Appendix I

RACM Documentation

Appendix

Analysis of Potential
Stationary Source Measures
* * *DRAFT March 15, 2007* * *

	Potential Stationary Source RACM Measure			
Quick Reference	Measure Name	Definition	RACM	Reason
CAIR Plus	Reductions from EGUs: OTC Model Rule	Adopt OTC Multipollutant Model Rule for EGUs.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Dist Generation Rule	OTC Model Rule: Distributed Generation Rule	Adopt OTC Model Rule to Require Additional Controls on Distributed Generation Sources.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Peaking Unit Rule	OTC Model Rule: Peaking Unit Rule	Adopt OTC Model Rule to Require Additional Controls on EGU Peaking Units.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
ICI Stds	OTC Model Rule: ICI Boiler Standards	Adopt OTC Model Rule on Standards for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
RACT Cement	Control Portland Cement Facilities	Adopt OTC Model Rule on RACT Update for Portland Cement Facilities.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Glass Facility	Mineral Products Industry Controls: Glass and Fiberglass	Control Glass and Fiberglass Facility Emissions.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
MSW	Controls on Municipal Solid Waste Incinerators	Adopt OTC Model Rule on RACT Update for MSW Incineration Facilities.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Asphalt Facilities	Control Asphalt and Concrete Facilities	Require NOx emission limits on asphaltic concrete production facilities.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Chem VOC	Control VOC Emissions from Chemical Manufacturing	Chemical Manufacturing: More stringent standards on the manufacture of polystyrene, formica, polyester resin, wood and paper, other polymers, pharmaceuticals, paints, varnishes, soaps, detergents, inks, solvents, fuel additives, acids, fertilizers, and resins.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
EGU RACT	Reductions from EGUs Plant RACT/Technology-Based Approach	Identify and Require Additional Power Plant-Specific Emission Reduction Technologies.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Multi P Legislation	State Multipollutant Legislation	Adopt State Multipollutant Legislation.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Clear Skies	Clear Skies Act	Implement Clear Skies Legislation.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Local Cap Trade	Local Cap and Trade Program	Implement cap and trade program for VOC sources in region. Consider California RECLAIM program.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Episodic Reductions	Episodic Mandatory Facility Reductions	Require mandatory facility reductions on Air Quality Action Days. Require Curtailment Plan.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Enhanced Enforce Stationary	Enhanced Enforcement/Rule Compliance at Existing Stationary	Step up enforcement of and compliance with existing rules for	No	No creditable emission reductions
Emission Registration	Sources Statewide Emission Registration Program	emissions control by stationary sources. Require a mandatory statewide registration program for all NOx and VOC emission sources.	No	Not economically feasible
Credit Retirement	Emission Reduction Credit Retirement Program	Establish program to retire emission reduction credits for stationary sources.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Low Nox Fuel Oil	Low NOx Fuel Oil for Stationary Sources	Require oil-burning stationary sources to burn ThermaNOx, a low-NOx No. 2 fuel oil emulsion, during ozone season.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Upwind NOx RACT	Upwind NOx Controls: Expand NOx RACT to Upwind Counties	Expand NOx RACT Requirements.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Upwind NOx BACT	Upwind NOx Controls: Plant-by-Plant BACT Controls	Identify and Require Additional Facility-Specific Emission Reduction Technologies.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Upwind VOC RACT	Upwind VOC Controls: Expand VOC RACT to Upwind Counties	Expand VOC RACT Requirements.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Upwind VOC BACT	Upwind VOC Controls: Plant-by-Plant BACT Controls	Identify and Require Additional Facility-Specific Emission Reduction Technologies.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Chemical Ind Controls	Chemical Industry Controls	Reduce upwind NOx emissions limits in the manufacture of chemicals.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Forest Products	Forest Product Industry Controls	Control Upwind Wood, Paper and Pulp Production Emissions.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Upwind Iron and Steel	Metallurgical Industry Controls: Iron and Steel	Control Upwind Iron and Steel Production Emissions.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Upwind Lead	Metallurgical Industry Controls: Lead	Control Upwind Lead Smelter Emissions.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Upwind Aluminum	Metallurgical Industry Controls: Aluminum	Control Upwind Aluminum Production Emissions.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008

Upwind Zinc	Metallurgical Industry Controls: Zinc/Copper	Control Upwind Zinc/Copper Smelter Emissions.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Upwind Lime	Mineral Products Industry Controls: Lime	Control Upwind Lime Facility Emissions.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Upwind Phosphate	Mineral Products Industry Controls: Phosphate	Control Upwind Phosphate Rock Plant Emissions.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
RACT Refineries	Upwind RACT Update: Refineries	Update RACTs for Refineries in Upwind Contributing Areas.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Energy Efficiency	Energy Efficiency Programs	Increase Adoption of Energy Efficient Technology by Government and the Private Sector with Commensurate Retirement of EGU NOx Allowances.	No	Will not advance attainment date
Efficiency Standards	Energy Efficiency: Energy Efficiency Standards	Establish requirements for minimum energy efficiency, with Commensurate Retirement of EGU NOx Allowances.	No	Will not advance attainment date
Green Building Codes	Energy Efficiency: Green Building Code Program	Establish energy efficiency standards for building codes, with Commensurate Retirement of EGU NOx Allowances.	No	Will not advance attainment date
Renewable Portfolio	Renewable Energy: Renewable Portfolio Standards	Increase Purchases of Renewable Energy by Government and the Private Sector, with Commensurate Retirement of EGU NOx Allowances.	No	Will not advance attainment date
Solar Photovoltaics	Renewable Energy: Solar Photovoltaic Programs	Increase Purchases and Installation of Renewable Energy sources by Government and the Private Sector, with Commensurate Retirement of EGU NOx Allowances. Consider Incentive Programs.	No	Will not advance attainment date
Wind Energy	Renewable Energy: Wind Energy Purchases	Increase Purchases of Renewable Energy by Government and the Private Sector, with Commensurate Retirement of EGU NOx Allowances.	No	Will not advance attainment date
Solar Hot Water	Renewable Energy: Solar Hot Water Heating	Increase Use of Solar Hot Water Heating by Government and the Private Sector, with Commensurate Retirement of EGU NOx Allowances.	No	Will not advance attainment date
Heat Pumps	Energy Efficiency: Ground Source Heat Pump Initiative	Increase Purchases and Installation of Ground Source Heat Pumps in the Public and/or Private Sector, with Commensurate Retirement of EGU NOx Allowances.	No	Will not advance attainment date
Performance Contracting	Energy Efficiency: Energy Performance Contracting Program	Increase Use of Energy Performance Contracts in the Public and/or Private sector to Reduce Energy Consumption, with Commensurate Retirement of EGU NOx Allowances.	No	Will not advance attainment date
LED Traffic	Energy Efficiency Programs: LED Traffic Signal Retrofit Program	Increase Use Energy Efficient LED Traffic Signals.	No	Will not advance attainment date
LED Street	Energy Efficiency Programs: LED Street Light Retrofit Program	Increase Use of Energy Efficient LED Street Lights.	No	Will not advance attainment date
EStar Exit	Energy Efficiency: Energy Star Exit Signs	Increase market penetration of Energy Efficient Lighting (EXIT Signs).	No	Will not advance attainment date

Measure -- Reductions from EGUs: OTC Model Rule

Quick Reference: CAIR Plus

Measure Name: Reductions from EGUs: OTC Model Rule

Description:

Adopt OTC Multipollutant Model Rule for EGUs.

RACM Determination: No

Will not provide

reductions by May

Reason: 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

No
Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	<\$10,000/ton
Estimated Reductions	5-10 tpd NOx

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require either state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot Any regulation creating emission limits more stringent than CAIR would be controversial.
- \cdot After a rule is adopted, industry will require additional time to install controls.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- OTC Model Rule: Distributed Generation Rule

Quick Reference: Dist Generation Rule

Measure Name: OTC Model Rule: Distributed Generation Rule

Description:

Adopt OTC Model Rule to Require Additional Controls on

Distributed Generation Sources.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require either state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot After a rule is adopted, owners of distributed generation equipment will require additional time to install controls.
- · Possible adverse impacts.
- · Administrative burden may be high due to permitting and registration requirements.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- OTC Model Rule: Peaking Unit Rule

Quick Reference: Peaking Unit Rule

Measure Name: OTC Model Rule: Peaking Unit Rule

Description:

Adopt OTC Model Rule to Require Additional Controls on EGU

Peaking Units.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

No
Yes
-
-
No
Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District. All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · After a rule is adopted, owners will require additional time to install controls.
- · Controls may not be technologically feasible in all cases due to site specific issues.
- ·There may be potential adverse impacts associated with reliability issues and economic impact on businesses.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- OTC Model Rule: ICI Boiler Standards

Quick Reference: ICI Stds

Measure Name: OTC Model Rule: ICI Boiler Standards

Description:

Adopt OTC Model Rule on Standards for Industrial, Commercial,

and Institutional Boilers.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require either state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot After a rule is adopted, owners will require additional time to install controls.
- \cdot Regulating this source could cause potential adverse impacts.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. This measure cannot deliver benefits by May 2008 and may cause adverse financial impacts.

Measure -- Controls on Municipal Solid Waste Incinerators

Quick Reference: MSW

Controls on Municipal Solid Waste Incinerators

Description:

Adopt OTC Model Rule on RACT Update for MSW Incineration

Facilities.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require either state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.
- \cdot If promulgated, EPA December 2005 proposed rule will lead to reduced emissions from this source category.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control Portland Cement Facilities

Quick Reference: RACT Cement

Control Portland Cement Facilities

Description:

Adopt OTC Model Rule on RACT Update for Portland Cement

Facilities.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Measure. All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot After a rule is adopted, owners will require additional time to install controls.
- · Year of First Benefits is 2009.
- \cdot Regulating this source could cause hardship for companies.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not provide reductions by May 2008. Potential adverse impacts.

Measure -- Mineral Products Industry Controls: Glass and Fiberglass

Quick Reference: Glass Facility <u>Description:</u>

Measure Name: Mineral Products Industry Controls: Glass and Fiberglass Control Glass and Fiberglass Facility Emissions.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Considered as a potential mandatory measure.
- · Year of First Benefits is unknown.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not provide emission reductions. There are no major facilities in the region.

Measure -- Control Asphalt and Concrete Facilities

Quick Reference: Asphalt Facilities

Measure Name: Control Asphalt and Concrete Facilities

Description:

Require NOx emission limits on asphaltic concrete production

facilities.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Measure. All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot After a rule is adopted, owners will require additional time to install controls.
- · Year of First Benefits is 2009.
- \cdot Regulating this source could cause hardship for companies.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Measure will not provide benefits by May 2008. Potential adverse impacts.

Measure -- Low NOx Fuel Oil for Stationary Sources

Quick Reference: Low Nox Fuel Oil

Measure Name: Low NOx Fuel Oil for Stationary Sources

Description:

Require oil-burning stationary sources to burn ThermaNOx, a low-

NOx No. 2 fuel oil emulsion, during ozone season.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	-
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- Mandatory Measure. All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of First Benefits is 2009.
- \cdot Emissions from EGUs will be regulated under federal and/or state programs.
- \cdot Each facility will have flexibility in how they meet mandated emissions levels. This could be one strategy selected, but emission reductions would already be captured under the overall sector approach.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not provide reductions by May 2008.

Measure -- Upwind NOx Controls: Expand NOx RACT to Upwind Counties

Quick Reference: Upwind NOx RACT

Description:

Measure Name: Upwind NOx Controls: Expand NOx RACT to Upwind

Expand NOx RACT Requirements.

Counties

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot The IAQC is currently evaluating the potential for controls on upwind sources.
- · If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- · This measure could target upwind EGU and/or non-EGU point sources.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Upwind NOx Controls: Plant-by-Plant BACT Controls

Issues

Quick Reference: Upwind NOx BACT

Measure Name: Upwind NOx Controls: Plant-by-Plant BACT Controls

Description:

Identify and Require Additional Facility-Specific Emission

Reduction Technologies.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot The IAQC is currently evaluating the potential for controls on upwind sources.
- · If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- · This measure could target upwind EGU and/or non-EGU point sources.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Upwind VOC Controls: Expand VOC RACT to Upwind Counties

Quick Reference: Upwind VOC RACT

<u>Description:</u>

Measure Name: Upwind VOC Controls: Expand VOC RACT to Upwind Counties

trols: Expand VOC RACT to Upwind Expand VOC RACT Requirements.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot The IAQC is currently evaluating the potential for controls on upwind sources.
- \cdot If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Upwind VOC Controls: Plant-by-Plant BACT Controls

Quick Reference: Upwind VOC BACT

Measure Name: Upwind VOC Controls: Plant-by-Plant BACT Controls

Description:

Identify and Require Additional Facility-Specific Emission

Reduction Technologies.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- \cdot States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot The IAQC is currently evaluating the potential for controls on upwind sources.
- \cdot If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Reductions from EGUs Plant RACT/Technology-Based Approach

Quick Reference: EGU RACT

Reductions from EGUs Plant RACT/Technology-Based

Approach

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

Description:

Identify and Require Additional Power Plant-Specific Emission

Reduction Technologies.

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

-
Yes
Yes
ī
Ē
Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, the District, or Virginia, and/or other upwind states.
- \cdot States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · The IAQC is currently evaluating the potential for controls on upwind sources.
- · If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.

Summary Analysis

· There is no certainty that prescribing a specific technology would reduce emissions below emission allowance levels.

Measure -- State Multipollutant Legislation

 Quick Reference:
 Multi P Legislation
 Description:

Measure Name: State Multipollutant Legislation Adopt State Multipollutant Legislation.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require either state-level legislation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a new law.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.
- \cdot Multipollutant Legislation was adopted in Virginia and Maryland during the 2006 legislative session.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Energy Efficiency Programs

Quick Reference: Energy Efficiency

Measure Name: Energy Efficiency Programs

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not advance attainment date

Description:

Increase Adoption of Energy Efficient Technology by Government and the Private Sector with Commensurate Retirement of EGU

NOx Allowances.

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Voluntary Measure. However, this measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia and the District. In order to take credit for an energy effiency program, the state must retire NOx Allowances.
- \cdot States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot Year of First Benefits is 2007. However, the full benefits of new standards won't be realized until existing equipment in the marketplace is replaced.
- · Reductions not greater than 1 tpd if implemented as a voluntary measure.
- \cdot Mandatory energy efficiency programs may not be economically feasible.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Energy Efficiency: Energy Efficiency Standards

Quick Reference: Efficiency Standards

Measure Name: Energy Efficiency: Energy Efficiency Standards

Description:

Establish requirements for minimum energy efficiency, with Commensurate Retirement of EGU NOx Allowances.

RACM Determination: No

RACM Criterion Summary

Reason: Will not advance attainment date

Issues

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	_

- Estimated Cost Effectiveness
 Estimated Reductions
- · Mandatory or Voluntary Measure. This measure would require state-level regulation or legislation by Maryland, Virginia and the District to set or modify efficiency standards.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with new requirements.
- · In order to take credit for an energy effiency program, the state must retire NOx Allowances
- \cdot Year of First Benefits is 2008. However, the full benefits of new standards won't be realized until existing equipment in the marketplace is replaced.
- · Reductions not greater than 1 tpd if implemented as a voluntary measure.
- \cdot Mandatory energy efficiency programs may not be economically feasible.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Energy Efficiency: Green Building Code Program

Quick Reference: Green Building Codes

Measure Name: Energy Efficiency: Green Building Code Program

Description:

Establish energy efficiency standards for building codes, with Commensurate Retirement of EGU NOx Allowances.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not advance attainment date

RACM Criterion Summary Issues

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

- · Voluntary Measure. However, this measure would require local jurisdictions to change building codes to improve building efficiency.
- · In order to take credit for an energy effiency program, states must establish regulations enabling the retirement of NOx Allowances.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot Year of First Benefits is unknown at this time. The benefits of this measure will be realized as new commercial and residential buildings are built. The measure would likely not address existing structures.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Renewable Energy: Renewable Portfolio Standards

Quick Reference: Renewable Portfolio

Measure Name: Renewable Energy: Renewable Portfolio Standards

Reason: Will not advance attainment date

Description:

Increase Purchases of Renewable Energy by Government and the Private Sector, with Commensurate Retirement of EGU NOx

Allowances.

RACM Criterion Summary

RACM Determination:

-
Yes
Yes
-
Yes
-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- ·Voluntary Measure. However, this measure would require state governments to establish renewable portfolio standards. Maryland and the District have enacted standards.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation. The standards phase in slowly over time.
- · In order to take credit for a renewables program, the state must retire NOx Allowances. Currently, only Maryland has a regulation enabling NOx allowance retirement.
- \cdot Year of First Benefits is 2008. However, the full benefits of requirements won't be realized until the requirements are phased in.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Renewable Energy: Solar Photovoltaic Programs

Quick Reference: Solar Photovoltaics

Measure Name: Renewable Energy: Solar Photovoltaic Programs

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not advance attainment date

Description:

Increase Purchases and Installation of Renewable Energy sources by Government and the Private Sector, with Commensurate Retirement of EGU NOx Allowances. Consider Incentive Programs.

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Voluntary Measure. However, this measure would require state governments to develop regulations to retire NOx Allowances. Currently, only Maryland has a regulation enabling NOx allowance retirement.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot Year of First Benefits is 2007. However, the full benefits of requirements won't be realized until a significant number are installed.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Renewable Energy: Wind Energy Purchases

Quick Reference: Wind

Renewable Energy: Wind Energy Purchases

Description:

Increase Purchases of Renewable Energy by Government and the Private Sector, with Commensurate Retirement of EGU NOx

Allowances.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not advance attainment date

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Voluntary Measure. This measure would require state governments to develop regulations to retire NOx Allowances. Currently, only Maryland has necessary regulations in place.
- \cdot States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot The cost effectiveness of wind energy purchases is >\$10,000/ton.
- · Year of First Benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Renewable Energy: Solar Hot Water Heating

Quick Reference: Solar Hot Water

Measure Name: Renewable Energy: Solar Hot Water Heating

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not advance attainment date

Description:

Increase Use of Solar Hot Water Heating by Government and the Private Sector, with Commensurate Retirement of EGU NOx

Allowances.

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Voluntary Measure. This measure would require state governments to develop regulations to retire NOx Allowances. Currently, only Maryland has necessary regulations in place.
- \cdot States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of First Benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Energy Efficiency: Ground Source Heat Pump Initiative

Quick Reference: Heat Pumps

Energy Efficiency: Ground Source Heat Pump Initiative

Description:

Increase Purchases and Installation of Ground Source Heat Pumps in the Public and/or Private Sector, with Commensurate Retirement

of EGU NOx Allowances.

RACM Determination:

Reason: Will not advance attainment date

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

-
Yes
Yes
-
Yes
-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Voluntary Measure. This measure would require state governments to develop regulations to retire NOx Allowances. Currently, only Maryland has necessary regulations in place.
- \cdot States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of First Benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Energy Efficiency Programs: LED Traffic Signal Retrofit Program

 Quick Reference:
 LED Traffic
 Description:

Measure Name: Energy Efficiency Programs: LED Traffic Signal Retrofit Increase Use Energy Efficient LED Traffic Signals.

Program

Reason: Will not advance attainment date

RACM Criterion Summary

RACM Determination:

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Voluntary Measure. However, this measure would require state governments to develop regulations to retire NOx Allowances. Currently, only Maryland has necessary regulations in place.
- \cdot States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of First Benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Energy Efficiency Programs: LED Street Light Retrofit Program

Quick Reference: LED Street <u>Description:</u>

Measure Name: Energy Efficiency Programs: LED Street Light Retrofit Increase Use of Energy Efficient LED Street Lights.

Program

Reason: Will not advance attainment date

RACM Criterion Summary

RACM Determination:

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Voluntary Measure. However, this measure would require state governments to develop regulations to retire NOx Allowances. Currently, only Maryland has necessary regulations in place.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot Year of First Benefits is unknown at this time. Unclear if the technology will be available before 2008.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Energy Efficiency: Energy Star Exit Signs

Quick Reference: EStar Exit

Measure Name: Energy Efficiency: Energy Star Exit Signs

Description:

Increase market penetration of Energy Efficient Lighting (EXIT

Signs).

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not advance attainment date

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Voluntary Measure. However, this measure would require state governments to develop regulations to retire NOx Allowances. Currently, only Maryland has necessary regulations in place.
- \cdot States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of First Benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Energy Efficiency: Energy Performance Contracting Program

Quick Reference: Performance Contracting

Measure Name: Energy Efficiency: Energy Performance Contracting

Program

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not advance attainment date

Description:

Increase Use of Energy Performance Contracts in the Public and/or

Private sector to Reduce Energy Consumption, with Commensurate Retirement of EGU NOx Allowances.

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Voluntary Measure. This measure would require state governments to develop regulations to retire NOx Allowances. Currently, only Maryland has necessary
- \cdot States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of First Benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Enhanced Enforcement/Rule Compliance at Existing Stationary Sources

Quick Reference: Enhanced Enforce Stationary

Measure Name: Enhanced Enforcement/Rule Compliance at Existing

Stationary Sources

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

Description:

Step up enforcement of and compliance with existing rules for

emissions control by stationary sources.

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Stationary sources in the nonattainment area are generally in compliance with all
- \cdot It is anticipated that no creditable emissions reductions would result from implementation of this measure.
- · Year of First Benefits is 2008.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. No additional emissions reductions are anticipated to result from adoption of this measure. The measure would not advance the attainment date.

Measure -- Episodic Mandatory Facility Reductions

Quick Reference:

Episodic Reductions

Description:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Episodic Mandatory Facility Reductions

Require mandatory facility reductions on Air Quality Action Days.

Require Curtailment Plan.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Measure, implemented as an episodic measure.
- \cdot States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of First Benefits is 2009.
- ·Potential adverse impacts.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. No creditable emissions reductions are anticipated to result from adoption of this measure.

Measure -- Chemical Industry Controls

Quick Reference: Chemical Ind Controls

Measure Name: Chemical Industry Controls

Description:

Reduce upwind NOx emissions limits in the manufacture of

chemicals.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

No
Yes
-
-
-
-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot The IAQC is currently evaluating the potential for controls on upwind sources.
- · If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- · Year of First Benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary Analysis

More information is needed on the emissions and opportunities for emission reduction from the chemical industry upwind of the region.

Measure -- Clear Skies Act

Quick Reference: Clear Skies <u>Description:</u>

Measure Name: Clear Skies Act Implement Clear Skies Legislation.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Measure. EPA and/or the States would need to develop regulations to implement a Congressionally mandated program.
- Year of First Benefits is unknown at this time. This measure requires
 Congressional action. Not clear if legislation would be passed prior to May 2008.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Requires federal legislation. Will not provide reductions by May 2008.

Measure -- Forest Product Industry Controls

 Quick Reference:
 Forest Products

 Description:

Measure Name: Forest Product Industry Controls Control Upwind Wood, Paper and Pulp Production Emissions.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot The IAQC is currently evaluating the potential for controls on upwind sources.
- \cdot If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- · Year of First Benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. No creditable emission reductions.

Measure -- Metallurgical Industry Controls: Iron and Steel

Quick Reference: Upwind Iron and Steel

Metallurgical Industry Controls: Iron and Steel

Description:

Control Upwind Iron and Steel Production Emissions.

RACM Determination: N

Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Reason:

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot The IAQC is currently evaluating the potential for controls on upwind sources.
- · If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- · Year of First Benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary	/ Anal	vsis

Measure -- Metallurgical Industry Controls: Lead

Quick Reference: Upwind Lead

Measure Name: Metallurgical Industry Controls: Lead

Description:

Control Upwind Lead Smelter Emissions.

RACM Determination:

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot The IAQC is currently evaluating the potential for controls on upwind sources.
- \cdot If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- · Year of First Benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Metallurgical Industry Controls: Aluminum

Quick Reference: Upwind Aluminum

Measure Name: Metallurgical Industry Controls: Aluminum

Description:

Control Upwind Aluminum Production Emissions.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

No
Yes
-
-
-
-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot The IAQC is currently evaluating the potential for controls on upwind sources.
- \cdot If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- · Year of First Benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Metallurgical Industry Controls: Zinc/Copper

Quick Reference: Upwind Zinc

Measure Name: Metallurgical Industry Controls: Zinc/Copper

Description:

Control Upwind Zinc/Copper Smelter Emissions.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot The IAQC is currently evaluating the potential for controls on upwind sources.
- \cdot If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- · Year of First Benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Mineral Products Industry Controls: Lime

 Quick Reference:
 Upwind Lime

 Description:

Measure Name: Mineral Products Industry Controls: Lime Control Upwind Lime Facility Emissions.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a
- \cdot The IAQC is currently evaluating the potential for controls on upwind sources.
- \cdot If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- · Year of First Benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Mineral Products Industry Controls: Phosphate

Quick Reference: Upwind Phosphate

Upwind Phosphate <u>Description:</u>
Mineral Products Industry Controls: Phosphate Control Upwind Phosphate Rock Plant Emissions.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot The IAQC is currently evaluating the potential for controls on upwind sources.
- · If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- · Year of First Benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control VOC Emissions from Chemical Manufacturing

Quick Reference: Chem VOC

Measure Name: Control VOC Emissions from Chemical Manufacturing

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

Description:

Chemical Manufacturing: More stringent standards on the manufacture of polystyrene, formica, polyester resin, wood and paper, other polymers, pharmaceuticals, paints, varnishes, soaps, detergents, inks, solvents, fuel additives, acids, fertilizers, and

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Mandatory Measure. All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- ·After a rule is adopted, owners will require additional time to install controls.
- · Year of First Benefits is 2009.
- ·Potential adverse impacts.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Upwind RACT Update: Refineries

 Quick Reference:
 RACT Refineries
 Description:

Measure Name: Upwind RACT Update: Refineries Update RACTs for Refineries in Upwind Contributing Areas.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot This measure would require state-level regulation by upwind states.
- \cdot States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot The IAQC is currently evaluating the potential for controls on upwind sources.
- \cdot If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- · Year of First Benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. No creditable emission reductions. Will not provide reductions by 2008.

Measure -- Statewide Emission Registration Program

Quick Reference: Emission Registration

Statewide Emission Registration Program Measure Name:

Description:

Require a mandatory statewide registration program for all NOx and VOC emission sources.

RACM Determination:

Reason: Not economically feasible

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Mandatory Program. States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Costs to administer a registration program may be prohibitive.
- \cdot Year of First Benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not provide reductions by 2008. Will not advance attainment date. May not be economically feasible due to administrative costs.

Measure -- Emission Reduction Credit Retirement Program

Quick Reference: Credit Retirement

Emission Reduction Credit Retirement Program

Description:

Establish program to retire emission reduction credits for stationary

sources.

RACM Determination:

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

No
Yes
Yes
Yes
-
Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require either state-level legislation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a new law
- \cdot Year of first benefits is unknown at this time. Could be available by May 2008.
- ·Potential adverse impacts.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not advance attainment date. Potential adverse impacts.

Measure -- Local Cap and Trade Program

Quick Reference: Local Cap Trade

Measure Name: Local Cap and Trade Program

Description:

Implement cap and trade program for VOC sources in region.

Consider California RECLAIM program.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Costs to administer a small-scale VOC cap and trade program may be prohibitive.
- · Year of First Benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not advance attainment date. May not be economically feasible due to administrative costs. Will not provide reductions by May 2008.

Appendix

Analysis of Potential
Area Source Measures
* * *DRAFT March 15, 2007* * *

Potential Area Source RACM I	Measures in the Metropolitan Washington		
Measure Name	Definition	RACM	Reason
Expand Coverage of OTC AIMs Rule (Phase II)	Expand Number of Products Covered by OTC AIMs Rule. Require Lower VOC Content of Products Already Covered.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Expand Coverage of OTC Consumer Products Rule (Phase II)	Expand Number of Products Covered by OTC Consumer Product Rule. Require Lower VOC Content of Products Already Covered.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
RACT Update: Control Industrial Incineration	Implement programs to reduce emissions from industrial incineration.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Home Heating Oil Standards	Adopt OTC model rule on standards for home heating oils.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Low-Emission Asphalt	Adopt SCAQMD Rules 1108: Cutback Asphalt (less than 0.5% VOC evaporating at 260F) and 1108.1: Emulsified Asphalt (less than 3% VOC evaporating at 260F).	No	De minimis
Control Landfills and Wastewater Facilities	Reduce the NOx and VOC emission limits for flares.	No	De minimis
Expand Seasonal Open Burning Restrictions	Expand prohibitions on seasonal open burning.	No	No creditable reductions
Control Restaurant Sources	Implement programs to reduce emissions from restaurants, including charbroil operations and deep fat fryers.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Control Bakeries	Adopt SCAQMD Rule 1153: Commercial Bakery Ovens. Reduce exemption level and set standards for unregulated bakeries.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Control Drycleaning Facilities	Ban transfer systems in Petroleum Dry Cleaning.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
"Cash for Clunkers" Gasoline Containers Replacement Program	Accelerate the Replacement of Older Gasoline Cans with CARB Compliant Containers. Offer incentives for consumers to turn in old gas cans and obtain new ones.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Control Agricultural Sources	Encourage agricultural best practices, including those that reduce pesticide use.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Control Fermentation Sources (wineries/breweries)	Reduce evaporative VOC emissions from the fermentation process at wineries and/or breweries.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Control Wastewater Treatment Facilities	Require capture and control of VOC emissions from facilities treating industrial wastewater and domestic sewage. Adopt SCAQMD Rule 1176: Sumps and Wastewater Separators.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Control VOC Emissions from Fuel Facilities	Reduce the VOC emission limits for bulk plants/terminal, including storage tanks. Adopt SCAQMD Rule 1178: Further Reductions of VOC Emissions from Storage Tanks at Petroleum Facilities.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Control VOC Emissions from Construction and Maintenance	Reduce VOC emissions from roofing kettles.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Control VOC Content of Adhesives and Sealants	Adopt OTC Model Rule. Reduce VOC limits for adhesives and sealants.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Low-Emission Natural Gas Furnaces	Adopt SCAQMD Rule 1111: NOx Emissions from Natural Gas Fired, Fan-Type Central Furnaces (no more than 40 nanograms of NOx per joule of useful heat).	No	De minimis
Low-Emission Natural Gas Water Heaters	Adopt SCAQMD Rule 1121: Control of NOx from Residential Type Natural Gas Fired Water Heaters.	No	De minimis
Control Residential Wood Burning	Implement voluntary program to reduce emissions from wood- burning fireplaces and wood stoves.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Green Procurement Policy	Establish procurement policies that foster emission reduction (paints, solvents, coatings, asphalt, roofs, building materials, AFVs, EE office equipment, ULSD).	No	No creditable emission reduction
Mitigation Fees: Preempted Sources	Charge emission mitigation fee to federally preempted sources.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008

Control Growth and Development: Land Use Restrictions	Implement land use restrictions to control residential, commercial, and industrial development in the nonattainment area.	No	No creditable reductions
Control Growth and Development: Mitigate New Development	Mitigate emissions from new development.	No	No creditable reductions
Urban Heat Island Mitigation	Develop programs to mitigate urban heat islands, including tree & vegetation expansion, green roofs, and cool pavements.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Enhanced Enforcement of Area Source Regs: Open Burning	Enhance enforcement of seasonal open burning restrictions.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Enhanced Enforcement of Area Source Regs: Solvent Cleaning	Enhance enforcement of surface cleaning rules.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Enhanced Enforcement: Environmental Partnerships/Pollution Prevention Initiatives	Voluntary compliance audits, encourage low emitting technology (swap out solvent machines)	No	No creditable emission reductions
Episodic Area Source Measures	Measures could include a variety of programs including Air Quality Action Days, no fuels policy, no mow policy, public outreach and education, best practices, etc.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Expand OTC AIMs Rule	Expand OTC AIMs Rule to Upwind Counties.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Expand OTC Consumer Products Rule	Expand OTC Consumer Product Rule to Upwind Counties.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Expand OTC Mobile Equipment Repair and Refinishing Rule	Expand OTC Mobile Equipment Repair and Refinishing Rule to Upwind Counties.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Expand OTC Portable Fuel Containers Rule	Expand OTC Portable Fuel Containers Rule to Upwind Counties.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Expand OTC Solvent Cleaning Rule	Expand OTC Solvent Cleaning Rule to Upwind Counties.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Upwind Fuels Controls	Expand Use of Reformulated Gasoline to Upwind Counties. Consider OTC Regional Fuels Initiative.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Expand Stage I Vapor Recovery	Expand Requirements for Stage I Vapor Recovery to Upwind Counties.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Expand Stage II Vapor Recovery	Expand requirements for Stage II Vapor Recovery to Upwind Counties.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Control Upwind Port Emissions	Pursue approaches to reduce land-based port emissions.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008

Measure -- Expand Coverage of OTC Consumer Products Rule (Phase II)

Quick Reference: CP Phase II

Measure Name: Expand Coverage of OTC Consumer Products Rule

(Phase II)

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

Description:

Expand Number of Products Covered by OTC Consumer Product Rule. Require Lower VOC Content of Products Already Covered.

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District. Additional regulations on this source may require complex negotiations with industry stakeholders.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · After a rule is adopted, manufacturers will require additional time to produce and distribute new compliant products.
- \cdot Year of First Benefits is 2009. However, the full benefits of new standards won't be realized until existing product inventories are sold and new products enter the market.
- \cdot Potential products: aerosols, inks, lubricants, etc. Feasibility and impacts vary by product.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Expand Coverage of OTC AIMs Rule (Phase II)

Quick Reference: AIMs Phase II

Measure Name: Expand Coverage of OTC AIMs Rule (Phase II)

Description:

Expand Number of Products Covered by OTC AIMs Rule. Require

Lower VOC Content of Products Already Covered.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District. Additional regulations on this source may require complex negotiations with industry stakeholders.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · After a rule is adopted, manufacturers will require additional time to produce and distribute new compliant products.
- · Year of First Benefits is 2009. However, the full benefits of new standards won't be realized until existing product inventories are sold and new products enter the market.
- \cdot Potential products: marine coatings, industrial maintenance coatings, wood coatings, etc. Feasibility and impacts vary by product.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control VOC Content of Adhesives and Sealants

Quick Reference: Adhesives Sealants

Measure Name: Control VOC Content of Adhesives and Sealants

Description:

Adopt OTC Model Rule. Reduce VOC limits for adhesives and

sealants.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state governments to develop regulations affecting adhesives and sealants.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Home Heating Oil Standards

Quick Reference: Heating Oil Stds

Measure Name: Home Heating Oil Standards

Description:

Adopt OTC model rule on standards for home heating oils.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District. Regulating regional fuel markets may pose difficult challenges.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- -Emission reduction potential during the ozone season may need further evaluation. May be candidate as a PM measure.
- · Potential Adverse impacts.
- · Year of First Benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- RACT Update: Control Industrial Incineration

Quick Reference: RACT Incineration

Measure Name: RACT Update: Control Industrial Incineration

Description:

Implement programs to reduce emissions from industrial

incineration.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot This measure needs to be considered in light of potential new measures on larger incineration sources included in the stationary source inventory.
- $\cdot \text{ The technological and economic feasibility of controlling smaller incineration sources} \\$ and the potential for adverse impacts is not known at this time.
- · Year of First Benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Mitigation Fees: Preempted Sources

Quick Reference: Mitigation Fees

gation Fees Description:

Measure Name: Mitigation Fees: Preempted Sources

Charge emission mitigation fee to federally preempted sources.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	-
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · State and local governments are preempted from regulating certain sources, including locomotives, heavy duty diesel construction equipment, and aircraft.
- \cdot States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- '- It is not clear what authority states would have to implement a fee program.
- · Year of First Benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. No creditable emission reduction. Will not provide reductions by May 2008.

Measure -- "Cash for Clunkers" Gasoline Containers Replacement Program

Quick Reference:

Gas Can Replacement

Measure Name:

"Cash for Clunkers" Gasoline Containers Replacement

Program

RACM Determination:

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

Description:

Accelerate the Replacement of Older Gasoline Cans with CARB Compliant Containers. Offer incentives for consumers to turn in

old gas cans and obtain new ones.

RACM Criterion Summary

Yes
No
Yes
-
Yes
No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Voluntary Program.
- Gas can replacements would speed the transition to newer lower emitting containers
- \cdot Emission reductions from new gasoline containers may already be credited under the OTC model rule for portable fuel containers.
- · Year of first benefits is 2006, 2007, or 2008.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Low-Emission Asphalt

Quick Reference:

Measure Name:

Asphalt

Low-Emission Asphalt

Description:

Adopt SCAQMD Rules 1108: Cutback Asphalt (less than 0.5% VOC evaporating at 260F) and 1108.1: Emulsified Asphalt (less

than 3% VOC evaporating at 260F).

RACM Determination: No

Reason: De minimis

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot The region already regulates asphalt application. Emissions from this source are very low.
- \cdot Asphalt emissions in the region are only [0.025] tpd, which is below the $\ \textit{de minimis}$ threshold of 0.1 tpd
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Emissions from asphalt paving do not exceed the de minimis threshold. Therefore this measure is not a RACM.

Measure -- Control Landfills and Wastewater Facilities

Quick Reference:

Flaring
Control Landfills and Wastewater Facilities

Description:

Reduce the NOx and VOC emission limits for flares.

RACM Determination:

Measure Name:

Reason:

No

De minimis

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot The technological and econmomic feasibility is not known at this time.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not provide reductions by 2008. Potential emission reductions are de minimis.

Measure -- Expand Seasonal Open Burning Restrictions

Quick Reference: Open Burning

Measure Name: Expand Seasonal Open Burning Restrictions

Description:

Expand prohibitions on seasonal open burning.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot There would be no ozone-season reductions associated with this measure. The measure would expand existing ozone-season regulations year-round.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control Bakeries

Quick Reference:

Bakeries

Control Bakeries

Description:

Adopt SCAQMD Rule 1153: Commercial Bakery Ovens. Reduce exemption level and set standards for unregulated bakeries.

RACM Determination:

Measure Name:

Reason:

No

Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state governments to develop regulations affecting bakeries.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot This measure would affect not only large bakeries categorized as stationary sources, but also many smaller bakeries classified as area sources. As such, this measure has the potential to financially impact small businesses.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not provide reductions by 2008. Not economically feasible. Potential emission reductions are de minimis. Potential adverse impacts.

Measure -- Control Restaurant Sources

Quick Reference: Restaurants

Measure Name: Control Restaurant Sources

Description:

Implement programs to reduce emissions from restaurants,

including charbroil operations and deep fat fryers.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state governments to develop regulations affecting restaurants.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.
- \cdot This measure has the potential to financially impact small businesses.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not provide reductions by 2008. Not economically feasible. Potential emission reductions are de minimis. Potential adverse impacts.

Measure -- Control Drycleaning Facilities

Quick Reference: Drycleaning

Measure Name: Control Drycleaning Facilities Ban transfer systems in Petroleum Dry Cleaning.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state governments to develop regulations affecting drycleaning operations.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Description:

 \cdot This measure has the potential to financially impact small businesses.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not provide reductions by 2008. Potential adverse impacts.

Measure -- Control Agricultural Sources

Quick Reference: Measure Name: Ag Best Practices

Control Agricultural Sources

Description:

Encourage agricultural best practices, including those that reduce

pesticide use.

RACM Determination:

Reason:

No

No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Yes
No
Yes
-
No
Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Voluntary Program. This measure would require new innovative programs to reduce emissions from the agricultural sector.
- \cdot This measure may be more applicable in rural counties outside the nonattainment area
- · Year of first benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control Fermentation Sources (wineries/breweries)

Quick Reference:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Control Fermentation Sources (wineries/breweries)

Description:

Reduce evaporative VOC emissions from the fermentation process

at wineries and/or breweries.

RACM Determination:

Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

No
Yes
Yes
-
No
-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Mandatory Program. This measure would require state governments to develop regulations affecting wineries.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance
- \cdot This measure has the potential to financially impact small businesses.

· Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control Wastewater Treatment Facilities

Quick Reference: Wastewater Treatment

Measure Name: Control Wastewater Treatment Facilities

Description:

Require capture and control of VOC emissions from facilities treating industrial wastewater and domestic sewage. Adopt SCAQMD Rule 1176: Sumps and Wastewater Separators.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

No
Yes
-
-
No
-

Fating at all Oak Effectives as	
Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Mandatory Program. This measure would require state governments to develop regulations affecting wastewater treatment facilities.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control VOC Emissions from Fuel Facilities

Quick Reference: Fuel Facilities

Measure Name: Control VOC Emissions from Fuel Facilities

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

Description:

Reduce the VOC emission limits for bulk plants/terminal, including storage tanks. Adopt SCAQMD Rule 1178: Further Reductions of VOC Emissions from Storage Tanks at Petroleum Facilities.

RACM Criterion Summary

RACM Determination:

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state governments to develop regulations on fuel distribution facilities.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control VOC Emissions from Construction and Maintenance

Quick Reference: Construction Maintenance

Measure Name: Control VOC Emissions from Construction and

Description:

issions from Construction and Reduce VOC emissions from roofing kettles.

Maintenance

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	No
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Mandatory Program. This measure would require state governments to develop regulations affecting roofing kettles.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not provide reductions by 2008. Potential adverse impacts. Not economically feasible.

Measure -- Low-Emission Natural Gas Furnaces

Quick Reference: Nat Gas Furnace

Measure Name: Low-Emission Natural Gas Furnaces

RACM Determination: No

Reason: De minimis

Description:

Adopt SCAQMD Rule 1111: NOx Emissions from Natural Gas Fired, Fan-Type Central Furnaces (no more than 40 nanograms of

NOx per joule of useful heat).

RACM Criterion Summary

No
No
Yes
Yes
No
No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot Any regulation creating more stringent emission limits would be controversial.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not provide reductions by 2008. Potential adverse impacts. Potential emission reductions are deminimis.

Measure -- Low-Emission Natural Gas Water Heaters

Quick Reference:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Nat Gas Water Heaters

Low-Emission Natural Gas Water Heaters

Description:

Adopt SCAQMD Rule 1121: Control of NOx from Residential Type

Natural Gas Fired Water Heaters.

RACM Determination:

No

De minimis

RACM Criterion Summary

No
No
Yes
Yes
No
No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot Any regulation creating more stringent emission limits would be controversial.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not provide reductions by 2008. Potential adverse impacts. Potential emission reductions are de minimis.

Measure -- Control Residential Wood Burning

Issues

Quick Reference: Res Wood Burning

Measure Name: Control Residential Wood Burning

Description:

Implement voluntary program to reduce emissions from wood-

burning fireplaces and wood stoves.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

tion at a d O and Effective and a sec	
	1

 \cdot Voluntary Program. This measure would involve swapping out old stoves with new low-emitting technology.

- \cdot Ozone season emission reductions may be minimal. Candidate as a PM measure.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Estimated Reductions

Measure -- Control Growth and Development: Land Use Restrictions

Quick Reference: Restrict Land Use

Measure Name: Control Growth and Development: Land Use Restrictions

Description:

Implement land use restrictions to control residential, commercial,

and industrial development in the nonattainment area.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Voluntary Program. This measure would require jurisdictions in the region to implement land use controls that reduce emissions.
- \cdot Aspects of this measure may be considered Transportation Emission Reduction Measures.
- · Year of first benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control Growth and Development: Mitigate New Development

Issues

Quick Reference: Development Mitigation

Measure Name: Control Growth and Development: Mitigate New

Development

No

Reason: No creditable reductions

Reason. No co

RACM Determination:

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Description:

Mitigate emissions from new development.

- \cdot Voluntary Program. This measure would require jurisdictions in the region to implement a program that requires developers to mitigate emissions from new development
- · Aspects of this measure may be considered Transportation Emission Reduction
- \cdot Consider implementing a public benefits fee on new construction permits to fund emission reduction projects.
- · Year of first benefits is 2007.

Summary	v Anal	vsis

Measure -- Urban Heat Island Mitigation

Quick Reference: Measure Name: Urban Heat Island

Urban Heat Island Mitigation

Description:

Develop programs to mitigate urban heat islands, including tree &

vegetation expansion, green roofs, and cool pavements.

RACM Determination:

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

No
No
Yes
-
Yes
No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.

· Year of first benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Episodic Area Source Measures

Quick Reference: Episodic Measures

Description:

Episodic Area Source Measures Measure Name:

Measures could include a variety of programs including Air Quality Action Days, no fuels policy, no mow policy, public outreach and

education, best practices, etc.

RACM Determination:

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.

Summary Analysis

Episodic measures have been adopted and are being implemented in the Washington region.

Measure -- Green Procurement Policy

Quick Reference:

Procurement

Green Procurement Policy Measure Name:

Description:

Establish procurement policies that foster emission reduction (paints, solvents, coatings, asphalt, roofs, building materials, AFVs, EE office equipment, ULSD).

RACM Determination:

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

-	
Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	·
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Enhanced Enforcement of Area Source Regs: Open Burning

Quick Reference: Enforce Open Burning

Enhanced Enforcement of Area Source Regs: Open

Burning

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

Description:

Enhance enforcement of seasonal open burning restrictions.

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Issues

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Summary Analysis

Because no additional emissions reductions are anticipated to result from adoption of this measure, the measure would not advance attainment and is not RACM.

Measure -- Enhanced Enforcement of Area Source Regs: Solvent Cleaning

Quick Reference: **Enforce Solvent Cleaning** **Description:**

Enhance enforcement of surface cleaning rules.

Measure Name:

Reason:

Enhanced Enforcement of Area Source Regs: Solvent

Cleaning

RACM Determination:

No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary Issues

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Summary Analysis

Because no additional emissions reductions are anticipated to result from adoption of this measure, the measure would not advance attainment and is not RACM.

Measure -- Enhanced Enforcement: Environmental Partnerships/Pollution Prevention Initiatives

Quick Reference: Compliance Audits

Enhanced Enforcement: Environmental

Description:

Measure Name: Partnerships/Pollution Prevention Initiatives Voluntary compliance audits, encourage low emitting technology (swap out solvent machines)

RACM Determination:

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

Issues

 \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Summary Analysis

Because no additional emissions reductions are anticipated to result from adoption of this measure, the measure would not advance attainment and is not RACM.

Measure -- Expand OTC AIMs Rule

Quick Reference: Upwind AIMs <u>Description:</u>

Measure Name: Expand OTC AIMs Rule Expand OTC AIMs Rule to Upwind Counties.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- · If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- ·Year of first benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Expand OTC Consumer Products Rule

Quick Reference: Upwind Consumer Products

Measure Name: Expand OTC Consumer Products Rule Expand OTC Consumer Product Rule to Upwind Counties.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- ·Year of first benefits is unknown at this time.

Description:

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Expand OTC Mobile Equipment Repair and Refinishing Rule

Quick Reference: Upwind MERR

Expand OTC Mobile Equipment Repair and Refinishing

Rule

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

Description:

Expand OTC Mobile Equipment Repair and Refinishing Rule to

Upwind Counties.

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- ·Year of first benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Expand OTC Solvent Cleaning Rule

Quick Reference: Upwind Solvent Cleaning

Measure Name: Expand OTC Solvent Cleaning Rule

Description:

Expand OTC Solvent Cleaning Rule to Upwind Counties.

RACM Determination: N

Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Reason:

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- ·Year of first benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Expand OTC Portable Fuel Containers Rule

 Quick Reference:
 Upwind PFC

 Description:

Measure Name: Expand OTC Portable Fuel Containers Rule Expand OTC Portable Fuel Containers Rule Expand OTC Portable Fuel Containers Rule to Upwind Counties.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- ·Year of first benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Expand Stage I Vapor Recovery

Quick Reference: Upwind Stage I

Measure Name: Expand Stage I Vapor Recovery

Description:

Expand Requirements for Stage I Vapor Recovery to Upwind

Counties.

RACM Determination: N

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

-	
Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- \cdot Virginia law expressly prohibits expansion of Stage I to areas that are in attainment.
- ·Year of first benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Expand Stage II Vapor Recovery

Quick Reference: Upwind Stage II

Measure Name: Expand Stage II Vapor Recovery

Description:

Expand requirements for Stage II Vapor Recovery to Upwind

Counties.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

-	
Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- · Virginia law expressly prohibits expansion of Stage II to areas that are in attainment.
- ·Year of first benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Upwind Fuels Controls

Quick Reference:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Upwind Fuels

Upwind Fuels Controls

Description:

Expand Use of Reformulated Gasoline to Upwind Counties.

Consider OTC Regional Fuels Initiative.

RACM Determination:

No

Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No	
Enforceable	Yes	
Technologically Feasible	Yes	
Economically Feasible	Yes	
No Adverse Impacts	Yes	
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes	

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia, or other upwind states.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- \cdot Regulating regional fuel markets may pose difficult and unique challenges.
- ·Year of first benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control Upwind Port Emissions

Quick Reference: Upwind Ports

Control Upwind Port Emissions

Description:

Pursue approaches to reduce land-based port emissions.

RACM Determination:

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

No
Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by upwind
- · If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- -A significant portion of port emissions are federally preempted from state and local control.
- ·Year of first benefits is unknown at this time.

Summary Analysis

Appendix

Analysis of Potential
Non-Road Source Measures
* * *DRAFT March 15, 2007* * *

	Potential Non-Road Source RACM Measures	s in the Metropolitan Washington Region Definition		P
Quick Reference	Measure Name	Definition	RACM	Reason
Construction Initiative 1	Clean Air Maintenance Initiative: Road Maintenance Contracts	Develop alternative programs for state and local governments (public entities) to reduce on-road and off-road construction and maintenance related emissions. Episodic: no work or idling restrictions. Non-episodic: Control retrofits.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Construction Initiation 2	Clean Air Construction Initiative: Road Construction Projects	Develop alternative programs for state and local governments (public entities) to reduce on-road and off-road construction and maintenance related emissions. Episodic: no work or idling restrictions. Non-episodic: Control retrofits.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Construction Initiative 2				
Construction Initiative 3	Clean Air Construction Initiative: Off-Road Construction Projects	Develop alternative programs for state and local governments (public entities) to reduce on-road and off-road construction and maintenance related emissions. Episodic: no work or idling restrictions. Non-episodic: Control retrofits.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Construction Initiative 4	Clean Air Construction Initiative: Preference for Low-emissions Industrial Equipment	In bids for government contracts, award extra points to bidders using low-emission industrial equipment.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Construction mittative 4	Non-Road Diesel Engine Retrofit Program: Voluntary	Develop voluntary program encouraging retrofit of non-road	No	No creditable
Vol Nonroad Retrofits	Non-Road Diesei Engine Retiont Program. Voluntary	diesel equipment in public and/or private fleets.	INU	emission reduction
Mand Nonroad Retrofits	Non-Road Diesel Engine Retrofit Program: Mandatory	Develop mandatory program requiring retrofit of non-road diesel equipment in public and/or private fleets.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Smoke Test	Control Off-Road Diesel Engines (smoke test)	Implement mandatory smoke testing program for heavy-duty (>50 hp) off-road diesel engines.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Locomotive Repower	Retrofit/Repower Locomotives	Provide financial incentives to retrofit or repower locomotives operating in the nonattainment area for cleaner burning diesel or alternative fuels.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Locomotive Idling	Locomotive Idling Reduction	Support Installation of Idling Reduction Technologies on Locomotives.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Airport Cap	Airport Emission Cap	Establish Agreement with Airports Authority to Cap or Reduce Emissions.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Upwind Airports	Airport Emissions Cap in Upwind Counties	Voluntary Agreement to Cap Airport Emissions Outside the Nonattainment Area.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Locomotive Eng Stds	Locomotive Engine Standards	Encourage new federal locomotive engine emission standards (EPA 2012)	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Marine Eng Stds	Marine Diesel Engine Standards	Encourage new federal marine engine emission standards (EPA 2012).	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Cetane	High Cetane Fuel	Require High Cetane Diesel Fuel for Off-road Vehicles.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Offroad Biodiesel	Biodiesel for Off-Road Equipment	Increase use of biodiesel in off-road diesel equipment during ozone season.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Episodic Nonroad	Episodic Nonroad Measures	Implement a variety of episodic measures targeting sources in the non-road sector, including lawn and garden equipment, commercial and industrial equipment, recreational equipment, marine, etc.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Blue Sky Program	Control Off-Road Diesel Engines (Blue Sky)	Encourage the use of engines that are included in EPA's voluntary "Blue Sky Series" engine program.	No	No creditable emission reductions
SI Engines Retrofit	Control Spark Ignition Engines	Retrofit controls and 3-way catalyst for spark ignition engines.	No	No creditable emission reductions
2-Cycle Replacement	"Cash for Clunkers" 2-cycle Engines	Implement a 2-cycle Engine Replacement Program.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Lawn Equip Replacement	"Cash for Clunkers" Lawn & Garden Equipment	Offer cash for consumers to turn in lawnmowers or lawn tractors and purchase electric or push mowers.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Outboard Replacement	"Cash for Clunkers" Outboard Motors	Offer cash for consumers to turn in old outboard motors and purchase new ones.	No	No creditable emission reductions
·	"Cash for Clunkers" Recreational Equipment Program	Offer small cash reward for owners to turn in old, high-emission	No	No creditable
Rec Equip Replace Lawn Equip Idling	Idling Restrictions for Lawn & Garden Equipment	recreational equipment. Limit idling by commercial lawn & garden equipment.	No	emission reductions Not enforceable
East Equip failing	Control Emissions from Lawn and Garden Equipment (xeriscaping)	Adopt measures to reduce lawn area and mower usage.	No	No creditable
Xeriscaping	Control Emissions from Lawn and Garden Equipment (xellscaping)	Xeriscaping.	140	emission reductions Will not provide
Ag Retrofits	Agricultural Equipment Retrofits	Require agricultural equipment to be retrofitted with emissions controls.	No	reductions by May 2008
Ag Restrictions	Agricultural Equipment Use Restrictions	Ban use of agricultural equipment on Air Quality Action Days.	No	Not feasible
Low Emission Ag	Low-emissions Agricultural Equipment	Require sale of low-emissions agricultural equipment in region.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Ind Equip Replacement	Industrial Equipment Replacement	Subsidize replacement of fossil-fuel fired industrial equipment with electric industrial equipment.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Ind Equip Retrofit	Industrial Equipment Retrofits	Require industrial equipment to be retrofitted with emissions controls.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Low Emission Ind	Low-emissions Commercial and Industrial Equipment	Require sale of low-emissions commercial and industrial equipment in region.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008

Ind Equip Idling	Idling Restrictions for Commercial and Industrial Equipment	Limit idling by commercial and industrial equipment.	No	Not economically feasible
Construction Fleet Rules	Control Construction Emissions	Limitations and Fleet Rules for Construction Equipment.	No	Not economically feasible
Generator Retrofits	Control Light Commercial Equipment	Retrofit portable engines and generators.	No	Not economically feasible
Rec Equip Retrofits	Recreational Equipment Retrofits	Require recreational equipment to be retrofitted with particulate fitlers and/or oxidation catalysts.	No	Not economically feasible
Marine Idling	Recreational Marine Idling Restrictions	Restrict idling of marine equipment, either through voluntary or mandatory approaches.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Marine Incentives	Control Recreational Marine Emissions	Provide incentives for newer boats and engines.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Rec Equip Fee	Control Recreational Equipment Emissions	Increase registration fee on recreational vehicles (dedicate fee to clean air fund).	No	Not economically feasible
Tug Boats	Control Commercial Marine Sources	Tug/Push Boat Activity Reductions.	No	Potential adverse impacts
Port Fees	Control Upwind Port Emissions	Emission Fee Program for Port-Related Mobile Sources	No	No creditable emission reductions
Graduated Fees	Graduated registration fees for recreational boats	Levee additional registration fee for registration of boats with old, high-emission engines.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Low Nox Fuel Additives	Low Nox Fuel Additives	Require the use of low-NOx fuel additives in nonroad equipment.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Air Congest Pricing	Airport Congestion Pricing	Charge higher aircraft landing fees during busy times of day to reduce airport delays and congestion.	No	Not economically feasible
Nonroad Gas Tax	Gas Tax Increase	Implement a fuel tax on off-road gasoline.	No	Not economically feasible
Nonroad Diesel Tax	Diesel Tax Increase	Implement a fuel tax on off-road diesel.	No	Not economically feasible

Measure -- Clean Air Maintenance Initiative: Road Maintenance Contracts

Quick Reference: Construction Initiative 1

Measure Name: Clean Air Maintenance Initiative: Road Maintenance

Contracts

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

Description:

Develop alternative programs for state and local governments (public entities) to reduce on-road and off-road construction and maintenance related emissions. Episodic: no work or idling restrictions. Non-episodic: Control retrofits.

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.
- · Year of first benefits is 2007, 2008, or 2009.
- · Potential adverse impacts.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Clean Air Construction Initiative: Road Construction Projects

Quick Reference: Construction Initiative 2

Measure Name: Clean Air Construction Initiative: Road Construction

Projects

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

Description:

Develop alternative programs for state and local governments (public entities) to reduce on-road and off-road construction and maintenance related emissions. Episodic: no work or idling restrictions. Non-episodic: Control retrofits.

RACM Criterion Summary

Yes
No
Yes
-
-
Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.
- · Year of first benefits is 2007, 2008, or 2009.
- · Potential adverse impacts.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Clean Air Construction Initiative: Off-Road Construction Projects

Quick Reference: Construction Initiative 3

Measure Name: Clean Air Construction Initiative: Off-Road Construction

Projects

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

Description:

Develop alternative programs for state and local governments (public entities) to reduce on-road and off-road construction and maintenance related emissions. Episodic: no work or idling restrictions. Non-episodic: Control retrofits.

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes
	•

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.
- · Year of first benefits is 2007, 2008, or 2009.
- · Potential adverse impacts.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Clean Air Construction Initiative: Preference for Low-emissions Industrial Equipment

Quick Reference: Construction Initiative 4

Clean Air Construction Initiative: Preference for Low-

emissions Industrial Equipment

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

Description:

In bids for government contracts, award extra points to bidders

using low-emission industrial equipment.

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Yes
No
Yes
-
-
Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.
- · Year of first benefits is 2007, 2008, or 2009.
- · Potential adverse impacts.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Non-Road Diesel Engine Retrofit Program: Voluntary

Issues

Quick Reference: Vol Nonroad Retrofits

Measure Name: Non-Road Diesel Engine Retrofit Program: Voluntary

Description:

Develop voluntary program encouraging retrofit of non-road diesel

equipment in public and/or private fleets.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

 \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.

· Year of first benefits is 2007, 2008, or 2009.

· It may not be technologically feasible to retrofit all types of non-road equipment.

 \cdot This measure could also be considered as a TERM in the transportation plan, depending on guidance.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Non-Road Diesel Engine Retrofit Program: Mandatory

Quick Reference: Mand Nonroad Retrofits

Non-Road Diesel Engine Retrofit Program: Mandatory

Description:

Develop mandatory program requiring retrofit of non-road diesel

equipment in public and/or private fleets.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

No
Yes
Yes
-
-
Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot Potential adverse impacts. Any regulation mandating nonroad equipment retrofits would be controversial.

Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control Off-Road Diesel Engines (smoke test)

Quick Reference: Smoke Tes

Measure Name: Control Off-Road Diesel Engines (smoke test)

Description:

Implement mandatory smoke testing program for heavy-duty (>50

hp) off-road diesel engines.

RACM Determination: N

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- · Potential adverse impacts. High administrative costs.

Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Retrofit/Repower Locomotives

Quick Reference:

Measure Name:

Locomotive Repower

Retrofit/Repower Locomotives

RACM Determination:

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

Description:

Provide financial incentives to retrofit or repower locomotives operating in the nonattainment area for cleaner burning diesel or

alternative fuels.

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.
- · Year of first benefits is 2007, 2008, or 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Locomotive Idling Reduction

Quick Reference:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Locomotive Idling

Locomotive Idling Reduction

Description:

Support Installation of Idling Reduction Technologies on

Locomotives.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.

· Year of first benefits is 2007, 2008, or 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Airport Emission Cap

Quick Reference: Airport Cap

Measure Name: Airport Emission Cap

Description:

Establish Agreement with Airports Authority to Cap or Reduce

Emissions.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.
- · Year of first benefits is 2007, 2008, or 2009.
- \cdot Consider electric GSE, GSE retrofits, GSE idling controls, gate electrification.
- \cdot This measure would require the airports authority to cap emissions from airport sources not subject to federal preemption.
- \cdot This measure could also involve the airports authority entering into agreements with airlines to cap or reduce emissions.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Airport Emissions Cap in Upwind Counties

Quick Reference: Measure Name: **Upwind Airports**

Airport Emissions Cap in Upwind Counties

Description:

Voluntary Agreement to Cap Airport Emissions Outside the

Nonattainment Area.

RACM Determination:

Reason:

No

No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Yes
No
=
-
Yes
Yes

Issues

- · Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.
- · Year of first benefits is 2007, 2008, or 2009.
- Estimated Cost Effectiveness
 Estimated Reductions
- \cdot This measure would require the airports authority to cap emissions from airport sources not subject to federal preemption.
- \cdot This measure could also involve the airports authority entering into agreements with airlines to cap or reduce emissions.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Locomotive Engine Standards

Quick Reference: Locomotive Eng Stds

Measure Name: Locomotive Engine Standards Encourage new federal locomotive engine emission standards

Description: Encourage ne (EPA 2012)

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

No
-
=
-
Yes
Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require federal action. States are preempted from regulating this source.

· Year of first benefits is 2012.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Marine Diesel Engine Standards

Quick Reference: Marine Eng Stds

Marine Diesel Engine Standards

Description:

Encourage new federal marine engine emission standards (EPA

2012).

RACM Determination:

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	-
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require federal action. States are preempted from regulating this source.

· Year of first benefits is 2012.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- High Cetane Fuel

Quick Reference: Cetane D

Measure Name: High Cetane Fuel Require High Cetane Diesel Fuel for Off-road Vehicles.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Biodiesel for Off-Road Equipment

Quick Reference: Offroad Biodiesel

Biodiesel for Off-Road Equipment

Description:

Increase use of biodiesel in off-road diesel equipment during ozone

season.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.

· Year of first benefits is 2007, 2008, or 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Episodic Nonroad Measures

Quick Reference: Epi

Episodic Nonroad

Episodic Nonroad Measures

RACM Determination:

Measure Name:

No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

Description:

Implement a variety of episodic measures targeting sources in the non-road sector, including lawn and garden equipment, commercial and industrial equipment, recreational equipment,

marine, etc.

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	-
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot This is an episodic measure that would be effective only on Air Quality Ozone Action Davis
- · This measure cannot be enforced.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. No creditable emission reduction. May be included in voluntary bundle. This measure is unenforceable from a practical standpoint.

Measure -- Control Off-Road Diesel Engines (Blue Sky)

Quick Reference:

Blue Sky Program

Description:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Control Off-Road Diesel Engines (Blue Sky)

Encourage the use of engines that are included in EPA's voluntary

"Blue Sky Series" engine program.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.

· Year of first benefits is 2007, 2008, or 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control Spark Ignition Engines

Quick Reference:

SI Engines Retrofit

Control Spark Ignition Engines

Description:

Retrofit controls and 3-way catalyst for spark ignition engines.

RACM Determination:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Vo

No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

-
Yes
-
-
Yes
-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.

- · Year of first benefits is 2007, 2008, or 2009.
- \cdot It may not be technologically feasible to retrofit all types of spark ignition equipment.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- "Cash for Clunkers" 2-cycle Engines

Quick Reference: 2-Cycle Replacement

"Cash for Clunkers" 2-cycle Engines

Description:

Implement a 2-cycle Engine Replacement Program.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Yes
No
Yes
No
Yes
-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.

· Year of first benefits is 2007, 2008, or 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- "Cash for Clunkers" Lawn & Garden Equipment

Quick Reference: Lawn Equip Replacement

Measure Name: "Cash for Clunkers" Lawn & Garden Equipment

Description:

Offer cash for consumers to turn in lawnmowers or lawn tractors

and purchase electric or push mowers.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Fating at all Oak Effectives as	
Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.

· Year of first benefits is 2007, 2008, or 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- "Cash for Clunkers" Outboard Motors

Quick Reference: Outboard Replacement

Measure Name: "Cash for Clunkers" Outboard Motors

Description:

Offer cash for consumers to turn in old outboard motors and

purchase new ones.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.

· Year of first benefits is 2007, 2008, or 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- "Cash for Clunkers" Recreational Equipment Program

Quick Reference: Rec Equip Replace

"Cash for Clunkers" Recreational Equipment Program

Description:

Offer small cash reward for owners to turn in old, high-emission

recreational equipment.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.

· Year of first benefits is 2007, 2008, or 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Idling Restrictions for Lawn & Garden Equipment

Quick Reference: Lawn Equip Idling

Measure Name: Idling Restrictions for Lawn & Garden Equipment

Description:

Limit idling by commercial lawn & garden equipment.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Not enforceable

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot The administrative cost of enforcement is prohibitive. Potential adverse impacts.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control Emissions from Lawn and Garden Equipment (xeriscaping)

Quick Reference: Xeriscapin

Control Emissions from Lawn and Garden Equipment

(xeriscaping)

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

Description:

Adopt measures to reduce lawn area and mower usage.

Xeriscaping.

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.

· Year of first benefits is 2007, 2008, or 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Agricultural Equipment Retrofits

Quick Reference: Ag retrofits

Measure Name: Agricultural Equipment Retrofits

Description:

Require agricultural equipment to be retrofitted with emissions

controls.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Because there are no regional standards for low-emissions agricultural equipment, rule development would be extensive and time consuming. The compliance period would also have to be extensive to permit manufacturers to develop new products or select retrofits.
- · Year of first benefits is not known at this time.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not provide reductions by May 2008.

Measure -- Agricultural Equipment Use Restrictions

Quick Reference: Ag Restrictions 1

Agricultural Equipment Use Restrictions

Description:

Ban use of agricultural equipment on Air Quality Action Days.

RACM Determination:

Measure Name:

No

Reason: Not feasible

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Consider a mandatory or voluntary approach. A voluntary approach would be unenforceable. There are no creditable emission reductions.
- · No registry of farmers or operators of agricultural equipment exists, such that they could be provided with Ozone Action Day notices in a timely manner. Affected operators may not use email and may be unwilling to check call in numbers daily.
- Enforcement of this measure would be difficult and costly due to the wide geographical area to be patrolled. A daily visit by an inspector would not ensure that equipment was not being operated before or after the visit.
- · Because states do not have the personnel to enforce this rule, they would need to rely on local enforcement or hire additional staff.
- · Potential adverse impacts, especially in the case of multi-day exceedance episodes

Summary Analysis

Enforcement of this measure would be prohibitively difficult, as there is no registry of affected equipment operators nor is there an effective method for ensuring they comply with the rule. Therefore the measure is not a RACM.

Measure -- Low-emissions Agricultural Equipment

Quick Reference: Low Emission Ag

Measure Name: Low-emissions Agricultural Equipment

Description:

Require sale of low-emissions agricultural equipment in region.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Because there are no regional standards for low-emissions agricultural equipment, rule development would be extensive and time consuming. The compliance period would also have to be extensive to permit manufacturers to develop new products or select retrofits.
- · Year of first benefits is not known at this time.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not provide reductions by May 2008.

Measure -- Industrial Equipment Replacement

Quick Reference: Ind Equip Replacement

Measure Name: Industrial Equipment Replacement

Description:

Subsidize replacement of fossil-fuel fired industrial equipment with

electric industrial equipment.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary Issues

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	·
Estimated Reductions	

· Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.

· Year of first benefits is 2007, 2008, or 2009.

Summary	/ Analy	vsis

Measure -- Industrial Equipment Retrofits

Quick Reference: Ind Equip Retrofit

Measure Name: Industrial Equipment Retrofits

Description:

Require industrial equipment to be retrofitted with emissions

controls.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

-	
Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Rule development would be extensive and time consuming. The compliance period would also have to permit manufacturers to develop new products or select retrofits.
- · Year of first benefits is not known at this time.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not provide reductions by May 2008.

Measure -- Low-emissions Commercial and Industrial Equipment

Quick Reference: Low Emission Ind

Low-emissions Commercial and Industrial Equipment

Description:

Require sale of low-emissions commercial and industrial

equipment in region.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- · Rule development would be extensive and time consuming. The compliance period would also have to permit manufacturers to develop new products or select retrofits.
- · Year of first benefits is not known at this time.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not provide reductions by May 2008.

Measure -- Idling Restrictions for Commercial and Industrial Equipment

Quick Reference: Ind Equip Idling

Measure Name: Idling Restrictions for Commercial and Industrial

Equipment

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Not economically feasible

Description:

Limit idling by commercial and industrial equipment.

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot The administrative cost of enforcement is prohibitive. Potential adverse impacts.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Recreational Equipment Retrofits

Quick Reference: Rec Equip Retrofits

Measure Name: Recreational Equipment Retrofits

Description:

Require recreational equipment to be retrofitted with particulate

fitlers and/or oxidation catalysts.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Not economically feasible

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot Rule development would be extensive and time consuming. The compliance period would also have to permit time for installing retrofits.
- · Year of first benefits is not known at this time.
- · Costs to administer program prohibitive.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Not economically feasible.

Measure -- Control Light Commercial Equipment

Quick Reference: Generator Retrofits

Measure Name: Control Light Commercial Equipment

Description:

Retrofit portable engines and generators.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Not economically feasible

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program. This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot Rule development would be extensive and time consuming. The compliance period would also have to permit time for installing retrofits.
- · Year of first benefits is not known at this time.
- · Costs to administer program prohibitive.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Not economically feasible.

Measure -- Control Construction Emissions

Description:

Quick Reference: Construction Fleet Rules

Measure Name: Control Construction Emissions Limitations and Fleet Rules for Construction Equipment.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Not economically feasible

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · This measure would require either state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot Any regulation on private construction equipment fleets would be controversial.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Potential adverse impacts. Not economically feasible.

Measure -- Recreational Marine Idling Restrictions

Quick Reference: Measure Name:

Reason:

Marine Idling

Recreational Marine Idling Restrictions

Description:

Restrict idling of marine equipment, either through voluntary or

mandatory approaches.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

This measure could be adopted as either a voluntary or mandatory measure, either year-round, during the ozone season, or on Air Quality Action Days.

·If a mandatory measure, all three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.

· Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

NOT RACM. Mandatory program cannot achieve reductions by May 2008. Administrative cost of program prohibitive.

Measure -- Low Nox Fuel Additives

Quick Reference: Low Nox Fuel Additives

Measure Name: Low Nox Fuel Additives Require the use of low-NOx fuel additives in nonroad equipment.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot This measure could be adopted as either a voluntary or mandatory measure, for a variety of different equipment types.
- · If a mandatory measure, all three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

NOT RACM. Mandatory program cannot achieve reductions by May 2008. Administrative cost of program prohibitive.

Measure -- Control Recreational Marine Emissions

 Quick Reference:
 Marine Incentives
 Description:

Measure Name: Control Recreational Marine Emissions Provide incentives for newer boats and engines.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Fating at all Oak Effectives as	
Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Voluntary measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.
- · Year of first benefits is 2007, 2008, or 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control Recreational Equipment Emissions

Quick Reference: Rec Equip Fee

Control Recreational Equipment Emissions

Description:

Increase registration fee on recreational vehicles (dedicate fee to

clean air fund).

RACM Determination:

Measure Name:

Reason: Not economically feasible

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot This measure would require either state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.

 \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.

· Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Not economically feasible. No reductions by May 2008.

Measure -- Control Commercial Marine Sources

Quick Reference: Tug Boats

Control Commercial Marine Sources

Description:

Tug/Push Boat Activity Reductions.

RACM Determination:

Reason: Potential adverse impacts

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · This measure would require either state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.

· Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Not economically feasible. Potential adverse impacts. No reductions by May 2008.

Measure -- Control Upwind Port Emissions

 Quick Reference:
 Port Fees
 Description:

Measure Name: Control Upwind Port Emissions Emission Fee Program for Port-Related Mobile Sources

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · This measure would require either state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot The IAQC is currently evaluating the potential for controls on upwind sources.
- \cdot If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.

· Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Graduated registration fees for recreational boats

Quick Reference:

Graduated Fees

Graduated registration fees for recreational boats

Description:

Levee additional registration fee for registration of boats with old,

high-emission engines.

RACM Determination:

Measure Name:

Reason:

No

Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot This measure would require either state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and

he District.

 \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance

with a regulation.

· Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. No reductions by May 2008. Not economically feasible.

Measure -- Airport Congestion Pricing

Quick Reference: Measure Name: Air Congest Pricing

Airport Congestion Pricing

Description:

Charge higher aircraft landing fees during busy times of day to

reduce airport delays and congestion.

RACM Determination:

Reason:

Vo

Not economically feasible

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot This measure could be adopted as either a voluntary or mandatory measure.
- · If a mandatory measure, all three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Not economically feasible. No reductions by May 2008.

Measure -- Gas Tax Increase

Quick Reference: Nonroad Gas Tax

Measure Name: Gas Tax Increase Implement a fuel tax on off-road gasoline.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Not economically feasible

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. No reductions by May 2008.

Measure -- Diesel Tax Increase

Quick Reference: Nonroad Diesel Tax

Description: Diesel Tax Increase Implement a fuel tax on off-road diesel. Measure Name:

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Not economically feasible

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot This measure would require state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. No reductions by May 2008.

Appendix

Analysis of Potential

Mobile Source Measures

* * *DRAFT March 15, 2007* * *

Potential Mobile Source RACM Measures in the Metropolitan Washington Region			
Measure Name	Definition	RACM	Reason
CAL LEV II Standards	Adopt CAL LEV II Standards, which will require increased zero emission vehicles with marginal VOC and CO2 reductions in 2010.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Expand Remote Sensing Program	Expand the Adoption of a Remote Sensing Program to Maryland and the District of Columbia.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
OTC Corridor Strategy	Implement truck stop electrification projects and Heavy-Duty Engine Engine Control Module (ECM) Recalibration (chip reflash) along the I-95 corridor.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Low-emission Vehicle Purchases	Accelerate adoption of low-emission vehicles. Target a variety of fleet and vehicle types.	No	Not enforceable
Voluntary Diesel Retrofits	Implement voluntary diesel retrofit programs. Target a variety of fleet and vehicle types.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Mandatory Diesel Retrofit Program	Require retrofit of onroad diesel vehicles. Target a variety of fleet and vehicle types.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Rebate for Purchase of Hybrid Vehicles	Issue rebate for purchase and registration of hybrid vehicles.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Zero I/M waivers and exemptions	Eliminate all waivers and exemptions in the I/M program.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Fleet ILEV for light-duty gasoline vehicles	Require fleets operating in nonattainment area to be comprised of a percentage of Inherently Low Emission Vehicles (ILEV).	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Expand I/M Requirements to Upwind Counties	Expand Inspection and Maintenance Requirements.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Control Vehicle Idling	No Idling Rule – Restriction. Limits idling to 5 minutes for all non-commercial, consumer operated vehicles within the Washington NAA. Establish exemptions where required.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Enhanced Enforcement of Mobile Source Regulations	Increase smoking vehicle enforcement.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Enhanced Enforcement of Vehicle Idling	Step-up enforcement of existing regulations to prevent extended vehicle idling.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Enhanced Enforcement: Speed Limits	Increase speed limit enforcement so that more vehicles are traveling at or below the posted limit.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Vehicle Share Programs	Develop vehicle share programs.	No	No creditable emission reduction
On-road Heavy-Duty Diesel Smoke Testing and I/M Program	Implement a smoke testing and/or Inspection/Maintenance Program for on-road heavy-duty diesel engines.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Control Bus Emissions	Provide electrified parking spaces or APUs for tour buses.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Control Delivery Truck Emissions	Establish voluntary emission reduction program with delivery fleets.	No	No creditable emission reduction

			I
"Cash for Clunkers" On-Road Vehicles	Fund voluntary program paying vehicle owners to turn in old vehicles for scrappage.	No	Not economically feasible
Annual Gasoline Vehicle Pollution Fee	Levy an annual fee on petroleum-powered vehicles based on mileage driven and emission rates (odometer tax).	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Graduated Car Tax	Charge higher car tax under a variety of different scenarios, including multiple cars and petroleum-based vehicles.	No	Will not provide reductions by May
Pay-as-you-drive auto insurance (\$/gal)	Offer auto insurance rates linked to number of gallons of fuel consumed by vehicle.	No	2008 Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Control VOC Content of Automotive Products	Windshield Wiper Fluid – lower VOC. Establish evaporative standards that are lower than those set by the EPA – 35 weight-percent VOC.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Gasoline Engine Retrofit Program	Retrofit with 3-way catalysts on gasoline-burning heavy duty trucks that currently have 2-way catalysts or no catalysts.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Motorcycle I/M Program	End the motorcycle smog check exemption.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
"Cash for Clunkers" Gas Caps Program	Provide free replacement gas caps to light- and medium-duty vehicle owners.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Electric Vehicle Tax Incentives	Establish incentives to purchase electric vehicles.	No	Not enforceable
Truck Idling Reduction: Truck Stop Electrification (TSE)	Implement projects to electrify truck stops.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Truck Idling Reduction: Auxilliary Power Units (APU)	Increase market penetration of APUs to reduce truck idling.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Improve Truck Fleet Fuel Economy	Encourage adoption of technologies that increase truck fleet fuel economy.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Increase Intermodal Transport	Increase use of intermodal options for transporting goods.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Control Heavy Duty Diesel Engines	Heavy-duty engine Engine Control Module (ECM) recalibration (chip reflash).	No	No creditable emission reduction
Diesel I/M Program	Perform community-based inspections of trucks and buses.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Establish Restricted Zones in Downtown Areas and Transit Centers	Restrict private vehicle use in certain areas during business hours, encouraging pedestrian, bicycle, and transit use.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Biodiesel Fuel	Expand use of biodiesel fuel for on-road vehicles.	No	No creditable emission reduction
CARB Diesel Fuel	Implement CARB diesel fuel standards.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
High Cetane Fuel	Require high-Cetane diesel fuel for on-road vehicles.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Low-NOx Diesel Fuel	Require regional use of low-NOx fuel additives for on-road diesel vehicles	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Fuel Additives to Reduce Emissions	Use emulsified diesel fuel in diesel-burning heavy duty vehicles.	No	Not enforceable
Gas Tax Increase	Implement a fuel tax on on-road gasoline.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008

Diesel Tax Increase	Implement a fuel tax on on-road diesel.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Expand HOV Network on the Freeway System	Construct additional HOV lanes on regional freeways.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Manage Roadway Usage: Traffic Incident Management	Regional Travel Information System/Driver Assistance. Enhance real time traffic information to allow drivers to make better decisions about when and where to travel.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Replace Traffic Signals with Lesser Controls	Install roundabouts in place of signals at low volume intersections.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Signals to Flashing Yellow 12am-5am	From midnight until 5am, set intersection signals to flashing yellow in predominant direction and flashing red in minor direction for all low volume intersections where safety permits.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Traffic Signal Optimization	Regularly optimize traffic signals to reduce idling and low-speed emissions.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Build Park & Ride Lots at Major Intersections of Commuter Highways	Construct new park & ride commuter lots along HOV facilities.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Extend Ramp Metering	Install signals to control flow of vehicles at selected freeway ramp entrances to maintain level of service.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Permit Right Turn on Red	Reduce vehicle idling time by permitting right turn on red, where safety allows.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Manage Roadway Usage: No Drive Days	Odd/Even License Plate no Drive Days. Prohibit drivers from traveling during certain periods, based on vehicle tags or other easily identifiable criteria. Can be a permanent or episodic control.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Congestion Pricing on Low Occupancy Vehicles	Impose a fee on vehicles containing two or fewer persons that use designated roadways, tunnels, and bridges during the peak AM periods.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Green Curb Initiative	Restricted Access/ "Green Curb". Differential fees and access permits applied during periods of high congestion. Target delivery/loading zones and carpool/vanpool pickup areas.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Area Pricing: Entry Fees	Collect fees from drivers to enter a pre-defined area.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Transportation Funding Initiatives	Require that Congestion Mitigation Air Quality (CMAQ) funds be used only for projects that improve air quality.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Establish Clean Air Fund	Sell Clean Air License Plates to fund air quality programs (similar to "Save the Bay" tags).	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Electronic Tolling	Expand interoperability of electronic tolling systems.	No	No creditable emission reductions

Control Vehicle Speeds: Automated Enforcement	Automate speed enforcement and lower the speed limit to 55 mph for heavy duty vehicles.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Control Vehicle Speeds: Lower Limits	Speed Limit Restriction: Regional speed limit of 55 mph on all roads which previously had posted speeds of greater than 55 mph.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Manage Roadway Usage: Dedicated Bus Lanes	Dedicate roadway lanes for use by buses.	No	Potential adverse impacts
Convenience Commercial Centers in Residential Areas	Change zoning ordinances to allow neigborhood-serving retail establishments in residential areas.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Incentives for Mixed Use at Transit Centers	Include incentives for mixed-use development at transit centers to reduce sprawl and VMT.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Infill Development	Implement an infill development program throughout the Washington region.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Proximity Commute: Job Swap	Encourage employees of the same firm to swap jobs, permitting each to work at a location closer to home.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Shorter Distance from Buildings to Bus Stops	For existing buildings, re-route traffic to allow buses to come closer to the building. For new buildings, alter setback requirements to allow closer bus access.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Smart Growth and Infill Development Programs	Encourage development/redevelopment of land in designated growth areas, encouraging local governments to place greater emphasis on land development near transit stations.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Telecommuting Centers and Telework Program	Telecommuting centers, including marketing activity, consultant support, commuter and employer information and assistance.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Telecourses at Local Colleges and Universities	Encourage local colleges and universities to offer telecourses to reduce vehicle trips.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Restrict Construction of New Parking	Restrict construction of new parking at employment centers based on distance from transit and urban core.	No	No creditable emission reduction
ATM Machines Installed at Metro Stations	Install ATMs near metro stations for rider convenience.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Automatic Bus Locator System	System would provide bus location information to transit dispatchers. This would decrease wait time and improve ontime arrival/departure.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Clean Commute/Try Transit Week	Promotes use of alternative transportation, including transit, by daily commuters for one week per year.	No	No creditable emission reductions
College 33 Pass System	Expand Baltimore college bus fare program to DC area. Program allows students to receive reduced fares near 19 participating schools in the region.	No	Will not advance attainment date
Discount Multi-Trip Bus Fares	Introduce discount programs reducing cost of multiple bus rides through purchase of pass books (e.g. 10-trip tickets).	No	Will not advance attainment date
Additional Transit Stores	Establish additional stationary transit stores in the region.	No	No creditable emission reductions

Subsidize Transit Usage	Expand MetroChek to all public sector employees	No	Will not advance attainment date
Expand Peak Period Metrorail Service	Extend peak-period service on Metrorail so trains run more frequently between 6-11 am and 3-8 pm.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Expand VRE Train Service	Expand VRE train service to include additional departures.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Express Buses From Outyling Areas	Implement direct bus service from outlying Park & Ride lots and far suburbs to major work centers.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Flat Fare For All Transit Trips	Single price all public transit services with free transfers all day, 7 days per week.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Free Bus Service Off-Peak	Institute free off-peak bus service from 10-2 on weekdays and all day on weekends.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Free bus-to-rail / rail-to-bus transfers	Institute free bus-to-rail transfer similar to free rail-to-bus transfer currently in place.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Free Rail Use 10-3	Free Metrorail trips for all riders from 10AM-3PM on weekdays.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Free Transit Passes to Students	Free transit passes for high school and college students, subsidized by schools or through student registration fee.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Half Price Fares on Feeder Bus Service	All metro bus and local bus services to Metrorail and commuter rail stations reduce fares by half.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Increase Commuter Rail Frequency	Increase frequency of MARC service to every 15 minutes on Penn and Camden lines and every 10 min on the Brunswich line. Increase VRE frequency to every 15 minutes.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Metrorail Feeder Bus Service & Fare Buydown	Improve Metrorail feeder bus service at underutilized park & ride lots, implement fare buydown program.	No	No creditable emission reduction
New MARC Coaches	Purchase additional coaches for MARC to accommodate increased ridership.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
New Surface Parking at Transit Centers	Add new parking spaces at transit centers (bus, Metrorail, MARC, VRE) parking lots.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Provide Additional Transit Service to Core	Increase funding for transit services to expand core service.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Provide Additional Transit Service Access	Increase funding for enhancing access to transit services.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Real-Time Bus Schedule Information	Expand trials of real-time bus schedule information to local transit providers.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Reduce Parking Fees at Facilities Outside the Beltway Adjacent to Metro	Reduce parking fees at Metro parking facilities or county/city managed facilities outside of the Beltway that are located near Metro stations.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Regional Bus Service Expansion	Expansion of Metrobus and other regional bus services.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Support Rail to Dulles and BWI Airports	Provide funding to expand metro rail services to Dulles and BWI airports.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008

Transit Prioritization Queue Jumps	Provide queue jumps for buses at over-capacity signalized intersections throughout the region. Queue jumps allow buses to use a shoulder or other designated lane to bypass intersection queues and move forward towards the stop line.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Universal Transportation Access	SmarTrip card will allow users to pay fares on all rail and bus systems in the region (including parking in Metrorail lots) using one electronic card.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
WMATA Bus Information Displays with Maps	Install additional information boxes with maps and schedule information. Would include schedules in languages other than English in neighborhoods where most residents speak another language.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Rush Hour Shift	Shift Metrorail AM and PM rush hours to start 30 min earlier and end 30 min later.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Employer Metro Shuttle Bus Services	Provide incentives for businesses to provide employee shuttle service to the nearest rail or transit stop.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Eliminate or Restrict Airport Parking	Eliminate airport parking and replace with alternative fuel shuttle buses.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Bicycle Racks in DC	Install bicycle racks at various locations throughout the region.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Bike Lockers at Metro Stations, Park & Ride Lots, Other Locations	Expand existing bike lockers at Metrorail stations, install bicycle storage spaces in parking lots.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Bike Racks on Transit Buses	Provide external bike racks on WMATA and other local transit buses.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Bike/Pedestrian Paths	Fund construction of additional bicycle/pedestrian paths in the region.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Employers Provide Free Bicycles for Midday Use	Require employers to provide one bicycle per 50 employees for mid-day business or personal use.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Bike to Work Day	Conduct a one-day bike to work event. Provide outreach activities, education on the bike-to-work option, and assistance in trying bike-to-work.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Safe Routes to School Program	Implement a safe pedestrian and bicycle routes to school program to reduce VMT.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Improvements to Bicycle and Pedestrian Access	Provide incentives to developments that speed improvements to bicycle/pedestrian access. This includes improvements to sidewalks, curb ramps, crosswalks, lighting, etc.	No	No creditable emission reduction
4 Day Work Week/Flexible Work Schedules	Encourage employers to adopt a shorter work week, with employees working 4 10-hour days.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Access to Jobs Program	Identifies gaps in transit service between places of residence and places of work for low wage workers.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Mandatory Employee Commute Reduction	Mandatory employer trip reduction to reduce employee vehicle trips.	No	Potential adverse impacts
Restrict Parking at Schools	Restrict high school students from driving to and parking at high schools when bus service is available.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Student & staff based college & university rideshare programs	Create rideshare program focused on students and staff at regional universities.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Vanpool Programs	Create programs and incentives designed to increase the number of vanpools in the region.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Commuter Parking Tax	Implement daily tax on employers or employees that match certain commuting/parking criteria.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008

Market Based Parking Charge at Federal Facilities	Negotiate agreement with federal government to charge market rate for daily parking for all employees.	No	Will not provide reductions by May 2008
Parking Impact Fee: All Parking	Levy annual impact fee on every parking space in nonattainment area. Will not provide reductions by May 2008		
Free Parking for Carpools/Vanpools	Provide free reserved parking spaces for all carpools or vanpools.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Employer Parking Cash-Out	Implement program encouraging or requiring employers to provide the value of subsidized parking to employees who use alternative commute strategies.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Remove Trash Trucks From Area Streets	Reduce use of trash trucks through transport of trash by barge.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Clean Air Partners: Air Quality Action Days	Take a variety of actions on Air Quality Action Days to reduce emissions and improve air quality (free transit, telework, carpool).	No	No creditable emission reductions
Clean Air Partners: Public Outreach and Education	Implement Strategic Communication Campaigns to Increase Public Awareness (reduce vehicle use).	No	No creditable emission reductions
Local Government Education Campaign	Implement Strategic Communication Campaigns to Increase Local Government Air Quality Improvement Efforts (reduce vehicle use).	No	No creditable emission reductions
Mass Marketing Campaign	Marketing effort involving business-to-business advertising campaign in print media and on world wide web.	No	No creditable emission reduction
Commuter Choice - State & Local Government Employees	Provide the region's local, state and municipal employees with transit benefits.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Commuter Choice Tax Credit	Employers subsidize employees' monthly transit or vanpool costs and receive a tax credit for incurred expenses.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Commuter Operations Center	Provides commuter assistance services, including carpool and vanpool ridematching.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Guaranteed Ride Home	Provides free rides home in event of unexpected emergency or unscheduled overtime to commuters using public transport.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Integrated Rideshare	Provides transit, park & ride, and telecenter information to all commuters on a matchlist.	No	No creditable emission reductions
Interactive Rideshare Kiosks	Transportation Information Kiosks in Maryland, Virginia and the District of Columbia.	No	No creditable emission reductions

Measure -- CAL LEV II Standards

Quick Reference: CAL LEV

Measure Name: CAL LEV II Standards

Description:

Adopt CAL LEV II Standards, which will require increased zero emission vehicles with marginal VOC and CO2 reductions in 2010.

RACM Determination: No

Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Reason:

3
3
3

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot Any regulation mandating carbon emission reductions would be controversial.
- · Year of first benefits is 2010.

Summary Analysis

Reductions from CAL LEV II will not be be available by May 2008.

Measure -- Expand Remote Sensing Program

Quick Reference: Remote Sensing

Measure Name: Expand Remote Sensing Program

Description:

Expand the Adoption of a Remote Sensing Program to Maryland

and the District of Columbia.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland and the District.
- · States require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- OTC Corridor Strategy

Quick Reference:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Corridors

OTC Corridor Strategy

Description:

Implement truck stop electrification projects and Heavy-Duty
Engine Engine Control Module (ECM) Recalibration (chip reflash)

along the I-95 corridor.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Voluntary Measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.
- · Consider mandatory chip reflash program.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009 if mandatory.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Low-emission Vehicle Purchases

Quick Reference: Low-emission Vehicle Purchases Measure Name:

Low-emission Vehicle Purchases

Description:

Accelerate adoption of low-emission vehicles. Target a variety of

fleet and vehicle types.

RACM Determination:

Reason: Not enforceable

RACM Criterion Summary Issues

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

 \cdot Voluntary Measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.

· Year of first benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

May be included in the voluntary bundle. Not RACM. Not enforceable.

Measure -- Voluntary Diesel Retrofits

Quick Reference: Measure Name: Vol Diesel Retrofit

Voluntary Diesel Retrofits

Description:

Implement voluntary diesel retrofit programs. Target a variety of

fleet and vehicle types.

RACM Determination:

Reason:

No

No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Voluntary Measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.

 \cdot Achieving NOx or VOC reductions through retrofits is expensive.

· Year of first benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

May be included in the voluntary bundle.

Not RACM. Not enforceable.

Measure -- Mandatory Diesel Retrofit Program

Quick Reference: Mand Diesel Retrofit

Measure Name: Mandatory Diesel Retrofit Program

Description:

Require retrofit of onroad diesel vehicles. Target a variety of fleet

and vehicle types.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot Any regulation mandating onroad diesel vehicle retrofits would be controversial.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Rebate for Purchase of Hybrid Vehicles

Quick Reference: Hybrid Rebates

Rebate for Purchase of Hybrid Vehicles

Description:

Issue rebate for purchase and registration of hybrid vehicles.

RACM Determination:

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Yes
No
Yes
=
Yes
-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Voluntary Measure. May be included as part of the Innovative Measures Bundle.
- \cdot Consider expanding program to cover clean diesel.
- · Year of first benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Zero I/M waivers and exemptions

Quick Reference: No Waivers <u>Description:</u>

Measure Name: Zero I/M waivers and exemptions Eliminate all waivers and exemptions in the I/M program.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Fleet ILEV for light-duty gasoline vehicles

Quick Reference: Fleet ILEV

Measure Name: Fleet ILEV for light-duty gasoline vehicles

Description:

Require fleets operating in nonattainment area to be comprised of

a percentage of Inherently Low Emission Vehicles (ILEV).

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot Any regulation mandating fleet requirements would be controversial.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Expand I/M Requirements to Upwind Counties

Quick Reference: Upwind

Expand I/M Requirements to Upwind Counties

Description:

Expand Inspection and Maintenance Requirements.

RACM Determination:

Measure Name:

No

opmila countios

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot This measure would require state-level regulation by Virginia or other upwind states.
- \cdot This would require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot If pursued, upwind sources would need to be added to the emissions inventory.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control Vehicle Idling

Quick Reference: No Idling

Measure Name: Control Vehicle Idling

ng <u>Description:</u>

No Idling Rule – Restriction. Limits idling to 5 minutes for all noncommercial, consumer operated vehicles within the Washington

NAA. Establish exemptions where required.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot This measure would require either state-level regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot Regulations restricting automobile idling would be difficult to enforce.
- · Emission reductions may not be creditable.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Enhanced Enforcement of Mobile Source Regulations

 Quick Reference:
 Enforce Smoking Vehicles
 Description:

Measure Name: Enhanced Enforcement of Mobile Source Regulations Increase smoking vehicle enforcement.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Voluntary Measure.

· Year of first benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Enhanced Enforcement of Vehicle Idling

Quick Reference:

Enforce Idling

Description:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Enhanced Enforcement of Vehicle Idling

Step-up enforcement of existing regulations to prevent extended

vehicle idling.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary	RACM	Criterion	Summary
------------------------	------	-----------	---------

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Voluntary Measure.

· Year of first benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Enhanced Enforcement: Speed Limits

Quick Reference:

Enforce Speed Limits

Description:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Enhanced Enforcement: Speed Limits

Increase speed limit enforcement so that more vehicles are

traveling at or below the posted limit.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Voluntary Measure.

· Year of first benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Vehicle Share Programs

Quick Reference: Vehicle Sharing

Measure Name: Vehicle Share Programs

<u>Description:</u> Develop vehicle share programs.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Fating at all Oak Effectives as	
Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Voluntary Measure.

· Year of first benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- On-road Heavy-Duty Diesel Smoke Testing and I/M Program

Quick Reference: Onroad Smoke Test

Measure Name: On-road Heavy-Duty Diesel Smoke Testing and I/M

Program

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

Description:

Implement a smoke testing and/or Inspection/Maintenance

Program for on-road heavy-duty diesel engines.

RACM Criterion Summary

No
Yes
Yes
-
Yes
No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation
- \cdot Heavy duty diesel smoke testing may not reduce NOx or VOC emissions.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control Bus Emissions

Quick Reference:

Tour Bus Control Bus Emissions

Description

Provide electrified parking spaces or APUs for tour buses.

RACM Determination:

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Voluntary Measure.

· Year of first benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control Delivery Truck Emissions

Quick Reference: Delivery Trucks

Control Delivery Truck Emissions

Description:

Establish voluntary emission reduction program with delivery fleets.

RACM Determination: No

No

No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Reason:

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Voluntary Measure.

- \cdot Large fleet operators in the region participate in EPA's Smartway Program. Companies also have anti-idling policies.
- · Year of first benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- "Cash for Clunkers" On-Road Vehicles

Issues

Quick Reference:

Vehicle Replace

Description:

Measure Name:

"Cash for Clunkers" On-Road Vehicles

Fund voluntary program paying vehicle owners to turn in old

vehicles for scrappage.

RACM Determination:

Reason: Not economically feasible

RACM Criterion Summary	
------------------------	--

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

 Year o 	f first	benefits	is	2006.
----------------------------	---------	----------	----	-------

· Voluntary Measure.

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Not economically feasible.

Measure -- Annual Gasoline Vehicle Pollution Fee

Quick Reference: Odometer Tax

Measure Name: Annual Gasoline Vehicle Pollution Fee

Description:

Levy an annual fee on petroleum-powered vehicles based on

mileage driven and emission rates (odometer tax).

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Graduated Car Tax

Quick Reference: Car Tax

Measure Name: Graduated Car Tax

Description:

Charge higher car tax under a variety of different scenarios, including multiple cars and petroleum-based vehicles.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Pay-as-you-drive auto insurance (\$/gal)

Quick Reference:

VMT Insurance

Description:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Pay-as-you-drive auto insurance (\$/gal)

Offer auto insurance rates linked to number of gallons of fuel

consumed by vehicle.

RACM Determination:

No

Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control VOC Content of Automotive Products

Quick Reference: Product VOC

Measure Name: Control VOC Content of Automotive Products

Description:

Windshield Wiper Fluid – lower VOC. Establish evaporative standards that are lower than those set by the EPA – 35 weight-

percent VOC.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	-
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Gasoline Engine Retrofit Program

Quick Reference: Gas Retrofits

Gasoline Engine Retrofit Program Measure Name:

Description:

Retrofit with 3-way catalysts on gasoline-burning heavy duty trucks that currently have 2-way catalysts or no catalysts.

RACM Determination:

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Potential voluntary measure.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Motorcycle I/M Program

Description:

Quick Reference: Motorcycle IM

Measure Name: Motorcycle I/M Program End the motorcycle smog check exemption.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- "Cash for Clunkers" Gas Caps Program

Quick Reference:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Gas Caps

"Cash for Clunkers" Gas Caps Program

Description:

Provide free replacement gas caps to light- and medium-duty

vehicle owners.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Potential voluntary measure.
- \cdot A gas cap program may be implemented under EPA's Mobile Source Air Toxics (MSAT) Rule.
- · Year of first benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Mobile Source Mitigation Fees

Quick Reference: Mobile Miti Fees

Mobile Source Mitigation Fees

Description:

Establish mobile sector mitigation fees

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Electric Vehicle Tax Incentives

Quick Reference: Electric Vehicle

Electric Vehicle Description:
Electric Vehicle Tax Incentives Establish ince

Establish incentives to purchase electric vehicles.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Not enforceable

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\cdot \ \text{Potential voluntary measure}.$

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Not enforceable.

Measure -- Truck Idling Reduction: Truck Stop Electrification (TSE)

Quick Reference: TSE <u>Description:</u>

Measure Name: Truck Idling Reduction: Truck Stop Electrification (TSE) Implement projects to electrify truck stops.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Potential voluntary measure.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Truck Idling Reduction: Auxilliary Power Units (APU)

 Quick Reference:
 Truck APU

 Description:

Measure Name: Truck Idling Reduction: Auxilliary Power Units (APU) Increase market penetration of APUs to reduce truck idling.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Potential voluntary measure.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Improve Truck Fleet Fuel Economy

Quick Reference: Truck Efficiency

Measure Name: Improve Truck Fleet Fuel Economy

Description:

Encourage adoption of technologies that increase truck fleet fuel

economy.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

-	
Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\cdot \ \text{Potential voluntary measure}.$

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Increase Intermodal Transport

Quick Reference:

Intermodal

Description:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Increase Intermodal Transport

Increase use of intermodal options for transporting goods.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\cdot \ \text{Potential voluntary measure}.$

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control Heavy Duty Diesel Engines

Quick Reference: Chip Reflash

Measure Name: Control Heavy Duty Diesel Engines

Description:

Heavy-duty engine Engine Control Module (ECM) recalibration

(chip reflash).

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory or Voluntary Program. Mandatory measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot Program would only correct issues with compliance with existing programs.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Diesel I/M Program

Quick Reference: Community Inspect

Measure Name: Diesel I/M Program Perform community-based inspections of trucks and buses.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\cdot \ \text{Potential voluntary measure}.$

Description:

· Year of first benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Establish Restricted Zones in Downtown Areas and Transit Centers

Quick Reference: Restricted Zones

Measure Name: Establish Restricted Zones in Downtown Areas and

Transit Centers

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

Description:

Restrict private vehicle use in certain areas during business hours,

encouraging pedestrian, bicycle, and transit use.

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Mandatory Program. Would require complex negotiation between various stakeholders and authorities having jurisdiction.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Biodiesel Fuel

Quick Reference:

Onroad biodiesel

Description

Measure Name: Biodiesel Fuel

Expand use of biodiesel fuel for on-road vehicles.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- $\cdot \ \text{Potential voluntary measure}.$
- · Potential NOx disbenefit.
- · Year of first benefits is 2007.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- CARB Diesel Fuel

 Quick Reference:
 CARB Diesel
 Description:

Measure Name: CARB Diesel Fuel Implement CARB diesel fuel standards.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Limited benefit once ULSD is available.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- High Cetane Fuel

Description:

Quick Reference: Onroad cetane

Measure Name: High Cetane Fuel Require high-Cetane diesel fuel for on-road vehicles.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Low-NOx Diesel Fuel

Quick Reference:Low Nox Onroad FuelMeasure Name:Low-NOx Diesel Fuel

ox Onload Fuel <u>Description</u>

Require regional use of low-NOx fuel additives for on-road diesel

vehicles

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Mandating fuel additives may be challenging to implement.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Fuel Additives to Reduce Emissions

Quick Reference: Emulsified Diesel

Description:

Measure Name:

Fuel Additives to Reduce Emissions

Use emulsified diesel fuel in diesel-burning heavy duty vehicles.

RACM Determination:

No

Reason:

Not enforceable

RACM Criterion Summary

Issues

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Not enforceable.

Measure -- Gas Tax Increase

Description:

Quick Reference: Onroad Gas Tax

Measure Name: Gas Tax Increase Implement a fuel tax on on-road gasoline.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Diesel Tax Increase

 Quick Reference:
 Onroad Diesel Tax
 Description:

Measure Name: Diesel Tax Increase Implement a fuel tax on on-road diesel.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Expand HOV Network on the Freeway System

 Quick Reference:
 Expand HOV
 Description:

Measure Name: Expand HOV Network on the Freeway System Construct additional HOV lanes on regional freeways.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

-	
Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	-
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 Adding significant new HOV lane capacity to the region's transportation network may not be possible by May 2008.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Manage Roadway Usage: Traffic Incident Management

Quick Reference: Traffic Management

Measure Name: Manage Roadway Usage: Traffic Incident Management

Description:

Regional Travel Information System/Driver Assistance. Enhance

real time traffic information to allow drivers to make better decisions about when and where to travel.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary Issues

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

· This program is already in place as a TERM. No creditable emission reduction.

 \cdot Adding significant new travel information systems to the region may not be possible by May 2008.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Replace Traffic Signals with Lesser Controls

Quick Reference:

Rotary

Description:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Replace Traffic Signals with Lesser Controls

Install roundabouts in place of signals at low volume intersections.

RACM Determination:

No

Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Adding significant new rotary capacity to the region's transportation network may not be possible by May 2008.
- · Not all intersections are candidates for lesser controls. May not be able to remove controls for safety and liability.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Signals to Flashing Yellow 12am-5am

Quick Reference:

RACM Determination:

Measure Name:

Flashing Signals

Signals to Flashing Yellow 12am-5am

Reason:

No creditable emission reductions

Description:

From midnight until 5am, set intersection signals to flashing yellow in predominant direction and flashing red in minor direction for all

low volume intersections where safety permits.

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Potential voluntary measure.
- \cdot Setting signals to flashing yellow is already in place at many intersections in the

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Traffic Signal Optimization

Quick Reference: Measure Name:

Reason:

Signal Optimization

Traffic Signal Optimization

Regularly optimize traffic signals to reduce idling and low-speed emissions.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Potential voluntary measure.

 \cdot Program currently underway in the region.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Build Park & Ride Lots at Major Intersections of Commuter Highways

Quick Reference: Park and Ride

<u>Description:</u>
Construct new park & ride commuter lots along HOV facilities.

Measure Name:

Build Park & Ride Lots at Major Intersections of

Commuter Highways

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Fating at all Oak Effectives as	
Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Additional park and ride lots are currently being constructed.

· Emission reductions from this measure may be de minimis.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Extend Ramp Metering

Quick Reference:

Ramp Meter

Measure Name: Extend Ramp Metering

Description:

Install signals to control flow of vehicles at selected freeway ramp

entrances to maintain level of service.

RACM Determination:

Reason:

No

No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Adding significant ramp metering capabilities to the region's transportation network may not be possible by May 2008.

 \cdot May cause traffic congestion on local streets. Limited air quality benefit.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Permit Right Turn on Red

Quick Reference: Measure Name:

Reason:

Right on Red

Permit Right Turn on Red

Description:

Reduce vehicle idling time by permitting right turn on red, where

safety allows.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Measure is already underway in the region.

· Potential emission reductions may be de minimis.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Manage Roadway Usage: No Drive Days

Quick Reference: No Drive Days

Measure Name: Manage Roadway Usage: No Drive Days

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

Description:

Odd/Even License Plate no Drive Days. Prohibit drivers from traveling during certain periods, based on vehicle tags or other easily identifiable criteria. Can be a permanent or episodic control.

RACM Criterion Summary

No
Yes
-
-
No
Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Potential adverse impacts.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Congestion Pricing on Low Occupancy Vehicles

Quick Reference: Congest Pricing

Measure Name: Congestion Pricing on Low Occupancy Vehicles

Description:

Impose a fee on vehicles containing two or fewer persons that use designated roadways, tunnels, and bridges during the peak AM

periods.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District. Federal approval may also be required.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- \cdot Potential adverse impacts if converting existing lanes to toll.
- · Year of first benefits is unknown.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Green Curb Initiative

Quick Reference: Value Pricing

Measure Name: Green Curb Initiative

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

Description:

Restricted Access/ "Green Curb". Differential fees and access permits applied during periods of high congestion. Target delivery/loading zones and carpool/vanpool pickup areas.

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Potential adverse impacts.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Area Pricing: Entry Fees

Description:

Quick Reference: Entry Fees

Measure Name: Area Pricing: Entry Fees Collect fees from drivers to enter a pre-defined area.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	-
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level legislation or regulation by Maryland, Virginia and the District. Congressional approval is needed for projects in the District
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Potential adverse impacts.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Transportation Funding Initiatives

Quick Reference:

CMAQ

Transportation Funding Initiatives

Description:

Require that Congestion Mitigation Air Quality (CMAQ) funds be

used only for projects that improve air quality.

RACM Determination:

Measure Name:

Reason:

No

Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Program: This measure would require state-level regulation or policy by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- · All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with a regulation.
- · Program may already be required under recent federal guidance.
- · Year of first benefits depends on project.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Establish Clean Air Fund

Quick Reference: Clean Air Fund

Measure Name: Establish Clean Air Fund

Description:

Sell Clean Air License Plates to fund air quality programs (similar

to "Save the Bay" tags).

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	Yes
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Potential voluntary measure. Organizations can petition DMV for new plates.
- · May require state legislation.
- · Year of first benefits is not known.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Electronic Tolling

Quick Reference: Electronic Tolling

Measure Name: Electronic Tolling Expand interoperability of electronic tolling systems.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot This measures is already in-place.

Description:

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control Vehicle Speeds: Automated Enforcement

Quick Reference: Auto Enforce

Control Vehicle Speeds: Automated Enforcement

Description:

Automate speed enforcement and lower the speed limit to 55 mph

for heavy duty vehicles.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

No
Yes
Yes
-
Yes
-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- $\cdot \ \text{Measure will require legislation}.$
- · Year of first benefits is not known.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Control Vehicle Speeds: Lower Limits

Quick Reference: Lower Limits

Measure Name: Control Vehicle Speeds: Lower Limits

Description:

Speed Limit Restriction: Regional speed limit of 55 mph on all roads which previously had posted speeds of greater than 55 mph.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · This measure would require state-level legislation by Maryland, Virginia and the
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with new legislation.
- \cdot Meaures may involve increased costs for enforcement.
- · Year of first benefits is not known.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Manage Roadway Usage: Dedicated Bus Lanes

 Quick Reference:
 Dedicated Bus Lanes
 Description:

Measure Name: Manage Roadway Usage: Dedicated Bus Lanes Dedicate roadway lanes for use by buses.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Potential adverse impacts

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Year of first benefits is not known.

· Potenital adverse impacts if existing lanes are converted.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Potential adverse impacts.

Measure -- Convenience Commercial Centers in Residential Areas

Quick Reference: Commercial Convenience

Convenience Commercial Centers in Residential Areas

Description:

Change zoning ordinances to allow neigborhood-serving retail

establishments in residential areas.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Adding significant new commercial convenience centers to the region's land use plans may not be possible by May 2008.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Incentives for Mixed Use at Transit Centers

Quick Reference: Mixed Use

Measure Name: Incentives for Mixed Use at Transit Centers

Description:

Include incentives for mixed-use development at transit centers to

reduce sprawl and VMT.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- Measure is already in place at a number of locations in the region. Adding significant new incentives to the region's land use plans may not be possible by May 2008
- \cdot Reductions could be greater than 0.1 tpd if benefits from different projects are combined.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Infill Development

Quick Reference: Measure Name:

Reason:

Infill Development Infill Development

Description:

Implement an infill development program throughout the

Washington region.

RACM Determination:

No

Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 Measure is already in place at a number of locations in the region. Adding significant new infill developments to the region's land use plans may not be possible by May 2008.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Proximity Commute: Job Swap

Quick Reference:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Proximity Commute

Proximity Commute: Job Swap

Description:

Encourage employees of the same firm to swap jobs, permitting

each to work at a location closer to home.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Yes
No
Yes
-
Yes
-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Potential voluntary measure.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Shorter Distance from Buildings to Bus Stops

Quick Reference:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Bus Stop Access

Shorter Distance from Buildings to Bus Stops

Description:

For existing buildings, re-route traffic to allow buses to come closer to the building. For new buildings, alter setback requirements to

allow closer bus access.

RACM Determination:

No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

No
No
=
-
Yes
-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Potential voluntary measure.
- \cdot Measure would require changes in land use and zoning.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Smart Growth and Infill Development Programs

Issues

Quick Reference: Smart Growth

Smart Growth and Infill Development Programs Measure Name:

Description:

Encourage development/redevelopment of land in designated growth areas, encouraging local governments to place greater emphasis on land development near transit stations.

RACM Determination:

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

 \cdot Measure is already in place at a number of locations in the region. Adding significant new smart growth developments to the region's land use plans may not be possible by May 2008.

_	_	
Summary	Δna	lvsis

Measure -- Telecommuting Centers and Telework Program

Quick Reference:

Telecommute

Description:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Telecommuting Centers and Telework Program

Telecommuting centers, including marketing activity, consultant support, commuter and employer information and assistance.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Measures is already in place in the region.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Telecourses at Local Colleges and Universities

Quick Reference:

Description:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Telecourses at Local Colleges and Universities

Encourage local colleges and universities to offer telecourses to

reduce vehicle trips.

RACM Determination:

No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Fating at all Oak Effectives as	
Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Potential voluntary measure. Measures already being done in some instances.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Restrict Construction of New Parking

Quick Reference: Restrict New Parking

Measure Name: Restrict Construction of New Parking

Description:

Restrict construction of new parking at employment centers based

on distance from transit and urban core.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	Yes
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Mandatory Program. Process will vary by jurisdiction.
- · Measure already in place in some jurisditions.
- · Potential adverse impacts.
- \cdot Year of first benefits is not known. Timing will vary by jurisdiction.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Potential adverse impacts.

Measure -- ATM Machines Installed at Metro Stations

Quick Reference: Metro ATM

ATM Machines Installed at Metro Stations

Description:

Install ATMs near metro stations for rider convenience.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Fating at all Oak Effectives as	
Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Measure is already in place.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Automatic Bus Locator System

Quick Reference: Bus Locator

Measure Name: Automatic Bus Locator System

System would provide bus location information to transit

dispatchers. This would decrease wait time and improve on-time

arrival/departure.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Yes
No
Yes
-
Yes
=

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\cdot \ \text{Potential voluntary measure}.$

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Clean Commute/Try Transit Week

Quick Reference: Clean Commute

Clean Commute/Try Transit Week

Description:

Promotes use of alternative transportation, including transit, by

daily commuters for one week per year.

RACM Determination: No

Measure Name:

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\cdot \ \text{Potential voluntary measure}.$

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Additional Transit Stores

Quick Reference:

Transit Stores

Description:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Additional Transit Stores

Establish additional stationary transit stores in the region.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Measure is already in place.

· Potential for expansion as a voluntary measure.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Expand Peak Period Metrorail Service

Quick Reference: Expand Peak Transit

Measure Name: Expand Peak Period Metrorail Service

Description:

Extend peak-period service on Metrorail so trains run more

frequently between 6-11 am and 3-8 pm.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Potential voluntary measure.
- \cdot Significant new capital expenditures would be required.
- · Year of first benefit is not known.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Expand VRE Train Service

Quick Reference: Expand VRE

Expand VRE Train Service Expand VRE train service to include additional departures.

Description:

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\cdot \ \text{Potential voluntary measure}.$

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Express Buses From Outyling Areas

Quick Reference: Express Bus

Measure Name: Express Buses From Outyling Areas

Description:

Implement direct bus service from outlying Park & Ride lots and far

suburbs to major work centers.

RACM Determination: No

RACM Criterion Summary

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

Issues

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Fating at all Oak Effectives as	
Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

 \cdot Measure is already in place. Additional bus service can be added as demand arises.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Subsidize Transit Usage

 Quick Reference:
 Subsidize Transit
 Description:

Measure Name: Subsidize Transit Usage Expand MetroChek to all public sector employees

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not advance attainment date

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Measure already in-place. Not economically viable in all locations, especially outer suburbs which lack sufficient transit infrastructure.
- · Potential voluntary measure.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. WIll not advance attainment date.

Measure -- Discount Multi-Trip Bus Fares

Quick Reference:

Measure Name:

Discounted Fares

Discount Multi-Trip Bus Fares

Description:

Introduce discount programs reducing cost of multiple bus rides

through purchase of pass books (e.g. 10-trip tickets).

RACM Determination:

Reason: Will not advance attainment date

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Measure is already in place.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- College 33 Pass System

Quick Reference:

College Pass

Measure Name:

College 33 Pass System

RACM Determination:

No

Reason: Will not advance attainment date

Description:

Expand Baltimore college bus fare program to DC area. Program allows students to receive reduced fares near 19 participating

schools in the region.

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Potential voluntary measure.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Flat Fare For All Transit Trips

Quick Reference: Flat Fares

Measure Name: Flat Fare For All Transit Trips

Description:

Single price all public transit services with free transfers all day, 7

days per week.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Potential voluntary measure.

·Year of first benefits is not known.

- May not be possible to implement by May 2008 due to complexity and cost obstacles
- \cdot Need large scale effort to generate emission reductions that exceed the $\ \ de\ minimis$ threshold.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Free Bus Service Off-Peak

Quick Reference:

Free Offpeak Bus

Description:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Free Bus Service Off-Peak

Institute free off-peak bus service from 10-2 on weekdays and all

day on weekends.

RACM Determination:

No

Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\cdot \ \text{Potential voluntary measure}.$

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Free Rail Use 10-3

Quick Reference:Free Offpeak RailMeasure Name:Free Rail Use 10-3

Free Rail Use 10-3 Free Metrorail trips for all riders from 10AM-3PM on weekdays.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Potential voluntary measure.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Free bus-to-rail / rail-to-bus transfers

Quick Reference:

Free Transit Transfer

Description:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Free bus-to-rail / rail-to-bus transfers

Institute free bus-to-rail transfer similar to free rail-to-bus transfer

currently in place.

RACM Determination:

No

Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\cdot \ \text{Potential voluntary measure}.$

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Free Transit Passes to Students

Quick Reference: Free Student Pass

Measure Name: Free Transit Passes to Students

Description:

Free transit passes for high school and college students, subsidized by schools or through student registration fee.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Fating at all Oak Effectives as	
Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\cdot \ \text{Potential voluntary measure}.$

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Half Price Fares on Feeder Bus Service

Quick Reference: Half Price Feeder Bus

Measure Name: Half Price Fares on Feeder Bus Service

Description:

All metro bus and local bus services to Metrorail and commuter rail

stations reduce fares by half.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

-
No
Yes
=
Yes
-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\cdot \ \text{Potential voluntary measure}.$

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Increase Commuter Rail Frequency

Quick Reference: Rail Frequency

Measure Name: Increase Commuter Rail Frequency

Description:

Increase frequency of MARC service to every 15 minutes on Penn and Camden lines and every 10 min on the Brunswich line.

Increase VRE frequency to every 15 minutes.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Must address issues of track usage by freight and commuter lines.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Metrorail Feeder Bus Service & Fare Buydown

Quick Reference: Fare Buydown

Measure Name: Metrorail Feeder Bus Service & Fare Buydown

Description:

Improve Metrorail feeder bus service at underutilized park & ride

lots, implement fare buydown program.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

-	
Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Existing programs in the region have not served to increase transit ridership.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- New MARC Coaches

Quick Reference:

MARC Coaches

Description:

Measure Name:

Reason:

New MARC Coaches

Purchase additional coaches for MARC to accommodate increased

ridership.

RACM Determination:

No

Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Year of first benefit is not known.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- New Surface Parking at Transit Centers

Quick Reference: Measure Name:

Reason:

Transit Parking

New Surface Parking at Transit Centers

Description:

Add new parking spaces at transit centers (bus, Metrorail, MARC,

VRE) parking lots.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

• Measure is already being done where feasible. Acquisition of new land for parking is major hurdle. Adding significant new transit parking capacity to the region's transit system may not be possible by May 2008.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Provide Additional Transit Service to Core

Quick Reference: Expand Core Service

Description:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Provide Additional Transit Service to Core

Increase funding for transit services to expand core service.

RACM Determination:

No

Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Issues

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

· Significant new capital expenditures would be required
--

Estimated Cost Effectiveness
Estimated Reductions

· Year of first benefit is not known.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Provide Additional Transit Service Access

Quick Reference:

Transit Access

Description:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Provide Additional Transit Service Access

Increase funding for enhancing access to transit services.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Measure already being implemented where feasible.

 \cdot Emission reductions from measure are not expected to be above the $\ \ \textit{de minimis}$ threshold.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Real-Time Bus Schedule Information

Quick Reference: Real time Schedule

Measure Name: Real-Time Bus Schedule Information

Description:

Expand trials of real-time bus schedule information to local transit

providers.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Emission reductions from measure are not expected to be above the de minimis

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Reduce Parking Fees at Facilities Outside the Beltway Adjacent to Metro

Quick Reference: Reduced Parking Fees

Measure Name: Reduce Parking Fees at Facilities Outside the Beltway

Adjacent to Metro

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

Description:

Reduce parking fees at Metro parking facilities or county/city managed facilities outside of the Beltway that are located near

Metro stations.

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot There may not be underutilized transit parking facilities in the region.
- \cdot Emission reductions from measure are not expected to be above the de minimis threshold.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Regional Bus Service Expansion

 Quick Reference:
 Bus Expansion
 Description:

Measure Name: Regional Bus Service Expansion Expansion of Metrobus and other regional bus services.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Measure already being implemented in the region. Rapid expansion of the measure is challenging due to cost constraints.
- · Year of first benefit is not known.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Support Rail to Dulles and BWI Airports

Quick Reference: Rail to Dulles

Support Rail to Dulles and BWI Airports

Description:

Provide funding to expand metro rail services to Dulles and BWI

airports.

RACM Determination:

No

Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Reason:

-	
Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Year of first benefit is not known.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Transit Prioritization -- Queue Jumps

Quick Reference:

RACM Determination:

Queue Jumps

Measure Name: Transit Prioritization -- Queue Jumps

Reason:

No creditable emission reductions

Description:

Provide queue jumps for buses at over-capacity signalized intersections throughout the region. Queue jumps allow buses to use a shoulder or other designated lane to bypass intersection

queues and move forward towards the stop line.

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

• Emission reductions from measure are not expected to be above the de minimis

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Universal Transportation Access

Quick Reference:

Measure Name:

Universal Access

Universal Transportation Access

Description:

SmarTrip card will allow users to pay fares on all rail and bus systems in the region (including parking in Metrorail lots) using one

electronic card.

RACM Determination:

No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

=
No
=
-
Yes
-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Potential voluntary measure. Measure already being implemented in certain instances
- \cdot Need large scale effort to generate emission reductions that exceed the $\ \ de\ minimis$ threshold.
- \cdot May not be possible to implement full program by May 2008 due to complexity and cost obstacles.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- WMATA Bus Information Displays with Maps

Quick Reference: WMATA Maps

Measure Name: WMATA Bus Information Displays with Maps

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

Description:

Install additional information boxes with maps and schedule information. Would include schedules in languages other than English in neighborhoods where most residents speak another

language.

RACM Criterion Summary

Yes
No
Yes
-
Yes
No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Measure is already being implemented in the DC region.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Rush Hour Shift

Quick Reference:

Rush Hour Shift

Description:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Rush Hour Shift

Shift Metrorail AM and PM rush hours to start 30 min earlier and

end 30 min later.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\cdot \ \text{Potential voluntary measure}.$

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Employer Metro Shuttle Bus Services

Quick Reference:

Employer Shuttles

Description:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Employer Metro Shuttle Bus Services

Provide incentives for businesses to provide employee shuttle

service to the nearest rail or transit stop.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Measure is already being implemented in the region.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Eliminate or Restrict Airport Parking

Quick Reference: Restrict Airport Parking

Measure Name: Eliminate or Restrict Airport Parking

Description:

Eliminate airport parking and replace with alternative fuel shuttle

buses.

RACM Determination: N

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Year of first benefits is not known.
- · Potential adverse impacts.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not provide reductions by May 2008. Potential adverse impacts.

Measure -- Bike Racks on Transit Buses

Quick Reference:

Bus Bike

Bike Racks on Transit Buses

Description:

Provide external bike racks on WMATA and other local transit

buses.

RACM Determination:

Measure Name:

Reason:

No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Measure is already being implemented in the region.

· Emission reductions from measure are not expected to be above the de minimis threshold.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Bike Lockers at Metro Stations, Park & Ride Lots, Other Locations

Quick Reference:

Description:

Measure Name:

Bike Lockers at Metro Stations, Park & Ride Lots, Other

Expand existing bike lockers at Metrorail stations, install bicycle storage spaces in parking lots.

Locations

RACM Determination:

RACM Criterion Summary

No Reason: No creditable emission reduction

Issues

 \cdot Measure is already being implemented in the region.

Implementation by May 2008 Yes Enforceable No Technologically Feasible Yes Economically Feasible No Adverse Impacts Yes Reductions >0.1 tpd No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

· Emission reductions from measure are not expected to be above the de minimis threshold.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Bicycle Racks in DC

Quick Reference: Bike Racks

Bicycle Racks in DC Measure Name:

RACM Determination:

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Install bicycle racks at various locations throughout the region.

Issues

- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Measure is already being implemented in the region.
- · Emission reductions from measure are not expected to be above the de minimis threshold.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Bike/Pedestrian Paths

Quick Reference:

Bike Ped Paths

Measure Name:

Reason:

Bike/Pedestrian Paths

Fund construction of additional bicycle/pedestrian paths in the

region.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Measure is already being implemented in the region.

 \cdot Emission reductions from measure are not expected to be above the $\ \ \textit{de minimis}$ threshold.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Employers Provide Free Bicycles for Midday Use

Quick Reference: Free I

Measure Name: Employers Provide Free Bicycles for Midday Use

Description:

Require employers to provide one bicycle per 50 employees for

mid-day business or personal use.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Measure is already being implemented in the region.
- \cdot Emission reductions from measure are not expected to be above the $\ \textit{de minimis}$ threshold.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Bike to Work Day

Quick Reference:

Bike to Work

Measure Name:

Reason:

Bike to Work Day

Descrip

Conduct a one-day bike to work event. Provide outreach activities, education on the bike-to-work option, and assistance in trying bike-

to-work.

RACM Determination:

No No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

-	
Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Measure is already being implemented in the region.
- \cdot Emission reductions from measure are not expected to be above the $\ \ \textit{de minimis}$ threshold.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Safe Routes to School Program

Quick Reference: Safe Routes

Safe Routes to School Program

Description:

Implement a safe pedestrian and bicycle routes to school program

to reduce VMT.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Reason:

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Fating at all Oak Effectives as	
Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\cdot \ \text{Potential voluntary measure}.$

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Improvements to Bicycle and Pedestrian Access

Quick Reference:

Improve Access

Measure Name:

Improvements to Bicycle and Pedestrian Access

RACM Determination:

No

Reason:

No creditable emission reduction

Description:

Provide incentives to developments that speed improvements to bicycle/pedestrian access. This includes improvements to

sidewalks, curb ramps, crosswalks, lighting, etc.

RACM Criterion Summary

-
No
Yes
-
Yes
No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Potential voluntary measure.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- 4 Day Work Week/Flexible Work Schedules

Quick Reference: Flex Wo

Measure Name: 4 Day Work Week/Flexible Work Schedules

Description:

Encourage employers to adopt a shorter work week, with

employees working 4 10-hour days.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Fating at all Oak Effectives as	
Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 \cdot Potential voluntary measure. Measure already being implemented in the region.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Access to Jobs Program

Quick Reference:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Access to Jobs

Access to Jobs Program

Description:

Identifies gaps in transit service between places of residence and

places of work for low wage workers.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\cdot \ \text{Potential voluntary measure}.$

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Mandatory Employee Commute Reduction

Quick Reference: Mand Commute Reduction

Mandatory Employee Commute Reduction

Description:

Mandatory employer trip reduction to reduce employee vehicle

trips.

RACM Determination:

Measure Name:

Reason: Potential adverse impacts

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Mandatory Program.
- · Potential adverse impacts.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Potential adverse impacts. Will not provide reductions by May 2008.

Measure -- Restrict Parking at Schools

Quick Reference: Measure Name:

Reason:

Restrict School Parking

Restrict Parking at Schools

Description:

Restrict high school students from driving to and parking at high

schools when bus service is available.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Yes
No
Yes
No
No
No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Potential voluntary measure.

· Potential adverse impacts.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. No creditable emission reduction. Not enforceable. Potential adverse impacts.

Measure -- Student & staff based college & university rideshare programs

Quick Reference: College Rideshare

Measure Name: Student & staff based college & university rideshare

programs

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reduction

Description:

Create rideshare program focused on students and staff at regional

universities.

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	No

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Potential voluntary measure.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Vanpool Programs

Quick Reference:

Reason:

Vanpool Programs

Description

Measure Name: Vanpool Programs

Create programs and incentives designed to increase the number

of vanpools in the region.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	-
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	Yes

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Potential voluntary measure for expansion.

 \cdot Measure is being implemented in the region. May need to address implementation issues. .

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Commuter Parking Tax

Quick Reference: Commute

Commuter Parking Tax
Commuter Parking Tax

Description:

Implement daily tax on employers or employees that match certain

commuting/parking criteria.

RACM Determination: N

Measure Name:

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Measure: This measure would require state-level legislation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with new legislation.
- · Year of first benefits is 2009.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not provide reductions by May 2008.

Measure -- Market Based Parking Charge at Federal Facilities

Quick Reference: Federal Parking

Measure Name: Market Based Parking Charge at Federal Facilities

Description:

Negotiate agreement with federal government to charge market

rate for daily parking for all employees.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Potential voluntary measure.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not provide reductions by May 2008.

Measure -- Parking Impact Fee: All Parking

Quick Reference: Parking Fee

Measure Name: Parking Impact Fee: All Parking

Description:

Levy annual impact fee on every parking space in nonattainment

area.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: Will not provide reductions by May 2008

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	No
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- \cdot Mandatory Measure: This measure would require state-level legislation by Maryland, Virginia and the District.
- \cdot All three states require well over 12 months to develop, pass and require compliance with new legislation.
- · Year of first benefits is not known.

Summary Analysis

Not RACM. Will not provide reductions by May 2008.

Measure -- Free Parking for Carpools/Vanpools

Quick Reference: Carpool Parking

Free Parking for Carpools/Vanpools

Description:

Provide free reserved parking spaces for all carpools or vanpools.

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	No
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Fating at all Oak Effectives as	
Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\cdot \ \text{Potential voluntary measure}.$

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Employer Parking Cash-Out

Quick Reference: Measure Name: Parking Cashout

Employer Parking Cash-Out

Description:

Implement program encouraging or requiring employers to provide the value of subsidized parking to employees who use alternative

commute strategies.

RACM Determination:

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	No
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

- · Potential voluntary measure.
- · Potential adverse impacts.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Remove Trash Trucks From Area Streets

Quick Reference: Barge Trash

Remove Trash Trucks From Area Streets

Description:

Reduce use of trash trucks through transport of trash by barge.

RACM Determination:

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Measure Name:

Implementation by May 2008	-
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	-
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Fating at all Oak Effectives as	
Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\cdot \ \text{Potential voluntary measure}.$

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Clean Air Partners: Air Quality Action Days

Quick Reference:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Air Quality Action Days

Clean Air Partners: Air Quality Action Days

Description:

Take a variety of actions on Air Quality Action Days to reduce emissions and improve air quality (free transit, telework, carpool).

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

This is an episodic measure.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Clean Air Partners: Public Outreach and Education

Quick Reference: Clean Air Partners

Clean Air Partners: Public Outreach and Education

Description:

Implement Strategic Communication Campaigns to Increase Public

Awareness (reduce vehicle use).

RACM Determination: No

Measure Name:

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Existing voluntary measure.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Local Government Education Campaign

Quick Reference:

Government Education

Description:

Measure Name:

Local Government Education Campaign

No creditable emission reductions

Implement Strategic Communication Campaigns to Increase Local Government Air Quality Improvement Efforts (reduce vehicle use).

RACM Determination:

No

Reason:

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Potential voluntary measure.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Mass Marketing Campaign

Quick Reference:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Mass Marketing

Mass Marketing Campaign

Description:

Marketing effort involving business-to-business advertising

campaign in print media and on world wide web.

RACM Determination:

No

No creditable emission reduction

RACM Criterion Summary

-	
Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\cdot \ \text{Potential voluntary measure}.$

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Commuter Choice - State & Local Government Employees

Quick Reference: Commuter Choice

Measure Name: Commuter Choice - State & Local Government

Employees

RACM Determination: No

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

Description:

Provide the region's local, state and municipal employees with

transit benefits.

RACM Criterion Summary

Issues

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Commuter Choice Tax Credit

Quick Reference: Comm Choice Tax Credit Measure Name:

Commuter Choice Tax Credit

Description:

Employers subsidize employees' monthly transit or vanpool costs

and receive a tax credit for incurred expenses.

RACM Determination:

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

 $\cdot \ \text{Potential voluntary measure}.$

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Commuter Operations Center

Quick Reference: Measure Name: Commuter Operations

Commuter Operations Center

Description:

Provides commuter assistance services, including carpool and

vanpool ridematching.

RACM Determination:

Reason:

No

No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Existing voluntary measure.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Guaranteed Ride Home

Quick Reference:

Ride Home

Description:

Measure Name:

Reason:

Guaranteed Ride Home

Provides free rides home in event of unexpected emergency or unscheduled overtime to commuters using public transport.

RACM Determination:

No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Fating at all Oak Effectives as	
Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Existing voluntary measure.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Integrated Rideshare

Quick Reference:

Rideshare

No

Description:

Measure Name:

Integrated Rideshare

Provides transit, park & ride, and telecenter information to all

commuters on a matchlist.

RACM Determination:

Reason:

No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Existing voluntary measure.

Summary Analysis

Measure -- Interactive Rideshare Kiosks

Quick Reference:

Kiosks

Measure Name:

Interactive Rideshare Kiosks

Transportation Information Kiosks in Maryland, Virginia and the District of Columbia.

Description:

RACM Determination:

Reason: No creditable emission reductions

RACM Criterion Summary

-	
Implementation by May 2008	Yes
Enforceable	No
Technologically Feasible	Yes
Economically Feasible	-
No Adverse Impacts	Yes
Reductions >0.1 tpd	-

Estimated Cost Effectiveness	
Estimated Reductions	

Issues

· Existing voluntary measure.

Summary Analysis