GREEN BUILDING TRENDS

How Green Building Impacted the National Capital Region Between 2003 and 2009







Spring 2011 Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments www.mwcog.org

OVERVIEW

- What is LEED®? The LEED green building certification program is rapidly emerging as the standard in sustainable building design, construction, and operations.
- 4 Scale of LEED Development Through 2009, 171 projects totaling 22.9 million square feet achieved LEED certification in the National Capital Region.
- 7 Projects by Category Existing buildings comprise the majority of all LEED certified space.
- 10 LEED and Sustainable Development Eighty-six percent of all LEED square footage is located in Regional Activity Centers.
- 13 State Profiles The District of Columbia has the largest amount of LEED certified square footage in the region. LEED certified buildings have also grown increasingly popular in suburban Mixed-Use Activity Centers.







The Study

GREEN BUILDING TRENDS is the first metropolitan region-wide analysis of green building in the National Capital Region. This report represents spatial trends of green building by analyzing where Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certified projects have been constructed.

Over the past decade, the U.S. Green Building Council's (USGBC) LEED rating systems have become the dominant green building certification system in the region and the nation. While other green building evaluation systems exist, LEED's widespread implementation makes it the best source for consistent analysis across jurisdictions and time.


This report is based almost entirely on the spring 2010 USGBC Public Project Directory, which includes a number of confidential projects listed without specific locations. This study focuses on publicly listed certified projects with specific location information.

Over the past decade, green building has

become a mainstream practice implemented through regulations and voluntary incentive programs. Recent discussions with local green building experts indicate that a new era of green building is emerging as green building standards shape state and local building codes.

To address these changes, a policy matrix summarizing local green building policies throughout the region has been developed as a tool for tracking changes in green building implementation. This matrix targets likely instruments for green building implementation, such as building code integration, reduction in commercial certification, and alternative certification programs.

This report is designed to contribute to a better understanding of recent green building activity in the National Capital Region. Green building is a major regional sustainability trend and will have lasting impacts on the region's built environment.



Regional Green Building Policy

The Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments green building policy is encapsulated in three policy frameworks. These policies have been built upon one another over the past four years to integrate green building into programs of varying scope.

Region Forward (2010)

- All new commercial and public buildings to be LEED® Silver or equivalent by 2020
- Reduce 2020 greenhouse gas emissions to 20% below 2005 levels
- Reduce 2050 greenhouse gas emissions to 80% below 2005 levels

National Capital Climate Change Report (2008)

- Set energy performance goals for new and existing government buildings
- Develop incentives for retrofitting existing commercial and residential buildings
- Revise state and or local building codes to promote energy efficiency
- Greenhouse gas emissions targets (2012 = 2005, 2020 = 20% below 2005, 2050 = 80% below 2005)

Greening the Metropolitan Washington Region's Built Environment (2007)

- Establish LEED as the region's preferred rating system for new commercial construction and highrise residential projects
- Establish LEED Silver certification as the goal for all local government facilities constructed in the National Capital Region
- Establish the COG Regional LEED certified standard* for private commercial and high-rise residential development

^{*}The COG Regional LEED certified standard is defined as achieving a LEED Certified rating with at least 4 credits addressing issues of energy and renewables, stormwater management, heat island impacts, and waste management.

^{**} The Region Forward, Climate Change Report, and Greening the Metropolitan Washington Region's Built Environment reports can be accessed at http://www.mwcog.org/publications/all_alpha.asp

LEED Rating Systems

The U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) has a suite of rating systems designed to promote many different types of green building. The same project can attain multiple certifications encouraging comprehensive implementation of green building principals.

LEED for New Construction [™]	is designed to guide and distinguish high performance commercial and institutional projects
LEED for Existing Buildings: Operations & Maintenance™	provides a benchmark for building owners and operators to measure operations, improvements and maintenance
LEED for Commercial Interiors [™]	is a benchmark for the tenant improvement market that gives the power to make sustainable choices to tenants and designers
LEED for Core & Shell™	aids designers, builders, developers and new building owners in implementing sustainable design for new core and shell construction
LEED for Schools TM	recognizes the unique nature of the design and construction of K-12 schools and addresses the specific needs of school spaces
LEED for Retail™	recognizes the unique nature of retail design and construction projects and addresses the specific needs of retail spaces
LEED for Healthcare [™]	promotes sustainable planning, design and construction for high-performance healthcare facilities
LEED for Homes [™]	promotes the design and construction of high-performance green homes
LEED for Neighborhood Development TM	LEED for Neighborhood Development™ integrates the principles of smart growth, urbanism and green building into the first national rating system for neighborhood design

Projects by Category

LEED® certified projects completed between 2003 and 2009 in the National Capital Region totaled 22.9 million square feet


The majority of the region's LEED certified square feet can be attributed to reuse, maintenance, and operation of existing buildings. The suite of ratings systems developed by the USGBC seeks to promote the most efficient buildings possible. This means that efficient reuse, maintenance, and operations are just as important as new construction. Among LEED certified square footage in the National Capital Region, LEED for Existing Buildings is the single largest category.

The number of projects completed for each LEED rating system is also important because some rating systems such as LEED for Commercial Interiors are likely to attract more projects with smaller footprints. Additionally, the difference in the number of projects completed between LEED for New Construction and LEED for Core and Shell show important differences in the implementation between rating systems.

Total Square Feet of LEED certified Projects by Rating System (figure 2)



Number of LEED certified Projects by Rating System (figure 3)



^{*} LEED for Retail, LEED for Homes, and LEED for Neighborhood Development Square Footages were not significant as of 2009

^{**} LEED for Schools, LEED for Homes, and LEED for Neighborhood Development project numbers were not significant as of 2009

^{***}Source: USGBC

LEED in The National Capital Region

Between 2006 - 2009 the number of LEED® certified projects grew substantially


Green building is becoming a major construction trend in the National Capital Region. Since 2007, the number of LEED certified green buildings constructed in the region has grown substantially. Combining this trend with government incentives, policy, and regulations requiring LEED certification, green building practices are quickly becoming common design and construction practices in our region.

Examining the acceleration of LEED registered building projects further suggests more LEED certified green buildings are in the pipeline. Comparing registration rates with certification rates provides a sense of scale for potential green buildings that may someday become a reality.


Registration is the first step in attaining official certification signifying the developer's intent to pursue LEED certification. It is important to note that not all registered building projects will achieve LEED certification. Some projects may have encountered problems during the development process or stalled due to the economic downturn.

A further examination of the USGBC data reveals trends in the average project size which provide insights into green building implementation from year to year. The average size of a LEED certified project in the National Capital Region region completed between 2003 and 2009 is about 134,000 square feet. While the project sizes varied from year to year, a description of the average project size is important to note due to the fact that larger projects can more easily justify the additional costs associated with LEED certification. Between 2006 and 2009, when the region experienced a greater share of buildings achieving LEED certification, the average project size was 126,000 Square Feet. As green building continues to become increasingly common, it is likely that more small projects will be certified.






Number of LEED Registered Projects Per Year (Figure 5)




Average LEED Certified Project PerYear (figure 6)



8 Source: USGBC

LEED in The National Capital Region



Sustainable Development


70 percent of all LEED® certified projects are located in Regional Activity Centers

A majority of the region's LEED certified green buildings are located in Regional Activity Centers. A spatial analysis of USGBC's data found that 86 percent of all LEED certified square feet and 120 out of 171 LEED certified green buildings, certified between 2003 and 2009, are located in Regional Activity Centers. The large number of LEED certified buildings found in Regional Activity Centers may be a product of the large commercial orientation of LEED certified construction and that the Regional Activity Centers are largely based on employment concentrations.


In addition to the high number of projects completed within Regional Activity Centers the quality of those projects was also higher. Notably, projects certified Gold or Platinum were much more likely to be located within Regional Activity Centers than other locations in the region.


Moreover, several Regional Activity Centers* farther from the central city have emerged. These include the activity centers that comprise the Ballston/Rosyln corridor, Tysons Corner, and Rockville. These places demonstrate the relevance of LEED certification in suburban jurisdictions.

Furthermore, 64 percent of LEED certified space is located within one-half mile of a Metrorail station. These findings suggest that Metrorail access and places with higher employment concentration are two common factors for determining where LEED certified green building will occur. These findings are important because it presents a clearer understanding of the common locations either attracting or accommodating a large majority of LEED certified buildings in the National Capital Region. Promoting green building and locating development in Regional Activity Centers and around Metrorail stations are both essential for the region to meet its goals and targets outlined in Region Forward, the region's vision.




Source: USGBC and MWCOG





Millions of Square Feet

Sustainable Development




Building Type

Office space comprises the largest share of LEED® construction building types

Between 2003 and 2009, 47 percent or 81 out of 171 LEED certified buildings were considered office space. The second largest share of LEED certified space includes mixed-use buildings. The large share of mixed-use buildings achieving LEED certification aligns with USGBC's scoring criteria that recognize a building's impact on the environment from where it's located to how it fits into the community. Some projects can achieve LEED certification easier if a project improves the neighborhoods access to goods and services, smart transportation choices and walkability.

The most common LEED certification classification achieved in the National Capital Region is LEED Gold followed by LEED Silver. Figures 13 and 14 show the number of projects and the square feet for each LEED certification level. Between 2003 and 2009, a remarkable 84 percent of LEED projects attained LEED Silver certification or higher. The LEED classification designations are ordinal, ranking buildings that incorporate more green measures into their design meet higher standards.

Green Building by Project Type (figure 12)



Square Feet by LEED Classification (figure 13)



LEED certification Attainment (figure 14)


Certification	Number or Projects	Total Square feet
Platinum	12	2,190,578
Gold	69	11,262,249
Silver	63	7,320,108
Certified	27	2,210,604
Total	171	22,983,539

Source: USGBC

The District of Columbia

Key Trends


- 72 LEED® certified projects from 2003-2009
- 12.5 million square feet of LEED certified projects
- 64 Projects in Regional Activity Centers
- The largest project was the International Monetary Fund Center



Maryland

Key Trends


- 40 LEED® certified projects from 2003-2009
- 3.8 million square feet of LEED certified projects
- 15 Projects in Regional Activity Centers
- The largest project in Maryland was the Discovery Communications Global Headquarters



Virginia

Key Trends

- 59 LEED® certified projects from 2003- 2009
- 6.6 million square of feet LEED certified projects
- 41 projects in Regional Activity Centers
- The largest project was T.C. Williams High School



(figure 17)

Jurisdictional Totals (figure 18)

Jurisdiction	ОЯСС	Retail	Retail Mixed Use	Education	Medical	Other	Residential	Industrial	Hotel	MWCOG Region Unconfidential Subtotal	State Wide Confidential / Unconfidential
City of Alexandria		·			(c	1	;
Square Feet	5,618	0 0	183,950	462,000	0 0	160,1/8	0 0	0 0	0 0	861,/46	Y X
Arlington County				1			,			`	
Square Feet	997,420	0	1,115,560	50,000	0	522,000	267,470	0	0	2,952,450	N/A
Number	10	0	9	1	0	3	1	0	0	21	N/A
District of Columbia											
Square Feet	3,821,557	3,710	5,856,705	447,039	0 0	2,313,453	136,000	0 0	0 0	12,578,464	<u> </u>
Charles County	:	,		,					>	1	*****
Square Feet	0	6,600	0	0	0	14,000	0	0	0	20,600	N/A
Number	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	N/A
City of Falls Church											
Square Feet	0	0	43,992	0	0	0	0	0	0	43,992	N/A
Number	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	N/A
City of Fairfax	4	,	4	(4		ŧ	4	,	ŧ	į
Square Feet Number	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	¥ × X Ż
											17741
Fairfax County Square Feet	1,729,472	3,300	145,885	17,000	0	39,850	0	0	0	1,935,507	Z/A
Number	6	-	2	1	0	4	0	0	0	17	N/A
Frederick County											
Square Feet	0	0	0	0	0	220,000	0	0	0	220,000	N/A
Number	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	N/A
Loudoun County Square Feet	411,862	3,200	3,500	0	0	73,319	0	0	0	491,881	N/A
Number	2	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	9	N/A
City of Manassas											
Square Feet	0	0	249,000	0	0	3,600	0	0	0	252,600	N/A
Number	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	N/A
Montgomery County											
Square Feet	2,058,782	4,700	108,745	0	560,847	27,400	0	0	124,786	2,885,260	N/A
Number	11	2	3	0	4	3	0	0	1	24	N/A
City of Gaithersburg*											
Square Feet	515,929	0	0	0	0	7,400	0	0	0	523,329	N/A
Number	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	N/A

Jurisdictional Totals (figure 19)

Cly of Rock-bull- Cly of State		Jurisdiction	Оffice	Retail	Mixed Use	Education	Medical	Other	Residential	Industrial	Hotel	MWCOG Region Unconfidential Subtotal	State Wide Confidential / Unconfidential
Square Residential Control Square Residen	Ü	ity of Rockville *											
Number Congressive Teacher Number Suggests From Number Square Field Squar		Square Feet	676,072	1,400	1,613	334,423	0	0	0	0	0	1,013,508	N/A
Cty of Fighers Deck** 6		Number	7	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	111	N/A
Sylamer Fleet 0 <	Ü	ity of Takoma Park *											
Prince George County Number 43.5% 1.04 0 <		Square Feet	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
Prince George's Country Square Feet 1450 26.815 198.710 198.710 10.000 10.000 19.345 19.000 198.710 10.000 10.000 19.345 19.000 19.345 19.000 19.345 19.000 19.345 19.000 19.345 19.345 19.000 19.345 19.34		Number	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
Number 4 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1	Pr	rince George's County											
Number A		Square Feet	423,576	1,400	26,885	198,710	0	10,909	0	19,345	0	680,825	N/A
Town of Bladenchaupy Square Feet Care Care		Number	4	1	1	2	0	3	0	1	0	12	N/A
City of Bowie* Number Feet 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	To	own of Bladensburg *											
Clay of Bowsie Figure Clay of Bowsie Clay of Bowsie Clay of Bowsie Clay of Bowsie Clay of Greenbell Clay of Gr		Square Feet	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
Gty of Bowie** Gty of Bowie Square Feet 45,992 0 0 10,999 0 19,345 0 74,246 Gty of Greenbelt** Square Feet 0		Number	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
Square Feet 4392 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 19,345 0 74,246 Number 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 74,246 Square Feet Square Feet 35,614 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Prince-William County Square Feet 53,614 6,600 0 <th>Ü</th> <th>ity of Bowie *</th> <th></th>	Ü	ity of Bowie *											
City of Greenbelt** 1 0 0 0 3 0 1 0 5 Square Feet Square Feet Square Feet Number 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Prince William County Square Feet		Square Feet	43,992	0	0	0	0	10,909	0	19,345	0	74,246	N/A
City of Greenbelt** City of Greenbelt** City of Greenbelt** City of Greenbelt* City		Number	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	5	N/A
Number 0 <th>Ü</th> <th>ity of Greenbelt *</th> <th></th>	Ü	ity of Greenbelt *											
Number 55,144 6,600 0		Square Feet	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
Prince William County Square Feet 53614 6,600 0		Number	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A
Square Feet Number 35.614 6.600 0<	Pr	rince William County											
Totals Square Feet 9,551,901 29,51,901 1,734,222 1,174,49 560,847 3,384,709 403,470 19,345 124,786 22,983,539 DC Total Number 80 10 5,856,705 447,039 0 2,313,453 136,000 0 0 0 0 32,983,539 DC Total Number 3,21,557 3,710 5,856,705 447,039 0 2,313,453 136,000 0 0 0 0 12578,464 Virginia Total Square Feet 3,247,986 13,100 1,741,887 529,000 0 798,947 267,470 0 0 0 5,988,390 Maryland Total As 15 4 15 4 0 11 1 0 0 0 0 598,390 Asquare Feet 3,45,256 4 2 272,309 0 19,345 124,786 3,806,685 9 Assurance Feet 3,682,553 4 2 4 <t< th=""><th></th><th>Square Feet</th><td>53,614</td><td>6,600</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>60,214</td><td>N/A</td></t<>		Square Feet	53,614	6,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60,214	N/A
Totals Square Feet 9,551,901 29,510 7,734,222 1,174,749 560,847 3,384,709 403,470 19,345 124,786 22,083,539 DC Total Number 80 10 35 9 4 29 2 1 1 1 171 DC Total Square Feet 3,821,557 3,710 5,856,705 447,039 0 2,313,453 156,000 0 0 12,578,464 Virginia Total Square Feet 3,247,986 13,100 1,741,887 529,000 0 798,947 267,470 0 0 6,598,390 Maryland Total 1 1 4 0 11 1 0 0 6,598,390 Square Feet 3,247,986 13,500 1,741,887 529,000 0 798,947 267,470 0 0 6,598,390 Maryland Total 1 1 1 1 1 1 40 1		Number	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	N/A
Square Feet Number 9,551,901 29,510 7,734,222 1,174,749 560,847 3,384,709 403,470 19,345 124,786 22983539 DC Total Square Feet Square Fee	I	otals											
DC Total Square Feet 3,821,557 3,710 5,856,705 447,039 0 2,313,453 136,000 0 0 12,578,464 Number At 1,741,887 529,000 0 798,947 267,470 0 0 753,830 Maryland Total Maryland Total 3,247,986 13,100 1,741,887 529,000 0 798,947 267,470 0 0 6,598,390 Maryland Total A 15 4 0 111 1 0 6,598,390 Square Feet 2,482,358 12,700 135,630 198,710 560,847 272,309 0 19,345 124,786 3,806,685 Number 15 4 2 4 8 0 19,345 124,786 3,806,685		Square Feet	9,551,901	29,510	7,734,222	1,174,749	560,847	3,384,709	403,470	19,345	124,786	22,983,539	N/A
Square Feet 3,821,557 3,710 5,856,705 447,039 0 2,313,453 136,000 0 0 12,578,464 Number 41 1 16 3 0 10 1 0 0 72 Virginia Total Square Feet 3,247,986 13,100 1,741,887 529,000 0 798,947 267,470 0 0 6,598,390 Number 24 4 15 4 0 111 1 0 0 6,598,390 Maryland Total Square Feet 2,482,358 12,700 135,630 198,710 560,847 272,309 0 19,345 124,786 3,806,685 Number 15 4 2 4 8 0 1 1 40	Č	ı	3	3	3		,	ì			,		4
Number 41 1 16 3 0 10 1 0 0 72 Virginia Total Square Feet 3,247,986 13,100 1,741,887 529,000 0 798,947 267,470 0 6,598,390 Number 24 4 15 4 0 11 1 0 0 59 Maryland Total Square Feet 2,482,358 12,700 135,630 198,710 560,847 272,309 0 19,345 124,786 3,806,685 Number 15 5 4 2 4 8 0 1 1 40	1		3,821,557	3.710	5,856,705	447,039	0	2,313,453	136,000	0	0	12.578.464	
Virginia Total Square Feet 3,247,986 13,100 1,741,887 529,000 0 798,947 267,470 0 0 6,598,390 Maryland Total Square Feet 2,482,358 12,700 135,630 198,710 560,847 272,309 0 19,345 124,786 3,806,685 Number 15 5 4 2 4 8 0 1 1 40		Number	41	П	16	. 6	0	10	1	0	0	72	24/72
Square Feet 3,247,986 13,100 1,741,887 529,000 0 798,947 267,470 0 0 6,598,390 Maryland Total Square Feet 2,482,358 12,700 135,630 198,710 560,847 272,309 0 19,345 124,786 3,806,685 Number 15 5 4 8 0 1 1 40 40	Vi	irginia Total											
Maryland Total Aguare Feet 24 4 15 5 4 6 11 1 0 0 59 59 Maryland Total Square Feet 2,482,358 12,700 135,630 198,710 560,847 272,309 0 19,345 124,786 3,806,685 Number 15 5 4 8 0 1 1 40		Square Feet	3,247,986	13,100	1,741,887	529,000	0	798,947	267,470	0	0	6,598,390	
Maryland Total Square Feet 2,482,358 12,700 135,630 198,710 560,847 272,309 0 19,345 124,786 3,806,685 Number 15 5 4 2 4 8 0 1 1 40		Number	24	4	15	4	0	11	1	0	0	59	27/122
Square Feet 2,482,358 12,700 135,630 198,710 560,847 272,309 0 19,345 124,786 3,806,685 Number 15 5 4 2 4 8 0 1 1 1 40 1		faryland Total											
15 5 4 2 4 8 0 1 1 1 40	17	Square Feet	2,482,358	12,700	135,630	198,710	560,847	272,309	0	19,345	124,786	3,806,685	
		Number	15	5	4	2	4	8	0	1	1	40	20/81

^{*} Maryland Cities included in County Totals

*** Data in these tables only reflects unclassified LEED certified projects between 2003 and 2009

^{**} Data for LEED certified unconfidential projects unless otherwise noted

Regional Green Building Iniative Comparison

Public Facilities:	Facilities owned or operated by a government.
Private Facilities:	Facilities owned and operated by private persons or organizations.
Preferred Certification Program:	Designation a preferred certification system such as LEED and Green Globes.
Mandatory Green Building:	The municipality requires green building or design techniques.
Operations Threshold:	The municipality requires that public facilities meet an operating standard such as LEED Maintenance and Operations or Energy Star.
Disclosure and Labeling:	The municipality requires that public facilities disclose their green building certification status, energy consumption or other similar indicators.
Green Building Policy for Schools:	The local school district has a green building policy.
Residential Green Building Threshold:	The municipality has designated a threshold which residential facilities should attain such as LEED Silver.
Multi-family Green Building Threshold:	The municipality has designated a threshold which Multi-family facilities should attain such as LEED Silver.
Green Building Codes:	The municipality has developed its building code to incorporate green building principals such as adoption of IECC 2009, net metering allowance, cool roof requirements and more stringent requirements for energy performance and stormwater management.
Outreach and Education:	The municipality has developed any kind of outreach and education program designed to aid the implementation of green building principals.

(figure 21)

Regional Green Building Iniative Comparison

Town of Bladensburg												
City of Bowie	>	>										
City of College Park												
Frederick County	>			>					>			>
City of Gaithersburg	>	>		>	>	>	>	>	>			>
City of Greenbelt	>	>										
Montgomery County	>	``		>	>	``	>	>	>			>
ce George's County	>	>				>						
City of Rockville	>	>		>		,	,		>			>
City of Takoma Park										\parallel		
District of Columbia	>	>	>	>		>	>	`	>	>	>	>
City of Alexandria	>	>			>	>	>	`			>	>
Arlington County	>	>	>		>	>	>			>	>	>
Fairfax County	>	>			>	>	>	>				
City of Fairfax	>	>				>	>					>
City of Falls Church		>					>					
Loudoun County	>	`			>							>
Prince William County	>											

* Local Initiatives data is based on self reporting from each jurisdiction

^{**} Data was not available for Manassas Park, Takoma Park, and College Park.

Green Building Trends

How Green Building Impacted the National Capital Region Between 2003 and 2009

Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments



Department of Community Planning and Services with the Department of Environmental Programs

Produced by:

Ryan Hand Principal Author John Mataya AICP Associate Author Leah Boggs Associate Author

USGBC[®] and related logo is a trademark owned by the U.S. Green Building Council[®] and is used with permission.

LEED and the related logo is a trademark owned by the U.S. Green Building Council and is used with permission.