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Slide 2: Key Goals of Study

Identify pathways to reduce greenhouse gases (GHGs) within on-road transportation commensurate with the region’s 2030 and 2050 GHG reduction goals.

Explore scenarios to understand what types of strategies (policies, programs, and investments) are needed to achieve the goals, and what level of GHG reductions might be achieved under different scenarios.

Slide 3: Key Analysis Steps

Review of past studies

* Conduct review of climate change mitigation studies in the COG region (Phase I, performed by TPB staff)

Literature Review

* Conduct Phase II literature review of climate change mitigation studies and climate action plans

List of scenarios and actions

* Develop a limited list of scenarios and associated GHG reduction actions to explore

Tool/model selection

* Select tools/models for the analysis

Analysis

* Calibrate and validate the selected tools and models; conduct analysis using the selected tools

Report

* Document and present findings in a draft and final report

Slide 4: Pathways to On-Road GHG Reduction

Vehicle Technology and Fuels

* Improve fuel economy of vehicle fleet
* Advance alternative fuels
* Accelerate electric vehicle deployment

Mode Shift and Travel

* Mode shifts to transit, carpooling, nonmotorized
* Reduce trip lengths (e.g., brings jobs and housing closer together)
* Replace trips (e.g., telework, alternative work schedules)

Transportation Systems Management and Operations (TSMO)

* Enhance incident management, traffic signal coordination, and other operations strategies
* Reduce speeding and idling
* “Eco-driving”
* Deploy connected and automated vehicles (CAVs) regionwide

Slide 5: Strategies and Pathways for Reducing GHG Emissions from On-Road Vehicles

This slide includes a flow chart showing Carbon Pricing and Transportation Strategies

The Carbon pricing includes:

* Electric power sector changes
* Use of renewables affect carbon intensity of electricity used in transportation

Both tie into Total GHG emissions:

* Vehicle travel activity (VMT) x Energy Efficiency (BTU/mile) x Carbon Intensity GHG/BTU)

The transportation strategies include:

* Mode shift and travel behavior
* Vehicle operations changes
* Vehicle fleet and fuels changes

Slide 6: Analysis of Top-Down Scenarios

*What level of VMT reduction would be needed to meet the regional 2030 and 2050 goals if VMT reduction were the sole focus of efforts?*

*What level of electric vehicle (EV) adoption would be needed to meet the regional 2030 and 2050 goals if vehicle technology were the sole focus of efforts?*

*NEW: What level of VMT reduction would be needed to meet the regional 2030 goal assuming vehicle technology assumptions in the Climate and Energy Action Plan (CEAP)?*

Slide 7: VMT Reduction Alone

To achieve the 50% emissions reduction goal by 2030 (compared to 2005 levels), using VMT reduction alone, VMT from cars and light-duty trucks

* Would need to drop by 57% from 2018 level (61% compared to the 2030 forecast level).
* Would need to drop from 18.7 daily vehicle-miles per capita in 2018 to 7.1in 2030.

80% emissions reductions goal by 2050

* Is not attainable through VMT from cars and light-duty trucks reduction alone.
* Medium and heavy-duty vehicle emissions exceed the 2050 goal of 4.15 million metric tons by 2.24 million metric tons.

*These are unprecedented levels of sustained VMT reduction that would likely require very high levels of pricing (road, parking, fuel), nearly complete telework, and/or restrictions on driving.*

*Despite forecasted population growth, traffic volumes in the region would need to shrink to the level seen at the height of the COVID-19 stay-at-home orders during April 2020 and not rebound.*

This slide includes a line graph showing Daily per-Capita VMT from Cars and Light-duty Trucks Required to Meet GHG Goals through VMT Reduction Alone. It has two lines, one shows forecast per-capita VMT from cars and light-duty trucks under current plan (Visualize 2045) and the other Path toward 2050 goal (actual goal not attainable through passenger travel reduction alone) with a dot indicating the level to complete the 2030 goal. Please contact staff if you would like the details.

Slide 8: Vehicle Technology Improvements Alone

To achieve the 50% emissions reduction goal by 2030, using vehicle technology alone:

* 75% of vehicles on the road would need to be EVs by 2030 using the ICF Reference Case (“on the books policies”) for carbon intensity of the electrical grid.
* 48% would need to be EVs by 2030 in the Clean Grid Case.

To achieve the 80% emissions reduction goal by 2050:

* Cannot be achieved under the ICF Reference Case assumptions for electricity carbon intensity.
* 79% of vehicles on the road would need to be EVs by 2050 in the Clean Grid Case.

Note: This “top down” analysis used simplified assumptions with proportionate EV adoption across all vehicle classes; more robust analysis using different assumptions about EV adoption by different vehicle classes was conducted as part of the “bottom up” scenario analysis.

*The required level of fleet change by 2030 is extremely ambitious and would likely require immediate shifts to all new vehicles sold as EVs, aggressive incentives to accelerate vehicle turnover, and/or carbon or fuel pricing increases.*

This slide includes a graph showing Forecast VMT by Technology Type Required to Meet GHG Goals through Shifts to EVs Alone Clean Grid Case. It compares internal combustion engine vehicles to electric vehicles from 2018-2050. Please contact staff if you would like the details.

Slide 9: VMT Reduction under the CEAP Technology Assumptions

To achieve the 50% emissions reduction goal by 2030 with the CEAP technology assumptions, VMT from cars and light-duty trucks

* Would need to drop by 49% from the 2018 level, while the region’s population grows (drop 54% compared to the 2030 forecast level).
* Would need to decline from 18.7 daily vehicle

These analyses highlight the challenge facing on-road transportation sources in meeting the regional goals.

This slide includes two, line graphs showing annual and daily Total vehicle miles travelled (VMT) from Cars and Light-Duty Trucks Required to Meet GHG Goals, assuming CEAP EV Conversion and Reference Case Electricity Emissions. Each graph shows forecast vehicle miles travelled and a line showing where the level should be to meet the 2030 goal. One graph shows this for Daily Passenger Vehicle Miles and the other for Total Passenger Vehicle Miles Please contact staff if you would like the details.

Slide 10: Development of Bottom-Up Scenarios and Analysis Approach

Slide 11: Overview of 10 Scenarios

Pathway - Vehicle Technology and Fuels Improvements

Scenario

* VT.1 - Vehicle Technology and Fuels Improvement Scenario
* VT.2 – Amplified Vehicle Technology and Fuels Improvement Scenario

Pathway - Mode Shift and Travel Behavior

Scenario

* MS.1 - Mode Shift Scenario
* MS.2 - Amplified Mode Shift Scenario
* MS.3 - Amplified Mode Shift Scenario Plus Road Pricing

Pathway - Transportation Systems Management and Operation (TSMO)

Scenario

* TSMO - Transportation Systems Management and Operations Improvement Scenario

Combined Pathways

Scenario

* COMBO.1 – Combined Scenario (VT.1, MS.1, TSMO)
* COMBO.2 – Combined Scenario with More Aggressive Technology Emphasis (VT.2, MS.1, TSMO)
* COMBO.3 – Combined Scenario with More Aggressive Mode Shift Emphasis (VT.1, MS.3, TSMO)
* COMBO.4 – Combined Scenario with Aggressive Actions Across All Pathways and Shared Connected and Automated Vehicle (CAV) Future (VT.2, MS.3 and shared CAV assumptions)

Slide 12: Electricity Grid Sensitivity Analysis

* Emissions from EVs depend on the emissions profiles of electricity generation
* Performed a sensitivity analysis using three emissions cases:
	+ Reference Case – Based on current on-the-books policies in VA, DC, and MD
	+ Modified Reference Case - Slightly more aggressive than Reference Case, assuming policy for zero-carbon grid by 2040 in MD
	+ Clean Grid Case - Most aggressive, assumes 100% clean grid by 2035
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Slide 13: Tools and Models for Use in Analysis

Sketch planning tools and models to analyze individual strategies and combinations.

* For vehicle technology and fuels strategies, used the Argonne National Laboratory’s VISION model to estimate fleet penetration, along with spreadsheet analysis on emissions rates.
* For MSTB strategies, used the Trip Reduction Impacts of Mobility Management Strategies (TRIMMS) analysis tool (developed by the Center for Urban Transportation Research), the regional travel demand model, and spreadsheet analysis using literature review findings.
* For TSMO strategies, applied adjustments to emissions rates based on literature review.

Spreadsheet-based model developed for study to analyze effects of scenarios.

Sensitivity analysis conducted by varying the carbon intensity assumptions for the electric power grid.

* Building on ICF’s Integrated Planning Model (IPM), focusing on power markets.

Note: While sketch planning tools have been widely utilized by peer agencies, they have limitations (e.g., generally do not account for indirect or secondary effects). Literature also suggests fairly high levels of uncertainty of effects for some strategies (e.g., telework, fare free transit, road pricing). Thus, while results are informative, they generally should be viewed as addressing order-of-magnitude effects.

Slide 14: Findings from Analysis of Bottom-Up Scenarios

Slide 15: Estimated On-Road GHG Emissions by Scenario (under Reference Case Electric Grid)

* Vehicle technology and MSTB scenarios yield estimated reductions of 20-28% by 2030 (compared to 2005 levels), with combinations performing best (27-38% reduction).
* By 2050, vehicle technology improvements generate the largest estimated reductions (at least 69% reduction, under Reference Case grid).

This slide includes a bar graph showing the Scenarios in slide 11 compared for 2030 and 2050 and where the 50% reduction goal line falls and the 80% reduction goal line falls. Please contact staff if you would like details.

Slide 16: Summary of GHG Reductions Estimated for Scenarios:% Reductions from 2005 On-Road Emissions Level

* None of the scenarios achieve 50% reduction in on-road GHG emissions by 2030.
* Several scenarios provide on-road GHG emissions reductions at levels assumed in COG’s multisector 2030 Climate and Energy Action Plan (CEAP).
* 80% reduction by 2050 is met only with the most aggressive scenario under the reference case electric grid but can be achieved under other scenarios with vehicle technology/fuels strategies and a cleaner electric grid.

This slide includes a table showing each scenario from slide 11, its key components, and the Greenhouse Gas reductions for 2030 and 2050 compared to the regional goal. The bullets above summarize the findings. Please contact staff if you would like the details.

Slide 17: Key Conclusions

Achieving a 50% reduction in on-road GHG emissions (from the 2005 level) by 2030 is extremely ambitious.

* None of the scenarios were estimated to achieve this goal. Only 9 years away, there is very little time to get to the level of vehicle technology adoption and VMT reduction to meet this goal.
* On-road transportation, however, can contribute substantial GHG reductions to help support the region’s goal. Several scenarios (generally with a combination of strategies) achieve the level of on-road GHG reductions in COG’s multisector 2030 CEAP.

Achieving an 80% reduction in on-road GHG emissions (from the 2005 level) by 2050 is more attainable with vehicle technology advancements and a clean electric grid.

* The goal is only met with the most aggressive scenario under the reference case electric grid.
* However, the goal can be achieved under other scenarios with vehicle technology/fuels strategies and a cleaner electric grid.
* Mode shift and travel behavior strategies provide supporting GHG reductions but are less important when nearly all on-road vehicles are EVs and the electric grid is carbon neutral.

Slide 18: Study Limitations

Limited assessments of potential secondary effects

* Increased telework might encourage changes in locations of businesses.
* Reducing VMT may have additional benefits on improving traffic flow, but the improved flow may also encourage some mode shifts back to driving as travel time is reduced.
* Shifts to EVs might induce additional driving if the cost of driving is reduced.

Uncertainties regarding effects of significant changes in pricing

* Sketch models rely on price elasticities, which may not be accurate for large changes in price.

Implementation considerations for getting to scenario results

* Questions about how to get to level of transit service improvement, level of EV adoption in scenarios.

Implications for GHG emissions in other sectors not considered

* Increasing housing in the region may increase building energy consumption, yet more dense multifamily housing reduces energy use per person. Telework may increase energy use from the building sector.

Slide 19: Implementation Considerations

Slide 20: Co-Benefits of Strategies

Accessibility and Mobility

* Expanding transit and active transportation options; bicycle, pedestrian, micromobility - access to jobs, education, healthcare, etc.

Reliability

* Transit enhancements, TSMO -improved reliability.

Safety

* TSMO strategies and active transportation enhancements - improved safety.

Improved Air Quality and Public Health

* Increase in bicycle/pedestrian activity-reduction in vehicle emissions, increased physical activity.
* Increase in electric vehicles - reduction in vehicle tailpipe emissions.

Economic Benefits

* Improved access to businesses, more efficient freight movement.
* Increase in teleworking - improvements in efficiency/productivity.
* Vehicle electrification - lower cost of vehicle ownership; local economic opportunities for the installation and maintenance of private and public EV charging infrastructure.

Slide 21: Equity Considerations

*Potential Concerns*

* Vehicle electrification:
	+ Access to EVs and charging infrastructure might be difficult for some population segments (medium-and low-income, multifamily residents, and renters) - role oflow-interest loans and vouchers to lower costs; municipal zoning to advance EV readiness.
* Teleworking:
	+ Not applicable for workers in many service industries.
	+ Potential impacts on businesses with low-income workers (restaurants, services), particularly in downtown areas.
* Parking pricing, cordon pricing, and VMT fees:
	+ Costs can disproportionately affect low-income households and may be regressive.
	+ Fees can be designed with equity in mind, accounting for factors such as household income (e.g., credits for low-income households), and use of funds for transit and equity-focused services.

*Opportunities*

* Land use, transit, and bicycle/pedestrian/micromobility:
	+ Can support more affordable housing and transit costs, ability to access places without a private motor vehicle.

Slide 22: Implementation Considerations

Role of Federal Government

* Potential Federal policies to spur deployment of EVs, a clean power grid, or to advance road pricing.

Role of the Private Sector

* Vehicle manufacturers (EVs); developers (land use); private employers (telework).

Intergovernmental Cooperation

* State and local coordination to agree on policies; multi-state agreements.

Transportation Revenues and Expenditures

* Reducing transit fares, shifts to EVs - loss of traditional revenue sources for transportation.
* Transit enhancements require significant, long-term spending.
* Upfront costs for widespread vehicle electrification - role of incentive programs and policies.
* Costs associated with education and awareness programming for each strategy.

Social and Economic Effects

* Potential social and economic costs of teleworking.
* Potential implications on regional competitiveness (positive or negative).
* Costs of pricing strategies (road pricing, parking pricing) on households.

Slide 23:

Get in touch with:

Michael Grant

Vice President, Transportation

(202) 862-1211

Michael.Grant@icf.com

Logo- ICF

About ICF

ICF (NASDAQ:ICFI) is a global consulting and digital services company with over 7,000 full-and part-time employees, but we are not your typical consultants. At ICF, business analysts and policy specialists work together with digital strategists, data scientists and creatives. We combine unmatched industry expertise with cutting-edge engagement capabilities to help organizations solve their most complex challenges. Since 1969, public and private sector clients have worked with ICF to navigate change and shape the future.