

**CLRP Aspirations Scenario,
Land Use Component Version 1**

The goal of the land use scenario is to move jobs and housing closer together to create denser, more concentrated development in activity clusters and allow for more transportation choices, such as transit, walking, and biking.

1. Are there any TAZs where the shift, either positive or negative, is too aggressive? It should be noted that while this scenario is intended to go beyond current zoning regulations and land use policies, it does aim to be "within reach."
2. Are there any TAZs where the shift, either positive or negative, is not aggressive enough? Could the TAZ absorb more growth if it is designated as a receiving zone, or shed more growth if it is a donor zone?
3. Are there any projected developments that will be part of the round 7.2 forecast that should be factored into this land use scenario (particularly in those TAZs where the shifts are contrary to any developments that have emerged since the 7.1 forecast was completed)?
4. Are there any TAZs currently designated as a donor or receiving zone that should be the opposite?
5. Are there areas where growth should be concentrated that are not within the current grouping of activity centers (are there areas where new activity centers should be located)?

For more information reading the CLRP Aspirations Scenario, please contact Monica Bansal (Department of Transportation Planning) at 202.962.3290 or mbansal@mwkog.org.