Multimodal Coordination for Bus Priority Hotspots



Presentation to MOITS Policy Task Force And MOITS Technical Subcommittee

November 8, 2011

Parsons Brinckerhoff

Foursquare ITP

Team Organization

- Coordination MWCOG
- Technical Advisor WMATA Office of Long-Range Planning
- Data and Observations Regional Transit Providers
- Direction & Feedback Regional Stakeholders



 Data Analysis, Field Verification, Reports – Consultant Team

Consultant Team

- Parsons Brinckerhoff Prime, Hot Spots
 Verification, Design Concepts
- Foursquare ITP Database Development, Hot Spots List
- Sabra, Wang & Associates Traffic Analysis

Background

- Priority Corridor Network (PCN) Running-Way Evaluation Study
 - 20-year Vision
 - Near-Term Implementation Horizon ("Hot Spots")
- WMATA Hot Spots Study
 - Focus on Metrobus Network
 - Correlation of service frequencies and slow bus speeds to needs





Scope of Work

- 1. Develop hot spot list that reflects all bus transit agencies in the region.
- Prioritize Top 10 Hot Spots lists for DC, MD, & VA.
- 3. Recommend and develop preliminary designs for bus priority treatments at the identified Hot Spot locations.
- 4. Quantify anticipated capital costs and operating cost savings.

Beyond Metrobus – Additional Systems to be Incorporated

- Core Agencies
 - Ride On
 - Fairfax Connector
 - DASH
 - DC Circulator
 - ART
 - CUE
 - The BUS
- Commuter Bus
 - MTA Commuter Bus
 - PRTC (Omni-Ride)
 - Loudoun County Transit
- Non Core Agencies
 - TransIT
 - Connect-a-Ride



Study Approach



Identify Bus Priority Treatments

- Corridor/Segment-Level
 - Exclusive Lanes
 - Signal priority system application (TSP)
 - Passive Signal Coordination
 - Stop Consolidation
- Intersection-Level
 - Isolated TSP
 - Queue-jump signal
 - Bypass Lane
 - Curb Extension
 - Stop Relocation



SOURCE: TCRP Report 118 (5)



SOURCE: King County Metro

Initial (Operational) Field Observations

- During critical peak period
- Transit Operations
 - Bus operations in travel lanes
 - Clearance time at stops
- Traffic Operations
 - Cycle Failures
 - Lane Utilization
 - Queues
 - Pedestrian Conflicts
- Output: List of opportunities/constraints for transit priority

Tapers/Lane Drops:	Tapers/Lane Drops: Mid-Block Access Points: Sight Distance: Traffic Volumes and Signal Timus Vening Peak Hour: y y	Ir Lane Configuration	itersection: Jurisdiction: is/Turn Lane Storage Lengths:		
Mid-Block Access Points: Sight Distance: Traffic Volumes and Signal Timing Very of the point of the	Mid-Block Access Points: Sight Distance: Traffic Volumes and Signal Timing Versing Peak Hour:	Tapers/Lane Drops			
Sight Distance:	Sight Distance:	Mid-Block Access	Points:		
Traffic Volumes and Signal Timing Evening Peak Hour: vering Peak Hour: vering Vering V	Iraffic Volumes and Signal Timing Vening Peak Hour:	Sight Distance:			
West St $West St$ $West$	West St $West St$ $West$	Traffic Volumes and Signa Evening Peak Hour: 20	Timing 		
$\begin{array}{c} \bullet 377 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline 181 \\ \hline 350 \\ \hline 61 \\ \hline \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \bullet & 377 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline 181 \\ \hline 350 \\ \hline 61 \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} $ $\begin{array}{c} \hline \\ West St \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \hline \\ 63 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	Calvert		West St	
West St	West St	$\begin{array}{c} \bullet 377 \\ \hline 0 \bullet \\ \hline 181 \bullet \\ \hline 350 \bullet \\ \hline 61 \bullet \\ \end{array}$	()	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $	
ी <u>∩</u> ≫। ∳े⊭	ो स जिस् किंस जिस	West St		Cathedral St	
10's 41's 10's 10's 10's 10's 10's 10's 10's 1		9 o2		4,4	* %
		4 ² σ6		4) :	01

Second Phase Field Evaluation

- Focus on identifying transit priority treatments
 - Intersection and/or segment
 - Applicability
 - Associated bus stop modifications
- Two teams of experts
- Build on prior review of operational data and insights on operational deficiencies

Concept Plan Development

- Prioritized list of hot spots requiring infrastructure improvements
 - Minimal or no ROW impact
 - 15% level of design
 - Use of 1"=50' aerial photography
 - Six locations (two each in DC, MD, VA)
- Capital Cost Estimates
 - Limited number of quantities
 - Prior approval of unit costs
 - Could translate to FTA SCC format



Impact Assessment

- Transit Operations
 - Unit travel time savings
 - On-time performance
 - Estimated bus operating cost savings 5 & 20 years
 - MWCOG PCN Study and TCRP Synthesis 83
- Traffic Operations
 - Intersection LOS
 - Arterial Speeds
 - Queues
- Before and after impact summary

Study Schedule

	2011			2012						
	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN
TASK 1 - PROJECT MANAGEMENT/INVOLVEMENT										
1.3 MOITS Meeting										
1.3 RBS Meetings	╶╆╴┨╾╏╼		┍╺┢╸┨╼╎╼		╶╶┝╴┝╾╿╼╎				┝┾╌┝╌╎╌	
TASK 2 - DEVELOP REGIONAL TOP 10 HOT SPOT LISTS	╸╄╸┟╾╎╼ ╶┥╴╅╶╈╶				╸┝╸┧╸┧╸┥ ╶┥╶┪╶╈╶┪	╺┥╶┩╶╇╶ ┍╶┾╸ <mark>╎</mark> ╼╎╼	┝╶┝╸╎╾╎╼╴ └╺┦╶┦╶┫╶┫		┝╶┝╸┾╸┆╸┆╸ └╺┤╶╅╶╈╶	
TASK 3 - FIELD VERIFICATION OF DATA										
TASK 4 - DESIGN DEVELOPMENT										

Data Collection

- The project team has already met with or scheduled meetings with the following agencies:
 - ART, DASH, CUE, Fairfax Connector, Ride On, TheBus, and the DC Circulator, PRTC
- The project team will be contacting:
 - MTA Commuter Bus, LC Transit, TransIT, Connect-a-Ride
- Data being collected includes:

	Level of Service	Vehicle Speed	Route-level ridership	Stop-level ridership	On-time performance	Information on known Hot Spots
Core Agencies	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Commuter Bus	\checkmark		\checkmark			\checkmark
Non Core Agencies	\checkmark		\checkmark			\checkmark

Methodology

- 1. Utilize existing bus speed data from WMATA
 - AM, PM, Daily across all jurisdictions where WMATA provides service
- 2. Supplement with speed data from other agencies for locations without WMATA data
- 3. Use number of bus trips for all agencies to weight roadway segments
- 4. Develop Hot Spots list
- 5. Tie-breakers, final decisions, additional information:
 - Use roadway/intersection LOS
 - Use agency supplied hot spot locations and on-time performance data as back-check
 - Apply known ridership information by segment or at route level for further justification of selected Hot Spots

WMATA Bus Speeds



17

Database Development

Challenges

- Data Collection timeline
- Ability to merge data from different sources
- Varying levels of data AVL vs. scheduled
- Varying data formats
- Next Steps
 - Finalize data collection
 - Aggregate and normalize data
 - Implement methodology for prioritization
 - Develop list of prioritized hot spot locations

Study Schedule

	2011			2012						
	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN
TASK 1 - PROJECT MANAGEMENT/INVOLVEMENT										
1.3 MOITS Meeting										
1.3 RBS Meetings	╶╆╴┨╾╏╼		┍╺┢╸┨╼╎╼		╶╶┝╴┝╾╿╼╎				┝┾╌┝╌╎╌	
TASK 2 - DEVELOP REGIONAL TOP 10 HOT SPOT LISTS	╸╄╸┟╾╎╼ ╶┛╴╅╶╈╶				╸┝╸┧╸┧╸┥ ╶┥╶┪╶╈╶┪	╺┥╶┩╶╇╶ ┍╶┾╸ <mark>╎</mark> ╼╎╼	┝╶┝╸╎╾╎╼╴ └╺┦╶┦╶┫╶┫		┝╶┝╸┾╸┆╸┆╸ └╺┤╶╅╶╈╶	
TASK 3 - FIELD VERIFICATION OF DATA										
TASK 4 - DESIGN DEVELOPMENT										