Community Preparedness Scorecard					
Performance Measure	Target	2008	2012	% of Target	
Resident					
Preparedness	60%	50%	42%	70%	
	00%	30%	4270	70%	
NIMS, Planning,					
Training & Exercise					
Program Rating	100%	66%	100%	100%	
riogiaili Natilig	10076	0070	100/6	100/0	
Alerting System					
<b>o</b> ,	40%	10%	250/	620/	
Participation	40%	10%	25%	63%	
COOD Dlan Datina	1000/	CC0/	000/	000/	
COOP Plan Rating	100%	66%	90%	90%	
Dusings Outroop					
Business Outreach	750/	450/	600/	000/	
Rating	75%	45%	60%	80%	
Malaustaan					
Volunteer	4000/	750/	050/	0=0/	
Organization Rating	100%	75%	85%	85%	
Haz-Mit (or THIRA)					
Plan Rating	100%	80%	84%	84%	
	Percent of	Meaure	Individual Section	Individual Section	
Section	Target Met	Weighting	Composite	Rating	
Measure 1	70%	30%	21.0%	Average	
Measure 2	100%	30%	30.0%	Successful	
Measure 3	63%	20%	12.5%	Lagging	
Measure 4	90%	10%	9.0%	Successful	
Measure 5 Measure 6	80% 85%	10% 10%	8.0% 8.5%	Average Successful	
Measure 7	84%	10%	8.4%	Average	
OVERALL SCOR				Average	
OVERALL SCORE	_	100%	81%	Average	

Scale	Answer	What it means	Next Steps
85-100	Successful	Results for this measure are very acceptable and resulting performance will positively influence the success of the strategy.	No Corrective Action Plan Required
70-84	Average	Results for this measure are good but could use improving, and resulting performance may negatively affect performance in the future if not improved	No Corrective Action Plan Required
55-69	Lagging	Results for this measure are poor and need improving and resulting performance will negatively influence the success of the strategy.	
<55	Ineffective	Results for this measure are unacceptable and will have negative impacts on performance.	Corrective Action Plan