10.0 CONTINGENCY PLAN

10.1 Background

Section 172(c)(9) of the Act, as amended, requires that nonattainment area SIPs provide for the implementation of specific measures, termed contingency measures, if an area fails to timely attain the NAAQS or make RFP. Section III(C)(3) of the General Preamble further explains that contingency measures should consist of other available control measures, beyond those necessary to meet the core moderate area control requirement to implement reasonably available control measures (RACM) [see Section 172(a)(1)(c) of the Act] and, therefore, beyond those reasonably required to expeditiously attain the standards (see 57 FR 13543).

Section 172(c)(9) of the Act specifies that contingency measures shall "take effect ... without further action by the State, or the [EPA] Administrator." EPA has interpreted this latter requirement [in the General Preamble (at 57 FR 13512)] to mean that no further rulemaking activities by the state or EPA would be needed to implement the contingency measures. In general, EPA expects all actions, needed to affect full implementation of the contingency measures, to occur within 60 days after EPA notifies the state of its failure to timely attain the NAAQS or make RFP.

EPA recognizes that certain actions, such as notification of sources, modification of permits, etc., may be needed before some measures could be implemented. However, states must show that their contingency measures can be implemented with minimal further administrative action on their part and with no additional rulemaking actions such as public hearings or legislative review.

10.2 Enforceability Issues

All measures and other elements in the SIP must be enforceable by the state/local governments and/or EPA [see Sections 172(c)(6) and 110(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 57 FR 13556]. Nonattainment area plan provisions also must contain a program to provide for enforcement of control measures and other elements in the SIP [see Section 110(a)(2)(C) of the Act].

The State of Maryland, the Commonwealth of Virginia, and the District of Columbia have the authority to implement and enforce all emission limitations and control measures adopted by this SIP.

10.3 Evaluation/Required Reductions

The contingency measures for the attainment demonstration must total one year of reductions needed to attain. The inventory is calculated as described in Sections 3 and 4. Table 10-1 shows the calculation of the necessary reductions.

MWAQC PM_{2.5} SIP 10-1 March 7, 2008

Table 10-1
Contingency Requirement for PM and PM Precursors

	PM and PM Precursor Emissions 2002-2009 (tons/year)					
PM Precursor	2002	2009	2002-2009	Contingency Requirement Calculation (2002-2009)		
NO _x	199,594.00	117,102.98	82,491.02	11,784.43		
SO_2	223,328.56	231,861.76	(8,553.20)	None ^a		
PM _{2.5} Direct	25,843.77	26,590.93	(1,184.87)	None ^a		

^a No contingency measures required because emissions increase between 2002 and 2009.

10.3.1 Substitution Ratios

Contingency reductions must occur on a timetable that is directly related to the Attainment SIP schedule. States have no more than one year after notification by EPA of an attainment failure to achieve the contingency plan reductions. For a potential attainment failure, notification would be received in 2010; therefore, the contingency reductions must be achieved no later than 2011.

According to EPA guidance, emission reductions from different PM precursors can be used to meet the required contingency target. EPA recommended a method to assess equivalent reductions for different precursors. The recommended approach is to review existing data and sensitivity studies performed as part of photochemical modeling to estimate the relative impact of reductions in different precursors on PM concentrations. Basing an equivalency ratio on relative reduction factors as generated by the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) modeling results in a ratio of 1.1 to 1.4 tons of NO_x for each ton of SO₂ (see Appendix J). Using sensitivity analyses created by Visibility Improvement of State and Tribal Association of the Southeast (VISTAS) and Georgia Tech (see Appendix J), equivalency ratios range from 3.3 to 3.6 tons of NO_x for each ton of SO₂. As discussed in Section 10.4, the contingency measures for the Metropolitan Washington, D. C. attainment plan are well in excess of these ratios and, therefore, should be an appropriate backstop for improving air quality should the monitoring network not demonstrate compliance with the 1997 PM_{2.5} NAAQS in 2009.

10.4 Identified Contingency Measures

Table 10-2 lists the contingency measure identified by the District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia for the Attainment Demonstration. This measure delivers a total benefit of more than 169,000 tons/year SO₂ and 657 tons/year NO_x. The combined reduction is greater than the required reductions, therefore meeting the contingency measure requirement calculated in Table 10-1. The SO₂ reductions are more than 15 times the required NO_x reduction, and this ratio is significantly higher than all of the equivalency assessments described in Section 10.3.1. The contingency measures for the Metropolitan Washington, DC-MD-VA attainment plan are well in excess of the equivalency ratios described in Section 10.3.1 and therefore should be an

appropriate backstop for improving air quality should the monitoring network not demonstrate compliance with the 1997 PM_{2.5} NAAQS in 2009.

Table 10-2 Contingency Measures for 2009 Attainment (tons/year)

Ref. No.	Contingency Measure	SO ₂ (tons/year)	NO _x (tons/year)
5.4.4	Tier 2 Motor Vehicle Emission Standards	0	657
5.1.1	Regional and State Transport Requirements (Clean Air	169,154	0
	Interstate Rule, Healthy Air Act)		
TOTAL	REDUCTIONS	169,154	657

In accordance with EPA's guidance encouraging early implementation of contingency measures to guard against failure to either meet a milestone or attain, the District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia will implement the contingency measures identified in Table 10-2 according to the timetable indicated in Chapters 5 and 8. EPA's guidance on early implementation of control measures is as follows:

The EPA encourages the early implementation of required control measures and of contingency measures as a means of guarding against failures to meet a milestone or to attain. Any implemented measures (that are not needed for the rate-of-progress requirements or for the attainment requirements) would need to be backfilled only to the extent they are used to meet a milestone.

The reductions from the designated contingency measures are surplus, that is, beyond those contained in the Attainment Demonstration enclosed in this SIP. They will not be used to meet that milestone requirement. As a result, the states will not be required to backfill any contingency measures that they choose to implement in advance of the requirement.

10.4.1 Tier 2 Motor Vehicle Emission Regulations

The EPA promulgated a rule on February 10, 2000, requiring more stringent tailpipe emissions standards for all passenger vehicles, including sport utility vehicles (SUVs), minivans, vans, and pick-up trucks. These regulations also require lower levels of sulfur in gasoline, which will ensure the effectiveness of low emission-control technologies in vehicles and reduce harmful air pollution.

Source Type Affected

These federally implemented programs affect light-duty vehicles and trucks.

Control Strategy

The new tailpipe and sulfur standards require passenger vehicles to be 77 to 95 percent cleaner than those built before the rule was promulgated and will reduce the sulfur content of gasoline by up to 90 percent. The new tailpipe standards are set at an average standard of 0.07 grams/mile for

 NO_x for all classes of passenger vehicles beginning in 2004. This includes all light-duty trucks, as well as the largest SUVs. Vehicles weighing less than 6000 pounds will be phased-in to this standard between 2004 and 2007.

Beginning in 2004, the refiners and importers of gasoline have the flexibility to manufacture gasoline with a range of sulfur levels as long as all of their production is capped at 300 parts per million (ppm) and their annual corporate average sulfur levels are 120 ppm. In 2005, the refinery average was set at 30 ppm, with a corporate average of 90 ppm and a cap of 300 ppm. Finally, in 2006, refiners met a 30 ppm average sulfur level with a maximum cap of 80 ppm.

As newer, cleaner cars enter the national fleet, the new tailpipe standards will significantly reduce emissions of NO_x from vehicles by about 74 percent by 2030.

Implementation

EPA implements this program under 40 CFR Parts 80, 85, and 86.

Projected Reductions

This measure provides 657 tons/year NO_x reduction applied for contingency purposes. This contingency measure will be implemented via a 2010 mobile source budget as discussed in Chapter 7.

Emission Benefit Calculations

The contingency reductions are based on Tier 2 motor vehicle emission standards, for reductions occurring between 2009 and 2010.

10.4.2 Clean Air Interstate Rule/Healthy Air Act Requirements

This section documents contingency credit for SO_2 emissions reductions attributable to federal and regional SO_2 requirements on point sources. These credits include

- EPA's Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR); and
- Maryland's Healthy Air Act (HAA).

Control Strategy

Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR)

In 2005, the EPA promulgated the Clean Air Interstate Rule, which requires reductions in emissions of NO_x and SO_2 from large fossil fuel-fired electric generating units. The rule is set up in several phases with the first phase of NO_x reductions to come by 2009 and SO_2 reduction to come in 2010. The rule sets up both an annual emissions budget and an ozone season emissions budget. The rule requires that units with nameplate capacity greater than 25 megawatts emit no more NO_x or SO_2 than their allocations determined by the state either through emission controls or banking and trading.

Virginia CAIR

Virginia has adopted state regulations codifying the requirements of the Clean Air Interstate Rule. Virginia's rules create an emissions cap based on the allowances allocated to the facility. The rules do not allow trading as a method of complying with the emissions cap.

Maryland Healthy Air Act (HAA)

In April of 2006, the Maryland General Assembly enacted the Maryland Healthy Air Act (HAA). The Maryland General Assembly record related to the HAA and the final version of the Act itself can be found at http://mlis.state.md.us/2006rs/billfile/SB0154.htm. The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) Regulations (Code of Maryland Regulations) can be found at http://www.mde.state.md.us/assets/document/CPR 12-26-

<u>06 Emergency and Permanent HAA Regs for AELR.pdf.</u> The HAA is one of the toughest power plant emission laws on the East Coast. The HAA requires reductions in NO_x, SO₂, and mercury emissions from large coal burning power plants. The HAA also requires that Maryland become involved in the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI), which is aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The MDE has been charged with implementing the HAA through regulations. As enacted, these regulations constitute the most sweeping air pollution emission reduction measure proposed in Maryland history. To meet the requirements of Maryland's regulations, a company's "system" (covered units owned by the same company) must meet a system-wide cap by 2009. Compliance cannot be achieved through the purchase of allowances under the HAA.

District of Columbia CAIR

The District of Columbia is currently drafting its Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR). The District of Columbia's CAIR regulations do not allow trading of NO_x or SO₂ allowances for achieving the reductions for the facilities within its jurisdiction.

Summary

The point source NO_x and SO_2 controls are a phased approach to controlling emissions from power plants and other large fuel combustion sources. The programs resulting in emission reductions applied for contingency from point sources in the region include EPA's Clean Air Interstate Rule and Maryland's Healthy Air Act

Implementation

District Department of the Environment Maryland - Air and Radiation Management Administration Virginia - Department of Environmental Quality

Projected Reductions

	SO ₂ l	SO ₂ Emission Reductions (tons/year)			
	District of Columbia	Maryland	Virginia	Total	
SO ₂ Reductions	-	158,354	10,800	169,154	

Emission Benefit Calculations

The emission reductions associated with the state SO₂ requirements on point sources were supplied by the staffs of the Maryland Air and Radiation Management Administration, the District Department of the Environment, and the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality Air Division, for reductions occurring between 2009 and 2011.

References

1990 Clean Air Act Amendments, 42 U.S.C. §§7513