

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

MAR 2 5 2014

Michael G. Dowd, Air Quality Division Director Virginia Department of Environmental Quality 629 East Main Street Richmond, Virginia 23219

Dear Mr. Dowd:

Thank you for the June 3, 2013, submittal of the maintenance plan for the Virginia portion of the Metropolitan Washington, D.C., (DC-MD-VA) 1997 Fine Particulate (PM_{2.5}) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) Nonattainment Area (hereafter, the Washington Area) as a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision. This letter addresses the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) review of the adequacy of the motor vehicle emissions budgets (MVEBs) for direct particulate matter (PM) and nitrogen oxides (NO_x) for the Washington Area.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4) of the Transportation Conformity Rule (40 CFR part 93, subpart A), EPA has reviewed the Washington Area's Maintenance Plan as well as the MVEBs contained in the maintenance plan, which were developed with the use of the Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator (MOVES). EPA has determined that these MVEBs are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. However, this adequacy finding does not relate to the merits of the SIP submittal nor does it indicate whether the submittal meets the requirements for approval.

The Washington Area Maintenance Plan includes a Tier 1 and Tier 2 approach for MVEBs and will be applied to all future transportation conformity determinations and analyses for the 1997 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS. The Tier 1 MVEBs shown in Table 1 will be the applicable motor vehicle emissions budgets after the adequacy findings are effective. The Tier 2 MVEBs shown in Table 2 add a twenty percent (20%) transportation buffer to the mobile emissions inventory projections for PM_{2.5} and NOx in 2017 and 2025. The Tier 2 MVEBs will become effective only if it is determined that technical uncertainties due to model changes and to vehicle fleet turnover, which may affect future motor vehicle emissions inventories, lead to motor vehicle emissions estimates above the Tier 1 MVEBs. The determination will be made through the interagency consultation process and fully documented within the first conformity analysis that uses the Tier 2 MVEBs.

Table 1. Tier 1 On-Road MVEBs Contained in the Washington Area Maintenance Plan for the 1997 PM _{2.5} NAAQS		
Year	Motor Vehicle Emissions	s Mobile Vehicle Emissions
* * *	Budget for PM _{2.5} On-Roa	
	Emissions (tons per year)	Emissions (tons per year)
2017	1,787	41,709
2025	1,350	27,400

Table 2. Tier 2 On-Road MVEBs Contained in the Washington Area Maintenance Plan for the 1997 PM _{2.5} NAAQS		
Year	Motor Vehicle Emissions	Mobile Vehicle Emissions
a =	Budget for PM _{2.5} On-Road	Budget for NOx On-Road
*	Emissions (tons per year)	Emissions (tons per year)
2017	2,144	50,051
2025	1,586	32,880

EPA opened the public comment period on the adequacy of the submitted SIP by posting to the EPA Office of Transportation and Air Quality's adequacy review website (http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm) on February 5, 2014. The comment period closed on March 7, 2014, and no comments were received. EPA will soon publish a notice in the Federal Register announcing this adequacy finding. The Federal Register will also announce the date that the adequacy finding becomes effective. The MVEBs will be available for use on the effective date.

EPA has concluded the MVEBs for the 1997 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS are consistent with maintenance of the 1997 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS. Virginia has demonstrated in their submittal that attainment of the 1997 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS will be maintained in the Washington Area without the implementation of the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) or Cross-State Air Pollution Control Rule (CSAPR). Virginia does not rely on either CAIR or CSAPR in the Washington Area's maintenance plan for the 1997 PM_{2.5} NAAQS, and demonstrates that emission reductions from CAIR or CSAPR are not needed to maintain the standard. In addition, modeling conducted by EPA during the CSAPR rulemaking process also demonstrates that the counties in the Washington Area will have PM_{2.5} levels below the 1997 annual PM_{2.5} standard in both 2012 and 2014 without taking into account emissions reductions from CAIR or CSAPR. *See* "Air Quality Modeling Final Rule Technical Support Document," App. B, B-18, B-19. This modeling is available in the docket for this proposed redesignation action at www.regulations.gov, Docket ID No. EPA-R03-OAR-2014-0148. Therefore, neither the current status of CAIR nor the current status of CSAPR affects any of the criteria for an adequacy finding for the Washington Area.

Virginia did not provide emission budgets for sulfur dioxide (SO₂), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), or ammonia for the Washington Area's Maintenance Plan because it concluded that emissions of these precursors from motor vehicles are not significant contributors to the area's PM_{2.5} air quality problem. The transportation conformity rule provision at 40 CFR 93.102(b)(2)(v) indicates that conformity does not apply for these precursors, due to the lack of motor vehicle emissions budgets for these precursors and state's conclusion that motor vehicle emissions of SO₂, VOCs, and ammonia do not contribute significantly to the area's PM_{2.5} nonattainment problem. This provision of the transportation conformity

rule predates and was not disturbed by the January 4, 2013 decision in the litigation on the PM_{2.5} implementation rule.¹ EPA has preliminarily concluded that the State's decision to not include budgets for SO₂, VOCs, and ammonia is consistent with the requirements of the transportation conformity rule. That decision does not affect EPA's adequacy finding for the submitted direct PM and NOx MVEBs for the Washington Area's Maintenance Plan.

EPA has concluded that MVEBs satisfy the requirements of 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4)(iv), which requires that the budget(s), when considered together with all other emissions sources, is consistent with applicable requirements for attainment/maintenance. These MVEBs serve to strengthen the SIP through continued attainment and ensure that motor vehicle emissions remain consistent with the emissions levels provided for in the SIP.

If members of your staff have any questions regarding this finding, they may direct them to Mr. Gregory Becoat, at (215) 814-2036.

Sincerely,

Cristina Fernandez, Associate Director

Office of Air Program Planning

Os a ful

Enclosure

cc: Tom Ballou (VADEQ)
Doris McCleod (VADEQ)
Jim Ponticello (VADOT)
Sunil Kumar (MWAQC)

¹ EPA issued conformity regulations to implement the 1997 PM_{2.5} NAAQS (69 FR 40004, July 1, 2004 and 70 FR 24280, May 6, 2005, respectively). Those actions were not part of the final rule recently remanded to EPA by the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in *NRDC v. EPA*, No. 08-1250 (January 4, 2013), in which the Court remanded to EPA the implementation rule for the PM_{2.5} NAAQS because it concluded that EPA must implement that NAAQS pursuant to the PM-specific implementation provisions of subpart 4 of Part D of Title I of the CAA, rather than solely under the general provisions of subpart 1.