#### DRAFT FY19 REGIONAL TARGETS FOR TRANSIT ASSET MANAGEMENT

#### Performance Based Planning And Programming

**Eric Randall, TPB Transportation Engineer** 

TPB Technical Committee January 4, 2019





# **Structure of Presentation**

- Transit Asset Management (TAM) Target-Setting Requirements
- TAM Performance Measures
- TAM Targets Summary Table
- Draft Regional TAM Targets



#### **Transit Asset Management (TAM) Target Setting Requirements**

- Providers of public transportation are required to annually establish Transit Asset Management (TAM) performance targets
  - TPB adopted the first set of regional TAM targets in June 2017
  - Targets were adopted as a summary table
- Providers must set targets within four months of the end of the previous fiscal year
  - FY 2019 targets set by October 31, 2018 for providers on a July-June fiscal year (i.e., every regional provider except DDOT)
- MPOs (i.e., TPB) shall adopt transit asset targets for their metropolitan planning area within 180 days
  - FTA recommendation is for a single regional target for each performance measure asset class



# **TAM Performance Measures**

	Performance Measure	Asset Classes
Rolling stock (Age)	Percentage of revenue vehicles within a particular asset class that have met or exceeded useful life benchmark (ULB)	Standard bus, articulated bus, vans, automobiles, locomotives, rail vehicles
Equipment - (non-revenue) service vehicles (Age)	Percentage of vehicles that have met or exceeded their ULB	Cranes, prime movers, vehicle lifts, tow trucks
Infrastructure-rail fixed-guideway track, signals, and systems (Condition)	The percentage of track segments, signal, and systems with performance restrictions	Signal or relay house, interlockings, catenary, mechanical, electrical and IT systems
Stations/ Facilities (Condition)	The percentage of facilities, within an asset class, rated below 3 on the TERM scale	Stations, depots, administration, parking garages, terminals



#### Summary of Providers' 2019 TAM Targets

Target = Maximum	percentage o	of assets at or	exceeding standard
------------------	--------------	-----------------	--------------------

Reporting Entity	Rolling Stock	Service Vehicles	Rail Infrastructure	Station/ Facility Condition
WMATA	0% Rail, 0% Bus	<b>11</b> % <sup>d</sup>	2.1%	7% <sup>f</sup>
DDOT	0% Rail, 0% Bus	n/a	5%	0% <sup>g</sup>
Arl Co.	0%	n/a	n/a	0%
Ffx. Co.	10%	<b>10</b> % <sup>d</sup>	n/a	0%
Mont. Co.	11%	<b>21</b> % <sup>d</sup>	n/a	25% <sup>g</sup>
Pr. Geo. Co.	4%	<b>43</b> % <sup>d</sup>	n/a	0%
PRTC	<b>8</b> % <sup>b</sup>	<b>0</b> % <sup>e</sup>	n/a	<b>0</b> % <sup>g</sup>
VRE	0%	0% <sup>e</sup>	n/a	0%
Maryland Tier 2 (MTA)	13.3%ª	14.6% <sup>d</sup>	n/a	25% <sup>f</sup>
Virginia Tier 2 (DRPT)	10%	25% <sup>e</sup>	n/a	10% <sup>g</sup>

a: heavy-duty buses; b: 45-foot buses; c: autos; d: trucks; e: service vehicles; f: passenger, g: maintenance/administrative facilities



5

# **Draft 2019 Regional TAM Targets**

 FTA recommendation is for a single regional target for each performance measure asset class

Percentage of revenue vehicles that have met or exceeded useful life benchmark	Regional Assets Total	Regional Target
AB- Articulated bus	77	0.0%
AO- Auto	43	1.2%
BR- Over-the-road bus	160	8.8%
BU- Bus	2589	3.4%
CU- Cutaway bus	103	7.6%
HR- Heavy rail passenger car	1290	0.0%
LR- Light rail vehicle	6	0.0%
RL- Commuter rail locomotive	20	0.0%
RP- Commuter rail passenger coach	100	0.0%
VN- Van	1524	10.2%
Revenue Vehicle Totals	5912	
Percentage of service vehicles that have either met or exceeded their useful life benchmark		-
Automobiles	222	20.6%
Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles	1354	11.0%
Steel Wheel Vehicles	199	24.0%
Service Vehicle Totals	1775	
Percentage of track segments, signals, and systems with performance restrictions (by mode)		<b>.</b>
	0	0.0%
systems with performance restrictions (by mode)		0.0%
systems with performance restrictions (by mode) CR - Commuter Rail	0	
systems with performance restrictions (by mode) CR - Commuter Rail HR - Heavy Rail	0 234	2.1%
systems with performance restrictions (by mode) CR - Commuter Rail HR - Heavy Rail SR - Streetcar Rail	0 234 5.6	2.1%
systems with performance restrictions (by mode) CR - Commuter Rail HR - Heavy Rail SR - Streetcar Rail Track Segments Totals Percentage of Passenger and Maint. facilities	0 234 5.6	2.1%
systems with performance restrictions (by mode) CR - Commuter Rail HR - Heavy Rail SR - Streetcar Rail Track Segments Totals Percentage of Passenger and Maint. facilities rated below condition 3 on the condition scale	0 234 5.6 0	2.1% 5.0%
systems with performance restrictions (by mode) CR - Commuter Rail HR - Heavy Rail SR - Streetcar Rail Track Segments Totals Percentage of Passenger and Maint. facilities rated below condition 3 on the condition scale Passenger Facilities	0 234 5.6 0 115	2.1% 5.0% 1.6%
Systems with performance restrictions (by mode) CR - Commuter Rail HR - Heavy Rail SR - Streetcar Rail Track Segments Totals Percentage of Passenger and Maint. facilities rated below condition 3 on the condition scale Passenger Facilities Passenger Parking Facilities	0 234 5.6 0 115 93	2.1% 5.0% 1.6% 9.9%



6

# **Next Steps**

- Take comments on draft targets and on the report summarizing the region's providers of public transportation, their TAM performance, and their TAM targets
- Present draft FY 2019 TAM targets to the board at the January meeting, followed by approval in February



7

#### **Eric Randall**

TPB Transportation Engineer (202) 962-3254 erandall@mwcog.org



Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments 777 North Capitol Street NE, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20002



National Capital Region Transportation Planning Board