## FY 2020 REGIONAL TARGETS FOR TRANSIT ASSET MANAGEMENT

#### Performance Based Planning And Programming

Matthew Gaskin, TPB Transportation Planner Eric Randall, TPB Transportation Engineer

Regional Public Transportation Subcommittee November 26, 2019



## **Overview**

- Refresh requirement for region's providers of public transportation to provide the TPB with their:
  - 1. 2020 TAM targets
  - 2. 2019 TAM targets vs. 2019 actual performance
  - 3. Narrative report
    - 5 Upload TAM Narrative Report:

Your narrative report should include, at a minimum, a discussion of your system including the 1-Changes in your system's conditions since last year 2- Progress toward your current fiscal year's targets, and 3 – Targets for the next fiscal year.

Attachment		Browse_
	The maximum file upload size is	10.00 MB.



#### **Transit Asset Management (TAM) Target Setting Requirements – Transit Providers**

- Providers of public transportation are required to annually establish Transit Asset Management (TAM) performance targets
  - Applies to any provider receiving Federal Transit Administration (FTA) funds, recipients and sub-recipients
  - Large agencies set targets and report directly to the FTA; small agencies can participate in group plans
- Providers must set targets within four months of the end of the previous fiscal year
  - FY 2020 targets are due by October 31, 2019 for providers on a July-June fiscal year (i.e., every provider except DDOT)
    - NTD Form A-90



#### Transit Asset Management (TAM) Target Setting Requirements – MPOs

- MPOs (i.e., TPB) shall adopt transit asset targets for their metropolitan planning area within 180 days of the providers
  - In February 2019, TPB adopted the 2019 set of regional TAM targets
    - Single regional target for each performance measure asset class
      - Requires adding up the numbers and targets for each asset from every provider in the region
  - MPOs do not submit targets, but these targets are to be included in each long-range plan and TIP
    - TPB to approve new TIP in March 2020



## **TAM Performance Measures**

	Performance Measure	Asset Classes
Rolling stock (Age)	Percentage of revenue vehicles within a particular asset class that have met or exceeded useful life benchmark (ULB)	Standard bus, articulated bus, vans, automobiles, locomotives, rail vehicles
Equipment - (non-revenue) service vehicles (Age)	Percentage of vehicles that have met or exceeded their ULB	Cranes, prime movers, vehicle lifts, tow trucks
Infrastructure-rail fixed-guideway track, signals, and systems (Condition)	The percentage of track segments, signal, and systems with performance restrictions	Signal or relay house, interlockings, catenary, mechanical, electrical and IT systems
Stations/ Facilities (Condition)	The percentage of facilities, within an asset class, rated below 3 on the TERM scale	Stations, depots, administration, parking garages, terminals



#### Summary of Providers' 2019 TAM Targets Adopted February 20, 2019

Target = Maximum percentage of assets at or exceeding standard

Reporting Entity	Rolling Stock	Service Vehicles	Rail Infrastructure	Station/ Facility Condition
WMATA	0% Rail, 0% Bus	<b>11</b> % <sup>d</sup>	2.1%	<b>7</b> % <sup>f</sup>
DDOT	0% Rail, 0% Bus	n/a	5%	0% <sup>g</sup>
Arl Co.	0%	n/a	n/a	0%
Ffx. Co.	10%	10% <sup>d</sup>	n/a	0%
Mont. Co.	11%	<b>21</b> % <sup>d</sup>	n/a	25% <sup>g</sup>
Pr. Geo. Co.	4%	43% <sup>d</sup>	n/a	0%
PRTC	<b>8</b> % <sup>b</sup>	0% <sup>e</sup>	n/a	<b>0</b> % <sup>g</sup>
VRE	0%	0% <sup>e</sup>	n/a	0%
Maryland Tier 2 (MTA)	13.3%ª	14.6% <sup>d</sup>	n/a	25% <sup>f</sup>
Virginia Tier 2 (DRPT)	10%	25% <sup>e</sup>	n/a	10% <sup>g</sup>

a: heavy-duty buses; b: 45-foot buses; c: autos; d: trucks; e: service vehicles; f: passenger, g: maintenance/administrative facilities



## 2019 TAM Targets vs Performance **DRAFT**

Target = Maximum percentage of assets at or exceeding standard								
Reporting Entity	Rolling Stock	Actual	Service Vehicles	Actual	Rail Infrastruct ure	Actual	Station/ Facility Condition	Actua
WMATA	0% Rail, 0% Bus	0% Rail, 3% Bus	11% <sup>d</sup>	31%	2.1%	1.69%	7% <sup>f</sup>	7.1%
DDOT	0% Rail, 0% Bus		n/a		5%		0% <sup>g</sup>	
Arl Co.	0%		n/a		n/a		0%	
Ffx. Co.	10%		10% <sup>d</sup>		n/a		0%	
Mont. Co.	11%		21% <sup>d</sup>		n/a		25% <sup>g</sup>	
Pr. Geo. Co.	4%	25%	43% <sup>d</sup>	n/a	n/a	n/a	0%	0%
PRTC	<b>8</b> % <sup>b</sup>	19%	0% <sup>e</sup>	50%	n/a	n/a	<b>0</b> % <sup>g</sup>	0%
VRE	0%	0%	0% <sup>e</sup>	0%	n/a	n/a	0%	0%
Maryland Tier 2 (MTA)	<b>13.3</b> %ª		14.6% <sup>d</sup>		n/a		25% <sup>f</sup>	
Virginia Tier 2 (DRPT)	10%		25% <sup>e</sup>		n/a		10% <sup>g</sup>	



## Summary of 2020 TAM Targets



Target = Maximum percentage of assets at or exceeding standard					
Reporting Entity	Rolling Stock	Service Vehicles	Rail Infrastructure	Station/ Facility Condition	
WMATA	0% Rail, 0% Bus	11% <sup>d</sup>	2.1%	7% <sup>f</sup>	
DDOT	0% Rail, 0% Bus	n/a	5%	0% <sup>g</sup>	
Arl Co.	0%	n/a	n/a	0%	
Ffx. Co.	10%	10% <sup>d</sup>	n/a	0%	
Mont. Co.	11%	21% <sup>d</sup>	n/a	25% <sup>g</sup>	
Pr. Geo. Co.	34%	n/a	n/a	0%	
PRTC	<b>29</b> % <sup>b</sup>	<b>0</b> % <sup>e</sup>	n/a	<b>0</b> % <sup>g</sup>	
VRE	0%	0% <sup>e</sup>	n/a	0%	
Maryland Tier 2 (MTA)	<b>13.3</b> % <sup>a</sup>	14.6% <sup>d</sup>	n/a	25% <sup>f</sup>	
Virginia Tier 2 (DRPT)	10%	25% <sup>e</sup>	n/a	10% <sup>g</sup>	

a: heavy-duty buses; b: 45-foot buses; c: autos; d: trucks; e: service vehicles; f: passenger, g: maintenance/administrative facilities



#### 2019 Regional TAM Targets (1) To be updated for 2020

Percentage of revenue vehicles that have met or exceeded useful life benchmark	Regional Assets Total	Regional Target
AB- Articulated bus	77	0.0%
AO- Auto	43	1.2%
BR- Over-the-road bus	160	8.8%
BU- Bus	2589	3.4%
CU- Cutaway bus	103	7.6%
HR- Heavy rail passenger car	1290	0.0%
LR- Light rail vehicle	6	0.0%
RL- Commuter rail locomotive	20	0.0%
RP- Commuter rail passenger coach	100	0.0%
VN- Van	1524	10.2%
Revenue Vehicle Totals	5912	

Based on National Transit Database Form A-90

• Single regional target for each performance measure asset class



# 2019 Regional TAM Targets (2)

To be updated for 2020

Percentage of service vehicles that have either	<b>Regional Assets</b>	<b>Regional Target</b>
met or exceeded their useful life benchmark	Total	
Automobiles	222	20.6%
Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles	1354	11.0%
Steel Wheel Vehicles	199	24.0%
Service Vehicle Totals	1775	
Percentage of track segments, signals, and		-
systems with performance restrictions (over		
length in miles)		
CR - Commuter Rail	0	0.0%
HR - Heavy Rail	234	2.1%
SR - Streetcar Rail	5.6	5.0%
Track Segments Totals	239.6	
Percentage of Passenger and Maint. facilities		-
rated below condition 3 on the condition scale		
Passenger Facilities	115	1.6%
Passenger Parking Facilities	93	9.9%
Maintenance Facilities	106	11.5%
Administrative Facilities	55	13.3%
Facility Totals	369	



## **Next Steps**

- Continue collecting information from the region's transit systems:
  - 1. 2020 TAM targets
  - 2. 2019 TAM targets vs. 2019 actual performance
  - 3. Narrative reports
- Complete draft FY 2020 TAM targets and info memo
  - Comment by RPTS members
  - Present draft targets to the board in January 2020
  - Board approval in February 2020



#### **Matthew Gaskin**

TPB Transportation Planner (202) 962-3761 mgaskin@mwcog.org

#### **Eric Randall**

TPB Transportation Engineer (202) 962-3254 <u>erandall@mwcog.org</u>

#### mwcog.org/tpb

Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments 777 North Capitol Street NE, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20002

