

2024 CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS (CMP) TECHNICAL REPORT – EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

DRAFT

October 2024

Discussion Draft, Not for Release



National Capital Region
Transportation Planning Board

CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS (CMP) TECHNICAL REPORT

Prepared on behalf of the Transportation Planning Board Technical Committee
October 4, 2024

ABOUT THE TPB

The National Capital Region Transportation Planning Board (TPB) is the federally designated metropolitan planning organization (MPO) for metropolitan Washington. It is responsible for developing and carrying out a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive transportation planning process in the metropolitan area. Members of the TPB include representatives of the transportation agencies of the states of Maryland and Virginia and the District of Columbia, 24 local governments, the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority, the Maryland and Virginia General Assemblies, and nonvoting members from the Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority and federal agencies. The TPB is staffed by the Department of Transportation Planning at the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments (COG).

CREDITS

Editors: Jan-Mou Li, Andrew J. Meese
Advised by: Systems Performance, Operations and Technology Subcommittee (SPOTS)
Commuter Connections Subcommittee

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The report authors would like to acknowledge and thank all who provided input to this document.

ACCOMMODATIONS POLICY

Alternative formats of this document are available upon request. Visit www.mwcog.org/accommodations or call (202) 962-3300 or (202) 962-3213 (TDD).

TITLE VI NONDISCRIMINATION POLICY

The Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments (COG) operates its programs without regard to race, color, and national origin and fully complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related statutes and regulations prohibiting discrimination in all programs and activities. For more information, to file a Title VI related complaint, or to obtain information in another language, visit www.mwcog.org/nondiscrimination or call (202) 962-3300.

El Consejo de Gobiernos del Área Metropolitana de Washington (COG) opera sus programas sin tener en cuenta la raza, el color, y el origen nacional y cumple con el Título VI de la Ley de Derechos Civiles de 1964 y los estatutos y reglamentos relacionados que prohíben la discriminación en todos los programas y actividades. Para más información, presentar una queja relacionada con el Título VI, u obtener información en otro idioma, visite www.mwcog.org/nondiscrimination o llame al (202) 962-3300.

Copyright © 2024 by the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments

CONTENTS

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	11
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	13
Background	13
Components of the CMP	13
Congestion on Highways	13
Regional Congestion Trends, 2010-2023	13
Regional Travel Time Reliability Trends, 2010-2023	15
Congestion Monthly Variation	15
Congestion Day of Week Variation	16
Top Bottlenecks	17
Major Freeway Commute Routes	19
Congestion on Transit and Other Systems	19
Transit	19
Managed Lanes Facilities	19
Park-and-Ride Facilities	20
Airport Access	20
Freight	20
Future Congestion	20
National Comparison of the Washington Region's Congestion	21
Congestion Management Strategies	21
Demand Management Strategies	21
Operational Management Strategies	22
Integrated/Multi-Modal Strategies	23
Additional System Capacity	24
Assessment of Congestion Management Strategies	24
Assessment of Implemented Strategies	24
Assessment of Potential Strategies Through Scenario Planning	25
Compiling Project-Specific Congestion Management Information	25
Key Findings of the 2024 CMP Technical Report	25
Recommendations for the Congestion Management Process	26
1. INTRODUCTION	29
1.1 Need for a CMP Technical Report	29
1.2 The Institutional Context of the CMP in the Washington Region	30
1.3 Coverage Area of the CMP	31
1.4 Components of the CMP	33
1.5 Highlights of the 2024 Update of the CMP Technical Report	33
2. STATE OF CONGESTION	35
2.1 Regional Travel Trends	35
2.1.1 Key External Influences on NCR's Congestion	36
2.1.2 Long-Term Travel Time Trends on NCR Corridors	37
2.2 Congestion on Highways	38
2.2.1 Traffic Monitoring with the Eastern Transportation Coalition Vehicle Probe Project (VPP)	40
2.2.2 Airport Ground Access Travel Time Studies	68

2.2.3 Freight Movement and Congestion	68
2.2.4 Traffic Signals	70
2.2.5 Safety and Congestion	71
2.3 Congestion on Transit Systems	74
2.3.1 Impacts of Highway Congestion on Transit Systems	74
2.3.2 Congestion within Transit Facilities or Systems	80
2.4 Congestion Monitoring and Data Consolidation Activities	81
2.4.1 Household Travel Surveys	81
2.4.2 Special Surveys and Studies	83
2.4.3 The Regional Transportation Data Clearinghouse	85
2.4.4 Other Congestion Monitoring and Data Consolidation Activities	86
2.5 National Comparison of the Washington Region's Congestion	87
2.6 Performance Analysis of Visualize 2045	87
3. CONSIDERATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF CONGESTION MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES	93
3.1 Overview of Congestion Management Strategies	93
3.2 Demand Management Strategies	94
3.2.1 Commuter Connections Program	94
3.2.2 Local and Other Transportation Demand Management and Traffic Management Activities	101
3.2.3 Transit Systems	117
3.2.4 Pedestrian and Bicycle Transportation	119
3.2.5 Dockless Micromobility	122
3.2.6 Car Sharing and Ride Hailing	124
3.2.7 Land Use Strategies in the Washington Region	125
3.3 Operational Management Strategies	130
3.3.1 Managed Lanes/Facilities/Systems	130
3.3.2 Traffic Management	134
3.4 Integrative/Multi-Modal Strategies	141
3.4.1 Advanced Traveler Information Systems (ATIS)	141
3.4.2 Bus Priority Systems	142
3.4.3 Regional ITS Architecture	143
3.4.4 Integrated Corridor Management (ICM)	143
3.4.5 Evaluating Significant Transportation Projects	144
3.4.6 Mobile Devices and Social Media	144
3.5 TPB Priority Strategies and the CMP	146
3.5.1 Bring Jobs and Housing Closer Together	147
3.5.2 Expand Bus Rapid Transit and Transitways	147
3.5.3 Move More People on Metrorail and Commuter Rail	147
3.5.4 Provide More Telecommuting and Other Options for Commuting	148
3.5.5 Expand Express Highway Network	148
3.5.6 Improve Walk and Bike Access to Transit	148
3.5.7 Complete the National Capital Trail Network	149
3.5.8 Apply Best Practices to Maintain Bridges, Pavement, and Transit Assets	149
3.5.9 Apply Safety Strategies to Design and Operate Safer Infrastructure	149
3.5.10 Reduce Travel Times on all Public Transportation Bus Services	150
3.5.11 Implement Transportation System Management Operations Measures	150
3.5.12 Apply Effective Technologies that Advance TPB's Goals	150
3.5.13 Convert Vehicles to Clean Fuels	150
3.5.14 Develop and Implement an Electric Vehicle Charging Network	151
3.6 Additional System Capacity	151

3.6.1 Documentation of Congestion Management for Additional System Capacity	151
3.6.2 Where Additional System Capacity Is Needed and How the Additional System Capacity Will be Managed Efficiently	152
3.7 Project-Related Congestion Management	152
4. STUDIES OF CONGESTION MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES	154
4.1 Review of Performance Measures	154
4.1.1 Introduction to Performance Measures	154
4.1.2 MAP-21/FAST Act Performance Measures	155
4.1.3 Traveler-oriented CMP Performance Measures	157
4.2 Review of Congestion Management Strategies	158
4.2.1 Introduction	158
4.2.2 Descriptions of Strategies	159
4.3 Examples of Strategies Studies	162
4.3.1 Analysis of Transportation Emissions Reduction Measures (TERMs)	162
4.3.2 Scenario Planning	162
4.3.3 MATOC Benefit-Cost Analysis	164
5. HOW RESULTS OF THE CMP ARE INTEGRATED INTO THE NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION TRANSPORTATION PLAN	165
5.1 Components of the CMP to Be Integrated in Visualize 2050	166
5.2 Monitoring and Evaluating Transportation System Performance	168
5.3 Defining and Analyzing Strategies	170
5.4 Compiling Project-Specific Congestion Management Information	171
5.5 Implementing and Assessing Strategies	172
5.5.1 Demand Management in Visualize 2050	172
5.5.2 Operational Management in Visualize 2050	173
5.5.3 Capacity Increases in Visualize 2050 and Their CMP Components	173
5.6 Role of Key Planning Agencies	174
5.6.1 Federal Partners	174
5.6.2 State Agencies	174
5.6.3 Local Jurisdictions	174
5.7 Public Engagement	174
5.8 Equity Considerations	175
6.0 CONCLUSIONS	177
6.1 Key Findings of the 2024 CMP Technical Report	177
6.2 Recommendations for the Congestion Management Process	178
APPENDICES	180
APPENDIX A – 2023 PEAK HOUR TRAVEL TIME INDEX	181
APPENDIX B – 2023 PEAK HOUR PLANNING TIME INDEX	200
APPENDIX C – 2010 AND 2021-2023 TRAVEL TIMES ALONG MAJOR FREEWAY COMMUTE CORRIDORS	219
APPENDIX D – PEAK HOURS TRAVEL TIME OVER YEARS ON MAJOR FREEWAY COMMUTE CORRIDORS	238

APPENDIX E – SUMMARY OF TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT (TDM) ANALYSIS REPORT FY 2021-2023	257
APPENDIX F – CONTENT OF CMP DOCUMENTATION FORM	264
APPENDIX G – REVIEW OF CONGESTION MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES	265
APPENDIX H – PREVIOUS TRAVEL MONITORING ACTIVITIES AND STUDIES	277

Discussion Draft, Not for Release

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE E-1: ANNUAL AVERAGE TRAVEL TIME INDEX BY HIGHWAY CATEGORY: TOTAL AM AND PM PEAKS	14
FIGURE E-2: ANNUAL AVERAGE PERCENT OF CONGESTED MILES BY HIGHWAY CATEGORY: TOTAL AM AND PM PEAKS	14
FIGURE E-3: ANNUAL AVERAGE PLANNING TIME INDEX BY HIGHWAY CATEGORY: TOTAL AM AND PM PEAKS	15
FIGURE E-4: 2023 MONTHLY VARIATION OF CONGESTION: TOTAL AM AND PM PEAKS	16
FIGURE E-5: TIME OF DAY AND DAY OF WEEK VARIATION OF CONGESTION IN 2023	16
FIGURE E-6: 2023 TOP BOTTLENECKS – ALL TIME	18
FIGURE E-7: MAJOR CMP STRATEGIES	23
FIGURE 1-1 TPB PLANNING AREA	32
FIGURE 2-1 POPULATION, EMPLOYMENT, WEEKDAY VMT AND TRANSIT RIDERSHIP, AND PEAK PERIOD TRAVEL TIME INDEX IN THE TPB PLANNING AREA	36
FIGURE 2-2 THE EASTERN TRANSPORTATION VEHICLE PROBE PROJECT/INRIX DATA COVERAGE IN THE WASHINGTON REGION	41
FIGURE 2-3 ANNUAL AVERAGE TRAVEL TIME INDEX BY HIGHWAY CATEGORY: TOTAL AM AND PM PEAKS	43
FIGURE 2-4 ANNUAL AVERAGE TRAVEL TIME INDEX BY HIGHWAY CATEGORY: AM PEAK	43
FIGURE 2-5 ANNUAL AVERAGE TRAVEL TIME INDEX BY HIGHWAY CATEGORY: PM PEAK	44
FIGURE 2-6 ANNUAL AVERAGE PLANNING TIME INDEX BY HIGHWAY CATEGORY: TOTAL AM AND PM PEAKS	45
FIGURE 2-7 ANNUAL AVERAGE PLANNING TIME INDEX BY HIGHWAY CATEGORY: AM PEAK	46
FIGURE 2-8 ANNUAL AVERAGE PLANNING TIME INDEX BY HIGHWAY CATEGORY: PM PEAK	46
FIGURE 2-9 ANNUAL AVERAGE PERCENT OF CONGESTED MILES BY HIGHWAY CATEGORY: TOTAL AM AND PM PEAKS	48
FIGURE 2-10 ANNUAL AVERAGE PERCENT OF CONGESTED MILES BY HIGHWAY CATEGORY: AM PEAK	48
FIGURE 2-11 ANNUAL AVERAGE PERCENT OF CONGESTED MILES BY HIGHWAY CATEGORY: PM PEAK	48
FIGURE 2-12 MONTHLY VARIATION OF CONGESTION IN 2023: TOTAL AM AND PM PEAKS	49

FIGURE 2-13 MONTHLY VARIATION OF CONGESTION IN 2023: AM PEAK	50
FIGURE 2-14 MONTHLY VARIATION OF CONGESTION IN 2023: PM PEAK	50
FIGURE 2-15 TIME OF DAY AND DAY OF WEEK VARIATION OF CONGESTION IN 2023	51
FIGURE 2-16 2023 TOP BOTTLENECKS – ALL TIME	54
FIGURE 2-17 MAJOR FREEWAY COMMUTE ROUTES	56
FIGURE 2-18 SAMPLE OF TRAVEL TIMES ALONG MAJOR FREEWAY COMMUTE ROUTES	57
FIGURE 2-19 TRAVEL TIME INDEX ON SELECTED NHS ARTERIALS DURING 8:00-9:00 AM ON MIDDLE WEEKDAYS IN 2023	64
FIGURE 2-20 TRAVEL TIME INDEX ON SELECTED NHS ARTERIALS DURING 5:00-6:00 PM ON MIDDLE WEEKDAYS IN 2023	65
FIGURE 2-21 NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION CONGESTION REPORT EXAMPLE (THE CONGESTION PAGE)	67
FIGURE 2-22 TRANSIT-SIGNIFICANT ROADS IN THE TPB PLANNING AREA	76
FIGURE 2-23 PEAK PERIOD TRAVEL TIME INDEX AND PLANNING TIME INDEX OF TRANSIT-SIGNIFICANT ROADS	77
FIGURE 2-24 PERCENTAGE CHANGE FOR TRANSIT-SIGNIFICANT ROADS COMPARED TO ALL ROADS	77
FIGURE 2-25 CONGESTION AND RELIABILITY YEAR-TO-YEAR CHANGES OF TRANSIT-SIGNIFICANT ROADS	78
FIGURE 2-26 DECLINE IN METROBUS SPEED, 2007 TO 2017	79
FIGURE 2-27 DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA MAP OF BUS LANES, OCTOBER 2023	80
FIGURE 2-28 DATE OF MOST RECENT TRANSIT TRAVEL SURVEY – BY AGENCY (COLLECTED 2024).	83
FIGURE 2-29 GRAPHIC ON BUS PASSENGER ORIGINS AND DESIGNATIONS (2017)	84
FIGURE 2-30 VISUALIZE 2045 PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS SUMMARY	88
FIGURE 2-31 RESIDENT VEHICLE MILES OF TRAVEL: TOTAL AND PER CAPITA	89
FIGURE 2-32 VEHICLE MILES OF TRAVEL: TOTAL AND PER CAPITA	89
FIGURE 2-33 CHANGE IN ACCESS TO JOBS BY AUTOMOBILE, 2023-2045	91
FIGURE 2-34 CHANGE IN ACCESS TO JOBS BY TRANSIT, 2023-2045	92
FIGURE 3-1 MAJOR CMP STRATEGIES	93

FIGURE 3-2 2013 REGIONAL ACTIVITY CENTER MAP 128

FIGURE 5-1 NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION TRANSPORTATION PLAN DEVELOPMENT AND THE CMP 165

FIGURE 5-2 EXAMPLE CMP CONGESTION SUMMARY USING TRAVEL TIME INDEX ON SELECTED NHS ARTERIALS DURING 8:00-9:00 AM ON MIDDLE WEEKDAYS IN 2021 169

Discussion Draft, Not for Release

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE E-1: 2023 TOP BOTTLENECKS – ALL TIME	17
TABLE 2-1 2023 TOP BOTTLENECKS – ALL TIME	53
TABLE 2-2 MAJOR FREEWAY COMMUTE ROUTES	55
TABLE 2-3 TRAVEL TIME ON MAJOR FREEWAY COMMUTE ROUTES IN AM PEAK HOUR (8:00-9:00 AM)	59
TABLE 2-4 TRAVEL TIME ON MAJOR FREEWAY COMMUTE ROUTES IN PM PEAK HOUR (5:00-6:00 PM)	60
TABLE 2-5 ARTERIAL TRAVEL TIME STUDY ROUTES	62
TABLE 2-6 –TOP TEN METROPOLITAN AREAS BY TOTAL COST OF CONGESTION (2021)	70
TABLE 2-7 HIGHWAY SAFETY PERFORMANCE MEASURES SUMMARY	74
TABLE 2-8 NATIONAL COMPARISON OF THE WASHINGTON REGION’S CONGESTION	87
TABLE 3-1 ONGOING STATE LOCAL JURISDICTIONAL TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT (TDM) STRATEGIES	102
TABLE 3-2 DOCKLESS MICROMOBILITY SERVICES IN THE WASHINGTON REGION (AS OF APRIL 2023)	123
TABLE 4-1 PERFORMANCE MEASURES IN THE FINAL RULE ON "NATIONAL PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT MEASURES; ASSESSING PERFORMANCE OF THE NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM, FREIGHT MOVEMENT ON THE INTERSTATE SYSTEM, AND CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	156
TABLE 4- 2 CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS (CMP) DEMAND MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES CRITERIA	160
TABLE 4- 3 CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS (CMP) OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES CRITERIA	161
TABLE 5-1: VISUALIZE 2050 CMP COMPONENTS	167
TABLE 5-2: COMPARISON OF TOP TEN BOTTLENECK LOCATIONS (2019) AND VISUALIZE 2045 (2020 AMENDMENT) PROJECTS	170

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AADT	Annual Average Daily Traffic	MPSTOC	McConnell Public Safety and Transportation Operations Center
ACS	American Communities Survey	MTA	Maryland Transit Administration
ART	Arlington Transit	MWAA	Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority
ATIS	Advanced Traveler Information Systems	MWCOG	Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments
ATM	Active Traffic Management	MWRITSA	Metropolitan Washington Regional Intelligent Transportation Systems Architecture
ATRI	American Transportation Research Institute	NCHRP	National Cooperative Highway Research Program
AVL	Automatic Vehicle Location	NCR	National Capital Region
BRAC	Base Closure and Realignment Commission	NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
BWI	Baltimore/Washington International Thurgood Marshall Airport	NGA	National Geospatial Agency
CAFE	Corporate Average Fuel Economy	NHS	National Highway System
CAV	Connected and Autonomous Vehicle(s)		
CATT	Center For Advanced Transportation Technology	NOx	Nitrogen Oxides
CCTV	Closed-Circuit Television	NPMRDS	National Performance Management Research Data Set
CHART	Coordinated Highway Action Response Team	NPRM	Notice of Proposed Rulemaking
CLRP	Constrained Long-Range Plan	NTOC	National Transportation Operations Coalition
CLV	Critical Lane Volume	NVRC	Northern Virginia Regional Commission
CMP	Congestion Management Process	NVTC	Northern Virginia Transportation Commission
CMS	Congestion Management System	PBPP	Performance-Based Planning and Programming
CNG	Compressed Natural Gas	PM	Particulate Matter
CO	Carbon Monoxide	PRTC	Potomac and Rappahannock Transportation Commission
COC	Commuter Operations Center	PSTOC	Public Safety Transportation Operations Center
CUE	City-University-Energysaver	PTI	Planning Time Index
		RITIS	Regional Integrated Transportation Information System
DASH	Driving Alexandrians Safely Home	RFC	Region Forward Coalition
DCA	Ronald Reagan Washington National Airport	RTPP	Regional Transportation Priorities Plan
DMS	Dynamic Message Signs	SAFETEA-LU	Safe Accountable Flexible Efficient Transportation Equity Act - A Legacy for the Users
DOT	Department of Transportation	SIP	State Implementation Plans

EPC	Emergency Planning Council	SOC	State of the Commute Survey
FAF	Freight Analysis Framework	SOV	Single Occupancy Vehicle
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration	SRTS	Safe Routes to Schools
FSCPPE	Federal State Cooperative Program for Population Estimates	TARS	Travelers Advisory Radio System
GHG	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	TAZ	Traffic Analysis Zone
GPS	Geographic Positioning System	TCSP	Transportation, Community and System Preservation
GRH	Guaranteed Ride Home	TDM	Transportation Demand Management
HOT	High Occupancy/Toll	TE	Transportation Enhancements
HOV	High Occupancy Vehicle	TERM	Transportation Emission Reduction Measure
HPMS	Highway Performance Monitoring System	TIGER	Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery
IAD	Washington Dulles International Airport	TIP	Transportation Improvement Program
ICC	Inter-County Connector	TLC	Transportation/Land Use Connections
ICM	Integrated Corridor Management	TMA	Transportation Management Area
IMR	Incident Management and Response	TMC	Traffic Management Center; Traffic Message Channel
IS	Interstate System	TOC	Transportation Operations Center
ITS	Intelligent Transportation Systems	TOD	Transit-Oriented Development
IVR	Interactive Voice Response	TPB	Transportation Planning Board
LATR	Local Area Transportation Review	TTI	Travel Time Index
LAUS	Local Area Unemployment Statistics	TTID	Transportation Technology Innovation and Demonstration
		UPT	Unlinked Passenger Trip
LOS	Level of Service	VDRPT	Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation
MAP-21	Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act	VHD	Vehicle Hours of Delay
MARC	Maryland Area Rail Commuter	VHT	Vehicle Hours of Travel
MAROps	Mid-Atlantic Rail Operations	VMT	Vehicle Miles of Travel
MATOC	Metropolitan Area Transportation Operations Coordination	VOC	Volatile Organic Compound
MATOps	Mid-Atlantic Truck Operations	VPL	Variably Priced Lane
MDSHA	Maryland State Highway Administration	VPP	Vehicle Probe Project
MNCPPC	Maryland – National Capital Park and Planning Commission	VRE	Virginia Railway Express
MOITS	Management, Operations, and Intelligent Transportation Systems	WMATA	Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization		

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background

A Congestion Management Process (CMP) has been a requirement since the 2005 Safe Accountable Flexible Efficient Transportation Equity Act - A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) federal legislation. The requirement for a CMP continued under subsequent federal transportation laws including the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21, 2012) and the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act (2015).

The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, also known as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (IIJA/BIL), was signed into law by President Biden on November 15, 2021. The IIJA/BIL itself and subsequent rulemaking were silent on the topic of the CMP. This report proceeds with the understanding that previous federal requirements as updated under the FAST Act remain in place.

This CMP Technical Report serves as a background document to the official CMP within Visualize 2050, providing detailed information on data, strategies, and regional programs involved in congestion management. This 2024 CMP Technical Report is an updated version of the previously published [CMP Technical Reports](#) (2008-2022).

Components of the CMP

The National Capital Region's Congestion Management Process has four components as described in Visualize 2050:

- Monitor and evaluate transportation system performance
- Define and analyze strategies
- Compile project-specific congestion management information
- Implement strategies and assess

This report documents and provides technical details of the four components of the CMP. It compiles information from a wide range of metropolitan transportation planning activities, as well as providing some additional CMP specific analyses, particularly travel time reliability and non-recurring congestion analyses.

Congestion on Highways

REGIONAL CONGESTION TRENDS, 2010-2023

The analysis of regional congestion trends from 2010 to 2023 highlights significant fluctuations in traffic congestion, notably influenced by the COVID-19 pandemic. The congestion intensity, measured by the Travel Time Index (TTI)¹ from a traveler's perspective, decreased during the pandemic, reaching a historic low TTI of 1.17 in 2020. Although the TTI rebounded in 2023, it remained below pre-pandemic levels. As shown in Figure E-1, Interstates exhibit higher TTI values than other road types, emphasizing the need for effective traffic management. Further details on the Travel Time Index are available in Section 2.2.1.1.

¹ Travel Time Index (TTI) is an indicator of the intensity of congestion, calculated as the ratio of actual experienced travel time to free flow travel time. A travel time index of 1.00 implies free flow travel without any delays, while a travel time index of 1.30 means one has to spend 30% more time to finish a trip compared to free flow travel.

The spatial extent of congestion, measured by Percent of Congested Miles² from a system perspective, varied (Figure E-2) similar to the TTI. All highway categories experienced a significant decrease in congestion in 2020, likely due to the impacts of COVID-19, with reductions ranging from 84% to 95% compared to 2019. However, congestion levels rebounded swiftly, particularly on Interstates. By 2023, the percentage of congested miles on Interstates during AM peak periods reached 20%, a 400% increase from the pandemic low of 4% in 2020. Despite the temporary pandemic-related decline, the long-term trend indicates a general increase in the percentage of congested miles, especially on Interstates. Additional details on the Percent of Congested Miles can be found in Section 2.2.1.3.

Figure E-1: Annual Average Travel Time Index by Highway Category: Total AM and PM Peaks





Figure E-2: Annual Average Percent of Congested Miles by Highway Category: Total AM and PM Peaks



² Percent of Congested (Directional) Miles is a system-wide measure that captures the spatial extent of congestion. Congestion is defined if actual travel time is 30% longer than the free-flow travel time², i.e., Travel Time Index > 1.3, based on recommendations made by the National Transportation Operations Coalition in 2005.


REGIONAL TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY TRENDS, 2010-2023

Travelers in the Washington region should expect significantly longer journey times during peak periods. To ensure on-time arrivals, it is advisable to double the estimated free-flow travel time, especially on the regional Interstates. This estimate accounts for all directions of travel, but those traveling in the direction of heaviest traffic may need to allocate even more time.

In line with broader traffic congestion trends, Interstates have consistently demonstrated lower travel time reliability compared to other road types. As measured by the Planning Time Index (PTI), Interstates have consistently had a higher PTI than other highway categories, both during AM and PM peak periods. On average, the PTI for Interstates is approximately 23% higher than the overall average (Figure E-3).

Since the pandemic in 2020, the reliability of Interstate travel has worsened at a faster pace than other road types. This trend is evident in both AM and PM peak periods. The PTI for Interstates has increased by approximately 23% during AM peak periods and by 27% during PM peak periods between 2020 and 2023. Further details on the Travel Time Index are available in Section 2.2.1.2.

Figure E-3: Annual Average Planning Time Index by Highway Category: Total AM and PM Peaks




CONGESTION MONTHLY VARIATION

As depicted in Figure E-4 for 2023, traffic congestion fluctuates seasonally, with the Interstates experiencing the most significant monthly variations. Transit-Significant Roads, Non-Interstate NHS, and Non-NHS roads follow in terms of congestion variability.

During PM peak hours in 2023, Interstate TTI (Travel Time Index) exhibited a 22.1% difference between its highest (May - 1.49) and lowest (August - 1.16) values. In contrast, Non-NHS roads saw a 13.5% difference (May - 1.26 vs. August - 1.09). This indicates that Interstate travel times are more subject to seasonal fluctuations than those on other road types. The monthly variation of congestion is discussed in greater detail in Section 2.2.1.4.

Figure E-4: 2023 Monthly Variation of Congestion: Total AM and PM Peaks




CONGESTION DAY OF WEEK VARIATION

Congestion also fluctuates throughout the week (Figure E-5). Weekends exhibited the least traffic, with Sunday recording even less congestion than Saturday and lacking distinct morning and afternoon peaks. Saturday displayed a distinctive pattern with slightly elevated midday traffic compared to Monday and Tuesday.

Weekday morning and afternoon rush hours on Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday witnessed substantial increases in TTI. For example, the TTI on Tuesday at 8 AM reached 1.17 compared to near free-flow conditions of 1.02 overnight, and at 5 PM, it reached 1.21. These levels are comparable to those seen before the COVID-19 pandemic, indicating a robust return to pre-pandemic traffic patterns during peak hours. For more information on the congestion variation in a typical week of 2023, see Section 2.2.1.5.

Figure E-5: Time of Day and Day of Week Variation of Congestion in 2023



TOP BOTTLENECKS


This report presents “all-time”, i.e. 24/7/365, top bottlenecks by taking advantage of the Bottleneck Ranking tool in the University of Maryland’s Regional Integrated Transportation Information System (RITIS) Probe Data Analytics (PDA) Suite. A measure of “Base Impact”, defined within the PDA Suite as the sum of queue lengths over the duration, was used to rank the bottlenecks for this report. The “all-time” top bottlenecks in 2023 are summarized in Table E-1 and mapped in Figure E-6.

For 2023, I-95 South at VA-123/Exit 160 remained the region’s most severe bottleneck (as it was in 2021 and in prior years), but the severity of congestion at this location decreased substantially compared to 2021. The average duration in 2023 (6 hours and 32 minutes) was almost 2 hours less compared to 2021 (8 hours and 9 minutes), representing a reduction of nearly 27%. The average maximum length for the bottleneck has decreased from 4.01 miles in 2021 to 3.19 miles in 2023, a decrease of approximately 20.4%. These measures suggest successful improvement efforts in this corridor. A more comprehensive discussion of the 2023 top ten bottlenecks is provided in Section 2.2.1.6.

Table E-1: 2023 Top Bottlenecks – All Time

Rank	Location	Average duration	Average max length (miles)	Total duration	Impact factor
1	I-95 S @ VA-123/EXIT 160	6 h 32 m	3.19	99 d 12 h 37 m	358,921
2	I-95 N @ VA-123/EXIT 160	4 h 17 m	3.89	65 d 7 h 21 m	348,300
3	I-495 CW @ I-270 SPUR	2 h	6.97	30 d 11 h 11 m	311,793
4	I-495 CCW @ MD-97/GEORGIA AVE/EXIT 31	3 h 45 m	3.13	57 d 1 h 35 m	265,032
5	I-495 CCW @ US-1/EXIT 1	3 h 8 m	3.52	47 d 16 h 53 m	251,152
6	GW PKY N @ VA-123/CHAIN BRIDGE RD	2 h 39 m	4.11	40 d 11 h 7 m	239,625
7	US-15 N @ STUMPTOWN RD/LUCKETTS RD	1 h 26 m	7.23	21 d 23 h 26 m	220,696
8	Baltimore–Washington Parkway S @ POWDER MILL RD	2 h 26 m	4.62	37 d 42 m	217,495
9	US-301 S @ MCKENDREE RD/CEDARVILLE RD	4 h 30 m	2.23	68 d 15 h 49 m	217,102
10	I-270 N @ MD-109/EXIT 22	2 h 8 m	5.09	32 d 14 h 5 m	214,980

Figure E-6: 2023 Top Bottlenecks - All Time



Case

Discuss

MAJOR FREEWAY COMMUTE ROUTES

Beyond the regional performance metrics outlined above, this report delves into corridor-specific analyses, focusing on 18 major freeway commute routes identified between key interchanges and points of interest. Utilizing the PDA Suite's 'Performance Charts' tool, we analyzed travel times along these routes during peak periods (Tuesdays, Wednesdays, and Thursdays) for 2010 and 2021-2023 (detailed in Section 2.2.1.7 and Appendix C).

Upon comparing travel times between 2013 and 2023, corridors were identified that have experienced improvements, deterioration, or remained relatively unchanged. The analysis conducted reveals a general trend of increased travel times across most routes during both morning and evening peak hours. However, several corridors have shown signs of improvement, with reduced travel times in 2023 compared to 2013. These insights provide valuable information for traffic management and planning efforts. For a more comprehensive exploration of traffic trends, please refer to Section 2.1.2 and Appendix D.

Congestion on Transit and Other Systems

The CMP Technical Report provides a detailed analysis of congestion's interrelationship with various issues and transportation modes, drawing from a diverse range of contemporary and historical sources. Chapter 2 provides in-depth coverage of these topics. Key findings, particularly those derived from recent research, are summarized below.

TRANSIT

The National Capital Region's transit system is a complex network comprising multiple modes, including Metrorail, commuter rail, and various bus operations. A primary concern is the persistent congestion that occurs within this system.

Roadway congestion often adversely impacts bus transit operations. Key chokepoints, particularly those situated on the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority's (WMATA) [Priority Corridor Network](#) and Transit-Significant Roads (as identified by the TPB's Regional Public Transportation Subcommittee, see Section 2.3.1.1 for more information), frequently bottleneck bus transit. Addressing roadway congestion directly benefits bus operations by reducing passenger delays, lowering operational costs, enhancing reliability, and increasing ridership.

Congestion can also arise within the transit system itself. When demand for bus, rail, and train services exceeds capacity, overcrowding becomes a concern. Metrorail stations often experience peak-hour congestion, and certain transit centers, such as Union Station, are prone to crowding. Station congestion is typically attributed to design limitations, circulation constraints, and increasing ridership. As indicated in Metro's strategic plan, Momentum³, crowded conditions during peak periods are likely to intensify without expanding the rail fleet.

MANAGED LANES FACILITIES

Several High-Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) facilities in the region have been reconstructed to High-Occupancy Toll (HOT) lanes. These lanes allow eligible vehicles, such as carpools or buses, to continue using the facility at no cost. However, single-occupant vehicles can also utilize these lanes by paying a variable toll that adjusts based on traffic congestion levels.

³ WMATA, Strategic Plan 2013-2025, <https://www.wmata.com/initiatives/strategic-plans/upload/momentum-full.pdf>

PARK-AND-RIDE FACILITIES

There are over 160,000 parking spaces at nearly 400 Park & Ride lots throughout the Washington/Baltimore Metropolitan areas where commuters can conveniently bike, walk or drive to and join up with carpools/vanpools or gain access to public transit. According to the region's [Commuter Connections](#) program: two thirds of Park & Ride Lots have bus or rail service available; parking is free at 89% of the Park & Ride Lots; and more than 25% of Park & Ride Lots have bicycle parking facilities.

AIRPORT ACCESS

The transportation linkage between airports and local activities is a critical component of the transportation system. The Washington region has two major airports – Ronald Reagan Washington National Airport (DCA) in Arlington, VA, and Washington Dulles International Airport (IAD) in Loudoun County, VA. The region is also served by the nearby Baltimore/Washington International Thurgood Marshall Airport (BWI). According to the most recent TPB [Air Passenger Survey](#) the majority (over 90%) of those traveling to the region's airports does so via the highway network (i.e. personal cars, rental cars, taxis, buses). Therefore, understanding ground airport access is important to congestion management.

FREIGHT

The National Capital Region has a responsive freight system to support the vitality of economy and quality of life. This region features a consumer and service-based economy and approximately 73% of freight by weight moving into, out of and within the region is transported by truck⁴. In addition, the TPB calculates a Truck Travel Time Reliability Index (TTTRI) as part of federal Performance-Based Planning and Programming reporting requirements (see Section 4.1.2).

Future Congestion

The constrained element of Visualize 2045, the Metropolitan Washington region's long-range transportation plan, included all regionally significant transportation projects and programs planned in the Metropolitan Washington region over the next 25-30 years. The TPB produces a performance analysis of every long-range plan, which examines trends and assesses future levels of congestion as well as other performance measures. The performance analysis of the constrained element of Visualize 2045 provided an overall assessment of the anticipated impacts and an indication of future levels of congestion relevant to the CMP.⁵

Based upon the outlook of growth in the region, the plan performance analysis examined travel demand model data to identify where congestion is expected to occur now and in the future. It looked at criteria that may affect congestion, such as changes in population, employment, transit trips, auto trips, number of lane miles, and congested lane miles. The analysis broke down lane miles of congestion by examining the total share of congested lane miles, a comparison with no-build alternative scenario, additional indicators of delay, and, generally, where the most lane miles of congestion may be found in 2045.

The region was forecast to be home to 23% more residents and 26% more jobs in 2045. Towards accommodating that growth, 42% more lane miles of roadway and 27% more high-capacity transit miles were planned to be constructed. The total number of trips per day taken was expected to increase by 17%, and transit, walk, and bike trips were expected to increase at a faster rate than

⁴ National Capital Region Freight Plan Update – 2023, <https://www.mwcog.org/documents/2023/07/19/national-capital-region-freight-plan-freight/>

⁵ TPB, Visualize 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan (2022 Update). <https://visualize2045.org/plan-update/approved-2022-plan/>

single driver trips. The overall amount of driving (Vehicle Miles Traveled or VMT) was expected to increase by 15%. This was slightly less than forecast population growth, which means that VMT per capita was expected to decline by 3%. The increase in demand on the roadways was forecast to outpace the increase in supply, leading to a significant increase in congestion.

National Comparison of the Washington Region's Congestion

The Washington metropolitan area is consistently ranked among the most congested regions in the United States. According to independent congestion analyses conducted by INRIX⁶ and TomTom⁷, the region's traffic patterns significantly contribute to travel time delays. While INRIX ranked Washington 8th nationally in 2023 based on annual average hours wasted in traffic, TomTom placed the region at the 14th position using average travel time per 6 miles as a metric. For additional details of the Washington region's congestion relative to other major cities, please refer to Section 2.5.

Congestion Management Strategies

The CMP has been playing an important role in developing strategies, including strategies in association with capacity-expanding projects, to combat congestion or mitigate the impact of congestion. Visualize 2045 and TPB member agencies have pursued many alternatives to capacity increases, with considerations of these strategies informed by the CMP. Implemented or continuing strategies include demand management strategies and operational management strategies, as shown in Figure E-7. It should be noted that although strategies are divided into two categories for reporting purposes in this document, demand management and operational management strategies should be designed and implemented to work in cooperation.

DEMAND MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

Demand Management aims at influencing travelers' behavior for the purpose of redistributing or reducing travel demand. Examples of TPB's demand management strategies include:

- Commuter Connections Program – Including strategies such as Telework, Employer Outreach, Guaranteed Ride Home, Live Near Your Work, Carpooling, Vanpooling, Ridematching Services, Car Free Day, and Bike To Work Day.
- Promotion of local travel demand management – Local demand management strategies are documented in the main body of the CMP Technical Report.
- Public transportation improvements – The Washington region continues to support a robust transit system as a major alternative to driving alone.
- Pedestrian and bicycle transportation enhancements as promoted and tracked through the Bicycle and Pedestrian Planning program – The number of bicycle and pedestrian facilities in the region has increased in recent years; the regional bikesharing program, Capital Bikeshare has more than 5,000 bikes available at 600 stations across six jurisdictions: Washington, DC; Arlington, VA; Alexandria, VA; Montgomery County, MD; Prince George's County, MD; Fairfax County, VA; and the City of Falls Church, VA.

⁶ INRIX, Inc., Traffic Scorecard, <http://inrix.com/scorecard/>

⁷ TomTom, Traffic Index, https://www.tomtom.com/en_gb/trafficindex/list

- Car sharing - Local governments work with private companies to make the region's car sharing market viable.
- Land use strategies – Including those promoted by the Transportation-Land Use Connections (TLC) Program.


OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

Operational management focuses on improvements made to the existing transportation system to keep it functioning effectively. Examples of TPB's operational management strategies include:

- Managed Lanes Facilities, which can include high-occupancy vehicle facilities (such as on US-50 and the Dulles Toll Road) and variably-priced facilities (such as on I-66 and I-495).
- Incident Management – The region's state DOTs all pursue strategies for managing their transportation systems, including operation of 24/7 traffic management centers, roadway monitoring, service patrols, and communications interconnections among personnel, centers and systems.
- Regional Transportation Operations Coordination – Notably, the Metropolitan Transportation Operations Coordination (MATOC) program, whose development the TPB helped shepherd, uses real-time transportation systems monitoring and information sharing to help mitigate the impacts of non-recurring congestion.
- Intelligent Transportation Systems are considered, particularly through the TPB's Systems Performance, Operations, and Technology Subcommittee. Examples include traffic signal optimization, adaptive traffic signal systems, safety service patrols, drone technology for accident reconstruction and traveler information.

Discussion Draft Not for Release

Figure E-7: Major CMP Strategies



Note: There are synergies between demand management and operational management strategies, such as real-time traveler information on ridesharing opportunities responsive to a real-time traffic incident or situation.

INTEGRATED/MULTI-MODAL STRATEGIES

While there is often overlap in demand management and operational management strategies, for example, real-time traveler information on ridesharing opportunities responsive to a real-time traffic incident or situation, there are projects in the region that fully integrate demand and operational management strategies.

- Advanced Traveler Information Systems – Travelers have more ways than ever for obtaining trip planning information such as traffic, incidents, real-time transit arrivals, and emergency information. The prevalence of internet capable mobile devices and social media provide new means of communication between travelers and operators.
- Bus priority systems are sensors used to detect approaching transit vehicles and alter signal timings to improve transit performance. This is important because improved transit performance, including more reliable arrival times for buses, makes public transit a more appealing option for travelers. Bus priority systems have been installed on key bus corridors across the region, helping to offset the impact of traffic congestion on bus speeds.

- Integrated Corridor Management - MDOT and VDOT have instituted ICM efforts in major corridors.
- Both the VDOT and the MDOT utilize performance-data-driven project programming prioritization processes that consider, in part, congestion management impacts of proposed projects.
- DOTs, transit agencies, private transportation providers, and other third parties have developed mobile versions of websites and mobile applications (apps) to make it easier for travelers to receive information on their devices.
- Transportation agencies in the region have adopted social media as a means of sharing information with a large segment of the public. Instead of providing information only on a central website that the user has to visit, social media provides a way to deliver that information to users through a forum to which they already subscribe, such as Twitter which was one of the most popular social media sites for the transportation sector.

ADDITIONAL SYSTEM CAPACITY

Federal law and regulations list capacity increases as another possible component of operational management strategies, for consideration in cases of elimination of bottlenecks, safety improvements and/or traffic operational improvements. These capacity increase projects were documented in Visualize 2045.

The region has an emphasis on demand and operational management strategies, such as transit improvements, the Commuter Connections program, instead of or in conjunction with system capacity increases.

Assessment of Congestion Management Strategies

ASSESSMENT OF IMPLEMENTED STRATEGIES

The TPB assesses the implemented congestion management strategies in a variety of ways. Many strategies have specific assessments and the overall effectiveness of all strategies is repeatedly evaluated by congestion monitoring and analysis.

Specific assessments (of individual or several strategies):

- A variety of surveys within the Commuter Connections Program are regularly conducted to provide firsthand data inputs for the assessments, including the Guaranteed Ride Home Customer Satisfaction Survey, Commuter Connections Applicant Placement Rate Survey, State of the Commute Survey, Employee Commute Surveys, Carshare Survey, Vanpool Driver Survey, Employer Telework Assistance Follow-up Survey, and the Bike-to-Work Day Participant Survey.
- Public transportation improvements, pedestrian and bicycle transportation improvements, and land use strategies are assessed in Regional Household Travel Surveys, and Regional Travel Trends Reports.

Overall assessments (of all implemented strategies):

- a) The Eastern Transportation Coalition probe-vehicle-based traffic monitoring data.

- b) National Performance Management Research Data Set (NPMRDS).
- c) Maryland, Virginia and the District of Columbia's Highway Performance Monitoring Systems (HPMS).

ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL STRATEGIES THROUGH SCENARIO PLANNING

The TPB has conducted scenario planning studies over the years. The three most recent scenario studies are the Long Range Plan Task Force Report which identified seven initiatives for inclusion in Visualize 2045; the Multi-sector Working Group (2015) identified projects in the transportation and land use sector with the aim of reducing greenhouse gases; and the TPB Climate Change Mitigation Study of 2021 which included three “top-down” scenarios and 10 “bottom-up” scenarios exploring single and combination pathways to reduce on-road, transportation-sector greenhouse gas emissions.

The TPB has also undertaken the Transportation/Land Use Connections (TLC) Program. The TLC Program addresses the “how to” challenges related to improving transportation/land-use coordination and realizing an alternative future for the region, through providing both direct technical assistance and information about best practices and model projects.

Compiling Project-Specific Congestion Management Information

Pursuant to Federal regulations, the TPB encourages consideration and inclusion of congestion management strategies in all Single Occupancy Vehicle (SOV) capacity-increasing projects. To ensure that individual transportation projects contribute positively to regional congestion management efforts, the TPB utilizes a CMP Documentation Form⁸ (see Appendix F) to assess that the planning of federally funded single-occupancy vehicle (SOV) projects has included considerations of CMP strategy alternatives and integrate such components where feasible. In the Technical Inputs Solicitation for the update to Visualize 2050 and the TIP, for any project to undergo construction activities within the first four years (by 2029) and is providing a significant increase to SOV capacity, it must be documented that the implementing agency considered all appropriate systems and demand management alternatives to the SOV capacity. This ensures that project planning considered strategies that reduce overall traffic demand, alongside potential capacity enhancements.

The dedicated CMP Documentation Form includes a specific set of questions related to SOV congestion management. Any project with construction activities planned by 2029 aiming to significantly increase a highway's single-occupancy vehicle capacity must answer these questions to be considered for inclusion within the Visualize 2050 plan and the FY26-29 TIP. By requiring this documentation, the CMP ensures that high-capacity SOV projects are carefully evaluated and, whenever possible, integrated with strategies that manage overall traffic demand.

Key Findings of the 2024 CMP Technical Report

1. Congestion – While the COVID-19 pandemic significantly reduced congestion, with the Travel Time Index (TTI) reaching a historic low of 1.17 in 2020, congestion rebounded in 2023, but

⁸ The CMP Documentation Form is currently a portion of the online system member agencies use to enter project information into TPB's Technical Inputs Solicitation.

to levels still below pre-pandemic norms (e.g., Interstates TTI of 1.41 in 2023 versus 1.48 in 2019).

2. Reliability – Travel time reliability improved during the pandemic due to reduced congestion but has since reverted to pre-pandemic levels (Section 2.2.1.2).
3. Bottlenecks – Bottleneck locations have shifted somewhat since 2019, though many persistent hotspots remain, with I-95 southbound between US-1 and VA-123 continuing to be the region's most congested segment. (Section 2.2.1.6).
4. Travel Demand Management – Travel demand management strategies, including the Commuter Connections program, remain crucial for congestion mitigation. The region's robust transit system is a key alternative to single-occupancy vehicles (Section 3.2.1).
5. Walking and Bicycling – Walking and bicycling continue to grow in the region in part due to increasing connectivity in the bicycle and pedestrian network (Sections 3.2.4 and 3.2.5).
6. Variably Priced Lanes (VPLs) - VPLs provide additional options for travelers in the region. Facilities include 95Express, 395Express, 495Express, I-66, and Maryland Route 200 (Intercounty Connector (ICC)) (Section 3.3.2).
7. Regional Transportation Operations Coordination – The Metropolitan Washington Area Transportation Operations Coordination (MATOC) continues to play an important role in coordination and communicating incident information during both typical travel days and special events such as severe weather and construction work (Section 3.3.3.4).
8. Real-time travel information – The increasing availability of technology to monitor, detect, and evaluate travel conditions allows operators to make changes to the transportation network through active travel demand management, traffic signal optimization, and integrative corridor management. For travelers, real-time traffic and transit information are available from a number of sources through mobile applications and mobile versions of websites. Social media provides a mutually beneficial direct connection between transportation providers and users. Mobile applications related to non-auto modes, such as transit and bikesharing, allow travelers to be flexible with their mode choices (Section 3.4.6).
9. COVID-19 Pandemic Impacts – 2023 saw a mix of travel trends coming out of the pandemic, with A.M. peak congestion remaining lower but P.M. peak congestion matching pre-pandemic conditions. (Sections 2.2.1.1 and 2.2.1.2; Section 2.2.3; Section 2.3).

Recommendations for the Congestion Management Process

The 2024 CMP Technical Report delineates the evolution of the Congestion Management Process in the Washington region. The report underscores several pivotal recommendations for prospective enhancements.

1. **Continue the Commuter Connections program.** The Commuter Connections program is a fundamental strategy for demand management in the National Capital Region, offering benefits from a regional perspective. It contributes to the reduction of trips, vehicle miles of travel, transportation emissions, and enhances air quality.
2. **Continue and enhance the MATOC program and support agency/jurisdictional transportation management activities.** The MATOC program/activities are key strategies of

operational management in the National Capital Region. Recent enhancements have including efforts on severe weather mobilization and incident coordination. Future enhancements of the MATOC program should be considered when appropriate to expand the function and participation of the program.

3. **Continue to coordinate PBPP with the CMP.** Performance measurement and analysis are integral components of both requirements and can be achieved synergistically.
4. **Continue to encourage integration of operations management and travel demand management components of congestion management for more efficient use of the existing transportation network.** State DOTs should persist in exploring ATM strategies along congested freeways and actively manage arterials along freeways. Collaboration among transportation agencies and stakeholders is encouraged along congested corridors.
5. **Pursue sufficient investment in the existing transportation system, which is important for addressing congestion.** Prioritizing maintenance for the existing transportation system, as advocated in TPB's Regional Transportation Priorities Plan, is crucial to congestion management.
6. **Continue variable pricing and other management strategies in conjunction with capacity increasing projects.** Variably priced lanes (VPLs) offer an option for travelers to circumvent congestion and provide an effective congestion management strategy for agencies.
7. **Continue to encourage transit in the Washington region and explore transit priority strategies.** The transit system serves as a significant alternative to solo driving, maximizing the utility of existing infrastructure. Local jurisdictions should collaborate with transit agencies to explore transit priority strategies.
8. **Encourage implementation of congestion management for major construction projects.** Past successes, such as the 495 NEXT and Transform 66 projects, underscore the effectiveness of construction project-related congestion management.
9. **Continue to encourage access to non-auto travel modes.** The success of the Capital Bikeshare program and the decrease in automobile registrations in the District of Columbia indicate a shift towards non-automobile transportation in urban areas.
10. **Continue and enhance providing real-time, historical, and multimodal traveler information.** Sharing travel/incident information and partnering with private sector providers of travel and navigation information can help travelers avoid congestion and delays.
11. **Encourage implementation of projects, programs, and processes that support the TPB Priority Strategies.** In February 2023, the TPB approved Priority Strategies to guide the development of Visualize 2050. As a continuation of the seven Aspirational Initiatives endorsed in 2018, the TPB noted that these fourteen total Priority Strategies, if funded, enacted, and supported, would have the potential to significantly improve the region's transportation system performance compared to current plans and programs.
12. **Encourage connectivity within and between Regional Activity Centers.** The recent refinement of the Regional Activity Centers map, adopted in 2013, helps coordinate transportation and land use planning for future growth.

13. **Continue and enhance the regional congestion monitoring program with multiple data sources.** There is a wealth of sources, both public and private sector, for data related to congestion which have their individual strengths and shortcomings. Private sector probe-based monitoring provides unprecedented spatial and temporal coverage on roadways, but still needs to be supplemented with data from other sources including data on traffic volumes and traffic engineering considerations. There should be continual review of the quality and availability of data provided by different sources and the structuring of a monitoring program in a way that is adaptable for potential future changes in data reporting and/or data sources.
14. **Undertake enhanced analysis of available data to understand congestion trends and impacts.** Regional understanding of the equity impacts of congestion as well as long-term trends would be improved with new and additional analyses.
15. **Monitor trends in freight, specifically truck travel.** Interrelationships between freight movement and congestion differ from interrelationships between passenger travel and congestion.
16. **Participate in collaborative planning connected and automated vehicle readiness.** These emerging technologies will dramatically alter future transportation planning. Standards and interoperability are critical issues and should be addressed through extensive collaboration with a variety of stakeholders.
17. **Monitor impacts of and interactions with shared mobility services.** Transportation Network Companies (TNCs) continue to have an evolving impact on a variety of aspects of congestion management, mode share, and transportation overall, but data for regional analysis remain scarce. Regulating agencies are encouraged to arrange for TNC data to be collected and shared with the TPB and other official transportation planning and operating entities, to enable analysis of impacts.
18. **Encourage Traffic Incident Management (TIM).** COG's 2018 creation of its Traffic Incident Management Enhancement (TIME) initiative highlighted the importance of TIM within congestion management.



National Capital Region
Transportation Planning Board

Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments
777 North Capitol Street NE, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20002

mwcog.org/tpb