



**RFP 21-011  
MILITARY INSTALLATION RESILIENCE REVIEW**

**ADDENDUM 4**

**This addendum answers questions received as of January 18, 2021.**

Questions follow. Answers are in **bold** text.

1. Does OEA's program-specific terms and conditions apply to the grant for this RFP?
  - a. **Yes, several of the requirements in the DoD OEA Terms and Conditions apply to the selected Contractor. The following provisions will not apply to the Selected Contractor: A, B, C, D, F, G, H, N, P, Q, S, T, and V.**
  
2. With regard to number of stakeholder and committee workshops and other meetings, scope item A.2.b references a minimum of 20 project team meetings, while B.3.a and B.3.b reference 12 to 15 TAC and 6 to 8 Policy Committee meetings, respectively. Please confirm that these are not referencing the same meetings, and that each number of referenced meetings is unique.
  - a. **Correct, Project Team meetings are separate and distinct from the technical and policy committee meetings.**
  
3. Please confirm that the meetings referenced in A.2.b, B.3.a, and B.3.b. are intended to be distinct from the other meetings referenced further down in the technical approach (D.2.b.iv, D.2.b.vi, D.2.f.ii, D.2.f.v., D.2.f.viii, D.2.g.iv, E.1, and F.1.c), and thus that each meeting referenced is intended to be a unique interaction.
  - a. **A.2.b - Project team meetings, unique meetings, separate from below.**
  - b. **B.3.a and B.3.b - Unique TAC and Policy Committee meetings, though aspects of the engagements below may be able to be handled during specific TAC or policy committee meetings depending on proposed approach.**
  - c. **D.2.b.iv - Vulnerability Assessment Workshops - Could be considered as part of the workshops listed in E.1.**
  - d. **D.2.b.vi - Quarterly progress reports to COG - COG asks that Respondents propose how they would like to handle this specifically as it relates to the vulnerability assessment. This could potentially be handled as part of the Project Team meetings or the TAC or policy committee meetings. This reporting is separate and distinct from the monthly and final progress reports for the overall project.**

- e. **D.2.f.ii - Site specific solutions development with DoD and Stakeholders - Separate engagement is possible, or it could be handled through combining with other engagements depending on the proposed approach.**
  - f. **D.2.f.v - Site visits to assess feasibility of solutions - Separate engagement is possible, or it could be handled through combining with other engagements depending on the proposed approach.**
  - g. **D.2.f.viii - Periodic updates to COG and TAC - Separate engagement is possible, or it could be handled through combining with other engagements such as TAC meetings or Project Team meetings, depending on the proposed approach.**
  - h. **D.2.g.iv - Technical Presentations - Separate engagement is possible, or it could be handled through combining with other engagements such as TAC meetings or Project Team meetings, and Workshops, depending on the proposed approach.**
  - i. **E.1 - Stakeholder Workshops - Four Workshops are required, but it may be possible to accomplish meeting engagement outcomes from other Tasks or Subtasks in the Scope depending on the proposed approach.**
  - j. **F.1.c - Present Report - Separate engagement is possible, or it could be handled through combining with other engagements such as TAC meetings or Project Team meetings, and Workshops, depending on the proposed approach.**
4. With regard to site visits, please confirm that if those referenced in D.2.b.iii and D.2.f.v are intended to be distinct from each other, and thus that each visit referenced is intended to be a unique engagement.
- a. **D.2.b.iii - Site visits to assess vulnerability - Separate engagement is possible, or it could be handled through combining with other engagements depending on the proposed approach.**
  - b. **D.2.f.v - Site visits to assess feasibility of solutions - Separate engagement is possible, or it could be handled through combining with other engagements depending on the proposed approach.**
5. Please confirm whether existing studies and data are deemed sufficient to complete D.1 and D2, and therefore whether new data collection or modelling (excluding site visits) is intended to be included in this scope.
- a. **Identifying and mapping/scoping threats and conducting the vulnerability assessments are anticipated to rely primarily on existing data and studies, but Respondents can propose new or enhanced studies that may be required as part of the proposed approach.**
6. Please confirm that all source data and GIS files will be made available to the contractor.
- a. **COG and the DoD will provide all source data and GIS files that are not restricted due to classification or other concerns.**
7. Task 3 Applicable Documents (page 6): Is MWCOG agreeable to removing hyperlinks in the final Contract as material changes could occur in the online version of the documents, and we may not be aware of these important updates if they are not sent directly to us for review?
- a. **Yes COG is willing to remove hyperlinks in the final Contract.**

8. VII. Project Requirements (page 10/18): the solicitation states “Regarding section VII Project Requirements on page 10, the error below was received after clicking on the Terms and Conditions Link, “Not Found The requested URL /resource/general-terms-and-conditions-october-2019 was not found on this server.” Please confirm that the above link provides the same Terms and Conditions that are attached to the RFP on page 18? If not, please provide a copy of the Terms and Conditions.
  - a. **Addendum #1 contains a corrected link.**
9. ATTACHMENT A TERMS AND CONDITIONS (page 18): The provisions herein do not constitute a complete agreement, and must be appended to a document, executed by all parties... However, should there be a direct contradiction between the terms and conditions contained herein and the Contract, then the Contract shall govern and control those contradictory terms and conditions. If there is an additional Contract or Terms and Conditions provided to the awarded Contractor at the award stage, will COG and/or members negotiate such additional Contract with the Contractor?
  - a. **COG is able to negotiate the final Contract with the Selected Contractor, and COG will consider requested changes to the Terms and Conditions included in this solicitation. Whether COG will agree to changes will depend on what the proposed changes are.**
10. Is COG open to negotiating the exceptions that will be provided with the proposal?
  - a. **It depends on the exceptions presented.**
11. Can you please define “All Project Work” related to the requested matrix (at VIII.3.b.)?
  - a. **Please provide sufficient information on project work that the Respondent deems relevant to demonstrate requisite experience and qualifications. The matrix does not necessarily need to be comprehensive of all projects that the Respondent is engaged with.**
12. Please confirm which Articles of the Office of Economic Adjustment General Terms and Conditions (Dated October 2019) pertain to the contract as some T&C articles in whole or part do not appear to apply to the awardee of this procurement, including, but not limited to, Articles B, D, G, H, P, Q, S, T, and V.
  - a. **See Question #1 above.**
13. Who is the intended audience of the stakeholder workshops described at IV.E (Task 5)?
  - a. **COG expects the Respondents to propose an approach to how to define the stakeholder workshop(s) goals, objectives, format, and anticipated outcome, as well as the list of desired experts and stakeholder participant lists.**
14. Please clarify if the intention is to hold one workshop per installation.
  - a. **COG expects the Respondents to propose an approach to how to define the stakeholder workshop(s) goals, including whether it is one per installation or designed around topics or focus areas, etc.**