,554.28 miles	114.99 miles	503.92 miles	285.2 miles

# Assess Vulnerability

- > Two Pilot Counties
- Initial Screening of Assets
- SLC Permanent Inundation
- > Tools Used
  - Hazard Vulnerability Index
  - VAST



#### Change Impact Zone Somerset County, MD

### Results - Roadways

#### Permanent Inundation

- Anne Arundel County 2050 & 2100 Sea Level Change (USACE)
- Somerset County 2050 & 2100 Sea Level Change (USACE)
- Hazard Vulnerability Index (HVI)
  - Anne Arundel County 2050 & 2100 Sea Level Change with 100 Year Storm Event (HAZUS-MH)
    - Storm Surge Considerations (Still Water)
  - Somerset County 2050 & 2100 Sea Level Change with 100 Year Storm Event (HAZUS-MH)
    - Storm Surge Considerations (Still Water)

# Permanent Inundation for Anne Arundel





### Permanent Inundation Anne Arundel 2100







# Permanent Inundation Somerset County





# Permanent Inundation Somerset County - 2100



### Hazard Vulnerability Index (HVI)

#### Risk =

 $(Evacuation \ Code * 0.5 + 1) * \left(\frac{(Flood \ Depth \ Code + 0.01)}{4}\right) * \left(\frac{0.7}{Functional \ Classification}\right)$ 

Evacuation	Code	Flood Depth (Feet)	Flood Depth (Feet) Code		SHA Functional Class		
Ne	0				Interstate		
NO	0	No Flood	0	2	Principal Arterial – Other Freeways and		
Yes	1	0-05	1		Expressways		
		0-0.5	1	3	Principal Arterial – Other		
		0.5 - 1	2	4	Minor Arterial		
		1 - 2 3		5	Major Collector		
				6	Minor Collector		
	>2		4	7	Local		

# Hazard Vulnerability Index (HVI)

Risk											
Value	Category										
> 0.15	Critical										
0.1 - 0.15	High										
0.01 - 0.1	Moderate										
< 0.01	Low										

#### Critical:

- Lower Bound of Flood Depth
   Code of 4 and Evacuation
   Route for Any Roadway
- Lower Bound of Any Flooding to Functional Classification 1 Roadways (Interstates)

#### High:

 Lower Bound is Flood Depth Code of 4 for Any Roadway

#### Moderate:

- Flood Depth Code 1-3 for Functional Classifications 2-7
- Low:
  - No Flooding

### HVI for Anne Arundel County





## HVI for Anne Arundel County 2100







### HVI for Annapolis 2050



### HVI for Annapolis 2100



### HVI for Somerset County





## HVI for Somerset County 2100



### HVI for Crisfield 2014



### HVI for Crisfield 2050



### HVI for Crisfield 2100



## VAST - Input and Results

- > 150 assets in Anne Arundel County (bridges and culverts)
- > 72 assets in Somerset County (bridges and culverts)
- Input Information
  - Asset data
  - Exposure data
  - Sensitivity data
  - Adaptive Capacity data
- Output
  - Vulnerability Score for all structures
  - 10 most vulnerable assets to each climate stressor
  - Maps and tables showing most vulnerable structures

### VAST Input – Asset Information

X	- 5 - C - 1	Ŧ		_	_	08152014 So	merset Vulnerabil	ity Assessment.	dsm - Micr	rosoft Excel	-	-	_		-			0	x
File	Home	Insert Page	Layout Fo	ormulas Data	Review	View S	tantec Acrob	at									a (	) — é	p 83
	🔏 Cut				~								-			Σ AutoSum	A	<u> </u>	
	Copy -	Calibri	* 11	* A A =	= = *		rap lext	General	*		́					🔳 Fill 👻	Zr		
Past	Served Pai	inter BI	<u>u</u> - 🖂 -	<u>≫</u> • <u>A</u> • ≡	= = 4	E 🚛 🔤 N	erge & Center 👻	\$ - % ,	00. 0. <b>.</b> 0.♦ 00.	Conditional	Format	Cell Styles a	Insert	Delete	Format	Clear *	Sort &	Find &	
	Clipboard	Es.	Font	Gi .	Α	lignment	G	Number	G.	1 of matting ·	Styles	Styles		Cells		Ec	liting	Select	
	A7	- (=	fr			-				1	-					1			~
			-		D		-			-			6						
- 4	АВ		с -		D		E			F			G			F			
1 5	step 2. Enter	r Specific Asse	ets												Back				
2	(1) Stressors ar	nd Asset Types >	(2) Enter Asse	ets > (3) Brow:	se and Select I	ndicators >	(4) Collect Data	> (5) Adjust	Scoring	> (6) View Re:	sults	>			DUCK				
	For each asset	t type, enter the	assets you w	ish to include in	vour vulnera	hility screen	You may ente	r an unlimited	number of	f assets.									
з	To each asset type, encer the assets you wish to include in your vulnerability screen. Tou may encer an unimited number of assets.																		
	You must provide a unique Asset ID for each asset that you enter. If you do not already have IDs for your assets, a simple convention like "1," "2," "3," can be																		
	helpful.													Br	owse Ind	licators			
	Ontional fields			المحالية بمحمد محمد أحامي															
	want to beln of	s for asset latitu	de and longitu	ude are provided	to facilitate	Interaction	with your GIS sy a information fr	stem, ir desire	d. You can	also add any	other c	olumns yo	bu						
	want to help t	describe each as	ser (e.g., nine	marker for road	s of additiona	ar coordinat	e information it	or non-point a	ssetsj.										
	Which asse	ets to enter?						Hov	v to add col	lumns?									
4										Lind	lato To								
5	Once you have	e entered your a	assets, click th	ne "Update Tool"	button.					Opu	late it								
6																			
7																			
8	Bridges																	Numb	er
	ID for each	n																E	Ente
10	asset	Enter an a	asset name/de	scriptor															:00
12	Asset ID	Asset Nar	ne	NBI ID		A	sset Type		Feature Cro	ossed		Location			c	ounty		I	Lati
13		1 APE HOLE	ROAD	S-00250	01	s	tringer/Multibea	m or Girder	LITTLE APE I	HOLE CREEK	1	2.5 MI SE 0	OF CRISE	IELD	S	omerset			5
14		2 CALVARY F	ROAD	S-00120	01	s	lab		JENKINS CR	EEK		0.6 MI S O	F CRISFI	ELD RD	S	omerset			
15		3 MARSH RO	DAD	S-00210	01	S	tringer/Multibea	m or Girder	SHANKS CR	EEK	5	SMITH ISLA	AND		S	omerset			5
16		4 SMITH ISL	AND ROAD	S-00220	01	s	tringer/Multibea	m or Girder	SHANKS CR	EEK		0.5 MI N O	OF RHOD	ES POIN	T S	omerset			<u> </u>
17		5 CASH COR	NER ROAD	S-00090	01	S	lab		JOHNSON CREEK			0.35 MILES EAST OF MD 667			57 S	omerset			_
18		6 MD 460		1900130	001	s	tringer/Multibea	m or Girder	LITTLE ANNI		0.52 MILE	WEST O	F MD 41	3 5	omerset				
19		7 BYRD ROA		\$-00100	01	s	ab	Circles .	LITTLE ANNEMESSEX RIVER			J.2 MILES	WEST OF	- MD 35	8 5	omerset			-
20		8 MARUNIS		5-00080	01	5	tringer/iviuitibea	m or Girder	MARUMSCO CREEK				ST OF M	ARUMS		Somerset			<u> </u>
21		10 MD 657	ELL RUAD	1900175	(01	5	ulvert	m or Girder	BRANCH OF			AT HEADTS	EASE PC		n 5	omerset			
22		11 BRYAN HA		S-00190	01		ringer/Multibes	m or Girder	MARLIMSCO	D CREEK	-	1.5 MI W/ C		EVE	0	Somerset			
24		12 MD 667		1900180	(01		ulvert	an or lander	EAST CREEK	CALLA		2.3 M E 0	E WAPD	ROAD	0	omerset			
25		13 COVENTRY	PARISH RD	S-00180	01		lab		REHOBETH	BRANCH		0.3 MIS O	E REHOP	SETH BO	AD S	omerset			-
26		14 MD 667		1900170	001	s	ab		REHOBETH	BETH BRANCH 4.96 MILES WEST OF L			DF US 13	3 Somerset				_	
27		15 MD 667		190019)	(01	- C	ulvert		BRANCH OF	MARUMSCO	CREEK (	D.1 MILE E	OF LOVE	ERS LANE	E S	omerset			_
28		16 MD 667		1900180	001	s	ab		MARUMSCO	O CREEK	(	0.47 M E O	OF LOVER	S LANE	s	omerset			
29		17 MD 667		190020	(01	в	attery of Pipes		BR OF POC	OMOKE RIVER		0.65 M E/P	OWELL	WHARF	RD S	omerset			
30		18 FRENCHTO	OWN ROAD	S-00140	01	s	lab		GOOSE CRE	EK		1.2 MI SE C	OF RUM	BLEY	S	omerset			1
31		19 FRENCHTO	OWN ROAD	S-00150	01	s	lab		MINE CREEK	к	:	1.0 MI SE 0	OF RUM	BLEY	s	omerset			5
32		20 US 13 SB		1900040	021	S	tringer/Multibea	m or Girder	NORFOLK S	OUTHERN RR	(	0.40 MILE	NORTH	OF MD 3	364 S	omerset			5
33		21 US 13 SB	3 Carbo -	2300160	121		tringer/Multibea	m or Girder	РОСОМОК			ON SOMER	SET CO	LINE	s	omerset	1		
14 4	Conter	nts 71 Set Up	2_Enter A	ssets / 3_Expo	sure Intro 📿	3a_Exp In	dicator Library	3b_Exp Indi	cators								<u> </u>		
Read	y I															그 번 90% (-		<u> </u>	-

### Asset Data

X) .	1-7 -	(m 1 -	-	-			08152014 Son	nerset Vulne	rability Assessment	t.xlsm - Micro	soft Excel	-	-	-	00	×
File	н	ome Insert P	age Layout	Formulas	Data	Review	View St	antec A	crobat						۵ 🕜 📼	. <b>F</b> II
ß	X Cu	Calibri		- 11 - A	· ^ =	= 📒 🗧	== w	rap Text	General	•		3		Σ AutoS	- 🔭 🕅	1
Paste	J For	mat Painter B Z	<u>u</u> -   E	B -   3	<u>A</u> · ■	== ;;	E 🗊 🖾 MA	erge & Cent	er - \$ - % .	36 400	Conditional Format Formatting = as Table = Si	Cell Insert	Delete Fo	rmat 2 Clear	Sort & Find Filter + Selec	8. + t
	Clipboar	d 🖙	Font		10	4	lignment		Numb	6 5	Styles		Cells		Editing	
1	J12	- (~	Jx 4	Poor Cond	dition											*
+ A	B	C	D	E	F.	G	н	1	3	к	L.	м	N	0	P	9
5	<b></b>	n i i	Sensitivity Past	Indicators	1		1		1		1	1	Adaptive C	apacity Indicators	r	T
6	Asset	Asset Name	Esperience with Precipitati on	Bridge Age	Seour	Prozimity to the Coast	Bridge Clearance	Past Experience with Tides/SLR	Condition of Bridge Substructure	Condition of Bridge Superstructure	Condition of Bridge Deck	Past Experience with Storm Surge	ADT	Function Classification	Evacuation Route	Deto: r Lengt
				2014- po ar bridge	Source: SHA datebordon the criterie and procedurer in the Maryland	Source: Internal analysis wing a GIS shareline data layer	Swarzer Internel GIS							Seurce: Internal analysis wing GIS to identify the Intersection of Providence with rendersys of different function of writin stand.	Saurce: Internal analysis wing GIS ta identify the interpotian of structurer	
7		Data courses	Source: SHA operations and maintenancestati	reconstructed. Source:Hotional Bridge Inventory	Pracedure Humanandum D 91-40(4)	and colculating the shartpet line or distance (fact) to the shareline	Interest paint an the bridge-senter height under the bridge	Source: 3MA sparation and maintenance staff	Savar can Hatian at Bridge Investory	Saverca: Matian al Brid. Jacountary	ge Suurca:NotianalBridge Inventory	Several SMA sporation and maintenance staff	Source: Hotion of Bridge Inventory	rhopofiles from Solisbury University uses and for an obytic	Units and exactions resultant Events with an exact of the second second presside d by Saliebury Units and SMA	
8		Units (if applicable):		Yame	-	Fret	feet			Construction of the second sec	100000	-				
10	1	APE HOLE ROAD	1	43		76	2	3	3 Serious Condition	5 Fair Condition	4 Poor Condition		4 10	7	N	
12	3	MARSHROAD		30		10	2	3	4 Poor Condition	4 Poor Condition	n 4 Poor Condition	1	42	1	N	
13	5	CASH CORNER ROAD	2	30		25	3	2	6 Satisfactory Condition	6 Satisfactory Co	onditi 6 Satisfactory Condition	2	3 356	7	N	
15 16	6	MD 460 BYRD ROAD	3	48		26	6	3	5 Fair Condition 8 Vers Good Condition	6 Satisfactory Co 7 Good Conditio	n 7 Good Condition		4 1,210	7	N	
17 18	8	MARUMSCO ROAD	2	31		11,775	3	2	4 Poor Condition 5 Eair Condition	4 Poor Condition	n 5 Fair Condition 5 Fair Condition		4 141	7	N	
19	10	MD 667				1958		3	6 Satisfactory Condition	6 Satisfactory Co	onditi 6 Satisfactory Condition	0	1.325	5	N	
21	12	MD 667	2	340		3,118	4	2	5 Fair Condition	5 Fair Condition	5 Fair Condition		2,275	5	N	100
22 23	13	COVENTRY PARISH RD MD 667		29		19	4	2	6 Satisfactory Condition 6 Satisfactory Condition	7 Good Conditio 6 Satisfactory Co	n 7 Good Condition onditi 6 Satisfactory Condition		250	7	N	
24	15	MD 667 MD 667	2	2 34		11,990	4	2	5 Fair Condition 8 Vers Good Condition	5 Fair Condition 5 Fair Condition	5 Fait Condition 5 Fait Condition		3 2,275	5	N	
26	17	MD 667	2			2,400	7	2	6 Satisfactory Conditio	6 Satisfactory Co	onditi 6 Satisfactory Condition	0	2 2,275	5	N	
28	16	FRENCHTOWN ROAD		30		16			5 Fair Condition	6 Satisfactory Co	onditi 6 Satisfactory Condition	2	64	7	N	
29 30	20	US 13 SB US 13 SB	2	49		2,215	29	2	6 Satisfactory Conditio 5 Fair Condition	6 Satisfactory Co 5 Fair Condition	5 Fair Condition		2 16,470	3	Y	
31	22	USDNB	2	8		2,31	32	2	6 Satisfactory Conditio	6 Satisfactory Co	onditi 7 Good Condition		2 16,470	2	Y	
33	24	MD 364		1		879	6	3	6 Satisfactory Condition	5 Fair Condition	5 Fair Condition		1 1125	5	N	
34	25	MD 413 RIVER ROAD		26		7,560	6		5 Fair Condition 7 Good Condition	5 Fair Condition 7 Good Conditio	6 Fair Condition n 7 Good Condition		1 5,670	5	N	
36	27	RUMBLEY ROAD		36		13	2		6 Satisfactory Condition	7 Good Condition	n 7 Good Condition		223	7	N	
38	29	MD 364	1		5 5	1,893	9	3	7 Good Condition	7 Good Conditio	n 7 Good Condition	1. State 1.	1,125	5	N	
39	30	MD 364 MD 364	2	8	1	3,005	4	2	5 Fair Condition	5 Fair Condition	5 Fair Condition	6	3 1,140	5	N	
41 42	32	SIGN POST ROAD		29		10,976	4		5 Fair Condition	6 Satisfactory Co 7 Good Condition	onditi 6 Satisfactory Condition		1 784	7	N	
43	34	US13NB		50		11,853	13		6 Satisfactory Condition	5 Fair Condition	5 Fair Condition	2	1 13,630	2	Ŷ	
44	35	MD 413 MILLARD LONG ROAD		1		11,449	10	-	6 Satisfactory Condition 7 Good Condition	6 Satisfactory Co 7 Good Conditio	n 7 Good Condition		1 4,775	7	N	<u>+-</u> U
46	37	MD 363	3	25		722	3	3	7 Good Condition	6 Satisfactory Co	onditi 6 Satisfactory Condition	1	375	4	N	-
48	39	STEWART NECK ROAD		40		8,585	3		4 Poor Condition	5 Fair Condition	5 Fair Condition		661	7	N	
49 50	40	US 13 NB		64		437	2	-	6 Satisfactory Condition 5 Fair Condition	5 Fair Condition 5 Fair Condition	5 Fair Condition 6 Satisfactory Condition	1	648 1 20.870	7	Y	-
51	42	US 13 SB		52		538	14	1	6 Satisfactory Conditio	4 Poor Condition	n 4 Poor Condition		20,870	2	Y	
50	44	MD 363		8		250	4	3	7 Good Condition	7 Good Conditio	n 7 Good Condition		2,225		N	
54	45	HAINES POINT ROAD US 13 NB	2	28		10	3	2	6 Satisfactory Condition 6 Satisfactory Condition	7 Good Conditio 5 Fair Condition	n 7 Good Condition 5 Fair Condition	-	20.870	7	N Y	-
56	47	US 13 SB		53		2,912	7		7 Good Condition	7 Good Conditio	n 7 Good Condition	-	1 17,775	2	Y	
14 4 3	əi 🦯	4a_Exposure Data	4b_Ass	etData-ATy	pel 5a	Exposure AT	Type1 St	_Sensitivity	AType1-Stressor	1 5b_1	4	225 E	12.5	21	10	> I



X	🛃 🍠 🕶 🖓 🕶	<del>.</del>		The second	08152014	Somerset Vulnerability	Assessment.xlsi	n - Microso	oft Excel	A	_	_			0 2	×
	File Home Insert Page Layout Formulas Data Review View Stantec Acrobat 🛆 🖓 🗆 📾 🔀														53	
1	🗎 🔏 Cut	Calibri - 11		=	📼	The Test	1				+	× "	Σ AutoSum	- A	â	
	🛄 📄 Copy 🔻	Calibri + 11	AA	- = = *			eneral			ø –ø			😺 Fill 🕆	Zľ		
Pa	🗸 🛷 Format Pa	ainter BIU·	🔕 - <u>A</u> -		E 🛊 📴	Merge & Center 🝷	· % ·	*.0 .00 C	onditional Fo	rmat Cell	Insert Del	ete Format	Clear •	Sort & F	ind &	
	Clipboard	G Font	G.	1	lignment	E.	Number	5	Style	s	Ce	lls	-	Editing	crett	
	AT4															~
- 24	В	c		J	K	L	Q	R	S	Т	Y	Z	AA	AB	AU	
4																
5	Adjust Vulnerability	y Component Weights:	1													
7	Sensitivity	40%														
8	Adaptive Capacity	20%														
9		100%														
10	Results			Show	Details			Sho	w Details			Show	Details			
11	۲	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	J .	
12			2050	Precipita 2050	tion Change	5 2100	2050	Sea   2050	Level Rise 2100	2100	2050	Storm 2050	Surge 2100	2100	-	
14	Asset ID	Name	"Damage"	Vulnerability	"Damage	" Vulnerability	"Damage"	Vulnerabili	ty "Damage"	Vulnerability	"Damage"	Vulnerability	"Damage"	Vulnerability	,	
15	1	APE HOLE ROAD	3.8	3.	3 4.	0 3	.4 3.0	2		2.9	3.9	3.3	3.9	3.5	3	
16	2	CALVARY ROAD	3.8	3.	2 3.	9 3	.3 2.7	2		3.0	3.6	3.1	3.6	3.1	1	
17	3	MARSH ROAD	3.8	3.	2 3.	9 3	.4 3.3	2	.9 3.8	3.2	3.9	3.3	3.9	3.5	3	
18	4	CASH CORNER ROAD	3.7	3.	2 3. 8 3	9 3 4 2	9 23	2	0 3.2	3.0	3.5	2.7	3.5	2.0	7	
20	6	MD 460	3.5	3.	0 3.	6 3	.1 2.3	2	.1 2.7	2.4	2.9	2.6	2.9	2.6	5	
21	7	BYRD ROAD	3.8	3.	2 3.	9 3	.4 2.7	2	.4 3.2	2.7	3.3	2.8	3.3	2.8	в	
22	8	MARUMSCO ROAD	3.0	2.	6 3.	1 2	.7 2.1	1	9 3.0	2.6	3.1	2.7	3.1	2.7	7	
23	9	L.Q. POWELL ROAD	3.3	2.	8 3.	4 2	.9 2.8	2	.4 3.2	2.8	3.8	3.2	3.8	3.2	2	
25	10	BRYAN HALL ROAD	3.6	3.	1 3.	8 3	2 3.1	2	.7 3.6	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.0		
26	12	MD 667	3.0	2.	8 3.	2 2	.9 1.7	1	7 2.1	2.1	2.9	2.7	2.9	2.7	7	
27	13	COVENTRY PARISH RD	3.4	2.	9 3.	6 3	. <mark>0</mark> 2.2	2	0 2.7	2.3	3.0	2.6	3.0	2.6	5	
28	14	MD 667	3.1	2.	8 3.	3 3	.0 2.2	2	.1 2.7	2.5	3.1	2.8	3.1	2.8	8	
30	15	MD 667	3.1	2.	7 5. 8 3.	3 3	.0 2.2	2	.1 3.1	2.8	2.8	2.0	3.2	2.0	9	
31	17	MD 667	2.1	2.	0 2.	2 2	.1 1.7	1	7 1.7	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	C	
32	18	FRENCHTOWN ROAD	3.7	3.	1 3.	8 3	.3 2.9	2		2.9	3.4	3.0	3.4	3.0	C	
33	19	FRENCHTOWN ROAD	3.7	3.	2 3.	9 3	.3 3.0	2	3.4	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.0		
35	20	US 13 SB	3.3	3.	4 3.	4 3	5 2.8	3	0 3.3	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.4		
36	22	US 13 NB	1.3	1.	9 1.	5 2	.0 1.3	1	9 1.3	1.9	1.4	1.9	1.4	1.9	9	
37	23	US 13 NB	3.3	3.	4 3.	4 3	.6 2.8	3	.1 3.3	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.4	2	
38	24	MD 364 MD 413	2.7	2.	4 2.	8 2	5 2.3	2	.1 2.3	2.1	2.8	2.5	2.8	2.5		
40	25	RIVER ROAD	3.2	2.	7 3.	3 2	.9 2.1	1	8 2.5	2.2	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.4	4	
41	27	RUMBLEY ROAD	3.7	3.	2 3.	9 3	.3 2.9	2		2.9	3.4	2.9	3.4	2.9	9	
42	28	US 13	1.5	2.	0 1.	6 2	.1 1.4	1	9 1.4	1.9	1.6	2.1	1.6	2.1	1	
43	29	MD 364	2.6	2.	4 2.	8 2	.5 2.1	2	.0 2.1	2.0	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.8	5	
44	31	MD 364	2.5	2.	3 3	8 3	4 24	2	.2 24	1.6	2.1	2.0	2.9	2.0	3	
46	32	SIGN POST ROAD	2.7	2.	4 2.	8 2	.5 1.8	1	7 2.7	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.5	2.5	3	
47	33	US 13 SB	2.2	2.	5 2.	3 2	.6 1.2	1	7 1.2	1.7	1.5	1.9	1.5	1.9	9	
48	34	US 13 NB	2.2	2.	5 2.	3 2	.6 1.3	1	9 1.3	1.9	1.6	2.0	1.6	2.0		
50	35	MILLARD LONG ROAD	3.7	1.	1 3.	8 3		2	.4 3.2	2.7	3.3	2.8	3.3	2.8	3	
14	< ▶ ₩ <u>5h</u> 9	Sensitivity AType1-Stressor3	5c Adap	tive Capacity ATvr	e1 6	Vulnerability AType	Dashboard	Ast								
Re	ady		uup					Averag	e: -18.80522639	Count: 147	Sum: -2707.	9526	80%		-	-+

## Vulnerability Assessment Summary



### VAST vs. Historical Flooding - SLR



# VAST vs. Historical Flooding – Storm Surge





### Vulnerable Areas at Risk





# Next Steps for SHA Climate Change Program

- Continue statewide county vulnerability assessments
- > Brainstorm adaptation options for HVI categories
- Conduct Site Specific Analysis using a Holistic Approach in coordination with Local Stakeholders for "Vulnerable Locations at Risk" – Tier III Studies
- Conduct detailed Alternatives Evaluation with Cost-Benefit Analysis
- Coordination with Anne Arundel and Somerset Counties when origin to destination studies are complete