

CORE OF ROSSLYN Transportation Study



Kimley **Horn**

March 15, 2019

Performance-Based Multimodal Planning and Implementation of Rosslyn Streets Reconfiguration

MWCOG Travel Forecasting Subcommittee

Agenda

- Introduction
- Travel & Growth Patterns
- Data & Methodology
- Application
- Key Findings & Next Steps







Introduction

From Sector Plan to Core Study



Goals

- Analyze multimodal transportation conditions and needs
- Engage the public and stakeholders in the analysis process
- Evaluate concepts for street network design to achieve the Sector Plan's objectives
- Arrive at a final alternative concept (set of projects) to move through the County's design process





Study Area



CORE OF ROSSLYN Transportation Study





Transportation Study Focus Areas



- Align with Sector Plan goals
- Used to develop performance measures:
 - Allow for *comparative* assessment between baseline and concepts

CORE OF ROSSLYN Transportation Study



Travel and Growth Patterns

Commuting Patterns

- Common Destinations for Rosslyn Residents
 - Tysons Corner
 - Rosslyn-Ballston Corridor
 - Downtown DC



- Common Origins for Rosslyn Employees
 - I-66 Corridor
 - South Arlington







Rosslyn Mode Share: Residents



FROM ROSSLYN

- Rosslyn's public transit and active mode shares are *almost double* that of the County as a whole
- Accommodating public transit and active modes is *critical* in Rosslyn

Source: American Community Survey commuting data (2011-2015) for two census tracts generally representing Study Area

CORE OF ROSSLYN Transportation Study



Rosslyn Mode Share: Employees



Source: Arlington County Building Survey (2016) for buildings in the Rosslyn Study Area



- Almost equivalent percentages of drive alone and public transit trips
- Public transit is a vital link for commuters who work in Rosslyn

CORE OF ROSSLYN Transportation Study



Regional Gateway



CORE OF ROSSLYN Transportation Study



Development and Growth in Rosslyn



Source: Arlington County, CPHD, Planning Division, Center for Urban Design and Research, Dec 2017.

CORE OF ROSSLYN Transportation Study



Kimley *Whorn*

13

5

PDSP

LINGTO

Data & Methodology

Key Data and Model Assumptions

| | Use |
|---|---|
| Existing Data Counts, StreetLight, Parking, Surveys, Google API | Balanced volumes Travel patterns Mode share Garage access |
| MWCOG Travel Demand Forecasting Model | Version 2.3.70 Regional vehicular trips/growth patterns (relative to Rosslyn) Review mode share |
| VISUM Subarea Model | O-D matrix estimation for 2017 Reassigning ITE-based vehicular trips in 2030 High-level concept screening |
| VISSIM Models | Evaluating multimodal traffic operations and reporting performance measures |

CORE OF ROSSLYN Transportation Study



Modeling and Analysis Framework



CORE OF ROSSLYN Transportation Study



Purposes of Applying Different Models

- MWCOG Model replicate regional vehicular trip magnitude and patterns relative to Rosslyn
 - External-External (through) trips pattern/growth
 E-I/I-E distributions
- VISUM Model replicate subarea travel patterns, link volumes, and turning volumes
 - Subarea assignment
- VISSIM Model replicate existing multimodal operations





MWCOG Model Regional Trip Magnitude and Patterns

- Internal-External and External-External trips (relative to Rosslyn subarea)
- Cutlines (west of Rosslyn, south/east, DC river crossings)
- Origin/Destination (O/D) as percentage of overall traffic
- O-D patterns in AM and PM peak periods

| | Seeding Trip Table | | StreetLight | MWCOG | |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------|--------|--------|
| Trip Type | Trips % | | % | Trips | % |
| External to External | 54,828 | 79.4% | 76.1% | 51,138 | 76.8% |
| External to Internal | 9,535 | 13.8% | 14.3% | 10,388 | 15.6% |
| Internal to External | 4,374 | 6.3% | 9.1% | 4,501 | 6.8% |
| Internal to Internal | 309 | 0.4% | 0.5% | 524 | 0.8% |
| Total | 69,046 | 100.0% | 100.0% | 65,799 | 100.0% |

AM Peak Period Trip Pattern Validation

CORE OF ROSSLYN Transportation Study



VISUM Subarea Model and Forecasting



CORE OF ROSSLYN Transportation Study



Application

Existing Traffic Conditions





Study Area Travel Speeds AM Peak Period



Source: Travel time runs (2017); Google Maps Application Programming Interfaces (API).



PM Peak Period



- Maps show peak period speeds, as a percentage of the posted travel speed.
- The peak periods represents the time period with the most significant traffic activity in the study area, generally 7:00 AM to 10:00 AM and 3:30 PM to 6:30 PM



Source: Intersection turning movement counts (2014-2017)



2016

2030

Sources: Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Model (10th Edition), MWCOG Version 2.3.7 Travel Demand Model with Round 9.1 cooperative forecasts for Arlington County

CORE OF ROSSLYN Transportation Study





CORE OF ROSSLYN Transportation Study





CORE OF ROSSLYN Transportation Study



Measures of Effectiveness



| CATEGORY | MEASURE | Baseline | 2030 CONCEPT 1 IN COMPARISON TO 2030 BASELINE SCENARIO | | 2030 CONCEPT 2 IN COMPARISON TO 2030 BASELINE SCENARIO | |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| PEDESTRIAN AND URBAN DESIGN | Quantity of Sidewalks in Public Right-of-Way | 154,000 sq ft | + | 179,300 sq ft | | 164,900 sq ft |
| | Number of New or Improved Pedestrian Crossings (Shortened or Added Median) | - | + | 14 | | 18 |
| | Pedestrian Delay at Critical Intersections - AM (Number of intersections with 40 or more seconds of delay) | 3 | + | 6 | | 4 |
| | Pedestrian Delay at Critical Intersections - PM (Number of intersections with 40 or more seconds of delay) | 7 | | 8 | | 6 |
| BICYCLES | Bicycle Network Connections to Local/Regional Trails | 5 | + | 5 (improved connections to Custis and Mt. Vernon Trails and Key Bridge) | • | 5 (Improved connections to Custis and Mt. Vernon Trails and Key Bridge) |
| | Quantity of New or Improved Protected Bike Facilities | 2,200 ft (0.4 miles) | + | 6,900 ft (1.3 miles) | | 9,700 ft (1.8 miles) |
| | Percentage of Segments with 'Low stress' Biking Experience (Bike Level of Traffic Stress 1 or 2) | 28% | + | 56% | | 62 % |
| | Bicycle Delay at Critical Intersections - AM (Number of intersections with 40 or more seconds of delay) | 0 | + | 4 | | 1 |
| | Bicycle Delay at Critical Intersections - PM (Number of intersections with 40 or more seconds of delay) | 2 | | 3 | | 3 |
| VEHICLES | Total Network Queue Length – AM (Number of intersections with queues that exceed block length) | 1,205 vehicles (17) | + | 1,563 vehicles (16) | + | 1,321 vehicles (15) |
| | Total Network Queue Length – PM (Number of intersections with queues that exceed block length) | 855 vehicles (16) | + | 1,419 vehicles (15) | + | 1,185 vehicles (15) |
| | Overall Vehicle Delay at Intersections (Number of intersections operating with significant overall delay) | 8 | + | 17 | + | 12 |
| | Peak Period Travel Speed - AM | 9 MPH | + | 7 MPH | | 8 MPH |
| | Peak Period Travel Speed - PM | 9 MPH | + | 7 MPH | ÷ | 7 MPH |
| | Transit Average Speed - AM | 9 MPH | + | 6 MPH | | 8 MPH |
| | Transit Average Speed - PM | 10 MPH | + | 8 MPH | + | 8 MPH |
| PARKING AND CURBSPACE | Quantity of On-Street Parking Supply | 98 parking spaces | _ | 96 parking spaces | + | 137 parking spaces (includes off-peak parking) |
| | Quantity of New Multimodal Curb Space (Includes bus, taxi, bike lanes protected by parking, loading zones, carshare/bikeshare) | 11% | + | 14% | + | 13% |

Key Findings & Next Steps

Key Takeaways – MWCOG Model and Data

MWCOG model does well in:

- Replicating overall proportions of through and internal trips compared to probe-vehicle data
- Cutline volumes reasonably represent traffic counts
 - External-External trip growth

Wish we had:

- Better O-D data for subzones and gateways
- Better data to understand mode split (auto vs. non-motorized trip rates)

CORE OF ROSSLYN Transportation Study



Key Takeaways – Study

- Performance-based planning
 - An iterative process of analysis, design, and public engagement to arrive at a balanced street network concept for all users
 - Develop multimodal performance measures that allow for comparative assessment between baseline conditions and concepts

- Qualitative and quantitative analysis
- A lens of regional and local perspective
 - Data and modeling help inform public and stakeholders of needs and conditions



Schedule & Next Steps

- Assess Final Design Alternative Options
 - Key Stakeholder Coordination: March/April
 - Public Feedback Closes: April 3rd
 - Decision Points/Final Model Runs: Mid-April
- Arrive at Final Design Recommendations
 - Final Public Meeting: June
 - Final Study Report: Late Summer 2019





Questions