TPB Technical Committee September 8, 2017 Item #5

VISUALIZE 2045

TECHNICAL INPUTS SOLICITATION

For the Constrained Element and For the Conformity Analysis

Implementing Agency Submission Guide

DRAFT FOR TECHNICAL COMMITTEE REVIEW September 8, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Introduction | L |
|---|---|
| Solicitation Schedule | 2 |
| Responsible Agencies | 3 |
| Required Project, Program and Policy Inputs | 1 |
| Required Financial Inputs | 5 |
| Additional Inputs | 5 |
| Regional Policy Considerations and Evaluation | 7 |
| Federal Requirements and Policy Considerations | 9 |
| Review, Comment, and Approval Process12 | L |
| Basic Submission Instructions for Conformity Inputs12 | 2 |
| Resources and Maps12 | 2 |
| Contact Information12 | 2 |
| APPENDIX: Detailed Submission Instructions and Sample Submission Form A-2 | L |

INTRODUCTION

About Visualize 2045

Visualize 2045 is the federally required four-year update of the National Capital Region's long-range transportation plan. It will identify all regionally significant transportation investments planned through 2045 and provide detailed analyses to help decision makers and the public "visualize" the region's future under current plans.

Visualize 2045 will include both a "Constrained Element" and an "Unconstrained Element." The Constrained Element will identify the investments agencies expect to be able to afford between now and 2045, while the Unconstrained Element will identify projects that appear in local, state, and regional plans but for which funding has not yet been identified. The Constrained Element is the portion of the plan that was previously known as the Financially Constrained Long-Range Transportation Plan (CLRP). The most recent CLRP was adopted by the TPB in 2014 and amended in 2016.

Like the CLRP had in the past, the Constrained Element of Visualize 2045 will undergo federally required analyses to ensure that it supports the region's air-quality improvement goals (this is known as Air Quality Conformity) and that sufficient financial resources will be available to implement the projects and programs in it (this is known as Financial Constraint). The TPB will also analyze the future performance of the planned system and assess how well it supports or advances regional goals and priorities.

Technical Inputs Solicitation

The Technical Inputs Solicitation is a formal call for area transportation agencies to submit many of the technical elements that will make up Visualize 2045, including those necessary to perform the required air quality and financial analyses of the Constrained Element. The inputs will also be used to assess the future performance of the planned transportation system.

These analyses take several months to complete. Therefore, the technical inputs must be submitted by November 2017 to ensure that the analyses can be completed and the plan adopted by October 2018.

What's Required

To properly analyze the Constrained Element of Visualize 2045, the TPB must know what projects, programs, and policies agencies are planning to implement between now and 2045. Agencies must undertake two main activities as part of the Technical Inputs Solicitation:

- 1. **Review, modify and update existing projects, programs, and policies:** Agencies must review all projects, programs, and policies in the most recently adopted CLRP and update all project information, including project costs.
- 2. Add new projects, programs, and policies: Agencies must submit any project, program, or policy not already in the plan that is deemed "regionally significant" as outlined below.
- 3. Submit all revenues and expenditures necessary to enable TPB staff to perform the fiscal constraint analysis: Agencies must forecast and provide revenue and expenditure information between the years of 2019-2045.

The following broad categories of inputs are required as part of this Technical Inputs Solicitation:

- **Operations and maintenance programs:** New or updated operations and maintenance programs or updated cost figures for such programs
- **Capacity expansion projects:** Projects that add or remove capacity on the existing highway or transit system
- **Transit service and fare assumptions:** New or updated route, frequency, and fare information for existing or planned transit services

For each submission, agencies must provide certain project details, including project descriptions, cost and revenue estimates in year of expenditure dollars, and completion dates. Agencies must also identify and describe what federal and regional policy considerations the investments address.

In addition to the transportation projects, programs, and policies called for in this solicitation, the TPB will also be gathering other technical inputs necessary for the analyses, such as updated population, household, and job growth forecasts, and information about the region's fleet of passenger and commercial vehicles. These inputs are also essential to forecast future travel patterns and vehicle-based emissions under the plan.

SOLICITATION SCHEDULE

The technical analyses of Visualize 2045 will take several months to complete. To ensure that the analyses are finished in time for the full plan to be adopted by the TPB in October 2018, agencies must submit these technical inputs by November 17, 2017.

A 30-day comment period will provide an opportunity for TPB members, stakeholders, and the public to comment on the projects, programs, policies, and technical assumptions submitted for use in the technical analyses of the plan.

- **Summer 2017:** Staff development of solicitation; initial request for project/program and financial information underway
- September 2017: Committee and board review of draft solicitation
- October 18, 2017: Solicitation opens, pending board approval
- November 17, 2017: Solicitation closes; all inputs are due
- December 2017: Committee and board review of draft technical inputs
- December 14, 2017-January 13, 2018: 30-day comment period on draft technical inputs
- January 17, 2018: Board approval of technical inputs
- March 2, 2018: Congestion Management Documentation and FY 2019-2024 TIP Inputs are due
- May 10, 2018: Public Forum on the FY 2019-2024 TIP
- June/July: Staff completes draft Visualize 2045 Plan, containing all elements for committee review
- September 2018: Committee and board review of Visualize 2045 Plan, TIP and analyses
- September 13 October 13, 2018: 30 day comment period on projects, Visualize 2045 Plan, TIP and analyses
- **October 17, 2018:** TPB reviews comments and responses, presented with draft Visualize 2045 Plan, Conformity Analysis and TIP for approval.

RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES

Any municipal, county, state, regional, or federal agency with the fiscal authority to fund transportation projects is responsible for providing required project, program, and policy inputs for the Constrained Element of Visualize 2045.

Inputs must be submitted by one of the following TPB member jurisdictions or agencies:

District of Columbia

District Department of Transportation

Suburban Maryland

Maryland Department of Transportation State Highway Administration Maryland Transit Administration Maryland Transportation Authority Charles County Department of Public Works Frederick County Department of Public Works Montgomery County Department of Transportation Prince George's County Department of Public Works and Transportation Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission City of Frederick Planning Department Gaithersburg Public Works Department Rockville Public Works Department Takoma Park Public Works Department

Northern Virginia

Virginia Department of Transportation* Virginia Department of Rail & Public Transportation Virginia Railway Express Northern Virginia Transportation Authority Northern Virginia Transportation Commission Arlington County Department of Environmental Services Fairfax County Department of Transportation Fauquier County Department of Community Development Loudoun County Department of Transportation and Capital Infrastructure Prince William County Department of Transportation City of Alexandria Department of Transportation & Environmental Services City of Fairfax Department of Public Works City of Falls Church Department of Public Works City of Manassas Public Works Department City of Manassas Park Public Works Department *Note that VA local jurisdictions submit through VDOT but are still responsible for providing required info

Regional

Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division

REQUIRED PROJECT, PROGRAM AND POLICY INPUTS

To properly analyze the Constrained Element of Visualize 2045, the TPB must know what projects, programs, and policies agencies are planning to implement between now and 2045. Agencies must undertake three main activities as part of the Technical Inputs Solicitation:

- 1. **Review, modify and update existing projects, programs, and policies:** Agencies must review all projects, programs, and policies in the most recently adopted CLRP and update all project information.
- 2. Add new projects, programs, and policies: Agencies must submit any project, program, or policy not already in the plan that is deemed "regionally significant" as outlined below.
- 3. Submit all revenues and expenditures necessary to enable TPB staff to perform the fiscal constraint analysis: Agencies must forecast and provide revenue and expenditure information between the years of 2019-2045.

The following broad categories of inputs are required as part of this Technical Inputs Solicitation:

- **Capacity expansion projects:** Projects that add or remove capacity on the existing highway or transit system
- **Operations and maintenance programs:** New or updated operations and maintenance programs or updated cost figures for such programs
- **Transit service and fare assumptions:** New or updated route, frequency, and fare information for existing or planned transit services

For each submission, agencies must provide certain project details, including project descriptions, cost and revenue estimates in year of expenditure dollars, and completion dates. Agencies must also identify and describe what federal and regional policy considerations the investments address.

Technical Conformity Input Categories

Visualize 2045 can include any kind of project or program. However, some projects and programs <u>must</u> be included. Per federal requirements, any project that adds roadway or transit capacity—and could therefore affect air quality—must be included. The plan must also identify the maintenance and operations programs and funding required to keep the system in a state of good repair. Projects that go into the plan typically fall into one of the following categories:

Roadway Projects

- System Expansion: Increasing system capacity by increasing the number of lane-miles of roadway
- System Preservation/State of Good Repair: Major rehabilitation or complete replacement of aging roadways, bridges, technology and communications systems, and other infrastructure as it nears the end of its useful lifespan
- **Study:** Any project that does not have funding identified for right-of-way acquisition or construction. The study may include multiple design alternatives. Funding in the TIP is permitted for project planning or preliminary engineering only

Transit Projects

- System Expansion: Increasing system capacity by building new transit lines or adding service to existing lines
- System Preservation/State of Good Repair: Major rehabilitation or complete replacement of aging railcars, buses, rail track, stops and stations, and other infrastructure as it nears the end of its useful lifespan
- **Study:** Any project that does not have funding identified for right-of-way acquisition or construction. The study may include multiple design alternatives. Funding in the TIP is permitted for project planning or preliminary engineering only

Bicycle or Pedestrian Projects

- Local Circulation: Projects that support local circulation within Activity Centers (includes streetscaping, traffic calming, Bikeshare, bicycle lanes, sidewalks, paths)
- **Regional Facilities:** Multi-jurisdictional projects, projects that improve transit station access, and/or projects that are part of the National Capital Trail network

Operations and Maintenance Programs

- **Day-to-day Maintenance and Operations:** Like repaving roadways, inspecting and maintaining bridges, clearing snow and debris, servicing transit vehicles, maintaining and operating traffic signals, and paying train and bus operators
- Regional programs: Like ridesharing, MATOC/traveler information

Transit Service and Fare Assumptions

- Bus transit: Routes, frequencies, and/or fare policies
- Rail transit: Routes, frequencies, and/or fare policies
- HOV/HOT: Lane restrictions and/or hours of operation

REQUIRED FINANCIAL INPUTS

Federal metropolitan planning regulations require MPOs to develop a financial plan that demonstrates how the adopted long-range transportation plan could be implemented given revenues that are reasonably expected to be available. "Financial constraint" or "fiscal constraint" is the analysis performed to demonstrate that the forecast revenues which are reasonably expected to be available through 2045 must cover the estimated costs of adequately maintaining and operating, and of expanding, the highway and transit system in the region through 2045. Visualize 2045 will address this requirement in the financial "element" of our plan.

An interim financial analysis is being prepared to provide a baseline of anticipated revenues and existing planned expenditures, based on existing projects and programs in the FY2017-2022 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), the 2016 Constrained Long-Range Transportation Plan (CLRP), and the Air Quality Conformity Inputs table for both the CLRP and TIP. It is expected that the inputs provided by the implementing agencies in response to this Technical Inputs Solicitation and for conformity will start from this baseline and adjust their revenues and expenditures to then enable staff to determine financial constraint. The financial element will then be finalized as part of the Visualize 2045 long-range plan when submitted for approval by the TPB in October 2018.

ADDITIONAL INPUTS

There are other inputs that are required to perform the Conformity Analysis. TPB staff will be gathering the following additional inputs:

- 1) BMC project inputs in jurisdictions in our modelled area from their approved long range transportation plan to include in our highway and transit networks for the conformity analysis
- 2) FAMPO project inputs in jurisdictions in our modelled area from their approved long range transportation plan to include in our highway and transit networks for the conformity analysis
- 3) C-SMMPO project inputs for conformity & other coordination with them as per the C-SMMPO/TPB agreement
- 4) Land activity files from BMC GWRC/FAMPO, MDOT (for Calvert and St. Mary's), City of Fredericksburg, King George, Spotsylvania, Stafford, Charles, Clark, Fauquier, and Jefferson County, WVA—these are collected by Greg Goodwin with the Coop. Forecast updates
- 5) Cooperative Forecast employment data are modified to include a census adjustment and coop data are used to develop other inputs to the travel demand model such as external trips, through trips, and specialty generator trips
- 6) VIN data—raw data collected from air agencies every 3 years & must be "decoded" to MOVES input format (fairly big deal)
- 7) Meteorology, fuel data, I/M data TPB staff coordinate through DEP to acquire this from the air agencies
- 8) Base year transit updates (annual)—mostly from GTFS from WMATA, but also from smaller service providers via on-line schedule data
- 9) Toll and fare updates (annual)- from on-line sources and DOTs (E-ZPass vs cash, car & truck percents, peak vs non-peak, etc.)

REGIONAL POLICY CONSIDERATIONS AND EVALUATION

Visualize 2045 should strive and aspire to meet the goals and reflect the priorities developed and agreed to by the TPB and its member jurisdictions. To that end, the TPB asks agencies and jurisdictions to "think regionally" as they "act locally" to develop transportation projects, programs, and policies for implementation. This means considering the needs of neighboring jurisdictions and the region as a whole when identifying investment priorities—recognizing that decisions made in one jurisdiction can affect travelers and transportation systems and services elsewhere in the region.

The region's leaders have come together around a shared vision for the region's transportation future. That vision focuses on multimodal transportation solutions that give people greater choice in finding the travel mode that works best for them. It also emphasizes the important role of land-use, especially strengthening the region's Activity Centers by providing high-quality connections between centers and improving non-auto travel options within them. System maintenance is also paramount, recognizing that our existing roadways and transit systems must be in a state of good repair to be safe, efficient, and reliable.

When agencies submit new projects or programs for inclusion in Visualize 2045, they will be asked to document how the initiative supports or advances regional goals and priorities. This information will be shared with the TPB, its committees and subcommittees, and the general public for review and discussion at key points in the plan development process.

Note for agencies: The Visualize 2045 Project Submission Form asks for information about how project submissions support or advance regional goals and priorities. These questions must be completed for all new submissions.

Shared Regional Goals and Priorities

TPB and COG documents

| Provide a Comprehensive Range of Travel Options• Identify all travel mode options that this project provides, enhances, supports or promotes• Does this project improve accessibility for historically transportation-disadvantaged individuals (i.e., persons with disabilities, low incomes, and/or limited English proficiency)?Promote Regional Activity Centers• Does this project begin or end in an Activity Center? • Does this project connect two or more Activity Centers? |
|---|
| Does this project improve accessibility for historically transportation-disadvantaged individuals (i.e., persons with disabilities, low incomes, and/or limited English proficiency)? Promote Regional Activity Does this project begin or end in an Activity Center? |
| transportation-disadvantaged individuals (i.e., persons with disabilities, low incomes, and/or limited English proficiency)? Promote Regional Activity • Does this project begin or end in an Activity Center? |
| disabilities, low incomes, and/or limited English proficiency)?Promote Regional Activity• Does this project begin or end in an Activity Center? |
| Promote Regional Activity• Does this project begin or end in an Activity Center? |
| |
| Centers • Does this project connect two or more Activity Centers? |
| |
| Does this project promote non-auto travel within one or more Activity Centers? |
| Ensure System • Does this project contribute to enhanced system maintenance, |
| Maintenance, Preservation, preservation, or safety? |
| and Safety |
| Maximize Operational • Is this project primarily designed to reduce travel time on highways |
| Effectiveness and Safety and/or transit without building new capacity (e.g., ITS, bus priority |
| treatments, etc.)? |
| Does this project enhance safety for motorists, transit users, |
| pedestrians, and/or bicyclists? |
| Protect and Enhance the • Is this project expected to contribute to reductions in emissions of |
| Natural Environment criteria pollutants? |
| • Is this project expected to contribute to reductions in emissions of |
| greenhouse gases? |
| Support Interregional and • Does this project enhance, support, or promote the following |
| International Travel and freight carrier modes: long-haul truck, local delivery, rail, or air |
| Commerce freight carrier modes? |
| Does this project enhance, support, or promote the following |
| passenger carrier modes: air, Amtrak intercity passenger rail, |
| intercity bus? |

Note for agencies: Several TPB and COG documents and products articulate the region's shared vision. These include the TPB Vision, the Regional Transportation Priorities Plan, COG's Region Forward vision and 2010 Climate Plan, the TPB's Equity Emphasis Areas map and COG's Activity Centers map. Refer to the **Resources and Maps** section of this document to learn more about these products and find links to them online.

FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

Visualize 2045 must satisfy a number of federal requirements in order to receive federal approval and for federal funding to flow to transportation projects in our region. The two main requirements are that the plan must:

- Identify all regionally significant projects and programs for which funding is reasonably expected to be available between now and 2045. Regionally significant projects and programs are those that add or remove capacity on the existing transportation system.
- Demonstrate that these projects and programs together support regional air-quality improvement goals. An official Air Quality Conformity Analysis carried out by the TPB must show that forecast vehicle-related emissions under the plan will not exceed approved regional limits.

Under federal law, the plan must also address eight federal planning factors, as identified by the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT). (See sidebar)

Therefore, project and program submissions must:

- Include sufficient detail to be included in the Air Quality Conformity Analysis and Financial Element. The Project Submission Form notes all required project details and descriptions.
- Address one or more federal planning factors, as identified by the U.S. Department of Transportation. Agencies will be asked in the Project Submission Form to note which federal planning factors the project or program addresses.

The Constrained Element of Visualize 2045 must meet a number of other federal requirements as well, including non-discrimination and equity, congestion management documentation, public participation, and others. For a full listing of these requirements, refer to the **Resources and Maps** section of this document.

Performance-Based Planning and Programming and Visualize 2045

The FAST Act put forth seven National Goals for Performance-Based Planning and Programming. Those goals include:

- 1. Safety
- 2. Infrastructure Condition
- 3. Congestion Reduction
- 4. System Reliability
- 5. Freight Movement and Economic Vitality
- 6. Environmental Sustainability
- 7. Reduced Project Delivery Delays

These goals tie very closely to the TPB's Vision and other regional policy goals. The FY 2019-2024 TIP will be used as a tool to monitor and review these performance measures.

Federal Planning Factors

- 1. Support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency;
- 2. Increase the safety of the transportation system for all motorized and non-motorized users;
- 3. Increase the ability of the transportation system to support homeland security and to safeguard the personal security of all motorized and non-motorized users;
- 4. Increase accessibility and mobility of people and freight;
- 5. Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns;
- 6. Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight;
- 7. Promote efficient system management and operation; and
- 8. Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system.
- 9. Improve resiliency and reliability of the transportation system and reduce or mitigate stormwater impacts of surface transportation
- 10. Enhance travel and tourism.

REVIEW, COMMENT, AND APPROVAL PROCESS

The draft technical inputs will undergo a process of review, comment, and approval before they are used in the federally required Air Quality Conformity Analysis and other analyses of the plan. The steps of this process are outlined below.

| Staff Review | Staff will review the draft inputs and work with | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| November 2017 | submitting agencies to ensure that all provided | | |
| | information is complete and accurate. | | |
| Committee and Board Review | The TPB and the TPB Technical Committee will | | |
| December 2017 | review the draft inputs at their December | | |
| | meetings. Other committees and sub-committees | | |
| | may request a briefing on the draft inputs. | | |
| Comment Period | A 30-day comment period will provide an official | | |
| December 2017-January 2018 | opportunity for TPB members, stakeholders, and | | |
| | the public to comment on the draft inputs. | | |
| | Comments must be provided through official | | |
| | channels described at mwcog.org/TPBcomment. | | |
| Review of Comments and Board Approval | The TPB and TPB Technical Committee will review | | |
| January 2018 | comments on the draft inputs. The board will vote | | |
| | at its January meeting to approve the inputs for | | |
| | use in the federally required Air Quality | | |
| | Conformity and other analyses of the plan. | | |

Purpose of Committee and Board Review

It is customary for the TPB to approve project, program, and policy submissions, as these initiatives have typically undergone extensive local development and review. However, the TPB and its committees play an important review role.

The tasks of the TPB and its committees are to:

- Become acquainted with project and program details
- Ensure key questions are answered and details are provided
- Ensure consistency with locally adopted plans and priorities
- Ensure that sufficient local input from the public and local officials has been provided
- Discuss whether and how submissions support regional policy goals and priorities

The TPB will vote on aspects of Visualize 2045 at two key points in the plan development process. The first will come in January 2018, when the board will be asked to approve the technical inputs. The board will vote again in October 2018 on final adoption of the full plan.

BASIC SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS FOR CONFORMITY INPUTS

Appendix A provides details instructions for project and program submissions.

An online database application is used to gather project and program information from each agency. Staff from implementing agencies will be assigned an account with a user name and password. There are two levels of access to the database; editors and reviewers. Each agency should decide which person on their staff should assume these roles. Once logged into the application users will have access to the most recent version of the Plan and TIP information that was approved by the TPB. TPB staff will offer training sessions to assist staff with the application as needed.

RESOURCES AND MAPS

The following resources and maps may be helpful for agencies and jurisdictions as they report on how their technical submissions support or advance regional goals and priorities.

- TPB Vision
- Regional Transportation Priorities Plan
- Activity Centers map and list
- Equity Emphasis Areas map
- Financial Analysis
- Federal requirements

CONTACT INFORMATION

Technical questions and assistance with submissions: Andrew Austin | <u>aaustin@mwcog.org</u> | (202) 962-3353

Questions about transit assumptions and air quality conformity: Jane Posey | jposey@mwcog.org | (202) 962-3331

All other questions about Visualize 2045: Lyn Erickson | lerickson@mwcog.org | (202) 962-3319

APPENDIX A: DETAILED SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS AND SAMPLE SUBMISSION FORM

This appendix describes the process to be used by transportation implementing agencies when updating project information for Visualize 2045 as well as the Air Quality Conformity inputs and the Congestion Management Process. The project description forms are designed to elicit information to enable policy makers, citizens and other interested parties and segments of the community affected by projects in the plan to understand and review them. Description forms must be completed for all projects to be included in the Plan. All regionally significant projects, regardless of funding source, must be included in the Plan for Air Quality Conformity information purposes. A Congestion Management Process Form must be completed for all projects meeting the requirements described on page 33 of these instructions. The remainder of this section describes how to update plan and conformity project information using an online database application.

THE ONLINE DATABASE FOR THE VISUALIZE 2045 PROJECT AND AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY INPUTS

An online database application is used to gather project and program information from each agency. Staff from implementing agencies will be assigned an account with a user name and password. There are two levels of access to the database; editors and reviewers. Each agency should decide which person on their staff should assume these roles. Once logged into the application users will have access to the most recent version of the Plan and TIP information that was approved by the TPB. TPB staff will offer training sessions to assist staff with the application as needed.

Visualize 2045 Project Description Form Instructions

Projects should be described in sufficient detail to facilitate review by the TPB and the public. Specific information is needed on the project location and physical characteristics, purpose, projected completion date, total estimated costs, proposed sources of revenues, and other characteristics. Submissions for studies should indicate those cases where the design concept and scope (mode and alignment) have not been fully determined and will require further analysis. TERM projects or actions should also be identified. Project Description Forms should be used to describe the full scope of a facility's improvements.

Basic Project Information

| 1. | Submitting Agency | The agency that is submitting the project information. Defined by |
|----|-------------------|---|
| | | the user's agency status. |

- 2. Secondary Agency Other agency working in conjunction with primary agency
- 3. Agency Project IDAgencies can use this field to track projects with their own ID systems.
- 4. *Project Type*Identify the functional class or category on which projects will be grouped in reports. Options include: *Interstate, Primary, Secondary, Urban, Transit, Bike/Ped, Bridge, Enhancement, ITS, Maintenance, CMAQ, Other.*

| 5. | Project Category | Identify the nature of the project: <i>System Expansion</i> (adding capacity to a road or transit system), <i>System Preservation</i> (any work on the road or transit system that does not add capacity), <i>Management, Operations and Maintenance, Study, Other</i> . |
|-----|---------------------------|---|
| 6. | Project Name | .Brief, user-friendly name of the project; |
| 7. | Facility | These fields should be used to describe actual infrastructure or transit routes. Any of these fields may be left blank and there is no need for redundant entries. If a project can be described adequately in the <i>Project Title</i> field, it is not necessary to fill in these fields. |
| | a. Prefix | Interstate or State abbreviation for route type, e.g. I, VA, MD, US. Combinations such as VA/US are acceptable |
| | b. Number | . The route number that corresponds with the above prefix. Again, combinations are acceptable. |
| | с. <i>Name</i> | .Full name of facility; e.g. "Capital Beltway," "East Street" or "Red Line". To the extent possible, this field should be limited to actual street names or transit routes. |
| | d. <i>Modifier</i> | . Any term that needs to be used to further describe a facility, such as "extended", "relocated" or "interchange". |
| 8. | From (At) | The beginning project limit or location of a spot improvement. Use the <i>(At)</i> checkbox to indicate a spot or interchange improvement. Follow the conventions above for <i>Prefix, Number,</i> <i>Name</i> and <i>Modifier.</i> |
| 9. | То | .Terminal project limit. Follow conventions above for <i>Prefix, Number, Name</i> and <i>Modifier.</i> |
| 10. | Description | Describe the project as clearly as possible. Use public-friendly phrasing and avoid technical jargon where possible. |
| 11. | Projected Completion Year | .Estimated year that the project will be open to traffic or implemented. |
| 12. | Project Manager | . Name of project manager or point-of-contact for information |
| 13. | E-mail | .E-mail address for project manager or point-of-contact for information |
| 14. | Web Site | .URL for further project information from implementing agency |
| 15. | Total Mileage | .If available; enter the total length of the project to the closest tenth of a mile. |
| 16. | Map Image | . If available, upload an image file to assist |

| 17. State/Local Project Standing | Upload a brief memo or document describing the project's status in the local and/or state planning process. This should include approval actions by local, state, or sub-regional agencies with the names of any adopted plans, or any other documentation of the project's prioritization at the local or sub-regional level. |
|---|---|
| 18. Jurisdiction | Select the appropriate jurisdictions for the project. Multiple jurisdictions can be selected by pressing the CTRL key while clicking. |
| 19. Baseline Cost/As of | Initially estimated cost of project (in \$1,000s) and approximate date of that estimate. (For quadrennial long range plan inputs or for new projects being added as amendments to the plan). |
| 20. Amended Cost/As of | Updates to project cost (in \$1,000s) can be entered here with date of the amended cost estimate. (Used only for subsequent amendments to the baseline cost input). |
| 21. Sources | Indicate the sources of funds: Federal, State, Local, Private, Bonds, Other. Hold the CTRL key down to select multiple sources. |
| Parianal Paliny Framowork | |
| Regional Policy Framework 22. Provide Options | |
| | Identify all travel mode options that this project provides, enhances, supports, or promotes. |
| | |
| | enhances, supports, or promotes. Indicate if the project begins or ends within an activity center, connects two or more centers, and/or promotes non-auto travel |
| 23. Activity Centers24. Maintenance | enhances, supports, or promotes. Indicate if the project begins or ends within an activity center, connects two or more centers, and/or promotes non-auto travel within one or more centers |
| 23. Activity Centers 24. Maintenance 25. Operations | enhances, supports, or promotes. Indicate if the project begins or ends within an activity center, connects two or more centers, and/or promotes non-auto travel within one or more centers Does this project contribute to enhanced system maintenance, preservation, or safety? Does this project reduce travel time on highways and/or transit |

28. Additional FrameworkProvide any additional information that describes how this project further supports or advances these and other regional goals.

Federal Planning Factors

29. Please identify any and all planning factors that are addressed by this project:

Use the checkboxes to select all that apply:

- a. <u>Supports the economic vitality of the metropolitan area</u>, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency.
- b. <u>Increases the safety of the transportation system</u> for all motorized and nonmotorized users.
 - i. Is this project being proposed specifically to address a safety issue? Note: It is presumed that all new projects being constructed include safety considerations. Select "Yes" only if the primary reason the project is being proposed is to address a safety issue.
 - ii. If so, please briefly describe (in quantifiable terms, where possible) the nature of the safety problem:
- c. Increases the ability of the transportation system to <u>support homeland</u> <u>security</u> and to safeguard the personal security of all motorized and nonmotorized users.
- d. Increase accessibility and mobility of people
- e. Increase accessibility and mobility of freight
- *f.* Protect and enhance the <u>environment</u>, promote energy <u>conservation</u>, improve the <u>quality of life</u> and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns.
- g. Enhance the <u>integration and connectivity</u> of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight.
- h. Promote efficient system management and operation.
- i. Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system.
- *j.* Improve <u>resiliency</u> and reliability of the transportation system and reduce or mitigate stormwater impacts of surface transportation
- k. Enhance travel and tourism.

Environmental Mitigation

30. Have any potential mitigation activities been identified for this project? If so, identify the types of activities below.

Use the checkboxes to select "Yes" or "No" and to identify any mitigation activities being planned for this project.

- Air Quality,
- Energy,

- Floodplains,
- Geology, Soils and Groundwater,
- Hazardous and Contaminated Materials,
- Noise,
- Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species,
- Socioeconomics,
- Surface Water,
- Vibrations,
- Visual and Aesthetic Conditions,
- Wetlands,
- Wildlife and Habitat

Congestion Management Process Documentation

The following addresses the MAP-21 component called the Congestion Management Process. Please see the discussion on Congestion Management Documentation in Section 2 of this document for more information. Questions 25 and 26 should be answered for every project. In addition, a Congestion Management Documentation Form should be completed for each project or action proposing an increase in SOV capacity.

31. Congested Conditions

- Do traffic congestion conditions on this or another facility necessitate the proposed project or program?
 Check "Yes' if this project is being planned specifically to address congestion conditions.
- b. *If so, is the congestion recurring or incident-related non-recurring in nature?* Use the checkboxes to identify either option.
- c. If the congestion is on a different facility, please identify it here: Identify the name of the congested parallel or adjacent route that this project is intended to relieve.
- 32. Capacity

The federally-mandated Congestion Management Process requires that alternatives to major highway capacity increases be considered and, where reasonable, integrated into capacity-increasing projects. Except if projects fall under at least one of the exemption criteria listed under part (b), projects in the following categories require a Congestion Management Documentation Form:

- New limited access or other principal arterial roadways on new rights-of-way
- Additional through lanes on existing limited access or other principal arterial roadways
- Construction of grade-separated interchanges on limited access highways where previously there had not been an interchange.
- a. Is this a capacity-increasing project on a limited access highway or other principal arterial? Check "Yes" if the project will increase capacity on an SOV facility of functional class 1 (limited access highway), 2 (principal arterial) or 5 (grade-separated interchange on limited access highway).

- b. If the answer to Question 32.a was "yes," are any of the following exemption criteria true about the project? (Choose one, or indicate that none of the criteria apply):
 - None of the exemption criteria below apply to this project a Congestion Management Documentation Form is required.
 - The project will not use federal funds in any phase of development or construction (100% state, local, and/or private funding).
 - The number of lane-miles added to the highway system by the project totals less than one lane-mile
 - The project is an intersection reconstruction or other traffic engineering improvements, including replacement of an at-grade intersection with an interchange
 - The project, such as a transit, bicycle or pedestrian facility, will not allow private singleoccupant motor vehicles.
 - The project consists of preliminary studies or engineering only, and is not funded for construction
 - Any project whose construction cost is less than \$10 million.

Review the list of potential exemption criteria and determine if any of them are true, thus exempting the project from needing a separate Congestion Management Documentation Form. If more than one criterion is true, please select just one as the primary criterion. Use the pull-down menu to identify the exemption criterion.

c. If the project is not exempt and requires a Congestion Management Documentation Form, click on the link provided to open a blank Congestion Management Documentation Form.

Record Tracking

| 33. Completed Year | Use this field to indicate the year that the <u>full scope</u> of the project has been opened to traffic or implemented. |
|-----------------------|--|
| 34. Project Withdrawn | Use this checkbox to indicate that a project is being withdrawn from the Plan. |
| 35. Withdrawn Date | Provide an approximate date for the withdrawal of the project. |
| 36. Created by | Identification of who created the record originally. |
| 37. Created On | Date record was originally created on |
| 38. Last Updater | ID of last person to make modifications to record |
| 39. Last Updated On | Recorded date and time of last modifications to record |
| 40. Comments | General notes for agency or TPB staff to use. |

Air Quality Conformity Input Instructions

| | | . TPB Staff will assign each project a Conformity ID |
|-----|-----------------------|---|
| 2. | Agency ID | Agencies can use this field to track projects with their own ID |
| 3. | Improvement | systems. .Pull-down field to identify type of improvement being made to the facility (e.g. construct, widen, upgrade, etc.) |
| 4. | Facility | These fields should be used to describe actual infrastructure or transit routes. Any of these fields may be left blank and there is no need for redundant entries. If a project can be described adequately in the <i>Project Title</i> field, it is not necessary to fill in these fields. |
| | a. Prefix | Interstate or State abbreviation for route type, e.g. I, VA, MD, US. Combinations such as VA/US are acceptable. |
| | b. Number | . The route number that corresponds with the above prefix. |
| | c. Name | . Full name of facility; e.g. "Capital Beltway," "East Street" or "Red Line". To the extent possible, this field should be limited to actual street names or transit routes. |
| | d. Modifier | Any term that needs to be used to further describe a facility, such as "extended", "off-ramp", or "interchange". |
| 5. | From (At) | The beginning project limit or location of a spot improvement. Use the (At) checkbox to indicate a spot or interchange improvement. Follow the conventions above for Prefix, Number, Name and Modifier. |
| 6. | То | . Terminal project limit. Follow conventions above for Prefix, Number, Name and Modifier. |
| | | . This field is not required but can be used to provide additional information beyond the data in the other fields. |
| 8. | Facility Type From/To | |
| | a. Facility Type From | . Functional class of facility before improvement |
| | b. Facility Type To | . Functional class of facility after improvement |
| 9. | Lanes From/To | |
| | a. Lanes From | . Number of lanes on facility before improvement |
| | b. Lanes To | . Number of lanes on facility after improvement |
| 10. | R.O.W. Acquired | .Right-of-way has been acquired for the facility |
| 11. | Under Construction? | .Construction has begun on the facility |

- 12. Projected Completion Year Estimated year that the project will be complete.
- 13. Completed Date the project was completed (open to traffic) or implemented
- 14. Creator......Recorded ID of the user that created the record
 - 15. Created On Date record was originally created on
 - 16. Last Updated On Recorded date and time of last modifications to record
 - 17. Last Updater......Recorded ID of last person to make modifications to record

Congestion Management Documentation Form for SOV Projects

A Congestion Management Documentation Form should be completed for each project or action intended for the Plan that involves a significant increase in single-occupant vehicle (SOV) carrying capacity of a highway.

Brief and complete answers to all questions are recommended. A reference to an external document or an attachment without further explanation on the form itself is not recommended; findings of studies, Major Investment Studies, for example, should be summarized on the form itself. References to other documents can be made if desired *in addition to* the answer provided on the form.

As a rule of thumb, the scale and detail in the responses to the questions should be in proportion to the scale of the project. For example, a relatively minor project needs less information than a major, multi-lane-mile roadway construction project.

The form can summarize the results of EISs or other studies completed in association with the project, and can also summarize the impact or regional studies or programs. It allows the submitting agency to explain the context of the project in the region's already-adopted and implemented programs, such as the Commuter Connections program, and to go on to explain what new and additional strategies were considered for the project or corridor in question.

Sample Forms

The following pages are samples for the CLRP Project Description Form, TIP Project Description Form, and Congestion Management Documentation Form.



BASIC PROJECT INFORMATION

- 1. Submitting Agency:
- 2. Secondary Agency:
- Agency Project ID: 3.
- Project Type: 🗆 Interstate 🗆 Primary 🗆 Secondary 🗆 Urban 🗆 Bridge 🗆 Bike/Ped 🗆 Transit 🗆 CMAQ 4. □ ITS □ Enhancement □ Other □ Federal Lands Highways Program □ Human Service Transportation Coordination □ TERMs
- 5. Category: □ System Expansion; □ System Maintenance; □ Operational Program; □ Study; □ Other
- **Project Name:** 6.

| | | Prefix | Route | Name | Modifier |
|----|--------------------|--------|-------|------|----------|
| 7. | Facility: | | | | |
| _ | | | | | |
| 8. | From (\Box at): | | | | |
| 9. | To: | | | | |

- 10. Description:
- 11. Projected Completion Year:
- 12. Project Manager:
- 13. Project Manager E-Mail:
- 14. Project Information URL:
- 15. Total Miles:
- 16. Schematic (file upload):
- 17. State/Local Project Standing (file upload):
- 18. Jurisdictions:
- 19. 2018 Baseline Cost (in Thousands):
- cost estimate as of MM/DD/YYYY

20. Amended Cost (in Thousands):

- cost estimate as of MM/DD/YYYY
- 21. Funding Sources: \Box Federal; \Box State; \Box Local; \Box Private; \Box Bonds; \Box Other

Regional Policy Framework: Questions 22-27 address the goals identified in the Regional Transportation Priorities Plan. Question 28 should be used to provide additional context of how this project supports these goals or other regional needs identified in the Call for Projects.

22. Provide a Comprehensive Range of Transportation Options

Please identify all travel mode options that this project provides, enhances, supports, or promotes.

| □Single Driver | □Carpool/HOV | | |
|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| □Metrorail | Commuter Rail | □Streetcar/Light Rail | |
| □brt | □Express/Commuter bus | □Metrobus | □Local Bus |
| Bicycling | □Walking | □Other | |

□ Does this project improve accessibility for historically transportation-disadvantaged individuals

(i.e., persons with disabilities, low-incomes, and/or limited English proficiency?)

VISUALIZE 2045 PROJECT DESCRIPTION FORM

23. Promote Regional Activity Centers

- □ Does this project begin or end in an Activity Center?
- □ Does this project connect two or more Activity Centers?
- $\hfill\square$ Does this project promote non-auto travel within one or more Activity Centers?

24. Ensure System Maintenance, Preservation, and Safety

□ Does this project contribute to enhanced system maintenance, preservation, or safety?

25. Maximize Operational Effectiveness and Safety

□ Project is primarily designed to reduce travel time on highways and/or transit without building new capacity (e.g., ITS, bus priority treatments, etc.)?

 $\hfill\square$ Does this project enhance safety for motorists, transit users, pedestrians, and/or bicyclists?

26. Protect and Enhance the Natural Environment

Is this project expected to contribute to reductions in emissions of criteria pollutants?
 Is this project expected to contribute to reductions in emissions of greenhouse gases?

27. Support Interregional and International Travel and Commerce

Please identify all <u>freight carrier modes</u> that this project enhances, supports, or promotes.

Please identify all <u>passenger carrier modes</u> that this project enhances, supports, or promotes.

28. Additional Policy Framework Response

Please provide additional written information that describes how this project further supports or advances these and other regional goals or needs.

FEDERAL PLANNING FACTORS

- 29. Please identify any and all planning factors that are addressed by this project:
 - a.
 Support the **economic vitality** of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency.
 - b. \Box Increase the **safety** of the transportation system for all motorized and non-motorized users.
 - i. Is this project being proposed specifically to address a safety issue? \Box Yes; \Box No
 - ii. If yes, briefly describe (in quantifiable terms, where possible) the nature of the safety problem:
 - c. \Box Increase the ability of the transportation system to support **homeland security** and to safeguard the personal security of all motorized and non-motorized users.
 - d.
 □ Increase **accessibility and mobility** of people.
 - e.
 □ Increase accessibility and mobility of **freight.**
 - f.
 Protect and enhance the **environment**, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns.
 - g.
 □ Enhance the **integration and connectivity** of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight.
 - h. \Box Promote efficient system **management and operation**.
 - i. \Box Emphasize the **preservation** of the existing transportation system.
 - j. \Box Improve **resiliency** and reliability of the transportation system and reduce or mitigate stormwater impacts of surface transportation.
 - k. \Box Enhance travel and **tourism**.

VISUALIZE 2045 PROJECT DESCRIPTION FORM

ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION

- 30. Have any potential mitigation activities been identified for this project? \Box Yes; \Box No
- a. If yes, what types of mitigation activities have been identified?
 - \Box Air Quality; \Box Floodplains; \Box Socioeconomics; \Box Geology, Soils and Groundwater; \Box Vibrations;
 - \Box Energy; \Box Noise; \Box Surface Water; \Box Hazardous and Contaminated Materials; \Box Wetlands

CONGESTION MANAGEMENT INFORMATION

- 31. Congested Conditions
 - a. Do traffic congestion conditions necessitate the proposed project or program? $\ \square$ Yes; $\ \square$ No
 - b. If so, is the congestion recurring or non-recurring? \Box Recurring; \Box Non-recurring
 - c. If the congestion is on another facility, please identify it:
- 32. Capacity
- a. Is this a capacity-increasing project on a limited access highway or other principal arterial?
 Ves;
 No
- b. If the answer to Question 32.a was "yes", are any of the following exemption criteria true about the project? (Choose one, or indicate that none of the exemption criteria apply):
 - □ None of the exemption criteria apply to this project a Congestion Management Documentation Form is required
 - □ The project will not use federal funds in any phase of development or construction (100% state, local, and/or private funding)
 - \square The number of lane-miles added to the highway system by the project totals less than one lane-mile
 - \Box The project is an intersection reconstruction or other traffic engineering improvement, including replacement of an at-grade intersection with an interchange
 - \Box The project, such as a transit, bicycle or pedestrian facility, will not allow private single-occupant motor vehicles
 - $\hfill\square$ The project consists of preliminary studies or engineering only, and is not funded for construction
 - $\hfill\square$ The construction costs for the project are less than \$10 million.
- c. If the project is not exempt and requires a Congestion Management Documentation Form, click here to open a blank Congestion Management Documentation Form.

RECORD MANAGEMENT

- 33. Completed Year:
- 34. \Box Project is being withdrawn from the CLRP.
- 35. Withdrawn Date: MM/DD/YYYY
- 36. Record Creator:
- 37. Created On:
- 38. Last Updated by:
- 39. Last Updated On:
- 40. Comments: