

COMPARISON OF THE MATERIAL STATUTORY PROVISIONS OF THE TIGER & THE NATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT PROGRAMS

TIGER GRANTS		NATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT GRANTS	
FUNDED BY AMERICAN RECOVERY & INVESTMENT ACT	VS	FUNDED BY FY10 TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATION	varies
\$1.5 BILLION TOTAL APPROPRIATION	VS	\$600 MILLION TOTAL APPROPRIATION	varies
FUNDS MUST BE OBLIGATED BY 9/30/2011	VS	FUNDS MUST BE OBLIGATED BY 9/30/2012	similar
DISCRETIONARY GRANTS	VS	DISCRETIONARY GRANTS	same
COMPETITIVE & MERIT BASED SELECTION CRITERIA	VS	COMPETITIVE & MERIT BASED SELECTION CRITERIA	same
ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS: STATE & LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND TRANSIT AGENCIES	VS	ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS: STATE & LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND TRANSIT AGENCIES	same
ELIGIBLE PROJECTS: HIGHWAY/BRIDGE, UNDER TITLE 23; PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION, UNDER CHAPTER 53 OF TITLE 49; PASSENGER/FREIGHT RAIL TRANSPORTATION; AND PORT INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT	VS	ELIGIBLE PROJECTS: HIGHWAY/BRIDGE, UNDER TITLE 23; PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION, UNDER CHAPTER 53 OF TITLE 49; PASSENGER/FREIGHT RAIL TRANSPORTATION; AND PORT INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT	same
FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE, NO LATER THAN, 90 DAYS AFTER ENACTMENT	VS	FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE, NO EARLIER THAN, 60 DAYS AFTER ENACTMENT	similar
APPLICATIONS DUE, NO LATER THAN, 180 DAYS AFTER FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE	VS	APPLICATIONS DUE, NO EARLIER THAN, 120 DAYS AFTER FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE	similar
AWARD ANNOUNCEMENT ON OR BEFORE 2/17/2010	VS	AWARD ANNOUNCEMENT ON OR AFTER 9/15/2010	varies
NOT LESS THAN \$20 MILLION EACH, SUBJECT TO WAIVER	VS	NOT LESS THAN \$10 MILLION EACH, SUBJECT TO WAIVER FOR RURAL PROJECTS	similar
WAIVER ON MINIMUM FOR SMALL CITY, REGION, STATE ONLY	VS	WAIVER ON MINIMUM FOR RURAL PROJECTS ONLY	similar
NO GREATER THAN \$300 MILLION EACH	VS	NO GREATER THAN \$200 MILLION EACH	similar
NO MORE THAN 20% PER STATE	VS	NO MORE THAN 25% PER STATE	similar
UP TO 100% FEDERAL SHARE ALLOWED	VS	UP TO 80% FEDERAL SHARE ALLOWED, SUBJECT TO WAIVER FOR RURAL PROJECTS	similar
PRIORITY TO PROJECTS REQUESTING FUNDS TO COMPLETE AN OVERALL FUNDING PACKAGE	VS	PRIORITY TO PROJECTS REQUESTING FUNDS TO COMPLETE AN OVERALL FUNDING PACKAGE	same
UP TO \$200 MILLION FOR TIFIA FINANCING	VS	UP TO \$150 MILLION FOR TIFIA FINANCING	similar
NO SPECIFIC RURAL FUNDING MINIMUM	VS	AT LEAST \$140 MILLION TO RURAL PROJECTS	varies
UP TO \$1.5 MILLION FOR GRANT ADMINISTRATION	VS	UP TO \$25 MILLION FOR GRANT ADMINISTRATION	similar
Includes directive to ensure an equitable geographic distribution of funds	VS	Includes directive to ensure an equitable geographic distribution of funds	same
Includes directive to balance the needs in rural and urban communities	VS	Includes directive to balance the needs in rural and urban communities	same
PRIORITY TO PROJECTS COMPLETED BY 2/17/2012	VS	N/A	varies
N/A	VS	Includes directive to balance the investments across a variety of transportation modes	varies
N/A	VS	RURAL PROJECT MINIMUM \$1 MILLION	varies
N/A	VS	100% FEDERAL SHARE ON RURAL PROJECTS	varies
N/A	VS	UP TO \$35 MILLION FOR PLANNING & DESIGN PROJECTS ELIGIBLE FOR GRANT FUNDING	varies