Status of Attainment Modeling for 8-Hour Ozone SIP, Washington, DC-MD-VA Nonattainment Area

Sunil Kumar

MWAQC Work-Session MWCOG April 26, 2006

Presentation Topics

Review of Modeling Process

- Purpose of attainment modeling
- Attainment modeling steps

Review of 2009 Modeling Results

- Ozone Transport Commission (OTC) 2009 future base case
- VADEQ "adjusted" future base case simulations

Current On-going Work

- Sensitivity analyses
- Future control case modeling
- Modeling Schedule

Purpose of Attainment Modeling

- Meet EPA requirements & guidance
- Predict future air quality conditions
- Develop & test potential control strategies
- Translate emission reductions into air quality benefit
- Demonstrate desired air quality outcome

Attainment Modeling Steps

Historical Base Case Modeling

- Select high ozone events/ozone season
- Run event simulation(s)
- Compare model results to observed levels (model validation)

Future Base Case Modeling

- Develop future year emissions
- Include known existing/future control measures
- Run simulation(s)
- Perform sensitivity analyses

Future Control Case Modeling

- Develop potential control measures and reductions
- Test control strategies (iterative process)
- Perform attainment test

Attainment Modeling Platform & Status

All analyses conducted with OTC modeling platform

- Community Multi-scale Air Quality (CMAQ) Modeling System
- 12-km horizontal grid resolution
- University of Maryland 2002 MM5 Meteorology
- Shorter time period used to speed up the process

Modeling tasks already completed

- Modeling protocol
- 2002 base case
- 2009 future base case

2009 Ozone Base Case Modeling Scenarios

Adjusted base case #1

Current controls plus growth for power plants

Adjusted base case #2

- Additional power plant controls (state estimates)
- OTC measures in Northern VA

OTC base case

- Additional power plant controls (EPA estimates)
- Reductions may be "overly optimistic"

Design Values for Future Base Case Scenarios



2009 Base Case Modeling Summary of Results

Adjusted base case #1

• Four DC area monitors above standard (85 to 88 ppb)

Adjusted base case #2

Two monitors above standard (85 to 86 ppb)

OTC base case

One monitor above standard (85 ppb)

Current On-going Work

Perform sensitivity analyses

- What's more effective to further reduce ozone?
- What pollutants & source categories?
- Association for Southeastern Integrated Planning (ASIP) sensitivities performed for DC
 - Ground level NO_x reductions most effective
 - Point source NO_x reductions less effective
 - VOC reductions are least effective

Additional reductions needed for attainment may be difficult

- Not much left to control
- Model not very sensitive to "local" reductions

Current On-going Work (continued...)

Future Control Case Modeling

- Same control strategy runs Two different tracks
 - UMD Using OTC modeling platform
 - VDEQ VDEQ adjusted base case platform
- 5 scenarios proposed
- Scenario 1 complete OTW/OTB + CAIR EGU controls
- Scenario 2 Scenario 1 + local controls
- Scenario 3 Scenario 2 + OTR wide controls
- Local controls alone (Scenario #2) very low benefits, don't show up in modeling results
- So currently scenarios 2 & 3 being merged and run together

Current On-going Work (continued...)

- Emissions benefits for local and OTR controls quantified
- Additional emissions processing for modeling underway
- Attainment test will be performed
- Process will be repeated with other scenarios as needed to demonstrate attainment
- Supplemental analyses & Weight of Evidence (WOE) will be performed
- Analyses results will be documented for SIP

