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## Presentation Overview

- EPA's expected Tier 3 low sulfur gasoline proposal
- Need for additional NOx reductions in MidAtlantic region
- Projected emission reductions
- Monetized health benefits
- Impacts on oil industry
- Conclusions


## Tier 3 Rulemaking

- EPA expected to propose Tier 3 rule for cars and light-duty trucks in early 2012 and finalize in late 2012
- Includes tailpipe standards for NOx, VOCs, and PM and evaporative emission standards, which they intend to harmonize with CA LEV III
- Expected to include a requirement to lower gasoline sulfur to an average of 10 ppm



## Lower Sulfur Gasoline

- Lowering the sulfur content of gasoline allows pollution control equipment (3-way catalysts) on cars and trucks to operate more effectively
- Will reduce NOx from existing vehicles by about 25\%
- Emission reductions from the in-use fleet would be achieved concurrent with the introduction of the cleaner fuel, without the need for fleet turnover



## Sulfur Content in Gasoline Worldwide Comparison



NOx Contributes to Wide Range of Health \& Environmental Problems


## Need for Additional NOx Reductions

- Ozone and PM2.5
- Reduces lung function, aggravates asthma and other chronic lung diseases
- Can cause permanent lung damage from repeated exposures
- Contributes to premature death
- Acid Deposition
- Damages forests
- Damages aquatic ecosystems
- Erodes manmade structures
- Coastal Marine Eutrophication
- Depletes oxygen in the water, which suffocates fish and other aquatic life in bays and estuaries, e.g., Chesapeake Bay
- Visibility Impairment
- Contributes to regional haze that mars vistas and views in urban and wilderness areas, e.g., Shenandoah



## Source of NOx Emissions in the Northeast/Mid-Atlantic




## Projected 2015 Average Contribution

(\%) by State/Sector to Exceedance-level Ozone
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= Other-Non-EGU Point - Local-EGU Point
- Nearby-Non-Road Mobile
- Other-EGU Point
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- Nearby-Wildifres
- Other-Wildfires


## Overall Emissions Reductions

 From Onroad Mobile Sources


## State Emissions and Estimated Reductions from 10 ppm Sulfur

| State | 2017 <br> Gasoline <br> On-road <br> Base NOx <br> (tpy) | Estimated NOx Reductions <br> from 10 ppm Sulfur Gasoline |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (tpy) | (tpd) |  |
| Connecticut | 20,700 | $-3,100$ | -8 |
| Delaware | 5,400 | -800 | -2 |
| District of Columbia | 2,000 | -300 | -1 |
| Maine | 10,000 | $-1,500$ | -4 |
| Maryland | 32,600 | $-5,000$ | -14 |
| Massachusetts | 35,100 | $-5,300$ | -15 |
| New Hampshire | 8,400 | $-1,300$ | -4 |
| New Jersey | 44,300 | $-6,700$ | -18 |
| New York | 88,600 | $-13,500$ | -37 |
| Pennsylvania | 70,500 | $-10,700$ | -29 |
| Rhode Island | 5,600 | -900 | -2 |
| Vermont | 5,000 | -800 | -2 |
| Virginia (Northern counties) | 11,300 | $-1,700$ | -5 |
| Northeast/Mid-Atlantic <br> States Total | 339,500 | $-51,600$ | -141 |

## Regional Gasoline Vehicle Emissions and Estimated Reductions

| Region | 2017 Gasoline <br> On-road | Estimated NOx Reductions <br> from 10 ppm Sulfur <br> Gasoline |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline NOx <br> (tpy) | (tpy) | (tpd) |
| Northeast/Mid- <br> Atlantic States | 339,500 | $-51,600$ | -141 |
| Midwest States <br> (IL, IN, IA, MI, MN, MO, <br> OH, WI) | 402,300 | $-61,000$ | -167 |
| Southeast States <br> (AL, FL, GA, KY, MS, NC, <br> SC, TN, VA, WV) | 427,800 | $-64,900$ | -178 |
| (the |  |  |  |
| 3 Region Total | $\mathbf{1 , 1 6 9 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 7 7 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 4 8 6}$ |

## NOx Reductions from 10 ppm Sulfur \& CSAPR

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|c|c|}\hline & \begin{array}{c}\text { 2017 } \\ \text { NOx Reductions } \\ \text { from 10 ppm Sulfur } \\ \text { Gasoline } \\ \text { (tpy) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { 2014 } \\ \text { NOx Reductions } \\ \text { from CSAPR* }\end{array} \\ \text { Does not reflect recent state } \\ \text { budget changes by EPA } \\ \text { (tpy) }\end{array}\right]$

## Predicted Cost-Effectiveness of Tier 3/Low Sulfur Gasoline Requirements

| (cents per gallon) | Cost Effectiveness <br> (\$/ton NOx) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 cents | (MSAT) | $\$ 2,500$ |
| 0.8 cents | (ICCT/MathPro) <br> sensitivity case | $\$ 4,000$ |
| 1.4 cents | (ICCT/MathPro) <br> study case | $\$ 7,000$ |

## Relative Cost-Effectiveness of Lower Sulfur Gasoline

| Source | Cost Effectiveness <br> (\$/ton NOx) |
| :--- | :---: |
| ICI Boilers (area \& point <br> sources) | $\$ 750-\$ 7,500$ (Low NOx Burners) <br> $\$ 1,300-\$ 3,700$ (SNCR) <br> $\$ 2,000-\$ 14,000$ (SCR) |
| Combustion Turbines - SCR | $\$ 2,010-\$ 19,120$ |
| Highway - Heavy-duty Diesel <br> Engine Standards \& Fuel Sulfur | $\$ 10,561$ |
| Tier 2 Light-duty Vehicle <br> Emissions \& Gasoline Sulfur | $\$ 6,297$ |
| 10 ppm Sulfur Gasoline | $\$ 2,500-\$ 7,000$ |

## Annual Monetized Health Benefits in Northeast/Mid-Atlantic (2018)

|  | Value <br> [millions of 2006\$] |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ozone | PM2.5 | Total |
| Morbidity | $\$ 20$ | $\$ 4$ | $\$ 23$ |
| Mortality | $\$ 196-\$ 877$ | $\$ 15-\$ 285$ | $\$ 210-\$ 1,162$ |
| Total Monetized <br> Health Benefits | $\$ 215-\$ 896$ | $\$ 19-\$ 289$ | $\$ 234-\$ 1,186$ |

## Cost vs. Health Benefits

|  | Value <br> [millions of dollars] |
| :--- | :---: |
| Annual Cost at <br> 0.5 cents/gal | $\$ 143$ |
| Annual Cost at <br> 0.8 cents/gal | $\$ 229$ |
| Annual Cost at <br> 1.4 cents/gal | $\$ 400$ |
| Total Monetized Annual <br> Health Benefits | $\$ 234-\$ 1,186$ |

## Impact on Oil Industry

- $\quad 10 \mathrm{ppm}$ sulfur gasoline proposal would represent the latest in a series of regulatory initiatives to remove sulfur from transportation fuels
- Tier 2 ( 30 ppm) - 2000
- highway diesel (15 ppm) - 2001
- nonroad diesel (15 ppm) - 2004
- U.S. refiners have already invested in desulfurization capacity
- Oil industry has historically generated conservative estimates of predicted cost of complying with fuel sulfur standards, but has found less costly ways to comply




## Impact on Oil Industry

- Low sulfur gasoline and diesel regulations have had little effect on the numbers or capacities of operable refineries in U.S.
- U.S. gasoline supply increased nearly 10 percent, comparing the year 2000 to the year 2007
- Early compliance was widespread and many refiners generated a surplus of credits
- Refining industry maintained profitability during the first decade of the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century


## Conclusions

- Lowering the sulfur content of gasoline to an average of 10 ppm would cost-effectively reduce NOx emissions
- Represents one of the most significant strategies available to protect public health by addressing ozone nonattainment in the Northeast/Mid-Atlantic
- Help areas that need reductions to attain
- Help other areas stay in attainment
- Position states to be in attainment with any new NAAQS



## Conclusions

- NOx reductions would also help lower fine particle concentrations and mitigate acid rain, water body eutrophication, and regional haze
- As a federal requirement, the low sulfur gasoline rule would result in very significant NOx reductions across the entire domain in the Eastern U.S. that contributes to pollutant burden in Northeast/MidAtlantic region
- Emission reductions not achieved through this and other federal measures would have to be accomplished by further controlling local sources in the region


