ITEM 8 – Action December 16, 2020

2000111201 20, 2020

Performance Based Planning and Programming: Highway Safety Targets

Action: Adopt Resolution R12-2021 to approve

regional highway safety targets.

Background: The committee was briefed on the

proposed 2017-2021 targets for highway

safety performance measures in

November.

NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION TRANSPORTATION PLANNING BOARD 777 North Capitol Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002

RESOLUTION TO ADOPT ANNUAL HIGHWAY SAFETY TARGETS FOR THE NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION

WHEREAS, the National Capital Region Transportation Planning Board (TPB) has been designated by the Governors of Maryland and Virginia and the Mayor of the District of Columbia as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the Washington Metropolitan Area; and

WHEREAS, safety of all modes of travel is an important element of TPB's Vision, and a regional priority, with many of its member jurisdictions having adopted aspirational safety goals associated with Vision Zero and Towards Zero Deaths; and

WHEREAS, the provisions of the FAST Act continued the implementation of performance-based planning and programming to achieve desired performance outcomes for the multimodal transportation system, including the setting of targets for future performance by States and metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs); and

WHEREAS, the Federal Highway Administration issued a rulemaking for state departments of transportation (DOTs) and MPOs to annually establish data-driven highway safety targets and report progress on achieving the targets for the following performance measures: number of fatalities, rate of fatalities per hundred million vehicle miles traveled, number of serious injuries, rate of serious injuries per VMT, and number of combined non-motorized fatalities and non-motorized serious injuries; and

WHEREAS, though the federal regulations that designate the safety performance measures refer to them as the *National Performance Management Measures for the Highway Safety Improvement Program*, the performance measures are applicable to <u>all</u> public roads in the region from community streets to Interstate highways, and can properly be referred to as <u>roadway</u> safety targets; and

WHEREAS, the TPB has reviewed the safety performance measures and established datadriven regional safety targets annually since January 2018 and acknowledges that the number of fatalities and serious injuries on the region's roadways are unacceptably high, which is contrary to its own vision and the region's aspirations; and

WHEREAS, the TPB remains focused on acting on its priorities and achieving the region's aspirational goals and is using the federally required annual regional highway safety targets and the process to evaluate the region's progress toward zero roadway deaths; and

WHEREAS, the TPB commissioned a regional roadway safety study to identify the factors contributing to and the predominant types of fatal and serious injury crashes in the region and recommend projects, programs and policies the region should prioritize to improve safety outcomes on the region's roadways; and

WHEREAS, The TPB has reviewed the findings of that study and adopted Resolution R3-2021 titled, "Resolution to Establish A Regional Roadway Safety Policy, and Associated Roadway Safety and Equity Policy Statements, to Reduce Fatalities and Serious Injuries on the National Capital Region's Roadways" based on those findings; and

WHEREAS, the TPB, as described in Resolution R3-2021, urges its members to reaffirm road user safety as a top priority and prioritize the implementation of projects, programs, and policies, in an equitable and non-racist manner, consistent with the TPB's Equity Policy statement, that strive to reduce the number of fatal and serious injury crashes on the Region's roadways; and

WHEREAS, The TPB has, as part of Resolution R3-2021, established and funded a Regional Safety Program to assist its members to develop and/or implement projects, programs, or policies to equitably improve safety outcomes for all roadway users; and

WHEREAS, the TPB continues to support local, regional, and state level efforts to reduce fatalities and serious injuries concurrent with the development of increasingly aggressive highway safety targets in the future; and

WHEREAS, the DOTs of the District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia set their respective highway safety targets for the five-year period 2017 through 2021 by August 31, 2020, and MPOs are required to set highway safety targets for their metropolitan planning areas for the same period by February 28, 2021; and

WHEREAS, TPB staff have coordinated with officials at the Maryland Department of Transportation (MDOT), the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), and the District Department of Transportation (DDOT) to develop regional highway safety targets that are evidence based, consistent with the targets submitted by each member state DOT, and reflective of the outcomes expected through the implementation of funded safety projects and policies; and

WHEREAS, these highway safety targets have been reviewed and recommended for TPB approval by the Transportation Safety Subcommittee and the TPB Technical Committee; and

WHEREAS, the TPB requests that its members continue to coordinate and share information on projects, programs, policies, and initiatives to improve safety; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT the National Capital Region Transportation Planning Board adopts the following set of highway safety targets for the National Capital Region, as described below.

Table 1: Regional Highway Safety Targets – 2017-2021 Average

Performance Measure	2017-2021 Target
Number of Fatalities (5 year rolling average)	253.0
Rate of Fatalities per 100 million VMT (5 year rolling average)	0.588
Number of Serious Injuries (5 year rolling average)	2,435.8
Rate of Serious Injuries per 100 million VMT (5 year rolling average)	5.539
Number of Non-Motorized Fatalities and Serious Injuries (5 year rolling average)	508.6

RECOMMENDED 2017-2021 HIGHWAY SAFETY TARGETS

Jon Schermann
TPB Transportation Planner

Transportation Planning Board December 16, 2020



Presentation Items

- Quick Review of Federal Requirements and Target Setting Methodology
- Overview of Recommended Regional Highway Safety Targets
- Review of Resolution Language
- Request to Adopt Resolution R12-2021



Federal Requirements

- The TPB set regional targets in January 2018, January 2019, and December 2019
 - Federal regulations require State DOTs and MPOs to set highway safety targets on an annual basis
 - State DOTs approved their most recent set of targets in August 2020
- Data-driven and realistic highway safety targets are to be set for 5 performance measures
- Performance measures applicable to all public roads in effect, these are <u>roadway</u> safety targets
- Targets are averages for a given 5-year period (ex., 2016-2020, 2017-2021 etc.)



2017-2021 Target Setting Methodology

- Apply Maryland's approach to identify a "sub-target" for the Maryland portion of the NCR
- Apply Virginia's suggested approach for its MPOs to identify a subtarget for the Virginia portion of the NCR
- Incorporate the District of Columbia's target as a sub-target for the DC portion of the NCR
- Combine the three sub-targets into a regional target for the NCR
- If a calculated target is higher than the previous target, set the target equal to the previous target
- Note that this is the same methodology as was used for last year's (2016-2020) targets



Summary: NCR Roadway Safety Targets

Performance Measure	Proposed 2017-2021 Target	Adopted 2016-2020 Target	Compared to Previously Adopted Target
# of Fatalities	253.0	253.0	no change*
Fatality Rate (per 100 MVMT)	0.588	0.588	no change*
# of Serious Injuries	2,435.8	2,692.1	256 fewer serious injuries
Serious Injury Rate (per 100 MVMT)	5.539	6.157	10% lower
# Nonmotorist Fatalities & Serious Injuries	508.6	508.6	no change*

^{*} Capped to equal previously set target



Review of Resolution Language

- Includes "WHEREAS" clauses to reiterate the requirement for the targets to be data driven and to reflect the issues, concerns, and actions of the TPB, including:
 - The numbers of fatalities and serious injuries continue to be unacceptably high
 - The targets are data-driven in accordance with federal regulations and do not reflect the aspirations of the TPB
 - The TPB has reviewed the findings of the regional safety study and adopted a resolution based on those findings
 - The TPB urges its members to reaffirm road user safety as a top priority and prioritize the implementation of projects, programs, and policies to reduce the number of fatal and serious injury crashes
 - The TPB has established and funded a Regional Safety Program to assist its member jurisdictions to develop and/or implement projects, programs or policies to equitably improve safety outcomes for all roadway users.
 - The federally-required target setting process will be used by the TPB to track progress toward achieving aspirational goals



Recommended Highway Safety Targets

Performance Measure	2017-2021 Target
Number of Fatalities (5 year rolling average)	253.0
Rate of Fatalities per 100 million VMT (5 year rolling average)	0.588
Number of Serious Injuries (5 year rolling average)	2,435.8
Rate of Serious Injuries per 100 million VMT (5 year rolling average)	5.539
Number of Non-Motorized Fatalities and Serious Injuries (5 year rolling average)	508.6



Jon Schermann

TPB Transportation Planner (202) 962-3317 jschermann@mwcog.org

mwcog.org/tpb

Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments 777 North Capitol Street NE, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20002



DRAFT REGIONAL HIGHWAY SAFETY TARGETS

Performance-Based Planning and Programming



DRAFT 2017-2021 REGIONAL HIGHWAY SAFETY TARGETS, DECEMBER 2018

December 9, 2020

ABOUT THE TPB

The National Capital Region Transportation Planning Board (TPB) is the federally designated metropolitan planning organization (MPO) for metropolitan Washington. It is responsible for developing and carrying out a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive transportation planning process in the metropolitan area. Members of the TPB include representatives of the transportation agencies of the states of Maryland and Virginia and the District of Columbia, 24 local governments, the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority, the Maryland and Virginia General Assemblies, and nonvoting members from the Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority and federal agencies. The TPB is staffed by the Department of Transportation Planning at the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments (COG).

CREDITS

Editor: Jon Schermann

Design: COG Communications Office

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS (OPTIONAL)

Jurisdictional agency staff from across the region.

ACCOMMODATIONS POLICY

Alternative formats of this document are available upon request. Visit www.mwcog.org/accommodations or call (202) 962-3300 or (202) 962-3213 (TDD).

TITLEVI NONDISCRIMINATION POLICY

The Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments (COG) fully complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related statutes and regulations prohibiting discrimination in all programs and activities. For more information, to file a Title VI related complaint, or to obtain information in another language, visit www.mwcog.org/nondiscrimination or call (202) 962-3300.

El Consejo de Gobiernos del Área Metropolitana de Washington (COG) cumple con el Título VI de la Ley sobre los Derechos Civiles de 1964 y otras leyes y reglamentos en todos sus programas y actividades. Para obtener más información, someter un pleito relacionado al Título VI, u obtener información en otro idioma, visite www.mwcog.org/nondiscrimination o llame al (202) 962-3300.

Copyright © 2017 by the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments

TABLE OF CONTENTS

REGIONAL HIGHWAY SAFETY TARGETS	4
Overview of Recent Transportation Planning Board Safety Activities	4
Overview of Performance-Based Planning and Programming Requirements	4
Highway Safety Targets: Setting, Coordinating, and Reporting	5
Target Setting	6
Recent Trends in Safety Data	8
Progress Towards the 2015-2019 Safety Targets	8
NCR Regional Safety Target Setting Approach	9
Regional Safety Targets	
Duration	

FIGURES AND TABLES

Table 1: Highway Safety Performance Measures Summary	6
Table 2: National Capital Region Safety Trends – with Final 2019 Annual Data	8
Table 3: 2015-2019 Actuals vs. Targets	9
Table 4: Summary of Highway Safety Targets	12

REGIONAL HIGHWAY SAFETY TARGETS

This report proposes a set of draft regional highway safety performance targets for the 2017-2021 time period that meet the MAP-21/FAST performance-based planning and programming (PBPP) requirements and are consistent with the target setting approaches of Maryland, Virginia, and the District of Columbia.

Overview of Recent Transportation Planning Board Safety Activities

The Transportation Planning Board (TPB) adopted the first set of highway safety targets for the National Capital Region in January of 2018. Since then, the TPB has devoted considerable effort to; 1) better understand the factors driving the unacceptably high numbers of fatal and serious injury crashes in the region, 2) identify countermeasures and strategies that are proven to be effective in reducing fatal and serious injury crashes, and 3) encourage TPB member jurisdictions and agencies to implement countermeasures and strategies to significantly reduce fatalities and serious injuries on the region's roadways.

Progress was made in each of these areas this year. In the spring of 2020, the TPB reviewed the findings of a regional crash data analysis and considered the recommendations resulting from a consultant-led regional safety study that began in 2019. This work led to the adoption of a major safety resolution during the TPB's July 2020 meeting. A key element of this resolution is the establishment of a regional safety program to assist member jurisdictions and the region to develop and/or implement projects, programs, or policies to equitably improve safety outcomes for all roadway users. The TPB anticipates that the regional safety program, combined with the continued safety improvement efforts of member agencies and jurisdictions, will result in improved performance that will be reflected in the federally required regional safety performance measures described in this report.

Overview of Performance-Based Planning and Programming Requirements

Under the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) and reinforced in the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, federal surface transportation regulations require the implementation of performance management requirements through which states and metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) will "transition to a performance-driven, outcome-based program that provides for a greater level of transparency and accountability, improved project decision-making, and more efficient investment of federal transportation funds."

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) have been gradually issuing a set of rulemakings, initially proposed and subsequently final, for the implementation of this performance-based planning and programming (PBPP) process. Each rulemaking lays out the goals of performance for a particular area of transportation, establishes the measures for evaluating performance, specifies the data to be used to calculate the measures, and then sets requirements for the setting of targets.

Under the PBPP process, states, MPOs, and providers of public transportation must link investment priorities to the achievement of performance targets in the following areas:

- Highway Safety;
- Highway Assets: Pavement and Bridge Condition;
- System Performance (Interstate and National Highway System, Freight Movement on the Interstate System, and the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program); and
- Transit Safety and Transit Asset Management.

The final Statewide and Metropolitan Planning Rule, published May 27, 2016, provides direction and guidance on requirements for implementation of PBPP, including specified measures and data sources, forecasting performance, target-setting, documentation in the statewide and metropolitan long-range transportation plans and Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs), and reporting requirements.

Although the federal regulations that designate the safety performance measures refer to them as the *National Performance Management Measures for the Highway Safety Improvement Program*, the performance measures are applicable to <u>all</u> public roads in the region from community streets to Interstate highways, and can properly be referred to as <u>roadway</u> safety targets.

Highway Safety Targets: Setting, Coordinating, and Reporting

The expectation of the implementation of the Safety Performance Measure rule is to improve both the quantity and quality of safety data, with respect to data pertaining to serious injuries and fatalities. This implementation will also allow greater transparency by disseminating the data publicly. In addition, aggregation of targets and progress at the national level will become possible through improved data consistency among the states and MPOs.

State DOTs and MPOs are expected to use the information generated by these regulations to make investment decisions that result in the greatest possible reductions in fatalities and serious injuries. The five required safety performance measures, along with proscribed data sources, are outlined in Table 1 on the next page.

Table 1: Highway Safety Performance Measures Summary

Performance Measure	Description	Data Source
Number of Fatalities (5 year rolling average)	Total number of fatalities during a calendar year	FARS ¹
Rate of Fatalities per 100 million VMT (5 year rolling average)	Ratio of total fatalities to VMT	FARS and HPMS ² (or MPO estimate)
Number of Serious Injuries (5 year rolling average)	Total number of serious injuries during a calendar year	State reported serious injury data ³
Rate of Serious Injuries per 100 million VMT (5 year rolling average)	Ratio of total serious injuries to VMT	State reported serious injury data ³ and HPMS
Number of Non-Motorized Fatalities and Serious Injuries (5 year rolling average)	Total number of fatalities and serious injuries during a calendar year	FARS and State serious injury data ³
1 FARS: Fatality Analysis Reporting Costom	3 for the first 36 months - aft	or that States must ado

¹ FARS: Fatality Analysis Reporting System

TARGET SETTING

States and MPOs must fulfill the target setting requirements of the final rule. State DOTs are required to set statewide targets for each of the five performance measures. Targets for the first three performance measures (number of fatalities, rate of fatalities, and number of serious injuries) must be identical to the targets set by the State Highway Safety Office (SHSO). Each target must also represent the anticipated performance outcome for all public roadways in the state, regardless of ownership. A breakdown of responsibilities for target setting are listed below.

State DOTs:

- Required to set statewide targets for each of the five performance measures:
 - Each of these targets must be identical to those set by the State Highway Safety Office (SHSO).
 - o Each target shall represent anticipated performance outcome for all public roadways in the State, regardless of ownership.
 - o Targets cannot be changed after they are reported.

³ for the first 36 months - after that States must adopt ² HPMS: Highway Performance Monitoring System the Model Minimum Uniform Crash Criteria (MMUCC) definition of serious injury

MPOs:

- For each performance measure, the MPO will either:
 - Agree to plan and program projects so they contribute toward accomplishing the state DOT safety target for that PM, or
 - o Commit to a quantifiable target for that PM for the MPO planning area:
 - Each target shall represent anticipated performance outcome for all public roadways in the MPO planning area, regardless of ownership.
 - MPOs shall coordinate with the state DOT(s) to ensure consistency.

MPO Coordination with State DOTs

MPOs are required to establish their performance targets in coordination with their state partners and these targets should be data-driven and realistic. Coordination is essential between these two entities in setting HSIP targets. Both should work together to share data, review strategies, and understand outcomes.

Target Reporting

State DOTs must report their targets to the FHWA within the state's HSIP (Highway Safety Improvement Program) annual report due each year on August 31.

MPOs do not report their targets to the FHWA, but rather to their respective state DOTs in a manner that is documented and mutually agreed upon. MPOs also report progress toward achieving their targets within the "System Performance Report" portion of their long-range transportation plan (Visualize 2045). In addition, MPO TIPs must include a discussion of how the implementation of the TIP will further the achievement of the targets.

FHWA Determination of Significant Progress

States do not have to meet each of their safety targets to avoid the consequences outlined in the rule but must either meet the target or make significant progress toward meeting the target for four of the five performance measures. The FHWA determines that the significant progress threshold is met if the performance measure outcome is better than the "baseline" – which is defined as the 5-year rolling average for that performance measure for the year prior to the establishment of the target. MPO targets are not evaluated by the FHWA.

Consequences for Failing to Meet Targets of Making Significant Progress

State DOTs that have not met or made significant progress toward meeting their safety performance targets lose some flexibility in how they spend their HSIP funds and are required to submit an annual implementation plan that describes actions the DOT will take to meet their targets.

There are no consequences outlined in the rule for MPOs not meeting their targets. However, the FHWA will review how MPOs are incorporating and discussing safety performance measures and targets in their long-range transportation plans and TIPs during MPO certification reviews.

RECENT TRENDS IN SAFETY DATA

Last year's TPB-adopted targets for the 2015-2019 period were set before calendar year 2019 safety data were available. These data have now been released and are shown in Table 2 below.

Table 2: National Capital Region Safety Trends - with Final 2019 Annual Data

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Change from 2018 to 2019
# of Fatalities	263	279	313	292	306 ¹	† 4.8 %
Fatality Rate (per 100 MVMT)	0.610	0.633	0.695	0.649	0.6721	↑3.5%
# of Serious Injuries	2,632	2,925	2,581	2,444	2,345	↓ 4.1%
Serious Injury Rate (per 100 MVMT)	6.109	6.634	5.731	5.429	5.135	↓ 5.4%
# Nonmotorist Fatalities & Serious Injuries	524	553	585	547	599	↑9.5%

Fatalities increased nearly 5 percent between 2018 and 2019 which drove the fatality rate (per VMT) higher by about 3.5 percent over the same period. Both the number and rate of serious injuries fell significantly while the number of nonmotorist fatalities plus serious injuries increased by 9.5 percent between 2018 and 2019.

PROGRESS TOWARDS THE 2015-2019 SAFETY TARGETS

Table 3 (next page) shows the region's performance on the five safety performance measures with respect to the 2015-2019 targets set in January of 2019.

Table 3: 2015-2019 Actuals vs. Targets

Performance Measure (5-year rolling average)	2015-2019 Actual	2015-2019 Target	Status
# of Fatalities	290.6 ¹	253.0	Not met
Fatality Rate (per 100 MVMT)	0.652 ¹	0.588	Not met
# of Serious Injuries	2,585.4	2,916.6	Met
Serious Injury Rate (per 100 MVMT)	6.519	6.564	Met
# Nonmotorist Fatalities & Serious Injuries	556.4	508.6	Not met

Note 1: Figures listed are from state fatality data; official 2019 Fatality Analysis Reporting System data are not yet published

As shown above, the region has met the 2015-2019 targets for the number of serious injuries and the serious injury rate performance measures. However, the region did not meet the targets set for the number of fatalities, the number of nonmotorist fatalities and serious injuries, and the fatality rate targets.

NCR REGIONAL SAFETY TARGET SETTING APPROACH

This year, a new set of targets for the five safety performance measures will be adopted. These targets will be for the 2017-2021 period. The methodology used to develop these targets is the same as the process used last year and leverages the approaches used by our state DOT partners. To account for and incorporate the different target setting approaches used by Maryland, Virginia, and the District of Columbia to develop targets for the entire National Capital Region (NCR), staff applied the following methodology to develop the proposed draft targets:

- identify a "sub-target" for the Maryland portion of the NCR by applying MDOT's target setting approach to the safety data for the Maryland portion of the NCR;
- identify a "sub-target" for the Virginia portion of the NCR by applying VDOT's suggested MPO target setting methodology to the safety data for the Virginia portion of the NCR;
- identify a "sub-target" for the District of Columbia portion of the NCR by directly incorporating DDOT's targets;
- combine the three sub-targets mathematically into a set of initial regional targets:
- compare each performance measure's sub target with the corresponding target set last year: and
- select the lower (more aggressive) of the two targets as this year's target. 1

¹ This ensures that none of this year's safety targets will be higher than the targets that were adopted by the TPB last year.

Overview of Member States' Target Setting Methodologies

Maryland: Maryland applied their existing Toward Zero Deaths approach to develop interim targets to reduce fatalities by at least 50 percent from the 2008 base year to the 2030 target year. This same approach was used to set targets for each of the five performance measures. For each performance measure an exponential trend line connecting the historical (2008) data to the long-term (2030) goal which was set to 50 percent of the 2008 value. Five-year averages were used to calculate projections, and targets for each interim year were taken from the midpoint of the five-year average. Maryland officials provided TPB staff with the exponential trend lines and interim targets for each of the five performance measures based on the safety data for the Suburban Maryland portion of the NCR.

Virginia: The method used by Virginia to set this year's targets is based on a model that forecasts future fatalities and serious injuries based on a broad range of factors. VDOT then estimates the collective impact of their planned and programmed countermeasures and reduces the model forecast by the projected impacts of their engineering and behavioral efforts. This process is only viable at a statewide level and cannot be used effectively to determine targets for smaller regions within the state. To assist their MPOs, VDOT advises MPOs to apply linear regression techniques to make projections for each of the numeric performance measures² to calculate the 2017-2021 regional targets. For the rate performance measures³, VDOT advises MPOs to divide the annual forecasts for fatalities and serious injuries by projected VMT (vehicle miles traveled) to make 2020 and 2021 projections which were then used to calculate the 2017-2021 regional targets. TPB staff applied this process to the data for the Northern Virginia portion of the NCR.

District of Columbia: The District of Columbia analyzed their safety data using a combination of annual and 5-year average data and polynomial trend lines to determine their targets. TPB staff directly incorporated the District of Columbia targets, as published in their HSIP Annual Report, into the NCR target setting methodology.

Calculation of the National Capital Region Highway Safety Targets

Numerical Targets

The NCR targets for the number of fatalities, number of serious injuries, and number of nonmotorist fatalities and serious injuries were calculated by summing the sub-targets for the Suburban Maryland, Northern Virginia, and District of Columbia portions of the region. This is straightforward mathematical addition.

As a final step, the calculated numerical targets were compared to the corresponding targets adopted by the TPB last year and the lower (more aggressive) target for each performance measure was selected.

Rate Targets

Determination of rate targets (fatality rate and serious injury rate) are somewhat more complicated and involve mathematically combining the effects of the Suburban Maryland, Northern Virginia, and District of Columbia targets according to their respective proportions of total regional VMT. The

² Number of fatalities, number of serious injuries, and number of nonmotorist fatalities plus serious injuries

³ Fatality rate per 100 million VMT and serious injury rate per 100 million VMT

following steps illustrate the process for the fatality rate (a similar process was used for the serious injury rate):

1) Determine the percent fatality rate reduction represented by each sub target.

Fatalities per	:	2017-2021 Average	
100 MVMT	2015-2019 Average	(sub target)	Percent change
Suburban MD	0.829	0.723	-12.76%
NOVA	0.435	0.454	4.42%
DC	0.765	0.810	5.87%

2) Determine the proportion of total regional VMT attributable to Suburban Maryland, Northern Virginia, and DC.

Sub region	100 MVMT (2019)	Proportion
Suburban MD	199.12	48.37%
NOVA	220.09	43.76%
DC	35.84	7.88%
Sum	455.05	100.00%

3) Determine the percent change for the regional rate by multiplying the percent change (from step 1) by the VMT proportion (from step 2).

	A: Percent change in fatality	B: Proportion	
Sub region	rate (from step 1)	(from step 2)	AxB
Suburban MD	-12.76%	48.37%	-6.170%
NOVA	4.42%	43.76%	1.933%
DC	5.87%	7.88%	0.462%
Sum			-3.776%

4) Apply the percent change for the regional rate calculate in step 3 to the 2015-2019 average fatality rate. This is the regional fatality rate target for 2017-2021.

Fatalities per		Regional percent change	2014-2018 Average
100 MVMT	2014-2018 Average	(from step 3)	(regional target)
NCR	0.652	-3.776%	0.628

As a final step, the calculated rate targets were compared to the corresponding targets adopted by the TPB last year and the lower (more aggressive) target for each performance measure was selected. Since the fatality rate target of 0.588 set last year is lower than the 0.628 figure calculated by mathematically combining the three sub-regional targets, the staff-recommended target is 0.588 (and not 0.628).

REGIONAL SAFETY TARGETS

Table 4 displays the proposed 2017-2021 National Capital Region Highway Safety Targets.

Table 4: Summary of Highway Safety Targets

Performance Measure (5-year rolling average)	2015- 2019 Target	2016- 2020 Target	2017- 2021 Target	Difference	Percent Difference
# of Fatalities	253.0	253.0	<u>253.0</u>	0.0	0.0%
Fatality Rate (per 100 MVMT)	0.588	0.588	<u>0.588</u>	0.0	0.0%
# of Serious Injuries	2,919.6	2,692.1	<u>2,435.8</u>	-256.3	-9.5%
Serious Injury Rate (per 100 MVMT)	6.564	6.110	<u>5.539</u>	-0.618	-10.0%
# Nonmotorist Fatalities & Serious Injuries	508.6	508.6	<u>508.6</u>	0.0	0.0%

DURATION

Upon adoption by the Transportation Planning Board, the targets described in this report become the official National Capital Region highway safety targets for calendar year 2021 (as represented by the average of the 5 years of data from CY 2017 through CY 2021).

As per federal regulations, the National Capital Region highway safety targets will be updated on an annual basis by no later than February 28 of each calendar year.